

Received 31 July 2023 Accepted 19 October 2023

Edited by V. Jancik, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México

Keywords: crystal structure; 2-methylimidazole; trimesic acid.

CCDC reference: 2302266

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



Sofiia Baletska,^a Simone Techert^{b,c} and Jose de Jesus Velazquez-Garcia^{b*}

^aSchool of Physics, V. N. Karazin Kharkiv National University, 4 Svobody Sq., Kharkiv 61022, Ukraine, ^bDeutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron DESY, Notkestr. 85, 22607 Hamburg, Germany, and ^cInstitut für Röntgenphysik, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Friedrich-Hund-Platz 1, Göttingen, 37077, Germany. *Correspondence e-mail: jose.velazquez@desy.de

The structure of the title salt, $C_4H_7N_2^+$, $C_9H_5O_6^-(1)$, is reported. The compound is built from a protonated 2-methylimidazole and a singly deprotonated trimesic acid. Detailed analysis of bond distances and angles for both ions reveals subtle differences compared with their neutral molecule counterpart. Analysis of the crystal packing in compound **1** reveals the formation of undulating chains by the ions through hydrogen bonding. The chains stack along the *b* axis through $\pi - \pi$ interactions and interconnect with other chains in an out-of-phase arrangement along the *ac* plane through further hydrogen-bonding interactions.

1. Chemical context

Trimesic acid, also known as 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylic acid (Hbtc), and 2-methylimidazole (mIm) are two well-known organic compounds with significant applications in various industries. For example, mIm, a nitrogen-containing heterocyclic organic compound, serves as a versatile chemical intermediate that is used extensively in the synthesis of pharmaceuticals, photographic and photothermographic chemicals, dyes and pigments, agricultural chemicals, and in rubber production (Hachuła et al., 2010; Chan, 2004). On the other hand, Hbtc is a planar and highly symmetrical trifunctional compound, which finds use in coating materials, adhesives, plastics, and even in the pharmaceutical industry for drugs and gene carriers. Notably, some dendrimers based on Hbtc have been employed as biomolecular delivery systems (Salamończyk, 2011; Mat Yusuf et al., 2017). Both Hbtc and mIm are also well-established ligands frequently employed in the synthesis of metal-organic frameworks (MOFs). For example, mIm is used in the synthesis of ZIF-8 (zeolitic imidazolate framework - 8; Park *et al.*, 2006), while Hbtc is employed in the production of HKUST-1 (Hong Kong University of Science and Technology - 1; Chui et al., 1999).



In a previous publication, we reported the complex hexaaquacobalt bis(2-methyl-1*H*-imidazol-3-ium) tetraaquabis-(benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylato- κO)cobalt (2), synthesized at ambient conditions (Velazquez-Garcia & Techert, 2022). That



OPEN OPEN ACCESS

ote ion.			
C1-C6	1.388 (2)	C2-C3-C4	118.91 (16)
C1-C7	1.511 (2)	C2 - C1 - C6	119.22 (16)
C2-C1	1.391 (3)	C5-C4-C3	120.01 (16)
C2-C3	1.393 (2)	C1-C6-C5	120.09 (16)
C4-C5	1.393 (3)	C4-C5-C6	120.36 (16)
C4-C3	1.396 (2)	C1-C2-C3	121.37 (17)
C6-C5	1.392 (2)	C2-C1-C7-O1	-168.16(17)
C8-C3	1.505 (3)	C2-C1-C7-O2	12.3 (3)
C9-C5	1.485 (2)	C6-C1-C7-O1	10.5 (2)
O1-C7	1.236 (2)	C6-C1-C7-O2	-169.07(16)
O2-C7	1.279 (2)	O3-C8-C3-C2	4.4 (2)
O3-C8	1.277 (2)	O3-C8-C3-C4	-174.16 (17)
O4-C8	1.247 (2)	O4-C8-C3-C2	-175.82 (17)
O5-C9	1.320 (2)	O4-C8-C3-C4	5.7 (3)
O6-C9	1.224 (2)	O5-C9-C5-C4	-16.1(2)
O1-C7-O2	126.09 (16)	O5-C9-C5-C6	165.92 (15)
O4-C8-O3	124.26 (15)	O6-C9-C5-C4	163.43 (17)
05-C9-O6	123.87 (16)	06-C9-C5-C6	-14.5 (3)

Table 1 Selected bond lengths (Å), bond angles (°), and torsion angles (°) of the htc ion

work led us to modify the synthesis of the complex, resulting in the unexpected synthesis of the title compound.

2. Structural commentary

Compound 1 crystallizes with one singly deprotonated trimesate (btc) molecule and one 2-methyl-1H-imidazol-3-ium (HmIm) molecule in the asymmetric unit, space group C2/c. An ellipsoid plot illustrating these molecules can be seen in Fig. 1. The hydrogen atoms attached to O2 and O3 lie in close vicinity to an inversion center or twofold axis, respectively, and as a consequence, each is disordered between two neighboring molecules with equal occupancy.

Table 1 exhibits selected bond distances and angles of the btc ion. Among these bonds, the shortest non-hydrogen bond occurs between C9 and O6 with 1.224 (2) Å, while the largest is between C1 and C7 with 1.511 (2) Å. The O-C and C-C bond lengths are in the ranges 1.224 (2)-1.320 (2) Å and 1.388 (2)–1.511 (2) Å, respectively. These distances are slightly larger than those corresponding to the reported Hbtc molecule (Tothadi et al., 2020), which range between 1.229 (5)



Figure 1

The molecular structure of 1 with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.

ate group ranging from 124.4 (4) to 125.0 (4) $^{\circ}$ and C-C-C angles of the aromatic ring ranging from 119.0 (4) to $121.1 (4)^{\circ}$, while btc shows slightly wider ranges with O-C-O falling in the 123.9 (2)-126.1 (2)° range and C-C-C angles in the 118.9 (2)-121.4 (4)° range. The main difference between the Hbtc molecule of Tothadi

and 1.303 (5) Å for the O–C bond distances and between

1.381 (6) and 1.494 (9) Å for the C–C bond distances.

Additionally, Hbtc exhibits O-C-O angles of the carboxyl-

and co-workers and the btc ion within the present compound lies in their torsion angles. In the Hbtc molecule, the oxygen atoms are nearly coplanar with the aromatic ring, with torsion angles deviating from 0 or 180° by no more than 4.2 (4)°. In contrast, the btc ion in compound 1 shows a wider deviation range, spanning from 4.2 (2) to 16.6 (2) $^{\circ}$. Oxygen atoms O3 and O4 in 1 are the most coplanar with the aromatic ring, as illustrated by the torsion angles O3-C8-C3-C2 and O4-C8-C3-C4 of 4.4 (2) and 5.7 (3)°, respectively. The difference between Hbtc and btc is further highlighted through a molecular overlay (Fig. 2) generated by the Mercury software (Macrae et al., 2020). The root-mean-squared deviation (r.m.s.d.), as calculated by Mercury is 0.1356 Å, with the major distinction being in the positions of atoms O5 and O6 (Fig. 2a).



Figure 2

Molecular overlay plot comparing (a) the btc ion in 1 (blue) versus the Hbtc molecule (green; Tothadi et al., 2020), and (b) the HmIm ion in 1 (blue) versus the mIm molecule (yellow; Hachuła et al., 2010)

Table 2

Selec HmI	cted bond m ion.	lengths (Å), bond	l angle	s (°),	and tor	sion angles (°) of the
C10	C11	1.245(2)	N1	C12	C12	125 00 (17)

C10-C11	1.345 (3)	N1-C12-C13	125.88 (17)
C12-C13	1.481 (3)	N2-C11-C10	106.37 (16)
N1-C12	1.327 (2)	N2-C12-C13	126.86 (17)
N1-C10	1.372 (2)	C12-N2-C11-C10	-0.0(2)
N2-C12	1.335 (2)	C12-N1-C10-C11	-0.1(2)
N2-C11	1.377 (2)	C10-N1-C12-C13	-179.50(18)
C12-N1-C10	109.41 (15)	C11-N2-C12-C13	179.56 (18)
C12-N2-C11	109.55 (15)	C11-N2-C12-N1	-0.1(2)
N1-C12-N2	107.26 (15)	C10-N1-C12-N2	0.1 (2)
N1-C10-C11	107.41 (16)	N1-C10-C11-N2	0.1 (2)

Selected bond distances and angles for the mIm ion are presented in Table 2. The C–C bond distances are 1.345(3)and 1.481 (3) Å, whereas the N-C distances range from 1.327 (2) to 1.377 (2) Å. These distances are slightly shorter than those found in the neutral mIm molecule reported by Hachuła et al. (2010), where the C-C bond distances are 1.367 (1) and 1.488 (1) Å, and the N–C distances range from 1.329 (1) to 1.385 (1) Å. It is worth noting that imidazole derivatives often exhibit an asymmetry in the two endocyclic N-C bonds (Hachuła et al., 2010), a characteristic also observed in compound 1, where N1-C12 [1.326 (2) Å] shows greater double-bond character than N2-C12 [1.335 (2) Å]. However, this difference is more pronounced in the neutral molecule [0.022 (1) Å] compared with the HmIm ion in 1 [0.008 (3) Å], possibly due to the protonation in the HmIm ion.

Compared with the neutral mIm molecule, protonation in the HmIm ion results in a more symmetrical heterocyclic ring. This increase in the symmetry is observed in the C-C-N and N-C-N angles of the heterocyclic ring, which closely approach the ideal pentagon angle of 108° in the HmIm ion, with a maximum deviation of $1.6 (2)^{\circ}$, while in the neutral mIm molecule, this deviation is slightly larger, at $3.4 (1)^{\circ}$. However, in both cases the carbon of the methyl group is almost coplanar with the heterocycle ring as observed C10-N1-C12-C13 torsion angles in the and C11-N2-C12-C13 of -179.5 (2) and 179.6 (2)° for HmIm and -179.4 (1) and 179.3 (1) for mIm.

Fig. 2b illustrates the molecular overlay between the HmIm ion in compound $\mathbf{1}$ and the neutral mIm molecule as reported by Hachuła and co-workers. The figure demonstrates that contrary to the btc ion, the HmIm ion bears a closer resem-



Figure 3

The two possible mutual positions of the hydrogen atoms H2 and H3 (orange or violet) and the resulting hydrogen bonds in the infinite chain of the trimesate anions.

Table 3				
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).	

	D–H	$H{\cdot}{\cdot}{\cdot}A$	D···A	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
N1-H1···O1	0.88	1.84	2.6771 (19)	159
$O2-H2 \cdot \cdot \cdot O2^{i}$	0.84	1.64	2.4718 (16)	171
N2–H2 B ···O6 ^{iv}	0.88	1.95	2.7460 (20)	151
O3−H3···O3 ⁱⁱ	0.84	1.66	2.4601 (16)	159
O5–H5···O4 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.84	1.75	2.5840 (18)	170

blance to its neutral counterpart. This similarity is further supported by the r.m.s.d. value calculated by *Mercury*, which has a value of 0.0320 Å.

3. Supramolecular features

The crystal packing in 1 is primarily based on hydrogen bonds and $\pi - \pi$ interactions. Table 3 provides a summary of the hydrogen bonds found within the compound. Hydrogen atoms H2 and H3 are involved in an infinite chain of hydrogen bonds. As a result of the symmetry of the crystal, and the negative charge of the trimesate anion, the protons H2 and H3 have an occupancy of only 50%, meaning that in the asymmetric unit, the negative charge is distributed evenly between the two carboxylates. In other words, if O3 is protonated, O2 from the same molecule is not and the neighboring trimesate molecules participating in the hydrogen-bonded chain will have O2 protonated and O3 not (Fig. 3). As illustrated in Fig. 4a, hydrogen bonds N1-H1···O1, N2-H2B···O6, and O3-H1...O3 form undulating chains that extend along the $\overline{[302]}$ direction, while $\pi - \pi$ interactions [centroid–centroid distance of 3.770 (2) Å], both among mIm and between btc





Crystal packing in compound **1.** (a) View down the b axis showing undulating chains formed by HmIm and btc ions through hydrogen bonding (blue lines), (b) view along the [101] direction illustrating the stacking of the chains via π - π interactions (green lines), and (c) view of the interconnection of chains in an out-of-phase arrangement.

ions, stack the chains along the *b*-axis direction (Fig. 4*b*). Finally, hydrogen bonds $O5-H5\cdots O4$ and $O2-H2\cdots O2$ interconnect the chains in an out-of-phase manner (Fig. 4*c*), expanding the structure throughout the *ac* plane.

4. Database survey

A search for the title compound in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, Version 5.43, update of November 2022; Groom et al., 2016) did not match with any reported structures. The structure of the neutral mIm molecule has been reported with refcode FULPIM (Hachuła et al., 2010), while several structures of Hbtc have been reported with refcodes BTCOAC01 (Duchamp & Marsh, 1969), BTCOAC03, FONHEW01, SOWCUF, SOWDIU, SOWDUG, SOWFAO, SOWFIW, SOWFOC (Cui et al., 2019), BTCOAC05 (Tothadi et al., 2020), CAFVOW, CAFVUC (Rajput et al., 2010), FONHEW (Fan et al., 2005), IYUQIC, IYUQOI (Dale et al., 2004), LERSAD (Vishweshwar et al., 2006), LUWWEI, LUWWEI01 (Yan et al., 2020), MIMXEO, MIMXIS, MIMXOY, MIMXUE (Sanchez-Sala et al., 2018), MIXCOM (Rodríguez-Cuamatzi et al., 2007), OLAJIX01 (Ward & Oswald, 2020), QEYFIK (Goldberg & Bernstein, 2007), TMADMS (Herbstein et al., 1978), TMADMS01 (Bernès et al., 2008), TMADMS02, XASFAA01 (Li et al., 2018), TRIMES10 (Herbstein & Marsh, 1977), TUBBAT (Melendez et al., 1996), UDUMUC (Chen et al., 2007), XASFAA01 (Davey et al., 2013), XAVPOZ, XAVQEQ (Chatterjee et al., 2000) and XAVPOZ01 (Dale & Elsegood, 2003). Other organic compounds with a low degree of similarity to the title compound were also found, for example refcodes: ILELAO (Li & Li, 2016), INACOQ (Li et al., 2010), LUBHEX, LUBHIB, LUBHOH, LUBHUN, LUBJAV (Singh et al., 2015), NUHBAU (Du et al., 2009), RUDRAJ, RUDREN, RUDRIR (Akutagawa et al., 1996), RUDRAJ and RUDREN (Herbstein et al., 2002). However, these organic compounds do not contain either trimesic acid or 2-methylimidazole or their respective ions.

5. Synthesis and crystallization

In a typical synthesis, solutions of CoCl₂·6H₂O (2.5 ml, 0.02 M), mIm (65 µl, 1.58 M) and btc (500 µl, 0.12 M) were mixed without stirring. Within less than a minute, a blue precipitate was formed. The resulting heterogeneous mixture was allowed to slowly air-dry. After complete solvent evaporation, we obtained a mixture of the title compound, the previously reported cobalt complex **2**, and an unidentified phase. Although the blocky colorless crystals of the title compound can be easily identified in the mixture, all attempts to separate them from the other components by other than mechanical means were unsuccessful.

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 4. The positions of hydrogen atoms

Table 4	
Experimental	details.

1	
Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_4H_7N_2^+ \cdot C_9H_5O_6^-$
M _r	292.25
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, C2/c
Temperature (K)	100
a, b, c (Å)	24.0655 (18), 3.7704 (3), 27.4258 (19)
β (°)	99.481 (8)
$V(Å^3)$	2454.5 (3)
Ζ	8
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	0.13
Crystal size (mm)	$0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.03$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker APEX Duo CCD area detector
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.628, 0.745
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	23840, 2531, 1969
R _{int}	0.074
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.626
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.039, 0.103, 1.04
No. of reflections	2531
No. of parameters	204
No. of restraints	3
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of
	independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} ({\rm e} {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.24, -0.28

Computer programs: *APEX2* and *SAINT* (Bruker, 2016), *SHELXT2018/2* (Sheldrick, 2015*a*), *SHELXL2018/3* (Sheldrick, 2015*b*), and *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009).

were refined with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C \text{ or N})$ for CH and NH groups and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C \text{ or O})$ for others. Hydrogen atoms H2 and H3, each lying close to a symmetry element, were refined with a fixed occupancy of 0.5. The protons of the methyl group were refined as disordered over two geometrically idealized positions. The most disagreeable reflection (002) with an error/s.u. of more than 10 was omitted using the OMIT instruction in *SHELXL* (Sheldrick, 2015*b*).

Acknowledgements

SB thanks the DESY-Helmholtz-Ukraine student fund for financial support. Funding for this research was provided by: HG-recruitment, HG-Innovation 'ECRAPS', HG-Innovation DSF/DASHH and CMWS (grant to ST).

References

- Akutagawa, T., Saito, G., Kusunoki, M. & Sakaguchi, K. (1996). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 69, 2487–2511.
- Bernès, S., Hernández, G., Portillo, R. & Gutiérrez, R. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, 01366.
- Bruker (2016). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Chan, P. C. (2004). TOXIC Rep. Ser. 1-G12.
- Chatterjee, S., Pedireddi, V. R., Ranganathan, A. & Rao, C. N. R. (2000). J. Mol. Struct. **520**, 107–115.

research communications

- Chen, J.-M., Sun, J.-J., Huang, W.-W., Lao, Y.-N. & Yang, S.-P. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* E63, o3053.
- Chui, S. S.-Y., Lo, S. M.-F., Charmant, J. P. H., Orpen, A. G. & Williams, I. D. (1999). Science, 283, 1148–1150.
- Cui, P., McMahon, D. P., Spackman, P. R., Alston, B. M., Little, M. A., Day, G. M. & Cooper, A. I. (2019). *Chem. Sci.* **10**, 9988–9997.
- Dale, S. H. & Elsegood, M. R. J. (2003). Acta Cryst. E59, 0127-0128.
- Dale, S. H., Elsegood, M. R. J. & Richards, S. J. (2004). Chem. Commun. pp. 1278–1279.
- Davey, R. J., Brychczynska, M., Sadiq, G., Dent, G. & Pritchard, R. G. (2013). CrystEngComm, 15, 856–859.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341.
- Du, M., Jiang, X.-J., Tan, X., Zhang, Z.-H. & Cai, H. (2009). CrystEngComm, 11, 454–462.
- Duchamp, D. J. & Marsh, R. E. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 5-19.
- Fan, Z.-Z., Li, X.-H. & Wang, G.-P. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, 01607–01608.
- Goldberg, I. & Bernstein, J. (2007). Chem. Commun. pp. 132-134.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Hachuła, B., Nowak, M. & Kusz, J. (2010). J. Chem. Crystallogr. 40, 201–206.
- Herbstein, F. H., Hu, S. & Kapon, M. (2002). Acta Cryst. B58, 884– 892.
- Herbstein, F. H., Kapon, M. & Wasserman, S. (1978). Acta Cryst. B34, 1613–1617.
- Herbstein, F. H. & Marsh, R. E. (1977). Acta Cryst. B33, 2358-2367.
- Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3–10.
- Li, C.-P., Zhao, X.-H., Chen, X.-D., Yu, Q. & Du, M. (2010). Cryst. Growth Des. 10, 5034–5042.

- Li, H., Li, M., Yang, Q., Sun, X., Guan, B. & Song, Y. (2018). Chem. Asian J. 13, 761–764.
- Li, S.-Y. & Li, P. (2016). Z. Krist. New Cryst. Struc. 231, 525-528.
- Macrae, C. F., Sovago, I., Cottrell, S. J., Galek, P. T. A., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Platings, M., Shields, G. P., Stevens, J. S., Towler, M. & Wood, P. A. (2020). J. Appl. Cryst. 53, 226–235.
- Mat Yusuf, S., Ng, Y., Ayub, A., Ngalim, S. & Lim, V. (2017). Polymers, 9, 311. https://doi.org/10.3390/polym9080311
- Melendez, R. E., Sharma, C. V. K., Zaworotko, M. J., Bauer, C. & Rogers, R. D. (1996). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 35, 2213–2215.
- Park, K. S., Ni, Z., Côté, A. P., Choi, J. Y., Huang, R., Uribe-Romo, F. J., Chae, H. K., O'Keeffe, M. & Yaghi, O. M. (2006). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **103**, 10186–10191.
- Rajput, L., Jana, N. & Biradha, K. (2010). Cryst. Growth Des. 10, 4565–4570.
- Rodríguez-Cuamatzi, P., Arillo-Flores, O. I., Bernal-Uruchurtu, M. I. & Höpfl, H. (2007). *Supramol. Chem.* **19**, 559–578.
- Salamończyk, G. M. (2011). Tetrahedron Lett. 52, 155-158.
- Sanchez-Sala, M., Vallcorba, O., Domingo, C. & Ayllón, J. A. (2018). Cryst. Growth Des. 18, 6621–6626.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Singh, U. P., Tomar, K. & Kashyap, S. (2015). CrystEngComm, 17, 1421–1433.
- Tothadi, S., Koner, K., Dey, K., Addicoat, M. & Banerjee, R. (2020). *Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, **12**, 15588–15594.
- Velazquez-Garcia, J. de J. & Techert, S. (2022). Acta Cryst. E78, 814–817.
- Vishweshwar, P., Beauchamp, D. A. & Zaworotko, M. J. (2006). *Cryst. Growth Des.* 6, 2429–2431.
- Ward, M. R. & Oswald, I. D. H. (2020). Crystals, 10, 1098.
- Yan, Y., Kariuki, B. M., Hughes, C. E., Logsdail, A. J. & Harris, K. D. M. (2020). Cryst. Growth Des. 20, 5736–5744.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2023). E79, 1088-1092 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989023009209]

Crystal structure of 2-methyl-1H-imidazol-3-ium 3,5-dicarboxybenzoate

Sofiia Baletska, Simone Techert and Jose de Jesus Velazquez-Garcia

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2016); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2016); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2016); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXT2018/2* (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2018/3* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: Olex2 1.5 (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: Olex2 1.5 (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009).

2-Methyl-1H-imidazol-3-ium 3,5-dicarboxybenzoate

Crystal data

C₄H₇N₂^{+·}C₉H₅O₆⁻⁻ $M_r = 292.25$ Monoclinic, C2/c a = 24.0655 (18) Å b = 3.7704 (3) Å c = 27.4258 (19) Å $\beta = 99.481$ (8)° V = 2454.5 (3) Å³ Z = 8

Data collection

Bruker APEX Duo CCD area detector
diffractometer
Graphite monochromator
phi and ω scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
$T_{\min} = 0.628, \ T_{\max} = 0.745$
23840 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$ $wR(F^2) = 0.103$ S = 1.042531 reflections 204 parameters 3 restraints Primary atom site location: dual F(000) = 1216 $D_x = 1.582 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 2955 reflections $\theta = 2.5-30.4^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.13 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 KBlock, clear light colourless $0.1 \times 0.1 \times 0.03 \text{ mm}$

2531 independent reflections 1969 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.074$ $\theta_{max} = 26.4^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 2.1^{\circ}$ $h = -30 \rightarrow 30$ $k = -4 \rightarrow 4$ $l = -34 \rightarrow 34$

Hydrogen site location: mixed H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0457P)^2 + 3.154P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.24 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.28 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
05	0.21243 (5)	1.0194 (4)	0.29386 (4)	0.0167 (3)	
Н5	0.178804	0.950516	0.290143	0.025*	
O4	0.39014 (5)	1.2973 (4)	0.22895 (4)	0.0172 (3)	
O3	0.47026 (5)	1.2416 (4)	0.28243 (4)	0.0175 (3)	
Н3	0.484491	1.273555	0.256792	0.026*	0.5
01	0.39873 (5)	0.7849 (4)	0.48212 (4)	0.0196 (3)	
O2	0.47345 (5)	1.0439 (4)	0.45787 (4)	0.0174 (3)	
H2	0.488390	1.006191	0.487304	0.026*	0.5
O6	0.21810 (5)	0.7269 (4)	0.36581 (4)	0.0186 (3)	
N1	0.41365 (6)	0.4251 (4)	0.56743 (5)	0.0157 (3)	
H1	0.417821	0.532713	0.539790	0.019*	
N2	0.37701 (6)	0.1426 (4)	0.62208 (5)	0.0154 (3)	
H2B	0.352463	0.029800	0.636906	0.018*	
C2	0.41679 (7)	1.0776 (5)	0.36004 (6)	0.0127 (4)	
H2A	0.456452	1.111505	0.365596	0.015*	
C1	0.39023 (7)	0.9786 (5)	0.39933 (6)	0.0127 (4)	
C9	0.24019 (7)	0.8931 (5)	0.33578 (6)	0.0135 (4)	
C4	0.32831 (7)	1.0735 (5)	0.30481 (6)	0.0125 (4)	
H4	0.306933	1.108898	0.272846	0.015*	
C7	0.42279 (7)	0.9286 (5)	0.45087 (6)	0.0139 (4)	
C8	0.41665 (7)	1.2307 (5)	0.27097 (6)	0.0125 (4)	
C6	0.33258 (7)	0.9172 (5)	0.39079 (6)	0.0129 (4)	
H6	0.314219	0.841015	0.417075	0.016*	
C5	0.30162 (7)	0.9672 (5)	0.34375 (6)	0.0128 (4)	
C3	0.38646 (7)	1.1280 (5)	0.31275 (6)	0.0126 (4)	
C12	0.36643 (8)	0.2760 (5)	0.57639 (6)	0.0156 (4)	
C10	0.45497 (8)	0.3855 (5)	0.60797 (6)	0.0162 (4)	
H10	0.492702	0.467759	0.611105	0.019*	
C11	0.43223 (7)	0.2087 (5)	0.64244 (7)	0.0161 (4)	
H11	0.450624	0.142482	0.674491	0.019*	
C13	0.31239 (8)	0.2603 (6)	0.54168 (8)	0.0238 (4)	
H13A	0.308320	0.473526	0.520952	0.036*	0.47 (2)
H13B	0.281126	0.247390	0.560431	0.036*	0.47 (2)
H13C	0.311958	0.049737	0.520678	0.036*	0.47 (2)
H13D	0.2871 (17)	0.450 (11)	0.5494 (18)	0.036*	0.53 (2)
H13E	0.3175 (18)	0.267 (16)	0.5074 (8)	0.036*	0.53 (2)
H13F	0.2873 (16)	0.073 (11)	0.5495 (18)	0.036*	0.53 (2)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

supporting information

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
05	0.0115 (6)	0.0275 (7)	0.0096 (6)	-0.0027 (5)	-0.0024 (5)	0.0013 (5)
O4	0.0140 (6)	0.0295 (8)	0.0073 (6)	0.0010 (5)	-0.0001 (5)	0.0032 (5)
O3	0.0119 (6)	0.0305 (8)	0.0101 (6)	-0.0004 (5)	0.0024 (5)	0.0024 (6)
01	0.0187 (7)	0.0292 (8)	0.0105 (6)	-0.0031 (6)	0.0013 (5)	0.0052 (6)
O2	0.0132 (6)	0.0293 (8)	0.0085 (6)	-0.0020(5)	-0.0020(5)	0.0017 (6)
06	0.0159 (6)	0.0265 (8)	0.0133 (6)	-0.0046 (6)	0.0019 (5)	0.0027 (6)
N1	0.0192 (8)	0.0185 (8)	0.0093 (7)	-0.0011 (6)	0.0024 (6)	0.0015 (6)
N2	0.0154 (7)	0.0171 (8)	0.0142 (7)	-0.0015 (6)	0.0043 (6)	0.0024 (6)
C2	0.0115 (8)	0.0138 (9)	0.0120 (8)	-0.0002 (7)	-0.0002 (7)	-0.0018 (7)
C1	0.0154 (8)	0.0134 (9)	0.0087 (8)	0.0003 (7)	0.0001 (7)	-0.0004 (7)
C9	0.0159 (9)	0.0153 (9)	0.0088 (8)	0.0006 (7)	0.0006 (7)	-0.0035 (7)
C4	0.0143 (8)	0.0133 (9)	0.0092 (8)	0.0008 (7)	-0.0004 (6)	0.0003 (7)
C7	0.0147 (8)	0.0170 (9)	0.0095 (8)	0.0023 (7)	0.0011 (7)	-0.0001 (7)
C8	0.0114 (8)	0.0152 (9)	0.0108 (8)	0.0000(7)	0.0013 (6)	-0.0004 (7)
C6	0.0161 (9)	0.0139 (9)	0.0087 (8)	-0.0005 (7)	0.0018 (7)	0.0003 (7)
C5	0.0145 (8)	0.0129 (9)	0.0108 (8)	-0.0002 (7)	0.0014 (7)	-0.0015 (7)
C3	0.0155 (9)	0.0118 (9)	0.0101 (8)	0.0009(7)	0.0013 (7)	-0.0004 (7)
C12	0.0176 (9)	0.0152 (9)	0.0136 (9)	0.0015 (7)	0.0009 (7)	-0.0013 (7)
C10	0.0152 (9)	0.0172 (9)	0.0156 (9)	-0.0003 (7)	0.0002 (7)	-0.0017 (7)
C11	0.0170 (9)	0.0181 (9)	0.0121 (9)	0.0029 (7)	-0.0002 (7)	0.0000 (7)
C13	0.0201 (10)	0.0277 (11)	0.0213 (10)	-0.0001 (8)	-0.0036 (8)	0.0003 (9)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

O5—H5	0.8400	C1—C6	1.388 (2)
О5—С9	1.320 (2)	C9—C5	1.485 (2)
O4—C8	1.247 (2)	C4—H4	0.9500
O3—H3	0.8400	C4—C5	1.393 (2)
O3—C8	1.277 (2)	C4—C3	1.396 (2)
O1—C7	1.235 (2)	C8—C3	1.505 (2)
O2—H2	0.8400	C6—H6	0.9500
O2—C7	1.279 (2)	C6—C5	1.392 (2)
Об—С9	1.224 (2)	C12—C13	1.481 (3)
N1—H1	0.8800	C10—H10	0.9500
N1-C12	1.326 (2)	C10—C11	1.345 (3)
N1—C10	1.372 (2)	C11—H11	0.9500
N2—H2B	0.8800	C13—H13A	0.9800
N2-C12	1.335 (2)	C13—H13B	0.9800
N2-C11	1.377 (2)	C13—H13C	0.9800
C2—H2A	0.9500	C13—H13D	0.984 (19)
C2—C1	1.391 (2)	C13—H13E	0.968 (19)
C2—C3	1.393 (2)	C13—H13F	0.976 (19)
C1—C7	1.511 (2)		
С9—О5—Н5	109.5	С5—С6—Н6	120.0

supporting information

С8—О3—Н3	109.5	C4—C5—C9	121.01 (15)
С7—О2—Н2	109.5	C6—C5—C9	118.60 (15)
C12—N1—H1	125.3	C6—C5—C4	120.36 (16)
C12—N1—C10	109.41 (15)	C2—C3—C4	118.91 (16)
C10—N1—H1	125.3	C2—C3—C8	119.96 (15)
C12—N2—H2B	125.2	C4—C3—C8	121.11 (15)
C12—N2—C11	109.55 (15)	N1—C12—N2	107.26 (15)
C11—N2—H2B	125.2	N1—C12—C13	125.88 (17)
C1—C2—H2A	119.3	N2-C12-C13	126.86 (17)
C1—C2—C3	121.37 (16)	N1-C10-H10	126.3
C3—C2—H2A	119.3	C11—C10—N1	107.41 (16)
C2—C1—C7	121.63 (15)	C11—C10—H10	126.3
C6—C1—C2	119.22 (16)	N2—C11—H11	126.8
C6—C1—C7	119.14 (15)	C10-C11-N2	106.37 (16)
O5—C9—C5	114.15 (15)	C10-C11-H11	126.8
O6—C9—O5	123.87 (16)	C12—C13—H13A	109.5
O6—C9—C5	121.98 (15)	C12—C13—H13B	109.5
C5—C4—H4	120.0	C12—C13—H13C	109.5
C5—C4—C3	120.01 (16)	C12—C13—H13D	110 (3)
C3—C4—H4	120.0	С12—С13—Н13Е	113 (3)
O1—C7—O2	126.09 (16)	C12—C13—H13F	113 (3)
O1—C7—C1	118.28 (15)	H13A—C13—H13B	109.5
O2—C7—C1	115.64 (15)	H13A—C13—H13C	109.5
O4—C8—O3	124.26 (15)	H13B—C13—H13C	109.5
O4—C8—C3	121.17 (15)	H13D—C13—H13E	112 (4)
O3—C8—C3	114.57 (15)	H13D—C13—H13F	93 (4)
С1—С6—Н6	120.0	H13E—C13—H13F	114 (4)
C1—C6—C5	120.09 (16)		
O5—C9—C5—C4	-16.1(2)	C7—C1—C6—C5	178.97 (16)
O5—C9—C5—C6	165.92 (15)	C6—C1—C7—O1	10.5 (3)
O4—C8—C3—C2	-175.82 (17)	C6—C1—C7—O2	-169.07 (16)
O4—C8—C3—C4	5.7 (3)	C5—C4—C3—C2	-0.6 (3)
O3—C8—C3—C2	4.4 (2)	C5—C4—C3—C8	177.89 (16)
O3—C8—C3—C4	-174.11 (16)	C3—C2—C1—C7	-179.11 (16)
O6—C9—C5—C4	163.43 (17)	C3—C2—C1—C6	2.2 (3)
06—C9—C5—C6	-14.5(3)	C3-C4-C5-C9	-177.44(16)
N1-C10-C11-N2	0.1 (2)	C_{3} — C_{4} — C_{5} — C_{6}	0.5 (3)
C2-C1-C7-O1	-168.16(17)	C_{12} N1- C_{10} C11	-0.2(2)
C_{2} C_{1} C_{7} C_{2}	12.3 (3)	C12 - N2 - C11 - C10	0.0(2)
C2-C1-C6-C5	-2.3 (3)	C10 - N1 - C12 - N2	0.1 (2)
C1-C2-C3-C4	-0.7(3)	C10 - N1 - C12 - C13	-179.50(18)
C1—C2—C3—C8	-179.26 (16)	$C_{11} = N_2 = C_{12} = N_1$	-0.1(2)
C1—C6—C5—C9	178.97 (16)	$C_{11} = N_2 = C_{12} = C_{13}$	179.56 (18)
C1—C6—C5—C4	1.0 (3)		
	X- /		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
N1—H1…O1	0.88	1.84	2.6771 (19)	159
O2—H2···O2 ⁱ	0.84	1.64	2.4718 (16)	171
N2—H2B···O6 ⁱⁱ	0.88	1.95	2.746 (2)	151
O3—H3…O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.84	1.66	2.4601 (16)	159
O5—H5…O4 ^{iv}	0.84	1.75	2.5840 (18)	170

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, -y+2, -z+1; (ii) -x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1; (iii) -x+1, y, -z+1/2; (iv) -x+1/2, y-1/2, -z+1/2.