# Multiple ionization upon K-shell photoabsorption of the CO and N<sub>2</sub> molecules

# Victor Yavna, Alexey Nadolinsky, Alexey Hopersky and Svetlana Yavna

## Rostov State University of Transport Communications, Rostov-na-Donu, 344038 Russia. E-mail: pr1@rgups.ru

The spectra of multiple ionization of CO and N<sub>2</sub> in the gas phase in the region of the K-shell ionization thresholds of C and N are studied theoretically. The processes of additional excitation/ionization from  $1\pi$  and  $5\sigma$ -shells are included. The effects of many-electron correlations on the extention of multiplet structure for some configurations of multiple excitations is studied. Absolute values of photoabsorption cross sections are calculated in some of the channels of multiple ionization.

Keywords: photoabsorption, cross-section, multiple ionization

## 1. Introduction

The studies on the excitation/ionization spectra of the CO and  $N_2$  molecules carried out with the use of various experimental methods by Kay *et al.* (1977), Hitchcock *et al.* (1980), Schmidbauer *et al.* (1992), Shigemasa *et al.* (1993), Köppe *et al.* (1995), Kempgens *et al.* (1996) allowed to discover a complex fine structure of X-ray absorption in the region of carbon and nitrogen K-shell ionization thresholds.

The calculation by Padial et al. (1978) allowed assignment of the main feature in the experimental spectrum to one-electron  $\sigma$ resonance. Hitchcock et al. (1980), basing on comparison of the electron energy loss spectrum and X-ray photoelectron spectrum, supposed that some features in the spectrum may be connected with the states of multiple ionization. Kay et al. (1977) were the first to measure the absolute values of the carbon X-ray Kabsorption intensity in CO. The absolute values of the carbon and nitrogen photoabsorption cross sections in CO and N2 were measured recently by Köppe et al. (1995) and by Kempgens et al. (1996). The calculation of the intensity of processes of single and of several types of multiple excitation/ionization with taking monopole rearrangement of electron shells into account is performed by Bandarage et al. (1993), Schirmer et al. (1990), Yavna et al. (1994), Schirmer et al. (1991). Comparison of the results of theoretical and experimental studies allows one to conclude that a good agreement of the  $1\pi^{-1}2\pi^{1}(^{1,3}\Sigma^{+})m\sigma^{-1}\epsilon\gamma$ ionization spectra in CO (m=2) is obtained. The mechanism of formation of  $1\pi^{-1}2\pi^{1}(^{1,3}\Sigma^{-,1,3}\Delta)m\sigma^{-1}\epsilon\gamma$  and  $m\sigma^{-1}5\sigma^{-1}2\pi^{1}\epsilon\sigma$  – spectra of multiple excitation/ionization of CO had been investigated theoretically by Yavna et al. (1998). The aim of this study is to calculate the spectra of the N<sub>2</sub> molecule. We also show the CO spectra to for comparison.

## 2. Theory

In the calculation of  $|n\gamma\mu\chi\rangle$ -photoelectron wavefunctions, a onecentre expansion over the functions  $|n\gamma\mu\chi\rangle$  with fixed values of orbital quantum number l is used, where n is a principal quantum number,  $\gamma$  and  $\mu$  stand for the irreducible representation and its string by which the wavefunction is transformed and  $\chi$  is the spin part of the wavefunction:

$$|\eta\eta\mu\rangle = \sum_{l} a_{l}^{n\gamma} |\eta\mu\rangle = \sum_{l} a_{l}^{n\gamma} |\eta\mu\rangle = \sum_{l} a_{l}^{n\gamma} R_{l}^{n\gamma}(r) \cdot Y_{l}^{\eta\mu}(\vartheta,\varphi).$$

The main disadvantage of one-centre method is slow convergence of the series when calculating the wavefunctions in molecules with ligands heavier than hydrogen. In this work in calculation of the photoelectron wavefunction we employed the approximate method by Yavna *et al.* (1994) to calculate the series of functions  $|n\gamma\mu\rangle$  with large values of orbital quantum numbers. Within this method the terms  $|n\gamma\mu\rangle$ , starting from some large enough  $l_0$ , are substituted by respective terms of the wavefunctions of occupied states which were determined beforehand by numerical solution of a multi-centre problem (here and below, they are numbered with a subscript *i*). As a result, the photoelectron wavefunction assumes the form:

$$\left| n \gamma \mu \right\rangle = \sum_{l \leq l_0} a_l^{n\gamma} \left| n \gamma l \mu \right\rangle + \sum_i \alpha_i^n \sum_{l > l_0} a_l^{i\gamma} \left| i \gamma l \mu \right\rangle \cdot$$

The functions  $|n\gamma l\mu\rangle$  with  $l \le l_0$  are determined as expansions over basis set:

$$a_l^{n\gamma} | n\gamma l\mu \rangle = \sum_k a_{kl}^{n\gamma} | kl\gamma \mu \rangle + \sum_i \alpha_i^n a_l^{i\gamma} | i\gamma l\mu \rangle$$

The basic functions of discrete and continuous energy spectra  $|kl\gamma\mu\rangle$  with fixed values of orbital quantum numbers are obtained by numerical solution of one-electron Hartree-Fock equation:

 $(\hat{h} - \epsilon_{k\eta\mu}) \cdot |kt\eta\mu\rangle = 0$ , where  $\hat{h}$  is a system's Fockian. The system of algebraic equations of the type:

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{k} \sum_{i \leq l_{0}} a_{kl}^{n\gamma} \left\{ \left\langle k'l'\gamma\mu \right| \hat{h} \right| kl\gamma\mu \right\rangle - \varepsilon_{n\gamma} \delta_{kk'} \delta_{ll'} \right\} + \\ & \sum_{i} \alpha_{i}^{n} \sum_{l} a_{i}^{i\gamma} \left\langle k'l'\gamma\mu \right| i\gamma l\mu \right\rangle \left[ \varepsilon_{i} - \varepsilon_{n\gamma} \right] = 0; \\ & \sum_{k} \sum_{l \leq l_{0}} a_{kl}^{n\gamma} a_{l'}^{i\gamma} \left\langle i'\gamma l'\mu \right| kl\gamma\mu \right\rangle \left[ \varepsilon_{i'} - \varepsilon_{n\gamma} \right] \delta_{ll'} + \\ & \sum_{i} \alpha_{i}^{n} \left[ \sum_{ll'} a_{i}^{i\gamma} a_{l'}^{i\gamma} \left\langle i'\gamma l'\mu \right| \hat{h} i\gamma l\mu \right\rangle - \varepsilon_{n\gamma} \delta_{il'} \right] = 0; \end{split}$$

determines the coefficients  $\alpha_i^n$ ,  $a_{kl}^{n\gamma}$  and  $a_i^{n\gamma}$ .

To calculate the extent of the multiplet structure of  $m\sigma^{-1}n\gamma^{1}n_{1}\gamma_{1}$ -configuration in the Hartree-Fock approximation with respect to its centre of gravity, a secular equation is constructed employing the methods reported by Condon & Shortley (1949). The basis is formed of one-determinant wavefunctions with uncoupled one-electron momenta  $\left|m\sigma^{-1}n\gamma^{-1}n_{1}\gamma_{1}(\alpha_{i}M_{\Gamma}M_{S})\right\rangle$  where  $M_{\Gamma}$  and  $M_{S}$  stand for the line of irreducible representation and the projection of total spin momentum, *i* numbers the sets of quantum numbers for electron configurations ( $\alpha$ ). After solving the secular equation by the method of Yavna *et al.* (1998), the wavefunctions of terms are determined in the form:

$$\left| \Gamma SM_{\Gamma}M_{S},k \right\rangle = \sum_{i} a_{ki} (\Gamma S) \cdot \left| m \sigma^{-1} n \gamma^{-1} n_{1} \gamma_{1} (\alpha_{i} M_{\Gamma} M_{S}) \right\rangle,$$

where the subscript k numbers the terms of the configurations,  $a_{ki}$  are the configuration mixing coefficients,  $\Gamma$  and S are the irreducible representation and spin of the term, respectively.

Final state of the phototransition, which was obtained by combining the photoelectron function  $|\epsilon\gamma\mu\chi\rangle$  and the function  $|\Gamma SM_{\Gamma}M_{s},k\rangle$ , has the form:

$$\left|\Phi_{k}\left(\Gamma_{0}S_{0}=0\right)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\left|\Gamma SM_{\Gamma}M_{S}=\frac{1}{2},k\right\rangle\right|\epsilon\gamma\mu\chi=-\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle-\left|\Gamma SM_{\Gamma}M_{S}=-\frac{1}{2},k\right\rangle\left|\epsilon\gamma\mu\chi=\frac{1}{2}\right\rangle\right)$$





# Figure 1

Cross sections of  $1\pi^{-1}2\pi^{1}(^{3}\Sigma^{+})m\sigma^{-1}\epsilon\gamma$  ionization of CO (m=2) and N<sub>2</sub> (m=1). The experiment is shown with squares.



#### Figure 2

Cross sections of  $1\pi^{-1}2\pi^{1}({}^{1}\Sigma^{+})m\sigma^{-1}\epsilon\gamma$  ionization of the CO (m=2) and N<sub>2</sub> (m=1). The experiment is shown with squares.



# Figure 3

Cross sections of  $1\pi^{-1}2\pi^{1}({}^{1}\Sigma, {}^{3}\Sigma, {}^{1}\Delta, {}^{3}\Delta)m\sigma^{-1}\epsilon\gamma$  – ionization of CO (m=2) and N<sub>2</sub> (m=1). The experiment is shown with squares.



#### Figure 4

Cross sections of  $m\sigma^{-1}5\sigma^{-1}(^{3}\Sigma)2\pi^{1-2}\Pi\epsilon\sigma$  – ionization of CO (m=2) and  $N_{2}$  (m=1). The experiment is shown with squares.

where  $\Gamma_0$  and  $S_0$  are irreducible representation and spin of the final configuration term.

In this case the matrix element of the dipole transition operator is:

$$\begin{split} & \left( \Phi_{k} \left( \Gamma_{0} S_{0} = 0 \right) \left| \hat{D}_{q} \right| \boldsymbol{\phi} \right) = \sum_{i,q} \left[ a_{ki} \left( \alpha_{i} A_{q} + \beta_{i} B_{q} \right) \right]; \\ & A_{q} = N \Biggl[ \left\langle n_{1} \gamma_{i} \mu_{i} \left| \hat{d}_{q} \right| 2 \sigma \right\rangle - \sum_{k_{3} \gamma_{3} \mu_{3} \leq \ell} \frac{\left\langle n_{1} \gamma_{i} \mu_{i} \left| k_{3} \gamma_{3} \mu_{3} \right\rangle \left\langle k_{3} \gamma_{3} \mu_{3} \right| \hat{d}_{q} \right| 2 \sigma \right\rangle}{\left\langle k_{3} \gamma_{3} \mu_{3} \right| k_{3} \gamma_{3} \mu_{3}} \Biggr] \frac{\left\langle \varepsilon \gamma \mu_{i} n_{j} \mu_{i} \right\rangle}{\left\langle n_{j} \gamma_{i} \mu_{i} \right\rangle}; \\ & B_{q} = N \Biggl[ \left\langle \varepsilon \gamma \mu_{i} \hat{d}_{q} \right| 2 \sigma \right\rangle - \sum_{k_{3} \gamma_{3} \mu_{3} \leq \ell} \frac{\left\langle \varepsilon \gamma \mu_{i} k_{3} \gamma_{3} \mu_{3} \right\rangle \left\langle k_{3} \gamma_{3} \mu_{3} \right| \hat{d}_{q} \left| 2 \sigma \right\rangle}{\left\langle k_{3} \gamma_{3} \mu_{3} \right| k_{3} \gamma_{3} \mu_{3}} \Biggr] \frac{\left\langle \alpha_{j} \gamma_{i} \mu_{i} \right| n_{j} \mu_{j}}{\left\langle n_{j} \gamma_{i} \mu_{i} \right| n_{j} \mu_{j}}, \end{split}$$

where  $|\phi\rangle$  is the ground state of the molecule, *f* is the Fermi level,  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$  is the angular coefficients and *N* is the product of the overlap integrals of the wave functions of those electrons that do not take part in the transition.

# 3. Satellites of $2\sigma 1\pi$ - $2\pi\epsilon\gamma$ Excitation/Ionization

The appearance of  $\sigma$ -photoelectron is connected with the formation of  $1\pi^{-1}2\pi^{1}(\Sigma^{+}) m\sigma^{-1} \Sigma \varepsilon \sigma$ - and  $1\pi^{-1}2\pi^{1}(\Sigma^{+}) m\sigma^{-1} \Sigma \varepsilon \sigma$ terms. In the latter case the photoabsorption probability is close to zero. The possibility for final states connected with  $1\pi^{-1}2\pi^{1}(^{3}\Sigma^{+})m\sigma^{-1}$   $^{2}\Sigma\epsilon\sigma$  configuration is determined by the interaction of  $1\pi^{-1}2\pi^{1}(^{3}\Sigma^{+})m\sigma^{-1-2}\Sigma^{-}$  and  $m\sigma^{-1-2}\Sigma^{-}$  configurations. The values of radial part of the matrix element for the interaction are equal to 0.016 a.u. (CO) and 0.020 a.u. (N<sub>2</sub>). The contributions of  $m\sigma^{-1} \Sigma$  to the total vector of final state are 0.125 (CO) and 0.130 (N<sub>2</sub>). Calculated electron spectra caused by the transitions into the states of CO and N2 molecular residue terms  $1\sigma^{-1}1\pi^{-1}2\pi^{1}(\Sigma^{+})$  and  $1\sigma^{-1}1\pi^{-1}2\pi^{1}(\Sigma^{+})$  are compared with the experiment by Köppe et al. (1995), Kempgens et al. (1996) in Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively. The electron spectra connected with formation of terms  $1\pi^{-1}2\pi^{1}(1\Sigma^{-}, 3\Sigma^{-}, 1\Delta, 3\Delta)m\sigma^{-1}$  $^{1}\varepsilon\gamma$  were summed up because of the proximity of respective ionization thresholds. They are compared with the experiment by Köppe et al. (1995), Kempgens et al. (1996) in Figure 3. One should note that the contribution to the resulting theoretical curve from the process with the formation of the  $\Sigma^{-1}$ -term is about by one order of magnitude less than that from other terms.

## 4. Satellites of mo5o-2neo Excitation/Ionization

One-electron  $m\sigma$ - $2\pi$  transition can be accompanied by ejection of  $\sigma$ -symmetry core electrons without change of symmetry. In this work we study the processes of photoabsorption with the additional excitation of electrons from  $5\sigma$ -shells. According to dipole selection rules, allowed is the transition into the states with  $m\sigma^{-1}5\sigma^{-1}(^{3}\Sigma)2\pi^{1-2}\Pi$ - terms of molecular residue. The results of calculation of respective electron spectra are compared with the experiment by Köppe *et al.* (1995), Kempgens *et al.* (1996) in Figure 4.

## References

- Bandarage, G. & Lucchese, R.R. (1993). *Phys.Rev.A.* 47(3), 1989-2003.
- Condon E.U.& Shortley G.H. (1963). The theory of atomic spectra Cambridge Unuversity Press.
- Hitchcock, A.P. & Brion. C.E., (1980). J.Electron Spectrosc. Relat.Phenom. 18, 1-20.
- Kay, R.B., Van der Leew, Ph.E. & Van der Wiel, M.J. (1977). J.Phys.B: At.Mol.Phys. 10(12), 2513-2519.
- Kempgens, B., Kivimaki, A., Neeb, M., Köppe, H.M., Bradshaw, A.M. & Feldhaus, J. (1996). J.Phys.B. 29(22), 5389-5402.
- Köppe, H.M., Kilcoyne, A.L.D., Feldhaus, J. & Bradshaw, A.M. (1995). J.Electron Spectr. Relat. Phen. 75, 97-108.
- Padial, N., Csanak, G., McKoy, B.V. & Langhoff, P.W. (1978). J.Chem.Phys., 69(7), 2992-3004.
- Schirmer, J., Braunstein , M. & McKoy, V. (1991). Phys. Rev.A. 44(9), 5762-5772.
- Schirmer, J., Braunstein , M. & McKoy V. (1990). *Phys.Rev.A.* **41**(1), 283-300.
- Schmidbauer, M. Kilcoyne, A.L.D. Köppe, H.M. Feldhaus, J. & Bradshaw, A.M. (1992) Chem. Phys.Lett. 199(1,2), 119-123.
- Shigemasa, E., Hayashi, T., Sasaki, T. and Yagishita, A. (1993). *Phys. Rev.A* 47(3), 1824-1829.

Yavna, V.A., Nadolinsky, A.M. & Demekhin, V.F. (1994). J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 68, 267-275.

Yavna, V.A., Nadolinsky, A.M. & Hopersky, A.N. (1998). J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 94, 49-57.