

Received 17 October 2023 Accepted 25 October 2023

Edited by M. Weil, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Keywords: o-Phenylenediamine; 1,5-naphthalenedisulfonic acid; crystal structure; intermolecular interactions; hydrogen bonding; Hirshfeld surface.

CCDC reference: 2303464

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



Jabbor R Suyunov,^a Khayit Kh. Turaev,^a Bekmurod Kh. Alimnazarov,^a Yusuf E. Nazarov,^a Islombek J. Mengnorov,^b Bakhtiyar T. Ibragimov^b and Jamshid M. Ashurov^b*

^aTermez State University, "Barkamol avlod", at street, 43., Termez city, Uzbekistan, and ^bInstitute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Academy of Sciences of Uzbekistan, 100125, M. Ulugbek Str 83, Tashkent, Uzbekistan. *Correspondence e-mail: ashurovjamshid1@gmail.com

The reaction of *o*-phenylenediamine (OPD), sodium naphthalene1,5-disulfonate (Na₂NDS) and nickel sulfate in an ethanol-water mixture vielded the title compound, $[Ni(OPD)_2(H_2O)_2]$ ·NDS or $[Ni(C_6H_8N_2)_2(H_2O)_2](C_{10}H_6O_6S_2)$. This salt consists of a complex $[Ni(OPD)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ cation with two bidentate OPD ligands and *trans* aqua ligands, and a non-coordinating NDS²⁻ anion, which is the double-deprotonated form of H₂NDS. The Ni^{II} atom is situated at a center of inversion and exhibits a slightly tetragonally distorted {O₂N₄} octahedral coordination environment, with four shorter equatorial Ni-N bonds [2.0775 (17) and 2.0924 (18) Å] and a longer axial Ni–O bond [2.1381 (17) Å]. The OPD ligand is located about an inversion center and is nearly coplanar with the NiN₄ plane [dihedral angle 0.95 (9)°]. In the crystal, the cations and anions are connected by charge-assisted intermolecular N-H···O and O-H···O hydrogen-bonding interactions into the tri-periodic network structure. Hirshfeld surface analysis indicates that the most important contributions to the crystal packing are from $H \cdots H$ (44.1%), $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ (34.3%), $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ (14.8%) $C \cdots C$ (6.5%) (involving the cations) and $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ (50%), $H \cdots H$ (25%), $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ (15.3%), $C \cdots C$ (8.2%) (involving the anions) interactions.

1. Chemical context

o-Phenylenediamine (OPD) condenses with ketones and aldehydes to a variety of useful products. Its reactions with carboxylic acids and their derivatives produce the important class of benzimidazoles (Vishvanath & Ketan, 2014; Aniket et al., 2015; Pardeshi & Thore, 2015). Hence, OPD is commonly used in various industrial processes, including the production of dyes, polymers and the synthesis of fungicides, corrosion inhibitors, pigments, and pharmaceuticals (Abdullah et al., 2019; Sagasser et al., 2019; Pisarevskaya et al., 2020; Jadoun et al., 2021). It also exhibits electrical conductivity and is used in the production of conductive materials, such as sensors and batteries (Sayyah et al., 2009; Bottari et al., 2020). OPD is also a versatile ligand in coordination chemistry. It forms complexes with different metal ions, such as lanthanides (Koroteev et al., 2020), zinc (González Guillen et al., 2018; Zick & Geiger, 2016), cobalt (Ngopoh et al., 2015; Konieczny et al., 2019), copper (Djebli et al., 2012; Chakraborty et al., 2014), cadmium (González Guillen et al., 2018) or nickel (Sabbani & Das, 2009; Lu et al., 2009; Willett et al., 2012; Adhikari et al., 2021).

Compounds comprising 1,5-naphthalenedisulfonic acid (H_2NDS) or its deprotonated form (sulfonates) are of interest

research communications

in supramolecular chemistry (Shi *et al.*, 2014; Xu *et al.*, 2019; Chen *et al.*, 2020), because the sulfonate group can accept up to six hydrogen bonds (Chen *et al.*, 2020; Oh *et al.*, 2020; Chen *et al.*, 2022). H₂NDS can react with organic compounds under formation of organic cations and the NDS^{2–} anion, or with metal compounds either under formation of non-coordinating NDS^{2–} anions, or with NDS^{2–} as a ligand (Huo *et al.*, 2005; Kokunov *et al.*, 2015). As a ligand, NDS^{2–} can bind in a bridging mode (Lian & Qu, 2013; Das *et al.*, 2015; Tai *et al.*, 2015).



In this work, we focus on the synthesis, crystal structure, and Hirshfeld surface analysis of a nickel(II) complex, $[Ni(OPD)_2(H_2O)_2]$ ·NDS, where the NDS²⁻ anion is not part of the metal coordination sphere.

2. Structural commentary

The structures of the molecular entities of the title compound are shown in Fig. 1. This salt consists of an Ni^{II}-centered complex cation with two bidentate OPD ligands and *trans*aligned aqua ligands, as well as of a non-coordinating NDS^{2–} anion, which is the double-deprotonated form of H₂NDS. The Ni^{II} atom is situated at a crystallographic inversion center (Wyckoff letter *d* of space group $P2_1/n$) and exhibits a slightly tetragonally distorted {O₂N₄} octahedral coordination environment, with two pairs of shorter equatorial Ni–N bonds [2.0775 (17) and 2.0924 (18) Å] and a pair of longer axial



Figure 1

The structures of the molecular entities in the title salt, showing the atomlabeling scheme and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radius and hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines. [Symmetry codes: (i) 2 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z; (ii) 1 - x, 2 - y, 1 - z.]

Table 1				
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).	

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N1-H1A\cdots O2^{i}$	0.89(1)	2.48 (2)	3.268 (3)	148 (3)
$N1 - H1B \cdot \cdot \cdot O2^{ii}$	0.89(1)	2.18(1)	3.066 (3)	175 (3)
$N2-H2A\cdots O1$	0.88(1)	2.10(1)	2.941 (2)	160 (3)
$N2-H2B\cdots O3^{iii}$	0.89(1)	2.06(1)	2.908 (3)	158 (2)
$O1W-H1WA\cdots O3^{iv}$	0.85(1)	2.12 (2)	2.876 (3)	148 (3)
$O1W-H1WB\cdots O1$	0.85 (1)	2.04 (2)	2.803 (2)	150 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y - 1, z; (ii) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iii) $x + \frac{1}{2}$, $-y + \frac{3}{2}$, $z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iv) $-x + \frac{3}{2}$, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Ni–O bonds [2.1381 (17) Å]. The OPD ligand, likewise located over a crystallographic inversion center at the middle of the central C11–C11(-x + 1, -y + 2, -z + 1) bond, is almost coplanar with the NiN₄ plane, with a dihedral angle of 0.95 (9)°. The deviation of the ideal octahedral coordination sphere around nickel might be explained as follows: The inflexible nature of the OPDA ring system with an N···N distance between the amino groups of 2.770 (2) Å determines the N2–Ni1–N1 and N2–Ni1–N1(-x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1) bite angles of 83.26 (7) and 96.74 (7) °, respectively.

3. Supramolecular features and Hirshfeld surface analysis

In the crystal, the complex Ni(OPD)₂(H₂O)₂ $|^{2+}$ cation and the NDS²⁻ anion are associated via charge-assisted intermolecular $O-H\cdots O$ and $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds (Table 1). Each $[Ni(OPD)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ cation forms N-H···O and O-H···O hydrogen bonds with six neighboring organic anions whereby the two aqua and two OPD ligands act solely as hydrogenbonding donor groups (Fig. 2). All six acceptor oxygen atoms of the SO_3^- groups of the NDS²⁻ anions participate as double acceptor atoms (Fig. 3). Hydrogen bonds N1-H1B···O2ⁱⁱⁱ, N2-H2A···O1 and O1W-H1WB···O1 lead to the formation of supramolecular zigzag chains parallel to [100]. These chains are further connected by $N1-H1A\cdots O2^{ii}$ and $N1-H1B\cdots O2^{iii}$ hydrogen bonds, resulting in sheets parallel to (110). Additionally, cations and neighboring dianions are linked through $O1W-H1WA\cdots O3^{iv}$ and $N2-H2B\cdots O3^{i}$



Figure 2

Formation of hydrogen bonds (dashed lines) between the $[Ni(OPD)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ cation with six neighboring anions. Symmetry codes refer to Table 1.



Figure 3 Formation of hydrogen bonds (dashed lines) between the NDS²⁻ anion with six neighboring cations. Symmetry codes refer to Table 1.

hydrogen bonds. The molecules stack along [001], thereby forming a consolidated tri-periodic supramolecular network (Fig. 4).

The supramolecular interactions discussed above were quantitatively investigated and visualized using Hirshfeld surface analysis performed with CrystalExplorer (Spackman et al., 2021), with a standard resolution of the three-dimensional $d_{\rm norm}$ surfaces plotted over a fixed color scale of -0.5408 (red) to 1.4249 (blue) a.u.. Visualizations were performed using a red-white-blue color scheme, where red highlights contacts shorter than the sum of the van der Waals (vdW) radii, white contacts around vdW separations, and blue contacts longer than the sum of the vdW radii. It should be noted that the Hirshfeld surfaces and fingerprint plots were calculated separately for the $[Ni(OPD)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ cation and the NDS²⁻ dianion. The d_{norm} surface has twelve bright-red spots on the Hirshfeld surface for the cation and anion each (Fig. 5), resulting from the two $O-H \cdots O$ and four $N-H \cdots O$ intermolecular hydrogen bonds, as discussed above (Fig. 5; the number is doubled due to inversion symmetry for both enti-



Figure 4

The crystal packing of the title salt in a view along [010] based on the formation of $O-H\cdots O$ and $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds (dashed blue lines). The coordination sphere around Ni^{II} is given in the polyhedral representation.



Figure 5

View of the three-dimensional Hirshfeld surface for the $[Ni(OPD)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ cation and the NDS²⁻ dianion plotted over d_{norm} . Parts (*a*) and (*b*) show the front and back sides, respectively, of the $[Ni(OPD)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ dication. Parts (*c*) and (*d*) show the front and back sides, respectively, of the NDS²⁻ dianion.

ties). The classical O-H···O and N-H···O hydrogen bonds correspond to $H \cdots H$ and $H \cdots O$ contacts in the two-dimensional fingerprint plots (with contributions of 44.1 and 50% to the Hirshfeld surface for the $[Ni(OPD)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ cation and NDS^{2–} anion, respectively; Fig. 6b and 6f). $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ and $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$, interactions in the cation, and $H \cdots H$ and C···H/H···C interactions in the dianion follow with contributions of 34.3, 14.8, 25 and 15.3%, respectively (Fig. 6*c*,*d*,*g*,*h*). Other minor contributions are from $C \cdots C$ (6.5%) and $C \cdots O$ (0.3%) contacts in the cation, and from C···C (8.2%), C···O (0.3%), O···O (0.1%) and S···H (0.1%) contacts in the dianion. The $O \cdot \cdot H/H \cdot \cdot O$ contacts are visible as a spike with a sharp tip on the side of the corresponding two-dimensional fingerprint plot, which is indicative of strong intermolecular interactions between atoms. On the other hand, the $C \cdot \cdot \cdot H/$ $H \cdots C$ contacts form less pronounced spikes, suggesting that these interactions are much weaker.

4. Database survey

In a search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, version 2022.3.0; Groom et al., 2016), a total of 207 compounds containing the o-phenylenediamine moiety were identified. Out of these, 129 compounds were metal complexes, while 78 compounds were organic salts. One organic salt comprising protonated *o*-phenylenediamine and 1,5-naphthalenedisulfonate has been studied (CSD refcode PEFYOQ; Deng et al., 2012). When searching with 1,5-naphthalenedisulfonic acid as the search criterion, 90 metal complexes and 170 organic salt compounds were found. In the majority of metal complexes, 1,5-naphthalenedisulfonic acid was found in its dianionic form and was not part of the coordination sphere. However, in ten cases a bridging mode for the 1,5naphthalenedisulfonate anion was found. Only one compound was identified where the 1,5-naphthalenedisulfonate anion

research communications



Figure 6

Two-dimensional fingerprint plots for the $[Ni(OPD)_2(H_2O)_2]^{2+}$ cation [parts (*a*), (*b*), (*c*) and (*d*)] and the NDS²⁻dianion [parts (*e*), (*f*), (*g*) and (*h*)]. The d_i and d_e values are the closest internal and external distances (in Å) from a given point on the Hirshfeld surface.

coordinates to a transition-metal cation (copper) in a monodentate manner (XABPEW; Chen *et al.*, 2002).

5. Synthesis and crystallization

The starting materials are commercially available and were used without further purification. The ligand OPDA (0.216 g, 2 mmol) was dissolved in 10 ml of a 1:1 v/v ethanol/water mixture. This solution was then added to a solution containing nickel(II) sulfate heptahydrate (0.281 g, 1 mmol) and disodium naphthalene-1,5-disulfonate (0.332 g, 1 mmol) in 10 ml of the same mixed ethanol/water solvent. The resulting mixture was heated under reflux and stirred for 40 min. After 5 d of slow solvent evaporation at room temperature, a light-green crystalline product was obtained with a yield of 65%

Experimental details.	
Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Ni(C_6H_8N_2)_2(H_2O)_2](C_{10}H_6O_6S_2)$
M _r	597.30
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$
Temperature (K)	563
a, b, c (Å)	12.7613 (3), 7.7054 (1), 13.4641 (3)
β (°)	111.554 (2)
$V(Å^3)$	1231.36 (5)
Ζ	2
Radiation type	Cu Ka
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	3.22
Crystal size (mm)	$0.22 \times 0.18 \times 0.14$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	XtaLAB Synergy, Single source at home/near, HyPix3000
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (<i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Rigaku OD, 2023)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.573, 1.000
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	11384, 2380, 2152
R _{int}	0.038
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.614
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.036, 0.097, 1.04
No. of reflections	2380
No. of parameters	194
No. of restraints	6
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} (e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	0.50, -0.49
	· · · · ·

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku OD, 2023), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015b), OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009).

(based on Ni). Elemental analysis calculated (%) for $C_{22}H_{26}N_4NiO_8S_2$: C 44.24, H 4.39, N 9.38; found: C 44.18, H 4.34, N 9.31.

6. Refinement

Table 2

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. C-bound H atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined using a riding-model approximation, with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ and C-H = 0.93 Å for aromatic H atoms. Hydrogen atoms of the amino groups and of the water molecule were located using a difference-Fourier map and refined with bond-length restraints of 0.89 (1) and 0.85 (1) Å, respectively.

Funding information

The authors thank the Uzbekistan government for direct financial support of this research. A Grant for Fundamental Research from the Center of Science and Technology of Uzbekistan is gratefully acknowledged.

References

Abdullah, Kh., Hussien, N., Salam, A., Kareem, A., Rahman, A. & Mourad, S. (2019). *Biochem. Cell. Arch.* 19, 1705–1711.

- Adhikari, S., Bhattacharjee, T., Bhattacharjee, S., Daniliuc, C. G., Frontera, A., Lopato, E. M. & Bernhard, S. (2021). *Dalton Trans.* **50**, 5632–5643.
- Aniket, P., Shantanu, D. S., Anagha, O. B. & Ajinkya, P. S. (2015). Int. J. ChemTech Res. 8, 496–500.
- Bottari, F., Moro, G., Sleegers, N., Florea, A., Cowen, T., Piletsky, S., van Nuijs, A. L. N. & De Wael, K. (2020). *Electroanalysis*, **32**, 135–141.
- Chakraborty, P., Jana, A. & Mohanta, S. (2014). Polyhedron, 77, 39–46.
- Chen, B., Ye, W., Li, Z., Jin, S., Wang, J., Guo, M. & Wang, D. (2022). J. Mol. Struct. 1249, 131602.
- Chen, C. H., Cai, J. W., Feng, X. L. & Chen, X. M. (2002). Chin. J. Inorg. Chem. 18, 659–664.
- Chen, J., Li, J., Fu, X., Xie, Q., Zeng, T., Jin, S., Xu, W. & Wang, D. (2020). J. Mol. Struct. **1204**, 127491.
- Das, D., Mahata, G., Adhikary, A., Konar, S. & Biradha, K. (2015). Cryst. Growth Des. 15, 4132–4141.
- Deng, Z.-P., Huo, L.-H., Zhao, H. & Gao, S. (2012). Cryst. Growth Des. 12, 3342–3355.
- Djebli, Y., Boufas, S., Bencharif, L., Roisnel, T. & Bencharif, M. (2012). Acta Cryst. E68, m1411-m1412.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341.
- González Guillén, A., Oszajca, M., Luberda-Durnaś, K., Gryl, M., Bartkiewicz, S., Miniewicz, A. & Lasocha, W. (2018). Cryst. Growth Des. 18, 5029–5037.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Huo, L.-H., Gao, S., Xu, S.-X. & Zhao, H. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, m449–m450.
- Jadoun, S., Riaz, U., Yáñez, J. & Pal Singh Chauhan, N. (2021). Eur. Polym. J. 156, 110600.
- Kokunov, Yu. V., Kovalev, V. V., Gorbunova, Yu. E. & Kozyukhin, S. A. (2015). Russ. J. Inorg. Chem. 60, 151–156.
- Konieczny, P., González-Guillén, A. B., Luberda-Durnaś, K., Cižmár, E., Pełka, R., Oszajca, M. & Łasocha, W. (2019). *Dalton Trans.* 48, 7560–7570.

- Koroteev, P. S., Ilyukhin, A. B., Babeshkin, K. A. & Efimov, N. N. (2020). J. Mol. Struct. 1207, 127800.
- Lian, Z. & Qu, J. (2013). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 228, 482–484.
- Lu, X., Wang, Z. & Liu, M. (2009). Chin. J. Chem. 27, 221-226.
- Ngopoh, F. A. I., Lachkar, M., Đorđević, T., Lengauer, C. L. & El Bali, B. (2015). J. Chem. Crystallogr. 45, 369–375.
- Oh, H., Kim, D., Kim, D., Park, I.-H. & Jung, O.-S. (2020). Cryst. Growth Des. 20, 7027–7033.
- Pardeshi, S. D. & Thore, S. N. (2015). Int. J. Chem. Phys. 4, 300-307.
- Pisarevskaya, E. Y., Kolesnichenko, I. I., Averin, A. A., Gorbunov, A. M. & Efimov, O. N. (2020). *Synth. Met.* 270, 116596.
- Rigaku OD (2023). CrysAlis PRO. Rigaku Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Yarnton, Oxfordshire, England.
- Sabbani, S. & Das, S. K. (2009). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 12, 364-367.
- Sagasser, J., Ma, B. N., Baecker, D., Salcher, S., Hermann, M., Lamprecht, J., Angerer, S., Obexer, P., Kircher, B. & Gust, R. (2019). J. Med. Chem. 62, 8053–8061.
- Sayyah, S. M., El-Deeb, M. M., Kamal, S. M. & Azooz, R. (2009). J. Appl. Polym. Sci. 112, 3695–3706.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Shi, Ch., Wei, B. & Zhang, W. (2014). Cryst. Growth Des. 14, 6570–6580.
- Spackman, P. R., Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2021). *J. Appl. Cryst.* 54, 1006–1011.
- Tai, X.-S., Zhang, Y.-P. & Zhao, W.-H. (2015). *Res. Chem. Intermed.* **41**, 4339–4347.
- Vishvanath, D. P. & Ketan, P. P. (2014). Int. J. ChemTech Res. 8, 457–465.
- Willett, R. D., Gómez-García, C. J., Twamley, B., Gómez-Coca, S. & Ruiz, E. (2012). *Inorg. Chem.* 51, 5487–5493.
- Xu, W., Lu, Y., Xia, Y. Y., Liu, B., Jin, S., Zhong, B., Wang, D. & Guo, M. (2019). J. Mol. Struct. 1189, 81–93.
- Zick, P. L. & Geiger, D. K. (2016). Acta Cryst. E72, 1037-1042.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2023). E79, 1083-1087 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989023009350]

Synthesis, crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis of diaquabis(*o*-phenylenediamine- $\kappa^2 N$,N')nickel(II) naphthalene-1,5-disulfonate

Jabbor R Suyunov, Khayit Kh. Turaev, Bekmurod Kh. Alimnazarov, Yusuf E. Nazarov, Islombek J. Mengnorov, Bakhtiyar T. Ibragimov and Jamshid M. Ashurov

Computing details

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2023); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2023); data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2023); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXT* (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: Olex2 (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: Olex2 (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009).

Diaquabis(o-phenylenediamine-k²N,N')nickel(II) naphthalene-1,5-disulfonate

Crystal data

 $[Ni(C_{6}H_{8}N_{2})_{2}(H_{2}O)_{2}](C_{10}H_{6}O_{6}S_{2})$ $M_{r} = 597.30$ Monoclinic, $P2_{1}/n$ a = 12.7613 (3) Å b = 7.7054 (1) Å c = 13.4641 (3) Å $\beta = 111.554$ (2)° V = 1231.36 (5) Å³ Z = 2

Data collection

XtaLAB Synergy, Single source at home/near, HyPix3000 diffractometer Radiation source: micro-focus sealed X-ray tube, PhotonJet (Cu) X-ray Source Mirror monochromator Detector resolution: 10.0000 pixels mm⁻¹ ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysAlisPro; Rigaku OD, 2023)

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$ $wR(F^2) = 0.097$ S = 1.042380 reflections F(000) = 620 $D_x = 1.611 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu K\alpha radiation, \lambda = 1.54184 \rangle A Cell parameters from 6737 reflections $\theta = 4.1-71.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 3.22 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 563 KBlock, light green $0.22 \times 0.18 \times 0.14 \text{ mm}$

 $T_{\min} = 0.573, T_{\max} = 1.000$ 11384 measured reflections 2380 independent reflections 2152 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.038$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 71.3^{\circ}, \theta_{\text{min}} = 4.1^{\circ}$ $h = -15 \rightarrow 15$ $k = -9 \rightarrow 9$ $l = -14 \rightarrow 16$

194 parameters6 restraintsPrimary atom site location: iterativeHydrogen site location: mixedH atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.048P)^2 + 0.8302P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.50 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
$$\begin{split} &\Delta \rho_{\min} = -0.49 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \\ &\text{Extinction correction: SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015a), Fc*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}} \\ &\text{Extinction coefficient: 0.0006 (2)} \end{split}$$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}*/U_{ m eq}$
Ni1	1.000000	0.500000	0.500000	0.02713 (17)
S1	0.69836 (5)	0.87424 (8)	0.39745 (4)	0.03779 (18)
O1W	0.83329 (14)	0.4677 (2)	0.38469 (14)	0.0412 (4)
01	0.73435 (15)	0.7254 (2)	0.46852 (14)	0.0488 (5)
N1	0.99045 (16)	0.2387 (2)	0.53808 (16)	0.0330 (4)
03	0.62288 (17)	0.8217 (3)	0.29283 (14)	0.0574 (5)
N2	0.93181 (15)	0.5408 (2)	0.61643 (14)	0.0298 (4)
O2	0.79217 (17)	0.9758 (3)	0.39332 (19)	0.0636 (6)
C11	0.47191 (16)	1.0579 (3)	0.52339 (16)	0.0276 (4)
C6	0.91414 (17)	0.3765 (3)	0.66003 (16)	0.0297 (4)
C1	0.94563 (18)	0.2253 (3)	0.62287 (17)	0.0319 (5)
C7	0.62041 (18)	1.0098 (3)	0.45202 (17)	0.0313 (5)
C10	0.50918 (19)	1.2316 (3)	0.54324 (18)	0.0350 (5)
H10	0.473811	1.306841	0.575033	0.042*
C5	0.86718 (19)	0.3671 (3)	0.73833 (18)	0.0381 (5)
Н5	0.846130	0.468202	0.763862	0.046*
C8	0.65220 (18)	1.1796 (3)	0.47028 (19)	0.0385 (5)
H8	0.711068	1.221455	0.451995	0.046*
C9	0.5960 (2)	1.2909 (3)	0.5166 (2)	0.0405 (5)
Н9	0.618250	1.406318	0.529312	0.049*
C2	0.9316 (2)	0.0661 (3)	0.6643 (2)	0.0446 (6)
H2	0.953980	-0.035050	0.639981	0.054*
C4	0.8518 (2)	0.2078 (4)	0.7781 (2)	0.0474 (6)
H4	0.819333	0.201834	0.829604	0.057*
C3	0.8845 (2)	0.0572 (4)	0.7417 (2)	0.0510 (6)
Н3	0.874888	-0.049782	0.769179	0.061*
H2A	0.8674 (14)	0.596 (3)	0.585 (2)	0.049 (8)*
H2B	0.9775 (17)	0.607 (3)	0.6689 (15)	0.038 (7)*
H1A	0.946 (2)	0.188 (4)	0.4786 (15)	0.062 (9)*
H1B	1.0556 (14)	0.182 (3)	0.561 (2)	0.052 (8)*
H1WA	0.822 (3)	0.447 (5)	0.3199 (11)	0.072 (11)*
H1WB	0.787 (2)	0.546 (4)	0.386 (3)	0.085 (12)*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

supporting information

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Ni1	0.0287 (3)	0.0260 (3)	0.0306 (3)	0.00340 (18)	0.0155 (2)	0.00141 (19)
S1	0.0365 (3)	0.0456 (3)	0.0367 (3)	0.0168 (2)	0.0198 (2)	0.0050 (2)
O1W	0.0343 (9)	0.0495 (10)	0.0395 (9)	0.0062 (7)	0.0133 (7)	-0.0073 (8)
01	0.0481 (10)	0.0549 (11)	0.0464 (10)	0.0299 (8)	0.0208 (8)	0.0131 (8)
N1	0.0353 (10)	0.0280 (9)	0.0418 (11)	0.0046 (8)	0.0212 (9)	0.0015 (8)
O3	0.0633 (12)	0.0736 (14)	0.0348 (9)	0.0262 (10)	0.0175 (9)	-0.0035 (9)
N2	0.0291 (9)	0.0302 (9)	0.0316 (9)	0.0041 (7)	0.0131 (8)	-0.0002 (7)
O2	0.0550 (12)	0.0644 (13)	0.0938 (17)	0.0090 (10)	0.0538 (12)	0.0030 (11)
C11	0.0259 (9)	0.0302 (10)	0.0258 (9)	0.0070 (8)	0.0085 (8)	0.0004 (8)
C6	0.0246 (10)	0.0356 (11)	0.0280 (10)	0.0001 (8)	0.0088 (8)	0.0024 (8)
C1	0.0294 (10)	0.0331 (11)	0.0347 (11)	0.0014 (8)	0.0135 (9)	0.0040 (9)
C7	0.0279 (10)	0.0368 (11)	0.0300 (10)	0.0089 (8)	0.0117 (9)	0.0014 (8)
C10	0.0348 (11)	0.0331 (11)	0.0374 (11)	0.0067 (9)	0.0137 (9)	-0.0044 (9)
C5	0.0349 (11)	0.0492 (14)	0.0331 (11)	-0.0016 (10)	0.0158 (9)	-0.0019 (10)
C8	0.0286 (11)	0.0411 (12)	0.0475 (13)	0.0015 (9)	0.0160 (10)	0.0034 (10)
C9	0.0386 (12)	0.0301 (11)	0.0516 (14)	-0.0005 (9)	0.0153 (11)	-0.0049 (10)
C2	0.0493 (14)	0.0354 (12)	0.0517 (15)	0.0012 (11)	0.0217 (12)	0.0085 (11)
C4	0.0461 (14)	0.0645 (17)	0.0366 (12)	-0.0081 (12)	0.0209 (11)	0.0059 (12)
C3	0.0573 (16)	0.0501 (15)	0.0487 (15)	-0.0050 (13)	0.0231 (13)	0.0153 (12)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Ni1—O1W ⁱ	2.1381 (17)	C11—C7 ⁱⁱ	1.434 (3)	
Nil—O1W	2.1381 (17)	C11—C10	1.413 (3)	
Ni1—N1	2.0924 (18)	C6—C1	1.385 (3)	
Ni1—N1 ⁱ	2.0924 (18)	C6—C5	1.393 (3)	
Ni1—N2 ⁱ	2.0776 (17)	C1—C2	1.386 (3)	
Ni1—N2	2.0775 (17)	C7—C8	1.365 (3)	
S1—O1	1.4558 (18)	C10—H10	0.9300	
S1—O3	1.4419 (19)	C10—C9	1.363 (3)	
S1—O2	1.448 (2)	С5—Н5	0.9300	
S1—C7	1.777 (2)	C5—C4	1.382 (4)	
O1W—H1WA	0.846 (10)	C8—H8	0.9300	
O1W—H1WB	0.850 (10)	C8—C9	1.403 (3)	
N1C1	1.456 (3)	С9—Н9	0.9300	
N1—H1A	0.886 (10)	С2—Н2	0.9300	
N1—H1B	0.889 (10)	C2—C3	1.383 (4)	
N2C6	1.447 (3)	C4—H4	0.9300	
N2—H2A	0.882 (10)	C4—C3	1.383 (4)	
N2—H2B	0.891 (10)	С3—Н3	0.9300	
C11—C11 ⁱⁱ	1.427 (4)			
$0.1W$ Ni1 $-0.1W^{i}$	180.0	H2A—N2—H2B	109 (3)	
$N1^{i}$ $N1^{i}$ $N1^{i}$	86 20 (8)	$C11^{ii}$ $C11$ $C7^{ii}$	117.6(2)	
N1 ⁱ —Ni1—O1W	93.80 (8)	C10—C11—C11 ⁱⁱ	119.1 (2)	

N1—Ni1—O1W ⁱ	93.80 (8)	C10-C11-C7 ⁱⁱ	123.28 (19)
N1—Ni1—O1W	86.20 (8)	C1—C6—N2	118.72 (18)
N1-Ni1-N1 ⁱ	180.0	C1—C6—C5	119.5 (2)
N2 ⁱ —Ni1—O1W	90.88 (7)	C5—C6—N2	121.8 (2)
N2 ⁱ —Ni1—O1W ⁱ	89.12 (7)	C6—C1—N1	118.23 (19)
N2—Ni1—O1W	89.12 (7)	C6—C1—C2	120.1 (2)
N2—Ni1—O1W ⁱ	90.88 (7)	C2—C1—N1	121.6 (2)
N2 ⁱ —Ni1—N1 ⁱ	83.26 (7)	C11 ⁱⁱ —C7—S1	120.95 (16)
N2—Ni1—N1 ⁱ	96.74 (7)	C8—C7—S1	117.60 (17)
N2—Ni1—N1	83.26 (7)	C8—C7—C11 ⁱⁱ	121.45 (19)
N2 ⁱ —Ni1—N1	96.74 (7)	C11—C10—H10	119.4
N2—Ni1—N2 ⁱ	180.00 (10)	C9—C10—C11	121.2 (2)
O1—S1—C7	106.30 (10)	C9—C10—H10	119.4
O3—S1—O1	110.91 (13)	С6—С5—Н5	119.9
O3—S1—O2	112.29 (13)	C4—C5—C6	120.1 (2)
O3—S1—C7	107.13 (10)	C4—C5—H5	119.9
O2—S1—O1	112.67 (12)	С7—С8—Н8	120.0
O2—S1—C7	107.12 (11)	C7—C8—C9	120.0 (2)
Ni1—O1W—H1WA	121 (2)	С9—С8—Н8	120.0
Ni1—O1W—H1WB	115 (3)	C10—C9—C8	120.7 (2)
H1WA—O1W—H1WB	107 (3)	С10—С9—Н9	119.7
Ni1—N1—H1A	106 (2)	С8—С9—Н9	119.7
Ni1—N1—H1B	115 (2)	С1—С2—Н2	119.9
C1—N1—Ni1	109.60 (13)	C3—C2—C1	120.2 (2)
C1—N1—H1A	112 (2)	С3—С2—Н2	119.9
C1—N1—H1B	106.1 (19)	C5—C4—H4	119.9
H1A—N1—H1B	108 (3)	C5—C4—C3	120.2 (2)
Ni1—N2—H2A	107.0 (18)	C3—C4—H4	119.9
Ni1—N2—H2B	110.6 (16)	С2—С3—Н3	120.1
C6—N2—Ni1	110.14 (13)	C4—C3—C2	119.8 (2)
C6—N2—H2A	110.8 (19)	С4—С3—Н3	120.1
C6—N2—H2B	109.3 (17)		
Ni1—N1—C1—C6	2.3 (2)	O2—S1—C7—C8	5.8 (2)
Ni1—N1—C1—C2	-179.29 (18)	C11 ⁱⁱ —C11—C10—C9	1.3 (4)
Ni1—N2—C6—C1	1.6 (2)	C11 ⁱⁱ —C7—C8—C9	1.7 (3)
Ni1—N2—C6—C5	-178.80 (17)	C11—C10—C9—C8	-1.1 (4)
S1—C7—C8—C9	-177.84 (18)	C6—C1—C2—C3	1.0 (4)
O1—S1—C7—C11 ⁱⁱ	-53.0 (2)	C6—C5—C4—C3	1.0 (4)
O1—S1—C7—C8	126.48 (19)	C1—C6—C5—C4	-0.3 (3)
N1—C1—C2—C3	-177.3 (2)	C1—C2—C3—C4	-0.3 (4)
O3—S1—C7—C11 ⁱⁱ	65.6 (2)	C7 ⁱⁱ —C11—C10—C9	-178.7 (2)
O3—S1—C7—C8	-114.9(2)	C7—C8—C9—C10	-0.4 (4)
N2—C6—C1—N1	-2.7 (3)	C5-C6-C1-N1	177.67 (19)
N2—C6—C1—C2	178.9 (2)	C5—C6—C1—C2	-0.8 (3)

supporting information

N2—C6—C5—C4	-179.9 (2)	C5—C4—C3—C2	-0.7 (4)
O2—S1—C7—C11 ⁱⁱ	-173.73 (18)		

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+2, -*y*+1, -*z*+1; (ii) -*x*+1, -*y*+2, -*z*+1.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H…A	D····A	D—H···A
N1—H1A····O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.89(1)	2.48 (2)	3.268 (3)	148 (3)
N1—H1 B ···O2 ⁱ	0.89(1)	2.18(1)	3.066 (3)	175 (3)
N2—H2A…O1	0.88 (1)	2.10(1)	2.941 (2)	160 (3)
N2—H2 B ···O3 ^{iv}	0.89(1)	2.06(1)	2.908 (3)	158 (2)
$O1W$ — $H1WA$ ··· $O3^{v}$	0.85 (1)	2.12 (2)	2.876 (3)	148 (3)
O1 <i>W</i> —H1 <i>WB</i> ···O1	0.85 (1)	2.04 (2)	2.803 (2)	150 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+2, -y+1, -z+1; (iii) x, y-1, z; (iv) x+1/2, -y+3/2, z+1/2; (v) -x+3/2, y-1/2, -z+1/2.