# Structural studies of lanthanide(III) complexes with oxydiacetic acid and iminodiacetic acid in aqueous solution by EXAFS 
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The local structure of the trivalent lanthanide $(\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{III}))$ complexes with oxydiacetic acid (ODA) and iminodiacetic acid (IDA) in aqueous solution was investigated by EXAFS spectroscopy. The coordination number and the bond distance were obtained by the detailed EXAFS analysis. The coordination number of $\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{III})$ in both the Ln-ODA and -IDA systems decreases from nine for lighter $\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{III})$ to eight for heavier $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{III})$. The bond distances of ether O atoms from $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{III})$ in the $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{ODA})_{3}{ }^{3-}$ complexes are shorter than those of N atoms in the Ln (IDA) $3^{3-}$ ones.
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## 1. Introduction

Polycarboxylates have been attracted in not only separation and purification of lanthanides but also fundamental chemistry of their lanthanide complexes. Among the compounds, ODA and IDA have been studied extensively. In aqueous solution, many studies show that the tris tridentate complexes are dominant at $\mathrm{pH}>6$ and [ODA] $/[\mathrm{Ln}]>3$ for the $\left[\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{ODA})_{3}\right]^{3-}$, and at $\mathrm{pH}>8$ and $[\mathrm{IDA}] /[\mathrm{Ln}]>$ $3 \sim 5$ for the $\left[\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{IDA})_{3}\right]^{3-}$ (Grenth, et al., 1972a, 1972b; Foster, et al., 1983; Albin, et al., 1985). However, the detailed structural parameters of these complexes in aqueous solution have not been determined yet. Therefore, we carried out the structural analysis of Ln complexes with ODA and IDA by EXAFS.

## 2. Experimental

The concentrations of the ligands and $\operatorname{Ln}($ III $)$ were fixed at 0.5 moldm ${ }^{-3}$ and $0.1 \mathrm{moldm}^{-3}$, respectively, for all the samples. The pH of the sample solutions was adjusted at 6.5 for the Ln-ODA system and 8.5 for the Ln-IDA system. Under these conditions, the $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{ODA})_{3}{ }^{3-}$ and $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{IDA})_{3}{ }^{3-}$ complexes are predominant. All the sample solutions were sealed in a polyethylene bag with a Teflon spacer of a suitable thickness to appropriate edge jump. Transmission EXAFS data at lanthanide $\mathrm{L}_{\text {III }}$ edges were collected at the BL-27B station of the Photon Factory in the High Energy Accelerator Organization ( $2.5 \mathrm{GeV}, 200-400 \mathrm{~mA}$ ) using a $\mathrm{Si}(111)$ monochromator at room temperature. Experimental data were analyzed by the XAFS data analysis software package WINXAS 97 (Resler, 1997). Since the effect of multi-electron excitations (Chalboy. et al, 1993; Solera. et al, 1994) was negligibly small in the obtained spectra, we performed the EXAFS analysis without further correction. The EXAFS threshold energy, $E_{0}$, was defined as the maximum in the first derivative of the absorption edge. The EXAFS data were fit using theoretical phases and amplitudes calculated by the program FEFF7 (Zabinsky. et al, 1995). The $\mathrm{Na}_{3}\left[\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{ODA})_{3}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{NaClO}_{4} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ complexes (Albertsson, 1970) were used for the FEFF calculation of model compounds. No single crystal structures of tris IDA complexes with $\operatorname{Ln}($ III $)$ have been
reported. Therefore, the hypothetical tris IDA complexes, which the
Table 1
Structural parameters obtained from the EXAFS fits to the Fourier $\frac{\text { filtered peak at ca. } 2 \AA \text { using } 1 \text { shell model. }}{\text { ODA }}$

|  | $C N^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $r(\AA)^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\sigma^{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ | $\Delta E_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $R^{\mathrm{f}}$ | $C N^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $r(\AA)^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\sigma^{2 \mathrm{~d}}$ | $\Delta E_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ | $R^{\mathrm{f}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nd | 9.4 | 2.47 | 0.009 | -2.3 | 0.082 | 9.4 | 2.49 | 0.01 | -2.6 | 0.12 |
| Sm | 8.7 | 2.44 | 0.007 | -2.2 | 0.14 | 9.2 | 2.45 | 0.01 | -3.0 | 0.19 |
| Eu | $9^{\mathrm{g}}$ | 2.43 | 0.008 | -0.41 | 0.13 | $9 \mathrm{~g}^{\mathrm{g}}$ | 2.43 | 0.01 | -0.63 | 0.16 |
| Gd | 9.5 | 2.41 | 0.008 | -0.8 | 0.10 | 9.4 | 2.42 | 0.01 | -0.95 | 0.12 |
| Tb | 8.3 | 2.40 | 0.008 | -2.3 | 0.14 | 8.1 | 2.40 | 0.01 | -3.6 | 0.22 |
| Dy | 8.4 | 2.38 | 0.008 | -2.7 | 0.049 | 8.4 | 2.39 | 0.01 | -3.5 | 0.049 |
| Ho | 8.4 | 2.37 | 0.009 | -2.8 | 0.14 | 8.5 | 2.37 | 0.01 | -3.8 | 0.22 |
| Er | 8.0 | 2.35 | 0.008 | -3.4 | 0.12 | 7.7 | 2.35 | 0.009 | -4.4 | 0.15 |
| Tm | 8.1 | 2.35 | 0.008 | -3.4 | 0.11 | 8.0 | 2.35 | 0.01 | -4.3 | 0.17 |
| Yb | 7.9 | 2.33 | 0.008 | -2.2 | 0.10 | 8.0 | 2.33 | 0.01 | -3.2 | 0.17 |
| Lu | 8.0 | 2.33 | 0.008 | -0.46 | 0.074 | 8.2 | 2.32 | 0.01 | -2.2 | 0.18 |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{N}$ atoms were treated as O atoms.; ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ the total coordination number of $\operatorname{Ln}($ III $)$ ion; ${ }^{c}$ the average bond distance $(\AA) ;{ }^{\text {d }}$ Debye-Waller factor squared $\left(\AA^{2}\right) ;{ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ the shift in threshold energy $(\mathrm{eV}) ;{ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ residual: $R=\Sigma \mid k^{3} \chi(k)_{\text {obs }}{ }^{-}$ $k^{3} \chi(k)_{\text {calc }}|\Sigma| k^{3} \chi(k)_{\text {obs }} \mid ;^{g}$ fixed parameters.
ether O atoms in the $\mathrm{Na}_{3}\left[\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{ODA})_{3}\right] \cdot 2 \mathrm{NaClO}_{4} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were replaced by N atoms, were taken as model compounds of the Ln-IDA system. The dominant paths obtained from FEFF7 calculation for the model compounds are single-scattering (SS) Ln-O(carboxylate, coordinating to $\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{III})$, cc), $\mathrm{SS} \mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O}$ (ether, e), SS LnC(carboxylate, c), SS Ln-C(ether, e), SS Ln-O(carboxylate, uncoordinating to $\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{III})$, cu), multiple-scattering (MS) $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{c})-$ $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{cu})$, 3legs, and MS Ln-O(cc)-O(cu), 3legs. The values of the amplitude reduction factor, $S_{0}{ }^{2}$, for the Ln-ODA and Ln-IDA systems were fixed at 0.91 and 0.82 , respectively, during the fits. These $S_{0}{ }^{2}$ values were determined by using the spectra for the EuODA and Eu-IDA systems, the coordination number being assumed to be nine. Because a number of reliable structural studies of the EuODA and the Eu-IDA systems in aqueous solution indicates the nine coordinated europium complexes under the same condition as this work, as described previously. The fits were performed in two times for each sample: (i) the filtered EXAFS spectra of the 1st peak (ca. $2 \AA$ ) in the Fourier transforms (FTs) were fit using 1 shell. All the parameters except $S_{0}{ }^{2}$ were floated. (ii) the total EXAFS spectra were fit using above dominant path. The coordination number of each shell and the shift in threshold energy, $\Delta E_{0}$, was fixed on the basis of the results of (i). The 1st peak was fit using 2 shells ( O (cc) and $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{cc})$ or N ). The Debye-Waller factor, $\sigma$, and bond distance, $r$, were floated.

## 3. Results and Discussion

We first carried out the EXAFS fits about the pronounced 1st peak (ca. $2 \AA$ ) in the FTs of $k^{3}$-weighted EXAFS for the Ln-ODA and the Ln-IDA systems. This peak indicates the local structure around $\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{III})$, corresponding to the $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O}$ and/or $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{N}$ interactions. In this fit, the total coordination number of $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{III})$, and the average distance for the $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{cc})$ and $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{e})$ or $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds are determined. Table 1 shows the total coordination number and the average distance. The total coordination number of Ln (III) in both the tris ODA and tris IDA complexes changes from nine for the lighter $\operatorname{Ln}($ III $)$ to eight for the heavier $\operatorname{Ln}($ III $)$. The average distance of $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O}$ and/or $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds decreases with a decrease in the ionic radius. These trends are in good agreement with those for the aqua Ln (III) complexes (Yamaguchi, et al., 1988).


Figure 1
Raw Ln $\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{III}}$-edge $\mathrm{k}^{3}$-weighted EXAFS data (A) and corresponding FTs (B) for the tris ODA complexes. experimental data (solid line); theoretical fit (dotted line)

On the basis of the results of the 1 shell fits, the fits to the raw EXAFS spectra and corresponding FTs were performed using all the dominant paths. Figures 1 and 2 show the experimental data and the theoretical fits in the Ln-ODA and -IDA systems,
respectively. It has been shown that the lighter Ln complexes (NdGd ) are nine coordinated ones and the heavier Ln ones $(\mathrm{Tb}-\mathrm{Lu})$ are eight coordinated ones. Therefore, the number of $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{e})$ or N atoms was fixed at three for all the complexes, and that of the $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{cc})$ atoms was at six for the lighter ones and at five for the heavier Ln ones. The fitting results for the bond distances of $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{cc}), \mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{e})$ and $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{N}$ with ionic radius of $\mathrm{Ln}(\mathrm{III})$ (eight coordinated) (Shannon,


Figure 2
Raw Ln $\mathrm{L}_{\text {III }}$-edge $\mathrm{k}^{3}$-weighted EXAFS data (A) and corresponding FTs (B) for the tris IDA complexes. experimental data (solid line); theoretical fit (dotted line)
1976) are shown in Fig. 3. Two remarkable features are found: the $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{cc})$ bond distances are shorter than the $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{e}) /-\mathrm{N}$ ones; the $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{N}$ ones are longer than $\mathrm{Ln}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{e})$ ones. These trends are consistent with the analogous single crystal complexes (Albertson, 1970; Oskarsson, 1971).


Figure 3
The relation between the bond distances and ionic radius of $\operatorname{Ln}(\mathrm{III})$. Open circles: the tris ODA complexes. Closed circles: tris IDA complexes. DebyeWaller factor $\sigma^{2}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ :
The tris ODA comlexes ( O (carboxylate); O (ether)
$\mathrm{Nd}(0.003 ; 0.001) \mathrm{Sm}(0.003 ; 0.001) \mathrm{Eu}(0.005 ; 0.002) \operatorname{Gd}(0.004 ; 0.001) \mathrm{Tb}(0.002$; $0.001) \mathrm{Dy}(0.003 ; 0.001) \mathrm{Ho}(0.003 ; 0.001) \operatorname{Er}(0.003 ; 0.002) \mathrm{Tm}(0.003 ; 0.002) \mathrm{Yb}($ $0.002 ; 0.001) \mathrm{Lu}(0.005 ; 0.003)$
The tris IDA complexes ( O (carboxylate); N )
$\mathrm{Nd}(0.004 ; 0.001) \mathrm{Sm}(0.003 ; 0.001) \mathrm{Eu}(0.003 ; 0.001) \operatorname{Gd}(0.006 ; 0.003) \mathrm{Tb}(0.002$;
$0.001) \mathrm{Dy}(0.004 ; 0.001) \mathrm{Ho}(0.002 ; 0.001) \operatorname{Er}(0.003 ; 0.001) \mathrm{Tm}(0.003 ; 0.001) \mathrm{Yb}($ $0.003 ; 0.001) \mathrm{Lu}(0.004 ; 0.002)$
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