

# CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC COMMUNICATIONS

ISSN 2056-9890

Received 19 September 2023 Accepted 18 October 2023

Edited by S.-L. Zheng, Harvard University, USA

**Keywords:** crystal structure; DippIm; imidazole; aryl imidazole.

CCDC reference: 2302037

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





# Crystal structure of 1-(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-1*H*-imidazole

### Neil Dudeja, Briana C. Arreaga, Jacob P. Brannon and S. Chantal E. Stieber\*

Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry, California State Polytechnic University, Pomona, 3801 W. Temple Ave., Pomona, CA 91768, USA. \*Correspondence e-mail: sestieber@cpp.edu

The crystal structure of the title compound,  $C_{15}H_{20}N_2$  or <sup>Dipp</sup>Im, is reported. At 106 (2) K, the molecule has monoclinic  $P2_1/c$  symmetry with four molecules in the unit cell. The imidazole ring is rotated 80.7 (1)° relative to the phenyl ring. Intermolecular stabilization primarily results from close contacts between the N atom at the 3-position on the imidazole ring and the C–H bond at the 4-position on the neighboring <sup>Dipp</sup>Im, with aryl–aryl distances outside of the accepted distance of 5 Å for  $\pi$ -stacking.

### 1. Chemical context

Imidazoles are stable aromatic heterocyclic compounds comprised of a five-membered heterocycle containing two non-adjacent nitrogen atoms and three carbon atoms. They are precursors in many synthetic processes and find use in pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals to create antifungal agents and fungicides (Ebel *et al.*, 2000). 1-(2,6-Diisopropylphenyl)-1H-imidazole (<sup>Dipp</sup>Im) additionally has an aryl ring attached to the imidazole.

Several synthetic approaches towards the synthesis of DippIm are reported, with the most common current route being through the one-pot synthesis with glyoxal, formaldehyde, ammonium chloride, and 2,6-diisopropyl aniline, followed by an acidic workup with  $H_3PO_4$  (Liu *et al.*, 2003). A disadvantage of this general route is that the yields are often low, especially for more hindered imidazoles. An alternative approach followed an Ullmann-type coupling using 2-iodo-1,3-diisopropylbenzene and imidazole, with 10% CuI, 40% N, N'-dimethylenediamine, and Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, but only resulted in 19% yield of <sup>Dipp</sup>Im (Alcalde et al., 2005). The highest yield approach with 78% yield was originally reported in 1889 and is from the reaction of 2,6-diisopropyl aniline with thiophosgene (Cl<sub>2</sub>CS) in H<sub>2</sub>O, followed by addition of H<sub>2</sub>NCH<sub>2</sub>CH(OEt<sub>2</sub>), and acidic workup with HCl and HNO<sub>3</sub> (Wohl & Marckwald, 1889; Johnson et al., 1969). Despite being the first reported method, this synthetic approach is significantly concerning from a chemical safety perspective because thiophosgene is highly toxic.

 $^{Dipp}$ Im is often used as a precursor to a variety of *N*-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) ligands, which are a common ligand class for organometallic chemistry and catalysis (Arduengo, 1999; Hopkinson *et al.*, 2014; Lumiss *et al.*, 2015). To create monodentate NHC ligands, an imidazole is typically reacted with an alkyl or aryl halide to form an imidazolium salt. For bidentate NHC ligands, two imidazoles can be reacted with an alkyl or aryl dihalide to form a bis(imidazolium) salt (Gardiner *et al.*, 1999; Thompson *et al.*, 2022). These imidazolium salts are then deprotonated by a base such as sodium

*tert*-butoxide (NaOtBu) or potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (KHMDS) to form the free carbene ligands (Brendel *et al.*, 2014; Yamamoto *et al.*, 2018).

Few arylimidazoles have been structurally characterized, with 1-(2,4,6-trimethylphenyl)-1*H*-imidazole ( $^{\text{Mes}}$ Im) reported by our group (Brannon *et al.*, 2018). Herein, the crystallographic characterization of 1-(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-1*H*-imidazole ( $^{\text{Dipp}}$ Im) is reported.



### 2. Structural commentary

<sup>Dipp</sup>Im crystallizes as depicted in Fig. 1 with a planar imidazole ring containing atoms N1, N2, and C1–C3. The bond angles within the five-membered imidazole ring are C1–N1–C3 =  $107.02 (9)^{\circ}$ , N1–C3–C2 =  $105.30 (10)^{\circ}$ , C3–C2–N2 =



**Figure 1** View of one molecule of <sup>Dipp</sup>Im with 50% probability ellipsoids.

110.95 (10)°, C2–N2–C1 = 104.73 (10)°, and N2–C1–N1 = 112.01 (10)°. These are all within error of the reported values for <sup>Mes</sup>Im of 106.44 (16), 105.65 (17), 110.89 (18), 104.54 (17), and 112.48 (17)°, respectively (Brannon *et al.* 2018). These data suggest that changing the aryl group from 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl to 2,6-diisopropylphenyl has no significant effect on the imidazole ring.

Bond distances to C1 are consistent with a shorter bond of 1.3544 (14) Å between N1–C1 and a longer bond of 1.3153 (16) Å between C1–N2, likely due to steric effects of the aryl group. The backbone imidazole C2–C3 bond distance of 1.3578 (16) Å is consistent with a  $Csp^2 = Csp^2$  double bond in an imidazole ring (Allen *et al.*, 1987). The backbone N1–C3 and N2–C2 distances are consistent with  $Csp^2$ –N imidazole single bonds at 1.3769 (14) and 1.3759 (16) Å, respectively (Allen *et al.*, 1987). Comparable distances for <sup>Mes</sup>Im are N1–C1 = 1.357 (3) Å, C1–N2 = 1.316 (3) Å, C2–C3 = 1.356 (3) Å, N1–C3 = 1.384 (2) Å, and N2–C2 = 1.382 (3) Å (Brannon *et al.*, 2018). The imidazole ring distances are comparable to those reported for <sup>Mes</sup>Im, indicating that the bulkier aryl group has no significant effect.

### 3. Supramolecular features

The unit cell contains four full molecules of 2,6-diisopropylphenyl imidazole (Fig. 2). Each molecule is oriented such that the imidazole groups are at 80.7 (1)° relative to the aryl ring, based on the measured C1-N1-C4-C9 torsion. Distances between aryl rings are 6.692 Å as measured between neighboring C4-C9 centroids, and 5.912 (2) Å as measured between C9-C9 on neighboring molecules. There is no uncertainty in the distance between centroids, since these were placed using



#### Figure 2

View of four molecules of <sup>Dipp</sup>Im in the unit cell with 50% probability ellipsoids, highlighting intermolecular distances and close contacts. Distances between centroids (red circles) are listed without standard deviations because these positions were calculated.

**Table 1** Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$C3-H3\cdots N2^{i}$	0.966 (17)	2.474 (17)	3.416 (2)	164.9 (12)
Symmetry code: (i)	x + 1, y, z.			

*Symmetry code*: (*i*) *x* + 1, *y*, *z*.

the *Mercury* program's centroid algorithm (Macrae *et al.*, 2020). Both of these distances are greater than 5 Å, supporting no significant  $\pi$ -stacking stabilization (Janiak, 2000). The closest contact between neighboring molecules is between N2···H3 at a distance of 2.47 (2) Å. This technically can be considered a hydrogen bond (Table 1) because H3 is bound to C3, which is bound to an electronegative atom, N1. Therefore, the supramolecular structure of <sup>Dipp</sup>Im is primarily stabilized through hydrogen bonding between neighboring imidazoles.

### 4. Database survey

A survey of the Cambridge Structural Database (Groom *et al.*, 2016) on August 30, 2023 yielded no structural results for <sup>Dipp</sup>Im through both a drawn structure search and a search of the full name 1-(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-1*H*-imidazole. A SciFinder search (SciFinder, 2018) resulted in a substance match with code 25364-47-0, however no structural data were reported.

### 5. Synthesis and crystallization

The synthesis for <sup>Dipp</sup>Im (Fig. 3) was adapted from a literature procedure (Liu et al., 2003). A 500 mL three-necked roundbottomed flask was charged with 10.01 g (0.0564 mol, 1 eq.) of 2,6-diisopropylaniline followed by 8.20 g (0.141 mol, 1 eq.) of 40% aqueous glyoxal and approximately 100 mL of methanol. The resulting color changed from a clear yellow to a rusty orange solution with a yellow precipitate. Using a funnel, 6.03 g (0.112 mol, 2 eq.) of ammonium chloride and 9.16 g (0.305 mol, 2 eq.) of 37% aqueous formaldehyde were added to the round-bottomed flask and diluted with 130 mL of methanol. The mixture was refluxed for 1 h at 368 K, resulting in a dark-brown solution. The flask was removed from the heat and cooled to room temperature before being placed in an ice bath to cool, followed by addition of 15 mL (0.15 mol, 2 eq.) of phosphoric acid over the course of 12 minutes. After addition, it was refluxed at 368 K for 14.5 h, resulting in an opaque darkred solution. The solution was cooled to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo. The dark-brown residue was poured over 300 g of ice and neutralized with a concentrated potassium hydroxide solution until the pH reached 9, resulting



Figure 3 Reaction scheme.

Table 2	
Experimental	details

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{15}H_{20}N_2$
Mr	228.33
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	106
a, b, c (Å)	5.6642 (13), 16.519 (6), 14.414 (6)
$\beta$ (°)	90.73 (2)
$V(Å^3)$	1348.6 (8)
Ζ	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.07
Crystal size (mm)	$0.20\times0.15\times0.10$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 Venture Kappa
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	22918, 2975, 2750
R <sub>int</sub>	0.030
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.641
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.040, 0.099, 1.09
No. of reflections	2975
No. of parameters	234
H-atom treatment	All H-atom parameters refined
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} (e {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.26, -0.23

Computer programs: APEX3 and SAINT (Bruker, 2018), SHELXT2014/5 (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2019/3/1 (Sheldrick, 2015b), OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009), and Mercury (Macrae et al., 2020).

in a light-brown solution with a dark-brown precipitate. The mixture was extracted three times with approximately 100 mL of diethyl ether, washed 3 times with approximately 100 mL of water, and washed three times with approximately 100 mL of brine. The mixture was transferred to a 1 L round-bottom flask, dried with sodium sulfate, and left to dry for approximately 20 h, resulting in a dark-brown solution. The sodium sulfate was removed by gravity filtration and the solution was concentrated *in vacuo* resulting in a light-brown solid. The solid was then recrystallized with ethyl acetate, resulting in 1.33 g (10.4% yield) of colorless crystals. The product was characterized with <sup>1</sup>H NMR and the results were consistent with reported literature values (Liu *et al.*, 2003).

### 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. Hydrogen atoms were refined with all H-atom parameters.

### **Funding information**

Funding for this research was provided by: National Science Foundation, Directorate for Mathematical and Physical Sciences (award No. 1847926 to S. Chantal E. Stieber); National Science Foundation, Directorate for Education and Human Resources (scholarship No. 1826490 to Neil Dudeja, Briana C. Arreaga); U.S. Department of Defense, U.S. Army (award No. W911NF-17-1-0537 to S. Chantal E. Stieber); National Institutes of Health, National Institute of General Medical Sciences (award No. 5R25GM113748-03 to Briana C. Arreaga); Camille and Henry Dreyfus Foundation (award to S. Chantal E. Stieber); U.S. Department of Education (award to Jacob P. Brannon).

### References

- Alcalde, E., Dinarès, I., Rodríguez, S. & Garcia de Miguel, C. (2005). *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* pp. 1637–1643.
- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–S19.
- Arduengo, A. J. (1999). Acc. Chem. Res. 32, 913-921.
- Brannon, J. P., Stretch, B. E. & Stieber, S. C. E. (2018). CSD Communication (refcode EYIROT01, deposition No. 1875908). CCDC, Cambridge, England.
- Brendel, M., Braun, C., Rominger, F. & Hofmann, P. (2014). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 53, 8741–8745.
- Bruker AXS (2018). APEX3 and SAINT. Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341.
- Ebel, K., Koehler, H., Gamer, A. O. & Jäckh, R. (2000). Imidazole and Derivatives. In *Ullman's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH.
- Gardiner, M. G., Herrmann, W. A., Reisinger, C.-P., Schwarz, J. & Spiegler, M. (1999). J. Organomet. Chem. 572, 239–247.

- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Hopkinson, M. N., Richter, C., Schedler, M. & Glorius, F. (2014). *Nature*, **510**, 485–496.
- Janiak, D. (2000). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3885-3896.
- Johnson, A. L., Kauer, J. C., Sharma, D. C. & Dorfman, R. I. (1969). J. Med. Chem. 12, 1024–1028.
- Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3–10.
- Liu, J., Chen, J., Zhao, J., Zhao, Y., Li, L. & Zhang, H. (2003). *Synthesis*, pp. 2661–2666.
- Lummiss, J. A. M., Higman, C. S., Fyson, D. L., McDonald, R. & Fogg, D. E. (2015). *Chem. Sci.* 6, 6739–6746.
- Macrae, C. F., Sovago, I., Cottrell, S. J., Galek, P. T. A., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Platings, M., Shields, G. P., Stevens, J. S., Towler, M. & Wood, P. A. (2020). J. Appl. Cryst. 53, 226–235.
- SciFinder (2018). Chemical Abstracts Service: Colombus, OH, 2010; RN 58-08-2 (accessed August 30, 2023).
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Thompson, E. S., Olivas, E. M., Torres, A., Arreaga, B. C., Alarcon, H. L., Dolberry, D., Brannon, J. P. & Stieber, S. C. E. (2022). Acta Cryst. E78, 905–911.
- Wohl, A. & Marckwald, W. (1889). Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 22, 568– 580.
- Yamamoto, C. D., Zhang, Z. & Stieber, S. C. E. (2018). Acta Cryst. E74, 1396–1399.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2023). E79, 1079-1082 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989023009179]

Crystal structure of 1-(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-1H-imidazole

## Neil Dudeja, Briana C. Arreaga, Jacob P. Brannon and S. Chantal E. Stieber

**Computing details** 

Data collection: *APEX3* (Bruker, 2018); cell refinement: *SAINT* V8.40A (Bruker, 2018); data reduction: *SAINT* V8.40A (Bruker, 2018); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXT2014/5* (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2019/3/1* (Sheldrick, 2015b) and OLEX2 (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009); molecular graphics: *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2020).

F(000) = 496

 $\theta = 2.8 - 47.3^{\circ}$ 

 $\mu = 0.07 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 106 K

Prism, colorless

 $0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.10$  mm

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.125 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$ 

Mo *K* $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å

Cell parameters from 9863 reflections

1-(2,6-Diisopropylphenyl)-1H-imidazole

### Crystal data

C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>  $M_r = 228.33$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$  a = 5.6642 (13) Å b = 16.519 (6) Å c = 14.414 (6) Å  $\beta = 90.73$  (2)° V = 1348.6 (8) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4

### Data collection

Bruker D8 Venture Kappa	2975 independent reflections
diffractometer	2750 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Radiation source: microfocus sealed tube	$R_{\rm int} = 0.030$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 27.1^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 2.8^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$h = -7 \rightarrow 7$
(SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)	$k = -21 \rightarrow 21$
	$l = -18 \rightarrow 18$

### 22918 measured reflections

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$  $wR(F^2) = 0.099$ S = 1.092975 reflections 234 parameters 0 restraints Hydrogen site location: difference Fourier map All H-atom parameters refined  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0376P)^2 + 0.5846P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.26 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.23 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
N1	0.44635 (15)	0.73907 (5)	0.71450 (6)	0.0157 (2)	
H1	0.113 (3)	0.7150 (9)	0.6734 (10)	0.023 (3)*	
C1	0.2081 (2)	0.73808 (7)	0.72124 (8)	0.0215 (2)	
N2	0.13631 (17)	0.77200 (6)	0.79847 (7)	0.0245 (2)	
H2	0.337 (3)	0.8212 (9)	0.9035 (11)	0.028 (4)*	
C2	0.3404 (2)	0.79580 (7)	0.84352 (8)	0.0218 (2)	
C3	0.5334 (2)	0.77620(7)	0.79331 (7)	0.0189 (2)	
Н3	0.701 (3)	0.7824 (9)	0.8042 (10)	0.024 (3)*	
C4	0.58676 (18)	0.70733 (6)	0.64044 (7)	0.0155 (2)	
H4	0.518 (3)	0.8608 (9)	0.6295 (10)	0.028 (4)*	
C5	0.67446 (19)	0.76113 (6)	0.57433 (7)	0.0173 (2)	
Н5	0.881 (3)	0.7657 (9)	0.4575 (10)	0.026 (4)*	
C7	0.8606 (2)	0.64725 (7)	0.49976 (7)	0.0204 (2)	
H7	0.806 (3)	0.5371 (9)	0.5612 (10)	0.028 (4)*	
C8	0.77076 (19)	0.59529 (7)	0.56604 (8)	0.0200 (2)	
H8	0.455 (3)	0.5972 (9)	0.7572 (10)	0.026 (4)*	
C9	0.63395 (18)	0.62423 (6)	0.63861 (7)	0.0171 (2)	
H9	0.928 (4)	0.8858 (12)	0.6469 (14)	0.059 (6)*	
H12	0.429 (3)	0.9356 (11)	0.4939 (12)	0.043 (4)*	
C12	0.8378 (3)	0.90170 (9)	0.59054 (13)	0.0409 (4)	
H11	0.794 (3)	0.9602 (11)	0.5977 (12)	0.045 (5)*	
C11	0.4803 (3)	0.87601 (8)	0.48960 (11)	0.0388 (3)	
C10	0.6171 (2)	0.85084 (7)	0.57667 (8)	0.0222 (2)	
H10	0.933 (3)	0.8961 (11)	0.5377 (13)	0.042 (5)*	
C15	0.3703 (2)	0.50568 (8)	0.66749 (10)	0.0305 (3)	
H16	0.457 (3)	0.4716 (11)	0.6197 (13)	0.049 (5)*	
H17	0.311 (3)	0.4685 (10)	0.7143 (11)	0.037 (4)*	
H18	0.829 (3)	0.4848 (10)	0.7182 (12)	0.042 (4)*	
H19	0.680 (3)	0.4898 (10)	0.8134 (12)	0.040 (4)*	
H20	0.856 (3)	0.5610 (10)	0.7892 (11)	0.036 (4)*	
H15	0.237 (3)	0.5350 (10)	0.6368 (11)	0.040 (4)*	
C14	0.7432 (2)	0.52320 (9)	0.76253 (10)	0.0314 (3)	
H14	0.337 (4)	0.8422 (13)	0.4783 (14)	0.061 (6)*	
C13	0.5411 (2)	0.56655 (7)	0.71156 (8)	0.0209 (2)	
H13	0.588 (3)	0.8716 (11)	0.4351 (14)	0.051 (5)*	
C6	0.81454 (19)	0.72946 (7)	0.50430 (7)	0.0196 (2)	
H6	0.954 (2)	0.6261 (8)	0.4495 (9)	0.020 (3)*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

# supporting information

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
N1	0.0140 (4)	0.0180 (4)	0.0150 (4)	0.0011 (3)	0.0003 (3)	-0.0003 (3)
C1	0.0145 (5)	0.0295 (6)	0.0205 (5)	0.0011 (4)	-0.0007(4)	-0.0026 (4)
N2	0.0167 (5)	0.0336 (6)	0.0232 (5)	0.0032 (4)	0.0024 (4)	-0.0032 (4)
C2	0.0204 (5)	0.0268 (6)	0.0181 (5)	0.0024 (4)	0.0020 (4)	-0.0036 (4)
C3	0.0173 (5)	0.0224 (5)	0.0170 (5)	0.0004 (4)	-0.0004(4)	-0.0029 (4)
C4	0.0127 (5)	0.0195 (5)	0.0144 (5)	0.0011 (4)	-0.0003(4)	-0.0015 (4)
C5	0.0171 (5)	0.0191 (5)	0.0158 (5)	-0.0003 (4)	-0.0022 (4)	0.0000 (4)
C7	0.0181 (5)	0.0272 (6)	0.0162 (5)	0.0017 (4)	0.0027 (4)	-0.0041 (4)
C8	0.0196 (5)	0.0191 (5)	0.0214 (5)	0.0023 (4)	0.0004 (4)	-0.0030 (4)
C9	0.0151 (5)	0.0193 (5)	0.0169 (5)	-0.0001 (4)	-0.0009(4)	0.0001 (4)
C12	0.0394 (8)	0.0226 (6)	0.0603 (10)	-0.0047 (6)	-0.0099 (7)	-0.0053 (6)
C11	0.0495 (9)	0.0227 (6)	0.0437 (8)	0.0063 (6)	-0.0175 (7)	0.0030 (6)
C10	0.0284 (6)	0.0177 (5)	0.0205 (5)	0.0011 (4)	0.0023 (4)	0.0019 (4)
C15	0.0263 (6)	0.0265 (6)	0.0387 (7)	-0.0063 (5)	-0.0001(5)	0.0054 (5)
C14	0.0278 (6)	0.0359 (7)	0.0303 (6)	0.0002 (5)	-0.0021 (5)	0.0130 (6)
C13	0.0212 (5)	0.0194 (5)	0.0222 (5)	0.0012 (4)	0.0040 (4)	0.0026 (4)
C6	0.0185 (5)	0.0252 (6)	0.0151 (5)	-0.0027(4)	0.0009 (4)	0.0015 (4)

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

## Geometric parameters (Å, °)

N1—C1	1.3544 (14)	С12—Н9	0.99 (2)
N1—C3	1.3769 (14)	C12—H11	1.004 (18)
N1-C4	1.4382 (14)	C12—C10	1.5173 (19)
C1—H1	0.950 (15)	C12—H10	0.945 (18)
C1—N2	1.3153 (16)	C11—H12	1.028 (18)
N2-C2	1.3759 (16)	C11—C10	1.5245 (18)
С2—Н2	0.961 (15)	C11—H14	1.00 (2)
C2—C3	1.3578 (16)	C11—H13	1.00 (2)
С3—Н3	0.965 (15)	C10—H4	0.967 (15)
C4—C5	1.3988 (15)	C15—H16	1.019 (19)
C4—C9	1.3988 (15)	C15—H17	0.975 (17)
C5—C10	1.5176 (16)	C15—H15	0.998 (18)
C5—C6	1.3937 (16)	C15—C13	1.5280 (17)
С7—С8	1.3860 (16)	C14—H18	1.027 (18)
С7—С6	1.3845 (17)	C14—H19	0.988 (17)
С7—Н6	0.969 (14)	C14—H20	0.968 (17)
С8—Н7	0.984 (15)	C14—C13	1.5300 (17)
С8—С9	1.3941 (15)	С13—Н8	0.968 (15)
C9—C13	1.5180 (15)	C6—H5	0.981 (15)
C1—N1—C3	107.02 (9)	H12—C11—H14	108.4 (15)
C1—N1—C4	127.58 (9)	H12-C11-H13	107.0 (14)
C3—N1—C4	125.40 (9)	C10-C11-H12	110.7 (10)
N1-C1-H1	120.6 (9)	C10-C11-H14	112.7 (12)
N2-C1-N1	112.01 (10)	C10-C11-H13	108.5 (11)

N2—C1—H1	127.4 (9)	H14—C11—H13	109.4 (16)
C1—N2—C2	104.73 (10)	С5—С10—Н4	108.1 (9)
N2—C2—H2	121.7 (9)	C5-C10-C11	110.78 (10)
C3—C2—N2	110.95 (10)	С12—С10—Н4	106.8 (9)
С3—С2—Н2	127.4 (9)	C12—C10—C5	111.56 (11)
N1—C3—H3	121.5 (8)	C12—C10—C11	111.51 (12)
C2-C3-N1	105.30 (10)	С11—С10—Н4	107.8 (9)
С2—С3—Н3	133.2 (8)	H16—C15—H17	106.9 (14)
C5—C4—N1	118.59 (9)	H16—C15—H15	109.6 (14)
C9—C4—N1	118.64 (9)	H17—C15—H15	110.4 (14)
C9—C4—C5	122.75 (10)	C13—C15—H16	109.8 (11)
C4—C5—C10	121.82 (10)	C13—C15—H17	110.3 (10)
C6-C5-C4	117 73 (10)	C13—C15—H15	109.8(10)
C6-C5-C10	120.44 (10)	H18—C14—H19	107.2 (13)
C8—C7—H6	120.1.(8)	H18—C14—H20	109.4(13)
C6-C7-C8	120.25(10)	H19—C14—H20	107.9 (13)
C6-C7-H6	119.6 (8)	C13 - C14 - H18	110 3 (9)
C7—C8—H7	118.6 (9)	C13 - C14 - H19	110.0(10)
C7 - C8 - C9	121.15(10)	C13 - C14 - H20	110.0(10) 111.9(10)
C9-C8-H7	120.3 (9)	C9-C13-H8	109.0 (8)
C4-C9-C13	120.3(9) 122 34 (10)	C9-C13-C15	109.0(0) 110.37(10)
$C_{8}$ $C_{9}$ $C_{4}$	117 31 (10)	C9-C13-C14	110.37(10) 111.28(10)
$C_{8}$ $C_{9}$ $C_{13}$	120 34 (10)	C15—C13—H8	107.8 (9)
H9-C12-H11	107.3(15)	$C_{15} - C_{13} - C_{14}$	107.0(5)
H9-C12-H10	109.9 (16)	C14 - C13 - H8	1074(9)
$H_{11} - C_{12} - H_{10}$	108.8 (15)	C5-C6-H5	119.8 (8)
C10-C12-H9	1122(12)	$C_{7}$ $C_{6}$ $C_{5}$	120.78(10)
C10 - C12 - H11	109.9(10)	C7—C6—H5	119 4 (8)
C10 - C12 - H10	109.9(10) 108.6(11)	C/ C0 IIS	119.4 (0)
010-012-1110	100.0 (11)		
N1—C1—N2—C2	-0.11 (13)	C4—C5—C6—C7	1.25 (16)
N1-C4-C5-C10	-2.52 (15)	C4—C9—C13—C15	116.01 (12)
N1-C4-C5-C6	178.59 (9)	C4—C9—C13—C14	-120.37 (12)
N1-C4-C9-C8	-179.92 (9)	C5—C4—C9—C8	-1.27 (15)
N1-C4-C9-C13	0.36 (15)	C5—C4—C9—C13	179.01 (10)
C1—N1—C3—C2	-0.09 (12)	C7—C8—C9—C4	1.46 (16)
C1—N1—C4—C5	100.55 (13)	C7—C8—C9—C13	-178.81 (10)
C1—N1—C4—C9	-80.74 (14)	C8—C7—C6—C5	-1.08 (17)
C1—N2—C2—C3	0.05 (14)	C8—C9—C13—C15	-63.71 (14)
N2-C2-C3-N1	0.03 (13)	C8—C9—C13—C14	59.92 (14)
C3—N1—C1—N2	0.13 (13)	C9—C4—C5—C10	178.83 (10)
C3—N1—C4—C5	-79.97 (13)	C9—C4—C5—C6	-0.06 (16)
C3—N1—C4—C9	98.74 (13)	C10—C5—C6—C7	-177.65 (10)
C4—N1—C1—N2	179.68 (10)	C6-C5-C10-C12	-63.43 (15)
C4—N1—C3—C2	-179.66 (10)	C6—C5—C10—C11	61.42 (15)
C4C5C10C12	117.71 (13)	C6—C7—C8—C9	-0.33 (17)
C4—C5—C10—C11	-117.43 (13)		

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D····A	D—H···A
C3—H3…N2 <sup>i</sup>	0.966 (17)	2.474 (17)	3.416 (2)	164.9 (12)

Symmetry code: (i) x+1, y, z.