

Received 13 October 2023 Accepted 16 October 2023

Edited by W. T. A. Harrison, University of Aberdeen, United Kingdom

Keywords: crystal structure; iron thiocyanate; 3cyanopyridine; thermal properties; IR spectrum.

CCDC references: 2301450; 2301449

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



Acta Cryst. (2023). E79, 1093–1099

Synthesis, crystal structure and properties of tetrakis(pyridine-3-carbonitrile)dithiocyanatoiron(II) and of diaquabis(pyridine-3-carbonitrile)dithiocyanatoiron(II) pyridine-3-carbonitrile monosolvate

Christian Näther,* Asmus Müller-Meinhard and Inke Jess

Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Universität Kiel, Max-Eyth.-Str. 2, 24118 Kiel, Germany. *Correspondence e-mail: cnaether@ac.uni-kiel.de

The reaction of iron thiocyanate with 3-cyanopyridine ($C_6H_4N_2$) leads to the formation of two compounds with the composition $[Fe(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)_4]$ (1) and $[Fe(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)_2(H_2O)_2] \cdot 2C_6H_4N_2$ (2). The asymmetric unit of 1 consists of one iron cation, two thiocyanate anions and four 3-cyanopyridine ligands in general positions. The iron cation is octahedrally coordinated by two N-bonded thiocyanate anions and four 3-cyanopyridine ligands. The complexes are arranged in columns along the crystallographic *c*-axis direction and are linked by weak $C-H \cdots N$ interactions. In 2, the asymmetric unit consists of one iron cation on a center of inversion as well as one thiocyanate anion, one 3-cyanopyridine ligand, one water ligand and one 3-cyanopyridine solvate molecule in general positions. The iron cation is octahedrally coordinated by two N-bonded thiocyanate anions, two cyanopyridine ligands and two water ligands. O-H···N and C-H···S hydrogen bonding is observed between the water ligands and the solvent 3-cyanopyridine molecules. In the crystal structure, alternating layers of the iron complexes and the solvated 3-cyanopyridine molecules are observed. Powder X-ray (PXRD) investigations reveal that both compounds were obtained as pure phases and from IR spectroscopic measurements conclusions on the coordination mode of the thiocanate anions and the cyanogroup were made. Thermogravimetric (TG) and differential thermoanalysis (DTA) of 1 indicate the formation of a compound with the composition { $[Fe(NCS)_2]_3(C_6H_4N_2)_4]_n$ that is isotypic to the corresponding Cd compound already reported in the literature. TG/DTA of 2 show several mass losses. The first mass loss corresponds to the removal of the two water ligands leading to the formation of 1, which transforms into $\{[Fe(NCS)_2]_3(C_6H_4N_2)_4\}_n$ upon further heating.

1. Chemical context

For several years, we and others have been interested in the synthesis, structures and physical properties of coordination compounds based on transition-metal thiocyanates with additional neutral organic coligands. In such compounds, the anionic ligands can be terminally coordinated to the metal cations or they can act as bridging ligands, leading to the formation of networks (Kabešová & Gažo, 1980). The latter compounds are of special interest because different magnetic phenomena can be observed (González *et al.*, 2012; Werner *et al.*, 2014; Palion-Gazda *et al.*, 2015; Mautner *et al.*, 2018; Rams *et al.*, 2020). Unfortunately, the compounds with a bridging coordination are sometimes difficult to prepare with metal cations such as Mn, Fe, Co or Ni, because these cations are less chalcophilic, which means that a terminal coordination is

research communications

preferred. In such cases, an alternative synthetic approach can be used based on thermal treatment of suitable precursor compounds, which we developed many years ago for the synthesis of copper(I) halide coordination polymers (Näther et al., 2001; Näther & Jess, 2004). For the synthesis of thiocyanate coordination polymers, these precursors consist of compounds in which the metal cations are octahedrally coordinated by two terminally N-bonding thiocyanate anions and four coligands that in most cases consist of pyridine derivatives. If such compounds are heated, the coligands are frequently stepwise removed and the empty coordination sites at the metal centers are completed by the S atoms of the anionic ligands that in the complex do not participate in the metal coordination, which enforces a bridging coordination of the thiocyanate anions. Major advantages of this approach are the fact that this reaction is irreversible, that the products are formed in quantitative yields, and that in several cases, polymorphic or isomeric modifications can be prepared (Werner et al., 2015). However, following this approach, only microcrystalline powders are observed that cannot be investigated by single crystal X-ray diffraction. In this case, the corresponding $Cd(NCS)_2$ compounds can be prepared, which also prefer an octahedral coordination. Because cadmium is more chalcophilic than the cations mentioned above, the synthesis of compounds with a bridging coordination is easier and, in most cases, they can easily be crystallized and characterized by single-crystal structure analysis (Wöhlert et al., 2013). In several cases they are isotypic with the Mn, Fe, Co or Ni compounds, allowing the structural identification of the latter. Moreover, with Cd(NCS)₂ and one definite ligand, usually several compounds with a different, in part unusual ratio between $Cd(NCS)_2$ and the coligands can be obtained. If such compounds are detected, one can determine whether they are also available with other metal cations.

In this context, we have reported new thiocyanate coordination compounds based on Cd(NCS)₂ and 3-cyanopyridine as ligand, where five different compounds were detected (Jochim et al., 2020). This includes two solvates with the composition $[Cd(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)_2]_n \cdot C_6H_4N_2$ and $[Cd(NCS)]_2(C_6H_4N_2)_2]_n \cdot C_6H_4N_2$ $_{2}(C_{6}H_{4}N_{2})_{2}]_{n}\cdot 1/3C_{6}H_{4}N_{2}$ ($C_{6}H_{4}N_{2} = 3$ -cyanopyridine) and one further compound with a similar structure with the composition $[Cd(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)_2]_n$. In all of these compounds, the Cd cations are octahedrally coordinated by two thiocyanate anions and four 3-cyanopyridine coligands and are linked by pairs of μ -1,3-bridging thiocyanate anions into chains, which is a common motif in thiocyanate coordination polymers. Two additional 3-cyanopyridine deficient compounds with an unusual ratio between $Cd(NCS)_2$ and 3-cyanopyridine were also characterized. In $\{[Cd(NCS)_2]_2(C_6H_4N_2)_3\}_n$ and $\{[Cd(NCS)_2]_3(C_6H_4N_2)_4\}_n$ the cations are also octahedrally coordinated and linked into chains, but some of the 3-cyanopyridine ligands act as bridging ligands and connect the chains into layers.

In further work, corresponding compounds with $Ni(NCS)_2$ were investigated. With this cation, discrete complexes with the composition $Ni(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)_4$ have already been reported in the literature (Kilkenny & Nassimbeni, 2001), Ni(NCS)₂(C₆H₄N₂)₂(H₂O)₂, Ni(NCS)₂(C₆H₄N₂)₂(CH₃OH)₂ and Ni(NCS)₂(C₆H₄N₂)₂(CH₃CN)₂ were prepared in which the metal cations are always octahedrally coordinated (Krebs *et al.*, 2021). All of these complexes transform into a new compound with the composition Ni(NCS)₂(C₆H₄N₂)₂ upon heating, which can also be prepared from solution. In this compound, the metal cations are linked by pairs of μ -1,3bridging thiocyanate anions into dinuclear units that are further connected by single anionic ligands into layers. Therefore, the structures of the Ni(NCS)₂ compounds are completely different from those of the Cd(NCS)₂ compounds.

Compounds with Mn(NCS)₂ and 3-cyanopyridine were prepared because Mn^{II} compounds frequently behave similar to Cd(NCS)₂ compounds (Krebs et al., 2023). With Mn(NCS)₂ compounds with the composition $Mn(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)_4$, $Mn(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)_2(H_2O)_2 \cdot bis(C_6H_4N_2)$ solvate and $Mn(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)(H_2O)$ and $Mn(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)_2(H_2O)_2$ were obtained, but the latter compound cannot be prepared as a pure phase. Most compounds consist of discrete complexes but in $Mn(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)(H_2O)$ the Mn cations are linked by single μ -1,3-bridging thiocyanates into chains, which are further connected into layers by the 3-cyanopyridine coligands. Thermoanaytical investigations reveal that the discrete complex $Mn(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)_4$ transforms into a new compound with the composition $[(Mn(NCS)_2)_3(C_6H_4N_2)_4]_n$ that is isotypic to the corresponding Cd compound mentioned above. When $Mn(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)_2(H_2O)_2$ ·bis $(C_6H_4N_2)$ solvate is heated, it transforms into $[(Mn(NCS)_2)_3(C_6H_4N_2)_4]_n$ via the discrete complex Mn(NCS)₂(C₆H₄N₂)₄ as an intermediate. Therefore, the structural behavior and the thermal reactivity is much more similar to that of the Cd(NCS)₂ compounds with 3-cyanopyridine as coligand.

Based on all these findings, we decided to prepare corresponding compounds based on $Fe(NCS)_2$ and 3-cyanopyridine to investigate if this cation behaves more similarly to Cd^{II} , Mn^{II} or Ni^{II} . Within this systematic work, only two discrete complexes were obtained, which were investigated for their thermal behavior.



2. Structural commentary

The asymmetric unit of $Fe(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)_4$ (1) consists of one iron cation as well as of two thiocyanate anions and four 3-cyanopyridine coligands in general positions (Fig. 1). The iron cations are octahedrally coordinated by two terminally Nbonded thiocyanate anions and four 3-cyanopyridine coligands that coordinate *via* the pyridine N atom to the metal

Table 1Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for 1.					
Fe1-N1	2.065 (2)	Fe1-N21	2.273 (2)		
Fe1-N2	2.069 (2)	Fe1-N31	2.257 (2)		
Fe1-N11	2.2660 (19)	Fe1-N41	2.2339 (19)		
N1-Fe1-N2	179.21 (9)	N11-Fe1-N21	97.44 (7)		
N1-Fe1-N11	90.70 (8)	N31-Fe1-N11	176.72 (7)		
N1-Fe1-N21	90.56 (8)	N31-Fe1-N21	85.31 (7)		
N1-Fe1-N31	91.05 (8)	N41-Fe1-N11	90.12 (7)		
N1-Fe1-N41	90.15 (9)	N41-Fe1-N21	172.40(7)		
N2-Fe1-N11	88.70 (8)	N41-Fe1-N31	87.11 (7)		
N2-Fe1-N21	89.00 (8)	Fe1-N1-C1	175.0 (2)		
N2-Fe1-N31	89.57 (8)	Fe1-N2-C2	163.2 (2)		
N2-Fe1-N41	90.37 (8)		~ /		

Table 2

Selected geometric parameters (Å, $^{\circ}$) for 2.

Fe1-N1 Fe1-O1	2.1207 (10) 2.1267 (9)	Fe1-N11	2.2358 (10
N1-Fe1-O1	89.42 (4)	O1 ⁱ -Fe1-N11	87.62 (3)
$N1^{1}$ -Fe1-O1	90.58 (4)	O1-Fe1-N11	92.38 (3)
N1-Fe1-N11	89.86 (4)	Fe1-N1-C1	167.09 (10)
N1 ⁱ -Fe1-N11	90.14 (4)		

centers (Fig. 1). This compound is isotypic to Ni(NCS) $_2(C_6H_4N_2)_4$, Mn(NCS) $_2(C_6H_4N_2)_4$ and Zn(NCS) $_2(C_6H_4N_2)_4$ already reported in the literature (Kilkenny & Nassimbeni, 2001; Krebs *et al.*, 2021, Krebs *et al.*, 2023; Jochim *et al.*, 2019). Despite differences because of the different ionic radii, the bond lengths are comparable to those in the isotypic compounds (Table 1). From the N-Fe-N bond angles it is obvious that the octahedra are slightly distorted (Table 1).

In $Fe(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)_2(H_2O)_2 \cdot 2(C_6H_4N_2)$ (2), the asymmetric unit consists of one iron cation that is located on a center of inversion as well as one thiocyanate anion, one 3-cyanopyridine ligand, one water ligand and one 3-cyanopyridine solvate molecule in general positions (Fig. 2). The



Figure 1

The molecular structure of 1 with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.



Figure 2

The molecular structure of **2** with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Symmetry codes for the generation of equivalent atoms: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

iron cation is octahedrally coordinated by two 3-cyanopyridine coligands that are connected *via* the pyridine N atom to the Fe^{II} cations, two water ligands and two terminally Nbonded thiocyanate anions. This compound is isotypic to $Mn(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)_2(H_2O)_2 \cdot 2(C_6H_4N_2)$ and $Zn(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)_2(H_2O)_2 \cdot 2(C_6H_4N_2)$ that are reported in the literature (Krebs *et al.*, 2023; Jochim *et al.*, 2019). The Fe-*X* (*X* = N, O) bond lengths are slightly shorter than those in the corresponding Mn compound and the bond angles show that the octahedra are slightly distorted (Table 2).

3. Supramolecular features

In compound 1 the discrete complexes are arranged in columns that are oriented along the crystallographic *c*-axis direction (Fig. 3). Within the columns, neighboring 3-cyano-





Table 3					
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°)	for	1.

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
C11-H11···N1	0.95	2.63	3.190 (3)	118
$C15-H15\cdots N2$	0.95	2.58	3.113 (3)	115
C21-H21···N2	0.95	2.54	3.108 (3)	118
$C24-H24\cdots N22^{i}$	0.95	2.67	3.514 (4)	148
C25-H25···N1	0.95	2.61	3.181 (3)	119
C31-H31···N1	0.95	2.67	3.214 (3)	117
C35-H35···N2	0.95	2.53	3.091 (3)	118
$C35-H35\cdots N12^{ii}$	0.95	2.67	3.538 (4)	151
$C41 - H41 \cdots N22^{iii}$	0.95	2.61	3.487 (3)	154
$C44-H44\cdots S1^{iv}$	0.95	2.82	3.498 (3)	129
$C45-H45\cdots N2$	0.95	2.55	3.123 (3)	119
Symmetry codes: (i) $-r + 1 - 1$	$-v_{7} - \frac{1}{2}$ (ii)	-r+1-v+	$1_7 \pm \frac{1}{2}$ (iii)

Symmetry codes: (1) $-x + 1, -y, z - \frac{2}{2}$; (1) $-x + 1, -y + 1, z + \frac{2}{2}$; (11) $-x + 1, -y + 1, z + \frac{2}{2}$; (11) $-x + 1, -y + 1, z + \frac{2}{2}$; (11)

pyridine rings are not coplanar, with no indication of π - π stacking interactions. The complexes are connected *via* weak C-H···N hydrogen bonding but most of these interactions exhibit C-H···N angles far from linearity, indicating that they do not represent strong interactions (Table 3 and Fig. 3)

In compound **2** the discrete complexes are also stacked in columns that proceed along the crystallographic *a*-axis (Fig. 4). These columns are arranged in layers that are parallel to the *ab*-plane. The 3-cyanopyridine solvate molecules are located between these layers and are connected to the complexes *via* $C-H\cdots S$ and $O-H\cdots N$ hydrogen bonding where the pyridine N atom is involved (Table 4 and Fig. 4). There are additional $C-H\cdots N$ interactions, but from the distances and angles it is obvious that they correspond to only very weak interactions. Within the 3-cyanopyridine layers, neighboring 3-cyanopyridine molecules are oriented parallel but shifted relative to each other, preventing $\pi-\pi$ interactions (Fig. 4).



Figure 4

Crystal structure of **2** viewed along the crystallographic *a*-axis direction with $C-H\cdots S$ and $O-H\cdots N$ hydrogen bonds shown as dashed lines.

Table 4			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°) for 2 .

, , ,				
$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O1-H1A\cdots N21$	0.89(2)	1.88 (2)	2.7615 (14)	175 (2)
$O1-H1B\cdots S1^{ii}$	0.81 (2)	2.62 (2)	3.3184 (9)	145.7 (18)
$C11 - H11 \cdot \cdot \cdot N1$	0.95	2.54	3.1243 (16)	120
$C11 - H11 \cdot \cdot \cdot S1^{iii}$	0.95	3.03	3.6833 (12)	128
$C14 - H14 \cdot \cdot \cdot S1^{iv}$	0.95	2.98	3.7688 (13)	141
$C15 - H15 \cdot \cdot \cdot N1^{i}$	0.95	2.67	3.1894 (16)	115
$C21 - H21 \cdot \cdot \cdot S1$	0.95	2.92	3.8513 (13)	165
$C24 - H24 \cdot \cdot \cdot N22^{ii}$	0.95	2.67	3.3082 (17)	125
C25−H25···S1 ⁱⁱ	0.95	3.01	3.8056 (13)	142

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) x + 1, y, z; (iii) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iv) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1.

4. Database survey

A search in the CSD (version 5.43, last update November 2023; Groom et al., 2016) using ConQuest (Bruno et al., 2002) reveals that a number of thiocyanate coordination compounds with 3-cyanopyridine have already been reported in the literature and most of these compounds have already been mentioned in the Chemical context section above. This includes discrete complexes with the composition M(NCS) $_{2}(C_{6}H_{4}N_{2})_{4}$ (M = Ni, Zn) in which the metal cations are octahedrally coordinated by two thiocyanate anions and four 3-cyanopyridine coligands (CSD refcode UDABAC, Kilkenny & Nassimbeni, 2001; UDABAC01, Krebs et al., 2021; LIPZES, Jochim et al., 2019). There are additional complexes with the composition $M(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)_4$ (M = Ni, Co) that contain solvate molecules (UDABIK, Kilkenny & Nassimbeni, 2001; UDABEG, Kilkenny & Nassimbeni, 2001; OBONOK, Diehr et al., 2011) as well as one complex of composition Zn(NCS) $_{2}(C_{6}H_{4}N_{2})_{2}(H_{2}O)_{2}$ that also contains solvate molecules (LIZNOA; Jochim et al., 2019).

Additionally, complexes with the composition Ni(NCS) $_2(C_6H_4N_2)_2(X)_2$ (X = MeCN, OCH₃, H₂O, OHCH₃) are reported, in which the nickel cations are octahedrally coordinated by two thiocyanate anions, two 3-cyanopyridine coligands and two further coligands (YAXDOU, Krebs *et al.*, 2021; YAXDIO, Krebs *et al.*, 2021; YAXCUZ, Krebs *et al.*, 2021). With Cu^{II}, an aqua complex with the composition Cu(NCS)₂(C₆H₄N₂)₂(H₂O)₂ is also found (ABOVAR; Handy *et al.*, 2017). One complex of the composition Zn(NCS) $_2$ (C₆H₄N₂)₂ is reported in which the zinc cations are tetrahedrally coordinated by two thiocyanate anions and two 3-cyanopyridine coligands (LIZNUG; Jochim *et al.*, 2019).

Furthermore, one structure of the composition Ni(NCS) $_2(C_6H_4N_2)_2$ exists in which nickel cations are octahedrally coordinated by four thiocyanate anions and two 3-cyanopyridine coligands. The nickel cations are linked by pairs of thiocyanate anions into dinuclear units that are further connected into layers by single bridging anionic ligands (YAXDEK; Krebs *et al.*, 2021). In a further compound of the composition Cd(NCS)₂(C₆H₄N₂)₂, the cadmium cations are octahedrally coordinated by four thiocyanate anions and two 3-cyanopyridine coligands and are linked through two thio-cyanate anions into chains (NURTUS; Jochim *et al.*, 2020). Two additional compounds with similar chain structures are also listed that contain 3-cyanopyridine solvate molecules (NURTOM, Jochim *et al.*, 2020; NURTIG, Jochim *et al.*, 2020). With Cd(NCS)₂, two additional compounds are reported in which Cd(NCS)₂ chains are linked by some of the 3-cyanopyridine ligands into layers (NURVAA and NURVEE; Jochim *et al.*, 2020). With Mn(NCS)₂, the previously mentioned compounds with the composition Mn(NCS)₂-(C₆H₄N₂)₄, Mn(NCS)₂(C₆H₄N₂)₂(H₂O)₂-bis(C₆H₄N₂) solvate and Mn(NCS)₂(C₆H₄N₂)(H₂O) and Mn(NCS)₂(C₆H₄N₂)₂(H₂O)₂ have also been reported (Krebs *et al.*, 2023) but these are not yet listed in the CSD.

5. Physical characterization investigations

Comparison of the experimental powder pattern of **1** and **2** with that calculated from single crystal data shows that both compounds were obtained as pure phases (Figs. 5 and 6). For compound **1**, the CN stretching vibration of the thiocyanate anion is observed at 2056 cm⁻¹ and for the cyanogroup of the 3-cyanopyridine ligand at 2234 cm⁻¹ while for compound **2** these values amount to 2238 cm⁻¹ and 2080 cm⁻¹, which is in agreement with the fact that the thiocyanate anions are only terminally coordinated and that the cyanogroup is not involved in the metal coordination (Figs. S1 and S2).

The thermal properties of both compounds were investigated by simultaneous thermogravimetry and differential thermoanalysis (TG–DTA). For compound **1** the measurements reveal three mass losses due to heating that are accompanied with two endothermic (first and second mass loss) and one exothermic (third mass loss) events in the DTA curve (Fig. 7 and S3). From the first derivative of the TG curve it is obvious that all mass losses are not well resolved. The first mass loss of 37.3% is slightly higher that that calculated for the removal of two 3-canopyridine ligands ($\Delta m_{calc.}$ = 35.4%). To identify the intermediate formed after the first mass loss we



Figure 5 Experimental (top) and calculated PXRD patterns (bottom) of 1.



Experimental (top) and calculated PXRD patterns (bottom) of 2.

repeated the TG measurement and isolated the residue after the respective mass loss. The residue was then investigated by IR spectroscopy and powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD). The CN stretching vibrations of the thiocyanate anions are observed at 2105 cm⁻¹ and at 2078cm⁻¹, which indicates that μ -1,3-bridging anionic ligands are present (Fig. S4). For the cyano group, two different values at 2248 cm⁻¹ and 2270 cm⁻¹ are observed, indicating that some of them are coordinated to the metal center, whereas some others are not (Fig. S4). If the experimental powder pattern is compared with those calculated for all thiocyanate compounds with less 3-cyanopyridine (Fig. S5) that are reported in the literature (see *Database survey*), it is evident that this crystalline phase is isotypic to





TG curves for 1 (top) and 2 (bottom) measured with a 4 °C min⁻¹ heating rate. The mass losses are stated in %.

research communications

Table 5

Experimental details.

	1	2
Crystal data		
Chemical formula	$[Fe(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)_4]$	$[Fe(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)_2(H_2O)_2] \cdot 2C_6H_4N_2$
M _r	588.46	624.49
Crystal system, space group	Orthorhombic, $Pna2_1$	Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$
Temperature (K)	100	100
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	20.3549 (2), 10.2084 (1), 13.0310 (1)	8.1065 (1), 8.2880 (1), 11.4347 (2)
α, β, γ (°)	90, 90, 90	84.765 (1), 77.787 (1), 70.826 (1)
$V(Å^3)$	2707.72 (4)	709.02 (2)
Z	4	1
Radiation type	Cu Ka	Cu Ka
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	6.21	6.01
Crystal size (mm)	$0.10\times0.08\times0.06$	$0.11 \times 0.10 \times 0.08$
Data collection		
Diffractometer	XtaLAB Synergy, Dualflex, HyPix	XtaLAB Synergy, Dualflex, HyPix
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (CrysAlis PRO; Rigaku OD, 2023)	Multi-scan (CrysAlis PRO; Rigaku OD, 2023)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.745, 1.000	0.727, 1.000
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	26794, 5727, 5676	29397, 2999, 2999
R _{int}	0.019	0.022
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.639	0.639
Refinement		
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.027, 0.074, 1.06	0.022, 0.060, 1.15
No. of reflections	5727	2999
No. of parameters	352	196
No. of restraints	1	0
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.24, -0.29	0.29, -0.25
Absolute structure	Classical Flack method preferred over Parsons	-
	because s.u. lower	
Absolute structure parameter	-0.001 (3)	-

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku OD, 2023), SHELXT2014/5 (Sheldrick, 2015b), SHELXL2016/6 (Sheldrick, 2015a), DIAMOND (Brandenburg & Putz, 1999) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

compounds { $[Cd(NCS)_2]_3(C_6H_4N_2)_4$ }_n (Jochim *et al.*, 2020) and { $[Mn(NCS)_2]_3(C_6H_4N_2)_4$ }_n (Krebs *et al.*, 2023) already reported in the literature (Fig. S5). In this context, it is surprising that two different CN stretching vibrations for the thiocyanate anions are observed, because this structure contains only one crystallographically independent anion, but similar observations were made for the corresponding Mn compound (Krebs *et al.*, 2023). However, in the second mass loss the remaining 3-cyanopyridine ligands are removed and upon further heating Mn(NCS)₂ decomposes.

For compound 2, four mass losses were observed upon heating that are accompanied with three endothermic and one exothermic events in the DTA curve (Figs. 7 and S6). The first mass loss of 5.2% is in good agreement with the loss of two water ligands (Δm_{calc} = 5.8%). This indicates that compound **1** has been formed. To prove this assumption, a second TG measurement was performed in which the residue formed after the first mass loss was isolated and investigated by IR spectroscopy and PXRD. The IR spectra is very similar to that of compound 1 (compare Figs. S1 and S7) and comparison of the experimental pattern with that calculated for 1 proves that this compound was obtained (Fig. S8). The second mass loss of 44.7% is in excellent agreement with the loss of 2.67 3-cyanopyridine ligands ($\Delta m_{\text{calc.}}$ = 44.5%), which indicates that after the second mass loss $\{[Fe(NCS)_2]_3(C_6H_4N_2)_4\}_n$ has been formed. This assumption has been proved through a repetition of the TG measurement, isolation of the residue after the second mass loss and by IR (Fig. S9) as well as PXRD investigations (Fig. S10).

6. Synthesis and crystallization

 $FeSO_4$ ·7H₂O and KSCN were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and 3-cyanopyrine was purchased from Alfa Aesar.

A microcrystalline powder of **1** was obtained by the reaction of 0.25 mmol of FeSO₄.7 H_2O (69.5 mg), 0.5 mmol of KSCN (48.6 mg) and 1 mmol (104.1 mg) of 3-cyanopyridine in 0.5 ml of ethanol. The mixture was stirred for 1 d at room temperature and filtered off. Crystals suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction were obtained with the same amount of reactants and solvent under hydrothermal conditions (400 K for 1 d) without stirring.

For **2**, a microcrystalline powder was obtained by the reaction of 1 mmol of FeSO₄·7H₂O (278 mg), 2 mmol of KSCN (194 mg) and 2 mmol (208.2 mg) of 3-cyanopyridine in 1.5 ml of water. The mixture was filtered off after stirring at room temperature for 2 d. To obtain crystals for singe-crystal X-ray diffraction, 0.25 mmol of FeSO₄·7H₂O (69.5 mg), 0.5 mmol of KSCN (48.6 mg) and 1 mmol (104.1 mg) of 3-cyanopyridine were mixed in 1.5 ml of water and heated for 2 d at 403 K under hydrothermal conditions.

IR spectra of 1 and 2 can be found in Figs. S1 and S2.

7. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 5. The C-bound H atoms were positioned with idealized geometry and were refined isotropically with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ using a riding model. The water H atoms were located in a difference map and refined isotropically with freely varying coordinates.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the State of Schleswig-Holstein.

References

- Brandenburg, K. & Putz, H. (1999). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Bruno, I. J., Cole, J. C., Edgington, P. R., Kessler, M., Macrae, C. F., McCabe, P., Pearson, J. & Taylor, R. (2002). *Acta Cryst.* B58, 389– 397.
- Diehr, S., Wöhlert, S., Boeckmann, J. & Näther, C. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, m1898.
- González, R., Acosta, A., Chiozzone, R., Kremer, C., Armentano, D., De Munno, G., Julve, M., Lloret, F. & Faus, J. (2012). *Inorg. Chem.* 51, 5737–5747.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Handy, J. V., Ayala, G. & Pike, R. D. (2017). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **456**, 64–75.

- Jochim, A., Jess, I. & Näther, C. (2019). Z. Anorg. Allge Chem. 645, 212–218.
- Jochim, A., Jess, I. & Näther, C. (2020). Z. Naturforsch. B, 75, 163–172.
- Kabešová, M. & Gažo, J. (1980). Chemical Papers. 34, 800-841.
- Kilkenny, M. L. & Nassimbeni, L. R. (2001). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3065–3068.
- Krebs, C., Foltyn, M., Jess, I., Mangelsen, S., Rams, M. & N\u00e4ther, C. (2023). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 554, 121495.
- Krebs, C., Thiele, S., Ceglarska, M. & Näther, C. (2021). Z. Anorg. Allge Chem. 647, 2122–2129.
- Mautner, F. A., Traber, M., Fischer, R. C., Torvisco, A., Reichmann, K., Speed, S., Vicente, R. & Massoud, S. S. (2018). *Polyhedron*, 154, 436–442.
- Näther, C. & Jess, I. (2004). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 2868-2876.
- Näther, C., Jess, I. & Greve, J. (2001). Polyhedron, 20, 1017-1022.
- Palion-Gazda, J., Machura, B., Lloret, F. & Julve, M. (2015). Cryst. Growth Des. 15, 2380–2388.
- Rams, M., Jochim, A., Böhme, M., Lohmiller, T., Ceglarska, M., Rams, M. M., Schnegg, A., Plass, W. & Näther, C. (2020). *Chem. A Eur. J.* 26, 2837–2851.
- Rigaku OD (2023). CrysAlis PRO. Rigaku Oxford Diffraction.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Werner, J., Rams, M., Tomkowicz, Z. & Näther, C. (2014). Dalton Trans. 43, 17333–17342.
- Werner, J., Runčevski, T., Dinnebier, R., Ebbinghaus, S. G., Suckert, S. & Näther, C. (2015). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, 3236–3245.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Wöhlert, S., Peters, L. & Näther, C. (2013). *Dalton Trans.* 42, 10746–10758.

Acta Cryst. (2023). E79, 1093-1099 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S205698902300909X]

Synthesis, crystal structure and properties of tetrakis(pyridine-3-carbonitrile)dithiocyanatoiron(II) and of diaquabis(pyridine-3-carbonitrile)dithiocyanatoiron(II) pyridine-3-carbonitrile monosolvate

Christian Näther, Asmus Müller-Meinhard and Inke Jess

Computing details

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* 1.171.42.90a (Rigaku OD, 2023) for (1); *CrysAlis PRO* 1.171.42.100a (Rigaku OD, 2023) for (2). Cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO* 1.171.42.90a (Rigaku OD, 2023) for (1); *CrysAlis PRO* 1.171.42.100a (Rigaku OD, 2023) for (2). Data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO* 1.171.42.90a (Rigaku OD, 2023) for (1); *CrysAlis PRO* 1.171.42.100a (Rigaku OD, 2023) for (2). Data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO* 1.171.42.90a (Rigaku OD, 2023) for (1); *CrysAlis PRO* 1.171.42.100a (Rigaku OD, 2023) for (2). Data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO* 1.171.42.90a (Rigaku OD, 2023) for (1); *CrysAlis PRO* 1.171.42.100a (Rigaku OD, 2023) for (2). For both structures, program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXT2014/5* (Sheldrick, 2015b); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2016/6* (Sheldrick, 2015a); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg & Putz, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

Tetrakis(pyridine-3-carbonitrile)dithiocyanatoiron(II) (1)

Crystal data

 $[Fe(NCS)_2(C_6H_4N_2)_4]$ $M_r = 588.46$ Orthorhombic, $Pna2_1$ a = 20.3549 (2) Å b = 10.2084 (1) Å c = 13.0310 (1) Å V = 2707.72 (4) Å³ Z = 4F(000) = 1200

Data collection

XtaLAB Synergy, Dualflex, HyPix diffractometer Radiation source: micro-focus sealed X-ray tube, PhotonJet (Cu) X-ray Source Mirror monochromator Detector resolution: 10.0000 pixels mm⁻¹ ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysalisPro; Rigaku OD, 2023)

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$ $wR(F^2) = 0.074$ S = 1.06 $D_{\rm x} = 1.444 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 1.54178 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 22008 reflections $\theta = 4.3-79.7^{\circ}$ $\mu = 6.21 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 KBlock, yellow $0.10 \times 0.08 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}$

 $T_{\min} = 0.745, T_{\max} = 1.000$ 26794 measured reflections 5727 independent reflections 5676 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.019$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 80.1^{\circ}, \theta_{\text{min}} = 4.3^{\circ}$ $h = -25 \rightarrow 24$ $k = -13 \rightarrow 13$ $l = -16 \rightarrow 15$

5727 reflections352 parameters1 restraintPrimary atom site location: dual

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0521P)^2 + 0.7383P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$

Special details

 $\begin{array}{l} \Delta \rho_{max} = 0.24 \ e \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta \rho_{min} = -0.29 \ e \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \mbox{Absolute structure: Classical Flack method} \\ \ preferred over Parsons because s.u. lower \\ \mbox{Absolute structure parameter: } -0.001 \ (3) \end{array}$

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Fe1	0.61644 (2)	0.57715 (4)	0.50208 (3)	0.01625 (10)
N1	0.60947 (11)	0.5803 (2)	0.34404 (18)	0.0220 (5)
C1	0.60437 (12)	0.5722 (2)	0.2549 (2)	0.0182 (5)
S1	0.59757 (3)	0.56051 (6)	0.13118 (5)	0.02232 (13)
N2	0.62205 (11)	0.5735 (2)	0.66062 (18)	0.0207 (5)
C2	0.61060 (12)	0.5872 (2)	0.7474 (2)	0.0184 (5)
S2	0.59268 (4)	0.60626 (6)	0.86779 (5)	0.02877 (15)
N11	0.51976 (9)	0.68579 (19)	0.51593 (16)	0.0186 (4)
C11	0.47464 (12)	0.6794 (2)	0.44172 (17)	0.0193 (4)
H11	0.485160	0.634024	0.380244	0.023*
C12	0.41249 (12)	0.7369 (2)	0.45077 (19)	0.0203 (5)
C13	0.39634 (12)	0.8027 (2)	0.5405 (2)	0.0218 (5)
H13	0.354112	0.840674	0.549291	0.026*
C14	0.44349 (12)	0.8114 (2)	0.61682 (19)	0.0227 (5)
H14	0.434368	0.856933	0.678783	0.027*
C15	0.50423 (12)	0.7527 (2)	0.60161 (18)	0.0214 (5)
H15	0.536372	0.760156	0.654147	0.026*
C16	0.36587 (13)	0.7271 (2)	0.3681 (2)	0.0233 (5)
N12	0.32775 (12)	0.7231 (2)	0.30298 (19)	0.0312 (5)
N21	0.57491 (9)	0.37054 (19)	0.50576 (17)	0.0190 (4)
C21	0.54990 (11)	0.3198 (2)	0.59197 (19)	0.0198 (4)
H21	0.547628	0.373602	0.651370	0.024*
C22	0.52700 (11)	0.1912 (2)	0.59825 (19)	0.0210 (5)
C23	0.53071 (12)	0.1103 (2)	0.5122 (2)	0.0244 (5)
H23	0.516095	0.021992	0.514719	0.029*
C24	0.55633 (13)	0.1629 (2)	0.4232 (2)	0.0258 (5)
H24	0.559409	0.111195	0.362787	0.031*
C25	0.57755 (13)	0.2922 (2)	0.4227 (2)	0.0231 (5)
H25	0.594798	0.327145	0.360763	0.028*
C26	0.49712 (13)	0.1461 (3)	0.6918 (2)	0.0250 (5)
N22	0.47136 (13)	0.1104 (2)	0.7652 (2)	0.0325 (5)
N31	0.71545 (9)	0.4783 (2)	0.49342 (16)	0.0208 (4)
C31	0.75413 (12)	0.4869 (2)	0.4104 (2)	0.0219 (5)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

H31	0.738616	0.533481	0.352142	0.026*
C32	0.81617 (13)	0.4300 (2)	0.4066 (2)	0.0237 (5)
C33	0.84021 (12)	0.3634 (3)	0.4921 (2)	0.0275 (5)
H33	0.882745	0.325046	0.491573	0.033*
C34	0.80029 (14)	0.3547 (3)	0.5778 (2)	0.0293 (5)
H34	0.815036	0.310288	0.637584	0.035*
C35	0.73825 (13)	0.4119 (2)	0.5752 (2)	0.0248 (5)
H35	0.710769	0.403611	0.633745	0.030*
C36	0.85443 (14)	0.4395 (3)	0.3136 (2)	0.0285 (6)
N32	0.88400 (12)	0.4469 (3)	0.2389 (2)	0.0368 (6)
N41	0.67037 (9)	0.76769 (19)	0.49903 (17)	0.0190 (4)
C41	0.66633 (11)	0.8538 (2)	0.42188 (19)	0.0212 (4)
H41	0.635285	0.838771	0.368733	0.025*
C42	0.70611 (12)	0.9649 (3)	0.4167 (2)	0.0220 (5)
C43	0.75141 (12)	0.9892 (3)	0.4943 (2)	0.0263 (5)
H43	0.779162	1.064013	0.492090	0.032*
C44	0.75473 (13)	0.9008 (3)	0.5748 (2)	0.0273 (5)
H44	0.784604	0.914585	0.629764	0.033*
C45	0.71398 (12)	0.7922 (3)	0.5742 (2)	0.0229 (5)
H45	0.716965	0.731957	0.629611	0.027*
C46	0.70086 (13)	1.0523 (3)	0.3298 (2)	0.0260 (5)
N42	0.69645 (12)	1.1210 (3)	0.2610 (2)	0.0350 (6)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Fe1	0.01820 (17)	0.01806 (17)	0.01250 (17)	-0.00105 (13)	0.00061 (15)	0.00084 (13)
N1	0.0273 (11)	0.0241 (11)	0.0147 (12)	0.0001 (8)	-0.0005 (8)	0.0004 (7)
C1	0.0154 (10)	0.0165 (11)	0.0225 (15)	0.0006 (8)	0.0018 (9)	0.0016 (9)
S1	0.0246 (3)	0.0278 (3)	0.0145 (3)	0.0040 (2)	-0.0001 (2)	-0.0018 (2)
N2	0.0233 (10)	0.0220 (11)	0.0167 (11)	-0.0001 (8)	-0.0013 (7)	0.0010 (7)
C2	0.0208 (11)	0.0159 (11)	0.0185 (14)	-0.0020 (8)	-0.0029 (9)	0.0024 (9)
S2	0.0467 (4)	0.0235 (3)	0.0162 (3)	-0.0019 (3)	0.0055 (3)	-0.0007 (3)
N11	0.0195 (8)	0.0169 (9)	0.0196 (10)	-0.0021 (7)	0.0008 (7)	0.0002 (7)
C11	0.0222 (11)	0.0183 (10)	0.0175 (11)	-0.0019 (8)	0.0008 (8)	0.0011 (8)
C12	0.0210 (11)	0.0187 (11)	0.0210 (12)	-0.0023 (8)	-0.0002 (9)	0.0008 (9)
C13	0.0233 (11)	0.0177 (11)	0.0243 (12)	-0.0001 (9)	0.0002 (9)	0.0006 (9)
C14	0.0286 (12)	0.0189 (11)	0.0206 (12)	0.0012 (9)	-0.0004 (9)	-0.0040 (9)
C15	0.0251 (11)	0.0193 (10)	0.0199 (11)	-0.0022 (9)	-0.0021 (9)	-0.0013 (9)
C16	0.0260 (12)	0.0195 (11)	0.0245 (12)	0.0018 (9)	-0.0002 (10)	0.0001 (10)
N12	0.0324 (12)	0.0298 (11)	0.0314 (13)	0.0020 (10)	-0.0101 (10)	-0.0030 (9)
N21	0.0187 (8)	0.0200 (9)	0.0182 (9)	-0.0009 (7)	0.0006 (7)	0.0000 (8)
C21	0.0197 (10)	0.0197 (11)	0.0201 (11)	-0.0005 (8)	0.0015 (8)	-0.0020 (9)
C22	0.0197 (10)	0.0203 (11)	0.0230 (12)	0.0006 (8)	0.0039 (9)	0.0018 (9)
C23	0.0264 (11)	0.0193 (11)	0.0276 (13)	-0.0010 (9)	0.0038 (10)	-0.0011 (10)
C24	0.0327 (13)	0.0204 (12)	0.0244 (12)	-0.0013 (10)	0.0036 (10)	-0.0037 (10)
C25	0.0284 (12)	0.0219 (12)	0.0191 (11)	0.0001 (9)	0.0037 (9)	0.0001 (9)
C26	0.0284 (12)	0.0185 (11)	0.0280 (13)	-0.0004 (9)	0.0060 (10)	-0.0019 (10)

N22	0.0417 (14)	0.0225 (11)	0.0333 (13)	-0.0015 (9)	0.0141 (10)	0.0011 (9)
N31	0.0208 (9)	0.0207 (9)	0.0210 (10)	0.0006 (7)	0.0005 (8)	0.0005 (8)
C31	0.0219 (11)	0.0206 (11)	0.0232 (11)	-0.0020 (9)	0.0021 (9)	-0.0010 (9)
C32	0.0223 (12)	0.0216 (12)	0.0274 (14)	-0.0017 (9)	0.0038 (10)	-0.0050 (9)
C33	0.0246 (11)	0.0255 (11)	0.0324 (14)	0.0068 (10)	-0.0025 (10)	-0.0063 (10)
C34	0.0328 (13)	0.0287 (13)	0.0263 (13)	0.0102 (11)	-0.0037 (10)	-0.0002 (11)
C35	0.0278 (12)	0.0248 (11)	0.0219 (12)	0.0048 (10)	0.0026 (10)	-0.0001 (10)
C36	0.0234 (13)	0.0257 (12)	0.0363 (15)	0.0005 (9)	0.0058 (11)	-0.0049 (11)
N32	0.0333 (13)	0.0315 (12)	0.0456 (16)	0.0010 (9)	0.0146 (11)	-0.0031 (11)
N41	0.0182 (8)	0.0193 (9)	0.0196 (8)	-0.0012 (7)	-0.0011 (8)	0.0018 (8)
C41	0.0195 (10)	0.0224 (11)	0.0217 (11)	0.0011 (9)	-0.0003 (9)	0.0011 (10)
C42	0.0212 (10)	0.0228 (11)	0.0221 (12)	0.0003 (9)	0.0037 (9)	0.0042 (10)
C43	0.0231 (11)	0.0271 (12)	0.0288 (13)	-0.0069 (9)	0.0007 (10)	0.0023 (10)
C44	0.0240 (12)	0.0329 (13)	0.0250 (13)	-0.0080 (10)	-0.0047 (10)	0.0025 (11)
C45	0.0230 (11)	0.0242 (11)	0.0215 (11)	-0.0018 (9)	-0.0030 (9)	0.0028 (10)
C46	0.0241 (12)	0.0241 (12)	0.0297 (14)	-0.0011 (9)	0.0041 (10)	0.0039 (11)
N42	0.0339 (12)	0.0356 (13)	0.0356 (14)	-0.0006 (10)	0.0048 (10)	0.0130 (11)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Fe1—N1	2.065 (2)	C23—C24	1.380 (4)
Fe1—N2	2.069 (2)	C24—H24	0.9500
Fe1—N11	2.2660 (19)	C24—C25	1.389 (4)
Fe1—N21	2.273 (2)	C25—H25	0.9500
Fe1—N31	2.257 (2)	C26—N22	1.149 (4)
Fe1—N41	2.2339 (19)	N31—C31	1.341 (3)
N1—C1	1.169 (4)	N31—C35	1.346 (3)
C1—S1	1.622 (3)	C31—H31	0.9500
N2—C2	1.163 (4)	C31—C32	1.391 (4)
C2—S2	1.622 (3)	C32—C33	1.394 (4)
N11—C11	1.335 (3)	C32—C36	1.444 (4)
N11—C15	1.347 (3)	С33—Н33	0.9500
C11—H11	0.9500	C33—C34	1.384 (4)
C11—C12	1.399 (3)	C34—H34	0.9500
C12—C13	1.388 (3)	C34—C35	1.392 (4)
C12—C16	1.439 (3)	С35—Н35	0.9500
С13—Н13	0.9500	C36—N32	1.146 (4)
C13—C14	1.385 (4)	N41—C41	1.338 (3)
C14—H14	0.9500	N41—C45	1.345 (3)
C14—C15	1.388 (3)	C41—H41	0.9500
C15—H15	0.9500	C41—C42	1.395 (4)
C16—N12	1.151 (4)	C42—C43	1.390 (4)
N21—C21	1.338 (3)	C42—C46	1.447 (4)
N21—C25	1.346 (3)	C43—H43	0.9500
C21—H21	0.9500	C43—C44	1.386 (4)
C21—C22	1.396 (3)	C44—H44	0.9500
C22—C23	1.395 (3)	C44—C45	1.385 (4)
C22—C26	1.438 (3)	C45—H45	0.9500

С23—Н23	0.9500	C46—N42	1.141 (4)
N1—Fe1—N2	179.21 (9)	C24—C23—C22	117.7 (2)
N1—Fe1—N11	90.70 (8)	С24—С23—Н23	121.1
N1—Fe1—N21	90.56 (8)	C23—C24—H24	120.3
N1—Fe1—N31	91.05 (8)	C23—C24—C25	119.4 (2)
N1—Fe1—N41	90.15 (9)	C25—C24—H24	120.3
N2—Fe1—N11	88.70 (8)	N21—C25—C24	123.3 (2)
N2—Fe1—N21	89.00 (8)	N21-C25-H25	118.4
N2—Fe1—N31	89 57 (8)	C_{24} C_{25} H_{25}	118.4
N2—Fe1—N41	90.37 (8)	N22 - C26 - C22	177.9 (3)
$N11_Fe1_N21$	97 44 (7)	C_{31} N31 Fe1	177.3(3)
N31 Fe1 N11	176 72 (7)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	122.30(17) 1180(2)
N21 Fe1 N21	170.72 (7) 85.31 (7)	$C_{31} = N_{31} = C_{33}$	110.0(2)
$N41 = F_{c1} = N11$	00.12(7)	N21 C21 H21	119.59 (17)
N41 = Fe1 = N11	90.12(7)	$N_{21} = C_{21} = C_{22}$	110.0 122.2(2)
N41 = Fe1 = N21	1/2.40 (7)	$N_{31} = C_{31} = C_{32}$	122.3 (2)
N41 - FeI - N31	8/.11(/)	C32—C31—H31	118.8
Fel—NI—CI	1/5.0 (2)	$C_{31} = C_{32} = C_{33}$	119.6 (2)
	1/9.7 (3)	$C_{31} = C_{32} = C_{36}$	119.4 (3)
Fe1—N2—C2	163.2 (2)	C33—C32—C36	121.0 (2)
N2—C2—S2	178.6 (2)	С32—С33—Н33	121.0
C11—N11—Fe1	121.06 (16)	C34—C33—C32	118.0 (2)
C11—N11—C15	117.6 (2)	С34—С33—Н33	121.0
C15—N11—Fe1	121.22 (16)	С33—С34—Н34	120.5
N11—C11—H11	118.6	C33—C34—C35	119.1 (3)
N11—C11—C12	122.7 (2)	С35—С34—Н34	120.5
C12—C11—H11	118.6	N31—C35—C34	122.9 (3)
C11—C12—C16	120.3 (2)	N31—C35—H35	118.5
C13—C12—C11	119.2 (2)	С34—С35—Н35	118.5
C13—C12—C16	120.5 (2)	N32—C36—C32	179.0 (3)
C12—C13—H13	120.9	C41—N41—Fe1	123.72 (16)
C14—C13—C12	118.2 (2)	C41—N41—C45	117.8 (2)
C14—C13—H13	120.9	C45—N41—Fe1	118.22 (16)
C13—C14—H14	120.4	N41—C41—H41	118.8
C13—C14—C15	119.1 (2)	N41—C41—C42	122.3 (2)
C15—C14—H14	120.4	C42—C41—H41	118.8
N11-C15-C14	123.1 (2)	C41 - C42 - C46	119.7 (2)
N11-C15-H15	118.4	C_{43} C_{42} C_{41}	119.7(2)
C14-C15-H15	118.4	C_{43} C_{42} C_{46}	120.5(2)
N12-C16-C12	177.8 (3)	C_{42} C_{43} H_{43}	120.3 (2)
C_{21} N21 Fe1	177.0(5) 121.22(16)	C_{42} C_{43} C_{42}	121.1 117.8(2)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	121.22(10) 1174(2)	$C_{44} = C_{43} = C_{42}$	121.1
$C_{21} = N_{21} = C_{23}$	117.4(2) 121.28(17)	$C_{44} = C_{43} = 1143$	121.1
$\begin{array}{c} C_{2J} \longrightarrow 121 \longrightarrow 121 \\ N_{21} \longrightarrow$	121.20 (17)	$C43 - C44 - \Pi44$	120.4
$N_{21} = C_{21} = T_{21}$	110.0	C45 = C44 = U43	119.2 (2)
$N_2 I = 0.21 = 0.22$	122./ (2) 119.6	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{U}_{43} \\ \mathbf{U}_{43} \\$	120.4
$C_{22} - C_{21} - H_{21}$	110.0	1N41 - U43 - U44	123.2 (2)
$C_{21} - C_{22} - C_{26}$	119.5 (2)	N41—U43—H45	118.4
C23—C22—C21	119.5 (2)	C44—C45—H45	118.4

C23—C22—C26 C22—C23—H23	121.0 (2) 121.1	N42—C46—C42	179.7 (3)

Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Ă,	9
---------------	----------	-----	---

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A
C11—H11…N1	0.95	2.63	3.190 (3)	118
C15—H15…N2	0.95	2.58	3.113 (3)	115
C21—H21···N2	0.95	2.54	3.108 (3)	118
C24—H24…N22 ⁱ	0.95	2.67	3.514 (4)	148
C25—H25…N1	0.95	2.61	3.181 (3)	119
C31—H31…N1	0.95	2.67	3.214 (3)	117
C35—H35…N2	0.95	2.53	3.091 (3)	118
C35—H35…N12 ⁱⁱ	0.95	2.67	3.538 (4)	151
C41—H41…N22 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.95	2.61	3.487 (3)	154
C44—H44…S1 ^{iv}	0.95	2.82	3.498 (3)	129
C45—H45…N2	0.95	2.55	3.123 (3)	119

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, -y, z-1/2; (ii) -x+1, -y+1, z+1/2; (iii) -x+1, -y+1, z-1/2; (iv) -x+3/2, y+1/2, z+1/2.

Diaquabis(pyridine-3-carbonitrile)dithiocyanatoiron(II) pyridine-3-carbonitrile monosolvate (2)

Crystal data

 $[Fe(NCS)_{2}(C_{6}H_{4}N_{2})_{2}(H_{2}O)_{2}] \cdot 2C_{6}H_{4}N_{2}$ $M_{r} = 624.49$ Triclinic, *P*1 *a* = 8.1065 (1) Å *b* = 8.2880 (1) Å *c* = 11.4347 (2) Å *a* = 84.765 (1)° *β* = 77.787 (1)° *y* = 70.826 (1)° *V* = 709.02 (2) Å³

Data collection

XtaLAB Synergy, Dualflex, HyPix diffractometer Radiation source: micro-focus sealed X-ray tube, PhotonJet (Cu) X-ray Source Mirror monochromator Detector resolution: 10.0000 pixels mm⁻¹ ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysalisPro; Rigaku OD, 2023)

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.022$ $wR(F^2) = 0.060$ S = 1.152999 reflections 196 parameters 0 restraints Z = 1 F(000) = 320 $D_x = 1.463 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu K α radiation, $\lambda = 1.54184 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 25131 reflections $\theta = 4.0-79.7^{\circ}$ $\mu = 6.01 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 K Block, yellow $0.11 \times 0.10 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$

 $T_{\min} = 0.727, T_{\max} = 1.000$ 29397 measured reflections
2999 independent reflections
2999 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.022$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 80.4^{\circ}, \theta_{\text{min}} = 4.0^{\circ}$ $h = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$ $l = -11 \rightarrow 14$

Primary atom site location: dual Hydrogen site location: mixed H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0302P)^2 + 0.2457P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.29$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.24 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Extinction correction: SHELXL-2016/6 (Sheldrick 2016), $Fc^*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$ Extinction coefficient: 0.0036 (4)

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Fe1	0.500000	0.500000	0.500000	0.01216 (8)
N1	0.23749 (14)	0.50517 (14)	0.58058 (10)	0.0175 (2)
C1	0.10930 (16)	0.48617 (15)	0.64015 (11)	0.0145 (2)
S1	-0.06651 (4)	0.45890 (4)	0.72985 (3)	0.01772 (9)
O1	0.58744 (12)	0.38660 (11)	0.65983 (8)	0.01684 (18)
N11	0.55002 (13)	0.24567 (12)	0.42347 (9)	0.0137 (2)
C11	0.41230 (15)	0.20838 (15)	0.39913 (10)	0.0144 (2)
H11	0.295430	0.284514	0.423936	0.017*
C12	0.43436 (16)	0.06162 (15)	0.33861 (11)	0.0151 (2)
C13	0.60473 (17)	-0.05288 (15)	0.30294 (11)	0.0179 (2)
H13	0.622799	-0.154127	0.262362	0.022*
C14	0.74656 (16)	-0.01402 (16)	0.32865 (12)	0.0183 (2)
H14	0.864668	-0.088837	0.306060	0.022*
C15	0.71430 (16)	0.13553 (15)	0.38781 (11)	0.0163 (2)
H15	0.812998	0.161414	0.403931	0.020*
C16	0.27896 (17)	0.03358 (16)	0.31361 (12)	0.0195 (3)
N12	0.15470 (16)	0.01357 (16)	0.29369 (12)	0.0292 (3)
N21	0.40361 (14)	0.59653 (13)	0.85071 (9)	0.0177 (2)
C21	0.22852 (17)	0.62145 (16)	0.88138 (11)	0.0176 (2)
H21	0.176535	0.564393	0.838477	0.021*
C22	0.11976 (16)	0.72784 (15)	0.97378 (11)	0.0160 (2)
C23	0.19423 (17)	0.81232 (16)	1.03776 (11)	0.0177 (2)
H23	0.122870	0.885180	1.101462	0.021*
C24	0.37532 (17)	0.78663 (16)	1.00549 (12)	0.0190 (3)
H24	0.430907	0.842236	1.046636	0.023*
C25	0.47433 (16)	0.67873 (16)	0.91238 (11)	0.0177 (2)
H25	0.598501	0.661992	0.891042	0.021*
C26	-0.06797 (17)	0.74885 (16)	1.00254 (11)	0.0191 (3)
N22	-0.21762 (15)	0.76797 (16)	1.02557 (11)	0.0256 (3)
H1A	0.531 (3)	0.450 (3)	0.724 (2)	0.045 (6)*
H1B	0.693 (3)	0.369 (3)	0.6554 (18)	0.042 (6)*

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Fe1	0.01011 (13)	0.01477 (14)	0.01245 (14)	-0.00513 (10)	-0.00059 (9)	-0.00395 (9)
N1	0.0134 (5)	0.0216 (5)	0.0188 (5)	-0.0079 (4)	0.0004 (4)	-0.0065 (4)
C1	0.0146 (5)	0.0146 (5)	0.0155 (6)	-0.0034 (4)	-0.0054 (4)	-0.0043 (4)
S 1	0.01226 (14)	0.02427 (16)	0.01741 (16)	-0.00805 (11)	-0.00037 (10)	-0.00184 (11)
01	0.0146 (4)	0.0193 (4)	0.0166 (4)	-0.0046 (3)	-0.0025 (3)	-0.0045 (3)
N11	0.0132 (5)	0.0148 (5)	0.0131 (5)	-0.0048 (4)	-0.0013 (4)	-0.0020 (4)
C11	0.0134 (5)	0.0162 (5)	0.0137 (6)	-0.0048 (4)	-0.0023 (4)	-0.0018 (4)
C12	0.0159 (6)	0.0173 (5)	0.0141 (6)	-0.0077 (5)	-0.0025 (4)	-0.0018 (4)
C13	0.0188 (6)	0.0160 (6)	0.0190 (6)	-0.0064 (5)	-0.0004 (5)	-0.0046 (5)
C14	0.0141 (5)	0.0161 (6)	0.0223 (6)	-0.0029 (4)	0.0000 (5)	-0.0038 (5)
C15	0.0132 (5)	0.0192 (6)	0.0172 (6)	-0.0066 (5)	-0.0019 (4)	-0.0013 (5)
C16	0.0188 (6)	0.0182 (6)	0.0217 (6)	-0.0058 (5)	-0.0019 (5)	-0.0074 (5)
N12	0.0209 (6)	0.0302 (6)	0.0397 (7)	-0.0088(5)	-0.0059 (5)	-0.0151 (5)
N21	0.0180 (5)	0.0207 (5)	0.0139 (5)	-0.0057 (4)	-0.0024 (4)	-0.0019 (4)
C21	0.0191 (6)	0.0207 (6)	0.0153 (6)	-0.0084 (5)	-0.0041 (5)	-0.0019 (5)
C22	0.0152 (6)	0.0189 (6)	0.0152 (6)	-0.0073 (5)	-0.0034 (4)	0.0005 (4)
C23	0.0181 (6)	0.0193 (6)	0.0162 (6)	-0.0068 (5)	-0.0015 (5)	-0.0040 (5)
C24	0.0183 (6)	0.0225 (6)	0.0194 (6)	-0.0097 (5)	-0.0045 (5)	-0.0029 (5)
C25	0.0147 (5)	0.0213 (6)	0.0172 (6)	-0.0066 (5)	-0.0026 (4)	0.0006 (5)
C26	0.0198 (6)	0.0216 (6)	0.0180 (6)	-0.0084 (5)	-0.0037 (5)	-0.0031 (5)
N22	0.0188 (6)	0.0314 (6)	0.0290 (6)	-0.0103 (5)	-0.0041 (5)	-0.0055 (5)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Fe1—N1 ⁱ	2.1207 (10)	C13—C14	1.3841 (17)
Fe1—N1	2.1207 (10)	C14—H14	0.9500
Fe1—O1 ⁱ	2.1267 (9)	C14—C15	1.3883 (17)
Fe1—O1	2.1267 (9)	C15—H15	0.9500
Fe1—N11	2.2358 (10)	C16—N12	1.1435 (18)
Fe1—N11 ⁱ	2.2358 (10)	N21—C21	1.3380 (16)
N1-C1	1.1649 (17)	N21—C25	1.3436 (16)
C1—S1	1.6387 (12)	C21—H21	0.9500
01—H1A	0.89 (2)	C21—C22	1.3916 (18)
O1—H1B	0.81 (2)	C22—C23	1.3956 (17)
N11-C11	1.3390 (15)	C22—C26	1.4419 (17)
N11—C15	1.3463 (15)	C23—H23	0.9500
C11—H11	0.9500	C23—C24	1.3844 (17)
C11—C12	1.3960 (16)	C24—H24	0.9500
C12—C13	1.3949 (17)	C24—C25	1.3850 (18)
C12—C16	1.4421 (17)	C25—H25	0.9500
С13—Н13	0.9500	C26—N22	1.1456 (17)
N1 ⁱ —Fe1—N1	180.0	C13—C12—C16	121.70 (11)
N1—Fe1—O1	89.42 (4)	C12—C13—H13	121.1
N1 ⁱ —Fe1—O1	90.58 (4)	C14—C13—C12	117.77 (11)

N1—Fe1—O1 ⁱ	90.58 (4)	C14—C13—H13	121.1
N1 ⁱ —Fe1—O1 ⁱ	89.42 (4)	C13—C14—H14	120.4
N1—Fe1—N11	89.86 (4)	C13—C14—C15	119.26 (11)
N1 ⁱ —Fe1—N11	90.14 (4)	C15—C14—H14	120.4
N1 ⁱ —Fe1—N11 ⁱ	89.86 (4)	N11—C15—C14	123.23 (11)
N1—Fe1—N11 ⁱ	90.14 (4)	N11—C15—H15	118.4
O1 ⁱ —Fe1—O1	180.0	C14—C15—H15	118.4
O1 ⁱ —Fe1—N11	87.62 (3)	N12—C16—C12	179.12 (14)
O1 ⁱ —Fe1—N11 ⁱ	92.38 (3)	C21—N21—C25	117.81 (11)
O1—Fe1—N11	92.38 (3)	N21—C21—H21	118.8
O1—Fe1—N11 ⁱ	87.62 (3)	N21—C21—C22	122.43 (11)
N11—Fe1—N11 ⁱ	180.0	C22—C21—H21	118.8
Fe1—N1—C1	167.09 (10)	C21—C22—C23	119.45 (11)
N1—C1—S1	177.12 (11)	C21—C22—C26	119.67 (11)
Fe1—O1—H1A	113.2 (14)	C23—C22—C26	120.87 (11)
Fe1—O1—H1B	112.3 (14)	С22—С23—Н23	121.0
H1A—O1—H1B	106.9 (19)	C24—C23—C22	117.93 (12)
C11—N11—Fe1	118.64 (8)	С24—С23—Н23	121.0
C11—N11—C15	117.74 (10)	C23—C24—H24	120.5
C15—N11—Fe1	123.18 (8)	C23—C24—C25	119.07 (11)
N11—C11—H11	118.8	C25—C24—H24	120.5
N11—C11—C12	122.34 (11)	N21—C25—C24	123.30 (11)
C12—C11—H11	118.8	N21—C25—H25	118.3
C11—C12—C16	118.64 (11)	C24—C25—H25	118.3
C13—C12—C11	119.66 (11)	N22—C26—C22	179.03 (14)
Fe1—N11—C11—C12	172.36 (9)	C16—C12—C13—C14	178.74 (12)
Fe1-N11-C15-C14	-172.87 (9)	N21—C21—C22—C23	-0.10 (19)
N11-C11-C12-C13	0.88 (18)	N21—C21—C22—C26	-179.84 (11)
N11-C11-C12-C16	-178.52 (11)	C21—N21—C25—C24	0.17 (18)
C11—N11—C15—C14	-0.63 (18)	C21—C22—C23—C24	0.31 (18)
C11—C12—C13—C14	-0.64 (18)	C22—C23—C24—C25	-0.28 (19)
C12-C13-C14-C15	-0.17 (18)	C23—C24—C25—N21	0.04 (19)
C13—C14—C15—N11	0.84 (19)	C25—N21—C21—C22	-0.14 (18)
C15—N11—C11—C12	-0.24 (17)	C26—C22—C23—C24	-179.96 (12)

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
01—H1A···N21	0.89 (2)	1.88 (2)	2.7615 (14)	175 (2)
O1— $H1B$ ···S1 ⁱⁱ	0.81 (2)	2.62 (2)	3.3184 (9)	145.7 (18)
C11—H11…N1	0.95	2.54	3.1243 (16)	120
C11—H11···S1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.95	3.03	3.6833 (12)	128
$C14$ — $H14$ ··· $S1^{iv}$	0.95	2.98	3.7688 (13)	141
C15—H15…N1 ⁱ	0.95	2.67	3.1894 (16)	115
C21—H21···S1	0.95	2.92	3.8513 (13)	165

C24—H24…N22 ⁱⁱ	0.95	2.67	3.3082 (17)	125
C25—H25…S1 ⁱⁱ	0.95	3.01	3.8056 (13)	142

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; (ii) x+1, y, z; (iii) -x, -y+1, -z+1; (iv) -x+1, -y, -z+1.