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# Crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface of a pentaaminecopper(II) complex with urea and chloride 
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The reaction of copper(II) oxalate and hexamethylenetetramine in a deep eutectic solvent made of urea and choline chloride produced crystals of pentaaminecopper(II) dichloride-urea (1/1), $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}$, which was characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The complex contains discrete pentaaminecopper(II) units in a square-based pyramidal geometry. The overall structure of the multi-component crystal is dictated by hydrogen bonding between urea molecules and amine H atoms with chloride anions.

## 1. Chemical context

Copper oxalate, $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{ox})$, is primarily a synthetic compound that has been the subject of much research and can also be found naturally as the mineral moolooite (Clarke \& Williams, 1986). It has been examined as a potential precursor to forming copper oxide particles with controlled morphologies (Rahimi-Nasrabadi et al., 2013) and has been the subject of thorough investigation of its structure (Fichtner-Schmittler, 1984; O’Connor et al., 2019; Kornyakov et al., 2023). Unlike other first row transition-metal oxalate compounds, which form mainly as dihydrates, copper oxalate forms as anhydrous chains with chemisorbed water on the particle's surface, with the amount of water being dependent on the reaction conditions. The amount of water present also contributes to disorder (O'Connor et al., 2019; Kornyakov et al., 2023). Copper oxalate forms as a microcrystalline powder so we were interested in investigating the use of alternative solvents that could allow for the synthesis of single crystals of anhydrous copper oxalate compounds.

Ionic liquids have been used to tune reaction conditions such as solubility and have also been utilized in the synthesis of coordination compounds to help with templating of the structure (Dybtsev et al., 2004). However, cost can be a prohibitive factor in their use and so deep eutectic solvents, mixtures that have melting points drastically lower than the individual components, can be a cheaper alternative (Zhang et al., 2009). Choline chloride and urea are commonly used as a deep eutectic solvent due to the melting point of 285 K for the eutectic mixture, which is considerably lower than the components' own, with melting points of 575 and 406 K , respectively (Abbott et al., 2003). In the presence of hexamethylenetetramine the solvent allows copper oxalate to be dissolved when heated. With this in mind, the investigation of copper compounds that could form from deep eutectic solvents was carried out with the intent of forming crystalline anhydrous copper oxalate compounds. In this particular case,
while this did not occur, we have obtained a copper(II) complex with ammonia ligands, urea and chloride, $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right]$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CO}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{2}$.




The formation of this copper(II) complex is thought to occur through the decomposition of urea to release ammonia, which is the source of the ligands. Pentaaminecopper(II) complexes are known to form when excess ammonia is present (Cotton \& Wilkinson, 1972). Ammonia out-competes choline, chloride and urea as a ligand for copper(II), resulting in the pentaaminecopper(II) complex. Despite this, pentaaminecopper(II) complexes rarely crystallize, likely due to the volatility of the ammonia ligand.

## 2. Structural commentary

This multi-component crystal (MCC) crystallizes in the $P 2_{1} / n$ setting of the space group $P 2_{1} / c$ (no. 14). The asymmetric unit (ASU) contains one copper(II) ion coordinated by five ammonia ligands, one urea molecule and two chloride anions (Fig. 1). The copper ion shows a slightly distorted squarebased pyramidal geometry, with four of the ammonia ligands lying on a distorted square plane $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{eq}}\right)$ and one ammonia forming the vertex $\left(\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ax}}\right)$. The equatorial $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{N} 14)$ bond lengths range from 2.0313 (19) to 2.050 (2) $\AA$ with an average of $2.039 \AA$. The axial $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} 15$ bond is longer than the equatorial $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{N} 14)$ bonds due to anti-bonding

Cl41


Figure 1
Asymmetric unit of the pentaaminecopper complex showing the atomlabelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $75 \%$ probability level.

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA,^{\circ}\right)$.

| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 11$ | $2.039(2)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 14$ | $2.0339(19)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 12$ | $2.0313(19)$ | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 15$ | $2.2107(19)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 13$ | $2.050(2)$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 15$ | $98.65(7)$ | $\mathrm{N} 13-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 15$ | $91.61(7)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 12-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 15$ | $99.60(8)$ | $\mathrm{N} 14-\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 15$ | $94.55(8)$ |

Table 2
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA,{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | D-H | H $\cdots A$ | D $\cdots$ A | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{H} 11 A \cdots \mathrm{Cl41}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.91 | 2.79 | 3.509 (2) | 137 |
| $\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{H} 11 B \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 31$ | 0.91 | 2.54 | 3.443 (2) | 170 |
|  | 0.91 | 2.82 | 3.541 (2) | 137 |
| $\mathrm{N} 12-\mathrm{H} 12 A \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 31{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 0.91 | 2.57 | 3.461 (2) | 167 |
| N12-H12B $\ldots \mathrm{Cl} 41^{\text {i }}$ | 0.91 | 2.67 | 3.4273 (19) | 142 |
| $\mathrm{N} 13-\mathrm{H} 13 A \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 31{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.91 | 2.49 | 3.381 (2) | 166 |
| N13-H13C $\cdots$ Cl31 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 0.91 | 2.69 | 3.4752 (15) | 145 |
| N14-H14A . . $\mathrm{Cl}^{\text {3 }} 1^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.91 | 2.56 | 3.430 (2) | 160 |
| N14-H14C...Cl41 | 0.91 | 2.55 | 3.418 (2) | 159 |
| N15-H15A . . $\mathrm{Cl}^{\text {3 }} 1^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.91 | 2.68 | 3.530 (2) | 157 |
| $\mathrm{N} 21-\mathrm{H} 21 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 23^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.79 (3) | 2.11 (3) | 2.893 (3) | 172 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N} 21-\mathrm{H} 21 B \cdots \mathrm{Cl41}{ }^{\text {v }}$ | 0.87 (3) | 2.50 (3) | 3.315 (2) | 158 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 24-\mathrm{H} 24 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 23^{\text {vi }}$ | 0.81 (3) | 2.10 (3) | 2.906 (3) | 173 (3) |
| N24-H24B $\cdots \mathrm{Cl}^{\text {d }}{ }^{\text {v }}$ | 0.88 (3) | 2.50 (3) | 3.319 (2) | 157 (3) |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+\frac{3}{2}, y+\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $-x+\frac{3}{2}, y-\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{1}{2}$; (iii)
$x-\frac{1}{2},-y+\frac{3}{2}, z-\frac{1}{2} ; \quad$ (iv) $\quad-x+\frac{1}{2}, y-\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{1}{2} ; \quad$ (v) $\quad x-\frac{1}{2},-y+\frac{3}{2}, z+\frac{1}{2} ; \quad$ (vi)
$-x+\frac{1}{2}, y+\frac{1}{2},-z+\frac{1}{2}$.
electron density down the axial axis (Halcrow, 2013), with a bond length of 2.2107 (19) $\AA$ (Table 1). The bond angles between the $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{eq}}-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{ax}}$ are slightly above $90^{\circ}$ and range from 91.61 (7) to $99.60(8)^{\circ}$ (Table 1). There are no atoms within the van der Waals radius of the copper at the basal site with the nearest species being the hydrogen atoms on another pentaaminecopper(II) complex (Fig. 2). This nearest hydrogen atom is located $3.091 \AA$ away, confirming the square-based pyramidal geometry of the complex.

## 3. Supramolecular features

Each pentaaminecopper(II) complex forms $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ contacts to neighbouring chloride ions. Cl 31 forms contacts to


Figure 2
Geometry of the pentaaminecopper(II) complex, with view of the basal site shown confirming the square-based pyramidal geometry


Figure 3
Crystal packing viewed down the $b$ axis.
four different pentaaminecopper(II) complexes and Cl41 forms contacts to three different pentaaminecopper(II) complexes to create a repeating array of copper ions down the $b$ axis (Fig. 3). These hydrogen bonds have an average $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ distance of $3.453 \AA$ and range from 3.381 (2) to 3.541 (2) $\AA$ (Table 2). The urea molecules also form $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 41$ contacts with an average $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 41$ distance of $3.317 \AA$ (Table 2). The hydrogens on the urea molecule which do not hydrogen bond with chloride ions instead form hydrogen bonds with other urea molecules with an average $\mathrm{N} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ distance of $2.900 \AA$ (Table 2). The urea molecules form a ribbon down the $b$ axis between copper ions with every other urea molecule facing an alternate direction along the $c$ axis (Fig. 4).

The Hirshfeld surface analysis (Fig. 5) and two-dimensional fingerprint plots (Fig. 6) were calculated using Crystal Explorer 17 (Spackman et al., 2021). The colours of the surface relate to the distance of the contacts with red surfaces indicating contacts shorter than the van der Waals radii, white surfaces indicating contacts near the van der Waals radii and


Figure 4
Hydrogen bonding (indicated by the orange dashed lines) between urea molecules and chloride ions viewed down the $a$ axis. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $75 \%$ probability level.


Figure 5
Hirshfeld surface of pentaamine copper complex mapped over $d_{\text {norm }}$
blue surfaces indicating contacts longer than the van der Waals radii. $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds between urea molecules, $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ hydrogen bonds between chloride and ammonia, and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ hydrogen bonds between chloride and urea are indicated by red surfaces. H. . H contacts ( $43.1 \%$ ) and $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ contacts ( $42.2 \%$ ) make up the bulk of the contribution to the Hirshfeld surface while $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ contacts contribute $9.9 \%$.


Figure 6
Two-dimensional fingerprint plots showing: (a) all interactions, (b) $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{Cl} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ contacts, (c) $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ contacts and $(d) \mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ contacts.

## 4. Database survey

There are few pentaaminecopper(II) complexes present in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD version 5.43, November 2021 update; Groom et al., 2016) with five reported in total, of which only three displayed square-based pyramidal geometry (refcode: BAWLES, Mironov et al., 2012; refcode: BAWLOC, Mironov et al., 2012 and refcode: ONEVIN, Mironov et al., 2011). Interestingly, in one of these entries the MCC contains pentaaminecopper(II) complexes in both square-based pyramidal and trigonal-bipyramidal geometry (refcode: BAWLES, Mironov et al., 2012). These complexes are unusual given that axial bond elongation should result in the axial ligands being more labile. Coupled with ammonia being a volatile ligand this likely gives rise to the rarity of these complexes in the solid state. Due to the paucity of these complexes in the literature, similar complexes were examined where at least three ammonia ligands were present and where one of those was present in the axial position. This only resulted in one additional complex being found, a triamine(ethylenediamine)copper(II) complex (refcode: GAFYET, Mironov et al., 2008). In each of these examples, the copper complex was the cationic counterpart to an anionic cluster. Additionally, in the copper complexes the axial $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cu}$ bond was always longer than the equatorial $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cu}$ bond, which is consistent with what has been found in our complex. The literature equatorial $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cu}$ bonds ranged in value from 1.98 to $2.06 \AA$ with an average of $2.04 \AA$, while the axial $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cu}$ bonds were longer and ranged in value from 2.24 to $2.33 \AA$ with an average of 2.28 A.

## 5. Synthesis and crystallization

Choline chloride ( $1.3963 \mathrm{~g}, 10.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and urea ( 1.2058 g , 20.0 mmol ) were mixed and heated to 333 K until a homogenous liquid formed. Copper(II) oxalate ( $0.1682 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the liquid with stirring to form a suspension. The mixture was poured into a Teflon-lined autoclave and hexamethylenetetramine ( $0.0690 \mathrm{~g}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The autoclave was closed and placed in an oven at 393 K for 48 h before cooling to room temperature over 12 h . The resulting blue liquid was poured into a vial and was capped and was left undisturbed for several months to produce dark-blue needles up to 3 mm in length. Due to the large size of these crystals they were cut to a block shape to be mounted on the diffractometer. Attempts to isolate the crystals were unsuccessful due to the solvent being too viscous to filter and attempts to dilute this with water or alcohol resulted in dissolution of the crystals. A yield of 10 mg was estimated from the crystal size and density.

## 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarised in Table 3. Urea hydrogen atoms were located in a difference-Fourier map and refined freely. Ammonia hydrogens could be located in a difference-Fourier map but

Table 3
Experimental details.
Crystal data

| Chemical formula | $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $M_{\text {r }}$ | 279.67 |
| Crystal system, space group | Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$ |
| Temperature (K) | 100 |
| $a, b, c(\AA)$ | 12.2214 (2), 7.0230 (1), 13.0537 (3) |
| $\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | 94.511 (2) |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 1116.94 (4) |
| Z | 4 |
| Radiation type | $\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 7.01 |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.45 \times 0.22 \times 0.12$ |
| Data collection |  |
| Diffractometer | SuperNova, Dual, Cu at home/ near, Atlas |
| Absorption correction | Multi-scan (CrysAlis PRO; Rigaku OD, 2021) |
| $T_{\text {min }}, T_{\text {max }}$ | 0.229, 1.000 |
| No. of measured, independent and observed $[I>2 \sigma(I)]$ reflections | 12732, 1977, 1835 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.059 |
| $(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max }\left(\AA^{-1}\right)$ | 0.595 |
| Refinement |  |
| $R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right], w R\left(F^{2}\right), S$ | 0.033, 0.092, 1.08 |
| No. of reflections | 1977 |
| No. of parameters | 130 |
| H -atom treatment | H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement |
| $\Delta \rho_{\max }, \Delta \rho_{\text {min }}\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$ | 0.67, -0.80 |

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku OD, 2021), SHELXT2018/2 (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2015b) and OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009).
free refinement of them was unstable so they were positioned geometrically and refined as riding with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{N})$ for $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ hydrogen atoms.
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## Crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface of a pentaaminecopper(II) complex with urea and chloride

Olivia D. Breen and Tony D. Keene

## Computing details

Pentaaminecopper(II) dichloride-urea (1/1)

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right)_{5}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=279.67$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$
$a=12.2214$ (2) $\AA$
$b=7.0230(1) \AA$
$c=13.0537(3) \AA$
$\beta=94.511$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=1116.94$ (4) $\AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

## Data collection

SuperNova, Dual, Cu at home/near, Atlas diffractometer
Radiation source: micro-focus sealed X-ray tube, SuperNova (Cu) X-ray Source
Mirror monochromator
Detector resolution: 10.3196 pixels $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
$\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(CrysAlisPro; Rigaku OD, 2021)

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.033$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.092$
$S=1.08$
1977 reflections
130 parameters
0 restraints
$F(000)=580$
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.663 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=1.54184 \AA$
Cell parameters from 8370 reflections
$\theta=4.8-66.6^{\circ}$
$\mu=7.01 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=100 \mathrm{~K}$
Block, blue
$0.45 \times 0.22 \times 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}$
$T_{\text {min }}=0.229, T_{\text {max }}=1.000$
12732 measured reflections
1977 independent reflections
1835 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.059$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=66.6^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=4.8^{\circ}$
$h=-14 \rightarrow 14$
$k=-7 \rightarrow 8$
$l=-15 \rightarrow 15$

Hydrogen site location: mixed
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)+(0.0515 P)^{2}+1.0977 P\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{0}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}=0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\max }=0.67 \mathrm{e}^{-3} \AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.80 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

## Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\hat{A}^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\mathrm{iso}}{ }^{*} / U_{\mathrm{eq}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cu1 | $0.58604(3)$ | $0.73373(4)$ | $0.21577(2)$ | $0.00720(15)$ |
| N12 | $0.48687(15)$ | $0.9643(3)$ | $0.19244(13)$ | $0.0121(4)$ |
| H12A | 0.517997 | 1.048085 | 0.150183 | $0.015^{*}$ |
| H12B | 0.477733 | 1.021555 | 0.253724 | $0.015^{*}$ |
| H12C | 0.420444 | 0.926610 | 0.162954 | $0.015^{*}$ |
| N15 | $0.46406(15)$ | $0.5045(3)$ | $0.18411(14)$ | $0.0127(4)$ |
| H15A | 0.492053 | 0.415157 | 0.142989 | $0.015^{*}$ |
| H15B | 0.401766 | 0.554421 | 0.151974 | $0.015^{*}$ |
| H15C | 0.448160 | 0.449703 | 0.244330 | $0.015^{*}$ |
| N11 | $0.58082(18)$ | $0.7487(3)$ | $0.37130(16)$ | $0.0133(5)$ |
| H11A | 0.543429 | 0.855049 | 0.387772 | $0.016^{*}$ |
| H11B | 0.650406 | 0.754010 | 0.401582 | $0.016^{*}$ |
| H11C | 0.546287 | 0.643803 | 0.393945 | $0.016^{*}$ |
| N13 | $0.61575(18)$ | $0.7501(2)$ | $0.06366(15)$ | $0.0137(5)$ |
| H13A | 0.550830 | 0.753527 | 0.024449 | $0.016^{*}$ |
| H13B | 0.654939 | 0.646477 | 0.046280 | $0.016^{*}$ |
| H13C | 0.654659 | 0.857730 | 0.052718 | $0.016^{*}$ |
| N14 | $0.71579(15)$ | $0.5531(3)$ | $0.23815(14)$ | $0.0169(4)$ |
| H14A | 0.703349 | 0.447470 | 0.198543 | $0.020^{*}$ |
| H14B | 0.724294 | 0.518588 | 0.305504 | $0.020^{*}$ |
| H14C | 0.777763 | 0.612328 | 0.220417 | $0.020^{*}$ |
| C131 | $0.85423(5)$ | $0.74020(7)$ | $0.45789(4)$ | $0.01096(18)$ |
| C141 | $0.91879(5)$ | $0.74644(7)$ | $0.10532(4)$ | $0.01357(18)$ |
| O23 | $0.25100(15)$ | $0.7563(2)$ | $0.23770(13)$ | $0.0139(4)$ |
| N24 | $0.31771(16)$ | $0.9190(3)$ | $0.37916(15)$ | $0.0131(4)$ |
| N21 | $0.30606(17)$ | $0.5930(3)$ | $0.38261(15)$ | $0.0140(4)$ |
| C22 | $0.2895(2)$ | $0.7560(3)$ | $0.32963(19)$ | $0.0089(5)$ |
| H21A | $0.290(2)$ | $0.495(4)$ | $0.355(2)$ | $0.011(7)^{*}$ |
| H24A | $0.302(2)$ | $1.018(5)$ | $0.350(2)$ | $0.016(7)^{*}$ |
| H21B | $0.333(2)$ | $0.601(4)$ | $0.446(2)$ | $0.020(7)^{*}$ |
| H24B | $0.346(2)$ | $0.912(5)$ | $0.443(2)$ | $0.026(8)^{*}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cu 1 | $0.0095(2)$ | $0.0032(2)$ | $0.0089(2)$ | $0.00087(11)$ | $0.00069(14)$ | $0.00008(10)$ |
| N 12 | $0.0148(9)$ | $0.0080(10)$ | $0.0138(8)$ | $0.0009(8)$ | $0.0024(7)$ | $0.0003(7)$ |
| N 15 | $0.0150(9)$ | $0.0066(10)$ | $0.0167(9)$ | $-0.0004(7)$ | $0.0029(7)$ | $-0.0011(7)$ |
| N 11 | $0.0152(11)$ | $0.0122(12)$ | $0.0127(10)$ | $0.0028(7)$ | $0.0020(8)$ | $0.0009(6)$ |


| N13 | $0.0154(11)$ | $0.0123(12)$ | $0.0138(10)$ | $0.0016(7)$ | $0.0036(8)$ | $-0.0002(7)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N14 | $0.0189(9)$ | $0.0114(11)$ | $0.0201(9)$ | $0.0050(8)$ | $-0.0009(7)$ | $-0.0008(8)$ |
| C131 | $0.0126(3)$ | $0.0075(3)$ | $0.0126(3)$ | $-0.00014(17)$ | $0.0000(2)$ | $0.00015(17)$ |
| C141 | $0.0218(3)$ | $0.0075(3)$ | $0.0110(3)$ | $0.00044(18)$ | $-0.0010(2)$ | $0.00017(17)$ |
| O23 | $0.0231(10)$ | $0.0078(10)$ | $0.0102(8)$ | $0.0021(6)$ | $-0.0026(7)$ | $-0.0006(5)$ |
| N24 | $0.0235(10)$ | $0.0031(10)$ | $0.0122(9)$ | $0.0020(8)$ | $-0.0025(7)$ | $0.0002(8)$ |
| N21 | $0.0269(11)$ | $0.0036(10)$ | $0.0111(9)$ | $-0.0021(8)$ | $-0.0020(7)$ | $-0.0010(8)$ |
| C22 | $0.0086(11)$ | $0.0057(13)$ | $0.0130(11)$ | $0.0017(7)$ | $0.0036(9)$ | $-0.0008(7)$ |

## Geometric parameters ( $A,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 11$ | 2.039 (2) | N13-H13A | 0.9100 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cu1-N12 | 2.0313 (19) | N13-H13B | 0.9100 |
| Cu1-N13 | 2.050 (2) | N13-H13C | 0.9100 |
| Cu1-N14 | 2.0339 (19) | N14-H14A | 0.9100 |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 15$ | 2.2107 (19) | N14-H14B | 0.9100 |
| N12-H12A | 0.9100 | N14-H14C | 0.9100 |
| N12-H12B | 0.9100 | O23-C22 | 1.254 (3) |
| N12-H12C | 0.9100 | N24-C22 | 1.346 (3) |
| N15-H15A | 0.9100 | N24-H24A | 0.81 (3) |
| N15-H15B | 0.9100 | N24-H24B | 0.87 (3) |
| N15-H15C | 0.9100 | N21-C22 | 1.344 (3) |
| N11-H11A | 0.9100 | N21-H21A | 0.80 (3) |
| N11-H11B | 0.9100 | N21-H21B | 0.87 (3) |
| N11-H11C | 0.9100 |  |  |
| N11-Cu1-N15 | 98.65 (7) | H11A-N11-H11B | 109.5 |
| N12-Cu1-N15 | 99.60 (8) | H11A-N11-H11C | 109.5 |
| N13-Cul-N15 | 91.61 (7) | H11B-N11-H11C | 109.5 |
| N14-Cul-N15 | 94.55 (8) | $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} 13-\mathrm{H} 13 \mathrm{~A}$ | 109.5 |
| N12-Cu1-N11 | 92.47 (7) | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 13-\mathrm{H} 13 \mathrm{~B}$ | 109.5 |
| N12-Cu1-N13 | 87.65 (7) | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 13-\mathrm{H} 13 \mathrm{C}$ | 109.5 |
| N12-Cu1-N14 | 165.49 (8) | H13A-N13-H13B | 109.5 |
| N11-Cu1-N13 | 169.57 (8) | H13A-N13-H13C | 109.5 |
| N14-Cu1-N11 | 88.53 (8) | H13B-N13-H13C | 109.5 |
| N14-Cu1-N13 | 88.78 (8) | $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} 14-\mathrm{H} 14 \mathrm{~A}$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 12-\mathrm{H} 12 \mathrm{~A}$ | 109.5 | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 14-\mathrm{H} 14 \mathrm{~B}$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 12-\mathrm{H} 12 \mathrm{~B}$ | 109.5 | $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 14-\mathrm{H} 14 \mathrm{C}$ | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 12-\mathrm{H} 12 \mathrm{C}$ | 109.5 | H14A-N14-H14B | 109.5 |
| H12A-N12-H12B | 109.5 | H14A-N14-H14C | 109.5 |
| H12A-N12-H12C | 109.5 | H14B-N14-H14C | 109.5 |
| H12B-N12-H12C | 109.5 | $\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{N} 24-\mathrm{H} 24 \mathrm{~A}$ | 118 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} 15-\mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{~A}$ | 109.5 | $\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{N} 24-\mathrm{H} 24 \mathrm{~B}$ | 118 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 15-\mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{~B}$ | 109.5 | H24A-N24-H24B | 124 (3) |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 15-\mathrm{H} 15 \mathrm{C}$ | 109.5 | $\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{N} 21-\mathrm{H} 21 \mathrm{~A}$ | 118.7 (19) |
| H15A-N15-H15B | 109.5 | $\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{N} 21-\mathrm{H} 21 \mathrm{~B}$ | 118 (2) |
| H15A-N15-H15C | 109.5 | H21A-N21-H21B | 124 (3) |
| H15B-N15-H15C | 109.5 | $\mathrm{O} 23-\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{N} 24$ | 121.39 (19) |

## supporting information

| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{H} 11 \mathrm{~A}$ | 109.5 | $\mathrm{O} 23-\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{N} 21$ | $121.60(19)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{H} 11 \mathrm{~B}$ | 109.5 | $\mathrm{~N} 21-\mathrm{C} 22-\mathrm{N} 24$ | $117.0(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{H} 11 \mathrm{C}$ | 109.5 |  |  |

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $A,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | D-H | $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{A}$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{H} 11 A^{\cdots} \mathrm{Cl}^{\text {l }}{ }^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.91 | 2.79 | 3.509 (2) | 137 |
| $\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{H} 11 B \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 31$ | 0.91 | 2.54 | 3.443 (2) | 170 |
| $\mathrm{N} 11-\mathrm{H} 11 C^{\cdots} \mathrm{Cl41} 1^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.91 | 2.82 | 3.541 (2) | 137 |
| $\mathrm{N} 12-\mathrm{H} 12 A \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 31{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 0.91 | 2.57 | 3.461 (2) | 167 |
| $\mathrm{N} 12-\mathrm{H} 12 B \cdots{ }^{-} \mathrm{Cl}^{1}{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 0.91 | 2.67 | 3.4273 (19) | 142 |
| $\mathrm{N} 13-\mathrm{H} 13 A \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 31{ }^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.91 | 2.49 | 3.381 (2) | 166 |
| $\mathrm{N} 13-\mathrm{H} 13 C \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 31{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 0.91 | 2.69 | 3.4752 (15) | 145 |
| $\mathrm{N} 14-\mathrm{H} 14 A \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 31{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.91 | 2.56 | 3.430 (2) | 160 |
| N14-H14C $\cdots$ Cl41 | 0.91 | 2.55 | 3.418 (2) | 159 |
| $\mathrm{N} 15-\mathrm{H} 15 A \cdots \mathrm{Cl} 31{ }^{\text {ii }}$ | 0.91 | 2.68 | 3.530 (2) | 157 |
| $\mathrm{N} 21-\mathrm{H} 21 A^{\cdots} \mathrm{O} 23^{\text {iv }}$ | 0.79 (3) | 2.11 (3) | 2.893 (3) | 172 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N} 21-\mathrm{H} 21 B \cdots \mathrm{Cl41}{ }^{\text {v }}$ | 0.87 (3) | 2.50 (3) | 3.315 (2) | 158 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 24-\mathrm{H} 24 A \cdots \mathrm{O} 23{ }^{\text {vi }}$ | 0.81 (3) | 2.10 (3) | 2.906 (3) | 173 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N} 24-\mathrm{H} 24 B \cdots \mathrm{Cl41}{ }^{\text {v }}$ | 0.88 (3) | 2.50 (3) | 3.319 (2) | 157 (3) |

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+3 / 2, y+1 / 2,-z+1 / 2$; (ii) $-x+3 / 2, y-1 / 2,-z+1 / 2$; (iii) $x-1 / 2,-y+3 / 2, z-1 / 2$; (iv) $-x+1 / 2, y-1 / 2,-z+1 / 2$; (v) $x-1 / 2,-y+3 / 2, z+1 / 2$; (vi) $-x+1 / 2, y+1 / 2,-z+1 / 2$.

