

Received 8 June 2023 Accepted 21 June 2023

Edited by J. Reibenspies, Texas A & M University, USA

Keywords: crystal structure; 1-phenyl-1*H*imidazole derivatives; weak intermolecular interactions; non-centrosymmetric space group.

CCDC references: 2267421; 2267419

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





Crystallographic and spectroscopic characterization of two 1-phenyl-1*H*-imidazoles: 4-(1*H*imidazol-1-yl)benzaldehyde and 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1*H*-imidazole

Isobelle F. McClements, Clara R. Wiesler and Joseph M. Tanski*

Department of Chemistry, Vassar College, Poughkeepsie, NY 12604, USA. *Correspondence e-mail: jotanski@vassar.edu

The title compounds, $C_{10}H_8N_2O$, (I), and $C_{10}H_{10}N_2O$, (II), are two 1-phenyl-1*H*imidazole derivatives, which differ in the substituent *para* to the imidazole group on the arene ring, *i.e.* a benzaldehyde, (I), and an anisole, (II). Both molecules pack with different motifs *via* similar weak $C-H\cdots N/O$ interactions and differ with respect to the angles between the mean planes of the imidazole and arene rings [24.58 (7)° in (I) and 43.67 (4)° in (II)].

1. Chemical context

N-Arylated imidazoles are commonly found in the structures of an array of biologically active compounds (Ananthu et al., 2021). They have a variety of applications in the medicinal chemistry field, such as use in anticancer and anti-inflammatory medications and as antiviral agents (Shalini et al., 2010). They are also used in agriculture as fungicides, herbicides, and plant-growth regulators (Emel'yanenko et al., 2017). 4-(1H-Imidazol-1-yl)benzaldehyde, (I), may be synthesized in high yield by treating 4-bromobenzaldehyde with imidazole in an aprotic solvent with the addition of potassium carbonate and a copper(I) catalyst (Xi et al., 2008). The yellow solid is a common reagent in the synthesis of various targets with antifungal and antibacterial activity. It has been shown that (I) could be used to synthesize a series of 3-[4-(1H-imidazol-1yl)phenyl]prop-2-en-1-ones with antifungal, antioxidant, and antileishmanial activities (Hussain et al., 2009). Cream-colored 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-imidazole, (II), and other similar compounds have been found to work as catalysts in the catalytic epoxidation of olefins with moderate to good yields using mild reaction conditions (Schröder et al., 2009). Compound (II) can be synthesized in a 99% isolated yield by allowing imidazole and 4-iodoanisole to react in acetonitrile in the presence of cesium carbonate and a copper(II) catalyst (Milenković et al., 2019).



2. Structural commentary

The molecular structures of the benzaldehyde derivative (I) (Fig. 1) and the anisole derivative (II) (Fig. 2) show the *para*

Table 1	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$) for (I).	

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C8-H8A\cdotsO1^{i}$	0.95	2.51	3.458 (2)	176
$C10-H10A\cdots N2^{ii}$	0.95	2.51	3.449 (2)	173

Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y - 1, z; (ii) $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$.

nature of the substituent with respect to the imidazole group. The angle between the mean planes of the imidazole and arene rings is $24.58 (7)^{\circ}$ in (I) and $43.67 (4)^{\circ}$ in (II).

3. Supramolecular features

The molecules of benzaldehyde derivative (I) are held together in the solid state via weak $C-H \cdots O/N$ interactions (Fig. 3 and Table 1). Specifically, imidazole C-H groups interact with neighboring benzaldehyde O atoms (C8-H8A···O1ⁱ) and imidazole N atoms (C10-H10A···N2ⁱⁱ). The molecules also stack with an offset face-to-face geometrical arrangement of the arene rings, with an intermolecular centroid-to-centroid distance of 3.7749 (2) Å, a plane-to-centroid distance of 3.5002 (10) Å, and a ring shift of 1.414 (3) Å. Fig. 3 displays a di-periodic sheet with a thickness roughly equivalent to the length of the c axis, where the imidazoles interact in the interior and the aldehyde substituents extend to the faces. The sheets then stack in the [001] direction. Notably, (I) crystallizes in the space group $P2_1$ and is therefore a polar material in the solid state. Polar organic materials formed by achiral molecules are of interest in crystal engineering, in particular for nonlinear optical materials (Merritt & Tanski, 2018).

Similarly, the molecules of anisole derivative (II) are held together in the solid state *via* weak $C-H\cdots O/N$ interactions (Fig. 4 and Table 2), with the same imidazole C-H groups as (I) interacting with a neighboring anisole O atom (C9–



Figure 1

A view of 4-(1*H*-imidazol-1-yl)benzaldehyde, (I), showing the atomnumbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



Figure 2

A view of 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1*H*-imidazole, (II), showing the atomnumbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

Table 2	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$) for (II).	

$D - H \cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C8-H8A\cdots N2^{i}$	0.95	2.55	3.4391 (11)	157
$C9-H9A\cdotsO1^{ii}$	0.95	2.56	3.3048 (11)	136
$C10-H10A\cdots N2^{iii}$	0.95	2.52	3.3004 (11)	140

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x - 1, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z.

H9A···O1ⁱⁱ) and an imidazole N atom (C10-H10A···N2ⁱⁱⁱ). A third weak interaction links the remaining imidazole H atom with the imidazole N atom (C8-H8A···N2ⁱ). Unlike benzaldehyde derivative (I), anisole derivative (II) does not exhibit any π -stacking geometrical arrangement of the arene rings and the molecules pack centrosymmetrically (Fig. 5).

4. Database survey

The Cambridge Structural Database (CSD; Groom *et al.*, 2016) contains six simple *para-X*-substituted 1-phenyl-1*H*-



Figure 3

A view of the molecular packing in 4-(1*H*-imidazol-1-yl)benzaldehyde, (I). [Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y - 1, z; (ii) -x + 1, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, -z + 1.]



Figure 4

A view of the intermolecular interactions in 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1*H*imidazole, (II). [Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x - 1, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z.]

Table 3

Experimental details.

Experiments were carried out at 125 K using a Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer. Absorption was corrected for by multi-scan methods (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2016). Refinement was on 119 parameters. H-atom parameters were constrained.

	(I)	(II)
Crystal data		
Chemical formula	$C_{10}H_8N_2O$	$C_{10}H_{10}N_2O$
$M_{\rm r}$	172.18	174.20
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, P2 ₁	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
a, b, c (Å)	3.7749 (2), 7.3711 (5), 14.4524 (9)	8.5663 (12), 11.2143 (16), 9.1635 (13)
β (°)	91.096 (2)	94.448 (2)
$V(\dot{A}^3)$	402.07 (4)	877.6 (2)
Ζ	2	4
Radiation type	Cu Ka	Μο Κα
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	0.77	0.09
Crystal size (mm)	$0.37 \times 0.20 \times 0.05$	$0.40 \times 0.25 \times 0.15$
Data collection		
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.80, 0.96	0.92, 0.99
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	5673, 1482, 1466	21397, 2678, 2332
R _{int}	0.029	0.031
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.615	0.715
Refinement		
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.027, 0.079, 1.14	0.040, 0.119, 1.04
No. of reflections	1482	2678
No. of restraints	1	0
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.19, -0.15	0.35, -0.29
Absolute structure	Flack x determined using 652 quotients	-
	$[(I^{*}) - (I^{*})]/[(I^{*}) + (I^{*})]$ (Parsons <i>et al.</i> , 2013);	
	(Delementary at zL_{2000})	
A haplute structure reconstant	(Dotomation et al., 2009)	
Absolute structure parameter	0.03 (7)	-

Computer programs: APEX3 and SAINT (Bruker, 2013), SHELXT2018 (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2017 (Sheldrick, 2015b), SHELXTL2014 (Sheldrick, 2008), OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009), and Mercury (Macrae et al., 2020).

imidazole derivatives: $X = -NH_2$ (CSD refcode MUFCAS; Liang *et al.*, 2009), -Br (PAJDUD; Ding *et al.*, 2021), -I (FIQFUJ; Bejan *et al.*, 2018), -CO₂H (IKAWAT; Zheng *et al.*,



Figure 5

A view of the molecular packing in 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1*H*-imidazole, (II).

2011), -CO₂CH₃ (BEMVUN; Khattri et al., 2016) and -COCH₃ (XECDUG; Ibrahim et al., 2012). The amino and carboxylic acid derivatives engage in intermolecular hydrogen bonding with the imidazole N atom and exhibit angles between the mean planes of the imidazole and arene rings of 31.17 (MUFCAS) and 14.51° (IKAWAT). The halide derivatives both contain halide to imidazole nitrogen intermolecular contacts and angles between the mean planes of the imidazole and arene rings of 35.22 (PAJDUD) and 27.10° (FIQFUJ). Similar to the title compounds (I) and (II), the methyl ester and methyl ketone derivatives pack via weak C-H···N/O interactions and with angles between the mean planes of the imidazole and arene rings of 24.83 (BEMVUN) and 1.04° (XECDUG). In XECDUG, a molecule of water hydrogen bonds to the 1H-imidazole H and ortho-phenyl H of a neighboring molecule, holding the planes of the imidazole and arene rings nearly coplanar. Inspection of the bond lengths of the imidazole ring for all eight derivatives reveals that they are remarkably similar.

5. Synthesis and crystallization

4-(1H-Imidazol-1-yl)benzaldehyde (98%), (I), and 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1H-imidazole (98%), (II), were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Company, USA, and were used as received.

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. H atoms on C atoms were included in calculated positions and refined using a riding model, with C-H = 0.95 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ for aryl H atoms, and C-H = 0.98 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$ for methyl H atoms.

7. Analytical data

7.1. 4-(1H-Imidazol-1-yl)benzaldehyde, (I)

¹H NMR (Bruker Avance III HD 400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.26 (*m*, 1H, C_{imid}*H*), 7.39 (*m*, 1H, C_{imid}*H*), 7.60 (*d*, 2H, C_{aryl}*H*, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 7.99 (*s*, 1H, C_{imid}*H*), 8.03 (*d*, 2H, C_{aryl}*H*, *J* = 8.6 Hz), 10.05 [*s*, 1H, C(O)*H*]. ¹³C NMR (¹³C[¹H], 100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 117.54 (*C*_{imid}H), 120.97 (*C*_{aryl}H), 131.23 (*C*_{imid}H), 131.48 (*C*_{aryl}H), 134.84 (*C*_{aryl}), 135.28 (*C*_{imid}H), 141.60 (*C*_{aryl}), 190.48 [*C*(O)H]. IR (Thermo Nicolet iS50, ATR, cm⁻¹): 3138 (w, C_{aryl}-H str), 3109 (*m*, C_{aryl}-H str), 2818 and 2746 (*m*, =C-H aldehyde Fermi doublet str), 1676 (*s*, C=O str), 1604 (*s*, arom. C=C str), 1439 (*m*), 1400 (*s*), 1375 (*s*), 1310 (*s*), 1268 (*s*), 1220 (*s*), 1171 (*s*), 1120 (*m*), 1105 (*m*), 1059 (*s*), 971 (*m*), 959 (*s*), 902 (*w*), 830 (*s*), 752 (*s*), 692 (*m*), 752 (*s*), 692 (*m*), 648 (*s*), 617 (*m*), 530 (*m*), 513 (*s*), 447 (*m*), 413 (*m*). GC–MS (Agilent Technologies 7890A GC/5975C MS): *M*⁺ = 172 amu.

7.2. 1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1H-imidazole, (II)

¹H NMR (Bruker Avance III HD 400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.85 $(s, 3H, OCH_3), 6.98 (d, 2H, C_{aryl}H, J = 8.9 Hz), 7.20 (m, 2H,$ $C_{imid}H$), 7.30 (*d*, 2H, $C_{aryl}H$, J = 8.9 Hz), 7.78 (*m*, 1H, $C_{imid}H$). ¹³C NMR ($^{13}C{^{1}H}$, 100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 55.56 (OCH₃), 114.87 (CarvlH), 118.83 (CimidH), 123.19 (CarvlH), 129.97 (Carvl), 130.68 (CimidH), 135.89 (CimidH), 158.92 (Carvl). IR (Thermo Nicolet iS50, ATR, cm^{-1}): 3128 (m, C_{arvl} -H str), 3107 (m, C_{arvl}-H str), 2961 (w, C_{alkvl}-H str), 2918 (w, C_{alkvl}-H str), 2838 (m, C_{alkyl}-H str), 2052 (w), 1877 (w), 1634 (w), 1610 (m), 1591 (w), 1517 (s, arom. C=C str), 1471 (s, arom. C=C str), 1459 (m), 1447 (w), 1332 (m), 1321 (s), 1302 (m), 1267 (s), 1256 (s), 1241 (s), 1192 (s), 1173 (m), 1109 (s), 1100 (s), 1061 (s), 1029 (s), 961 (m), 910 (m), 873 (w), 840 (s), 823(s), 798 (s), 780 (s), 762 (s), 664 (s), 649 (s), 614 (m), 539 (s), 490 (m), 434 (w). GC-MS (Agilent Technologies 7890A GC/ 5975C MS): $M^+ = 174$ amu.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Vassar College. X-ray facilities were provided by the U.S. National Science Foundation.

Funding information

Funding for this research was provided by: National Science Foundation (grant Nos. 0521237 and 0911324 to J. M. Tanski).

References

- Ananthu, S., Aneeja, T. & Anilkumar, G. (2021). *ChemistrySelect*, 6, 9794–9805.
- Bejan, D., Bahrin, L. G., Shova, S., Sardaru, M., Clima, L., Nicolescu, A., Marangoci, N., Lozan, V. & Janiak, C. (2018). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 482, 275–283.
- Bruker (2013). SAINT and APEX3. Bruxer AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2016). SADABS. Bruxer AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Ding, B., Ma, L., Huang, Z., Ma, X. & Tian, H. (2021). Sci. Adv. 7, eabf9668.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **42**, 339–341.
- Emel'yanenko, V. N., Kaliner, M., Strassner, T. & Verevkin, S. P. (2017). Fluid Phase Equilib. 433, 40–49.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Hussain, T., Siddiqui, H. L., Zia-ur-Rehman, M., Masoom Yasinzai, M. & Parvez, M. (2009). *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 44, 4654–4660.
- Ibrahim, H., Bala, M. D. & Omondi, B. (2012). Acta Cryst. E68, o2305.
- Khattri, R. B., Morris, D. L., Davis, C. M., Bilinovich, S. M., Caras, A. J., Panzner, M. J., Debord, M. A. & Leeper, T. C. (2016). *Molecules*, pp. 21.
- Liang, L., Li, Z. & Zhou, X. (2009). Org. Lett. 11, 3294-3297.
- Macrae, C. F., Sovago, I., Cottrell, S. J., Galek, P. T. A., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Platings, M., Shields, G. P., Stevens, J. S., Towler, M. & Wood, P. A. (2020). J. Appl. Cryst. 53, 226–235.
- Merritt, H. & Tanski, J. M. (2018). J. Chem. Crystallogr. 48, 109-116.
- Milenković, M. R., Papastavrou, A. T., Radanović, D., Pevec, A., Jagličić, Z., Zlatar, M., Gruden, M., Vougioukalakis, G. C., Turel, I., Anđelković, K. & Čobeljić, B. (2019). *Polyhedron*, **165**, 22–30.
- Parsons, S., Flack, H. D. & Wagner, T. (2013). Acta Cryst. B69, 249–259.
- Schröder, K., Enthaler, S., Bitterlich, B., Schulz, T., Spannenberg, A., Tse, M. K., Junge, K. & Beller, M. (2009). *Chem. Eur. J.* **15**, 5471– 5481.
- Shalini, K., Sharma, P. K. & Kumar, N. (2010). Der Chem. Sinica, 1, 6–47.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Xi, Z., Liu, F., Zhou, Y. & Chen, W. (2008). *Tetrahedron*, **64**, 4254–4259.
- Zheng, Z., Geng, W.-Q., Wu, Z.-C. & Zhou, H.-P. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, o524.

Acta Cryst. (2023). E79, 678-681 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989023005480]

Crystallographic and spectroscopic characterization of two 1-phenyl-1*H*imidazoles: 4-(1*H*-imidazol-1-yl)benzaldehyde and 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-1*H*imidazole

Isobelle F. McClements, Clara R. Wiesler and Joseph M. Tanski

Computing details

For both structures, data collection: *APEX3* (Bruker, 2013); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2013); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2013); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXT2018 (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2017* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL2014* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL2014* (Sheldrick, 2008), OLEX2 (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009), and *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2020).

4-(1*H*-Imidazol-1-yl)benzaldehyde (I)

Crystal data

 $C_{10}H_8N_2O$ $M_r = 172.18$ Monoclinic, $P2_1$ a = 3.7749 (2) Å b = 7.3711 (5) Å c = 14.4524 (9) Å $\beta = 91.096$ (2)° V = 402.07 (4) Å³ Z = 2

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer Radiation source: Cu IuS micro-focus source Detector resolution: 8.3333 pixels mm⁻¹ φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2016) $T_{\min} = 0.80, T_{\max} = 0.96$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$ $wR(F^2) = 0.079$ S = 1.141482 reflections F(000) = 180 $D_x = 1.422 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 1.54178 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 5426 reflections $\theta = 3.1-71.6^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.77 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 125 KPlate, clear colourless $0.37 \times 0.20 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$

5673 measured reflections 1482 independent reflections 1466 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.029$ $\theta_{max} = 71.6^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 3.1^{\circ}$ $h = -4 \rightarrow 4$ $k = -8 \rightarrow 7$ $l = -17 \rightarrow 16$

119 parameters1 restraintPrimary atom site location: dualSecondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0495P)^2 + 0.0471P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.19$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.15$ e Å⁻³

Special details

Extinction correction: SHELXL2017 (Sheldrick, 2015b) Extinction coefficient: 0.021 (6) Absolute structure: Flack *x* determined using 652 quotients [(I+)-(I-)]/[(I+)+(I-)] (Parsons *et al.*, 2013); Hooft y = 0.11(6) calculated with OLEX2 (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009). Absolute structure parameter: 0.09 (7)

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
01	0.8970 (4)	0.9617 (2)	0.09534 (10)	0.0289 (4)
N1	0.3041 (4)	0.2861 (2)	0.33233 (10)	0.0166 (4)
N2	0.2148 (4)	0.1490 (2)	0.46723 (11)	0.0222 (4)
C1	0.8366 (5)	0.8021 (3)	0.07934 (13)	0.0230 (4)
H1A	0.889378	0.758391	0.019326	0.028*
C2	0.6890 (5)	0.6719 (3)	0.14516 (12)	0.0185 (4)
C3	0.6418 (5)	0.4920 (3)	0.11753 (13)	0.0203 (4)
H3A	0.698927	0.4571	0.056322	0.024*
C4	0.5120 (5)	0.3631 (3)	0.17851 (12)	0.0192 (4)
H4A	0.480518	0.240754	0.159434	0.023*
C5	0.4286 (4)	0.4165 (3)	0.26824 (12)	0.0169 (4)
C6	0.4704 (4)	0.5971 (3)	0.29652 (12)	0.0183 (4)
H6A	0.409116	0.632564	0.357352	0.022*
C7	0.6015 (5)	0.7236 (3)	0.23531 (13)	0.0199 (4)
H7A	0.632366	0.846022	0.254394	0.024*
C8	0.1451 (4)	0.1207 (3)	0.31214 (12)	0.0191 (4)
H8A	0.086412	0.073743	0.25258	0.023*
C9	0.0906 (5)	0.0395 (3)	0.39529 (13)	0.0212 (4)
H9A	-0.017271	-0.075833	0.403072	0.025*
C10	0.3399 (5)	0.2943 (3)	0.42704 (13)	0.0204 (4)
H10A	0.443164	0.393702	0.45957	0.025*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
01	0.0395 (9)	0.0225 (9)	0.0249 (7)	-0.0053 (6)	0.0026 (6)	0.0022 (6)
N1	0.0195 (7)	0.0148 (9)	0.0154 (7)	0.0001 (6)	-0.0004(5)	0.0002 (6)
N2	0.0268 (8)	0.0210 (10)	0.0188 (7)	-0.0002 (6)	0.0009 (6)	0.0014 (6)
C1	0.0245 (9)	0.0236 (12)	0.0209 (9)	-0.0008(8)	-0.0004 (7)	0.0000 (8)
C2	0.0179 (8)	0.0201 (11)	0.0175 (8)	0.0005 (7)	-0.0013 (7)	0.0002 (7)
C3	0.0212 (9)	0.0230 (11)	0.0166 (9)	0.0020 (7)	0.0012 (7)	-0.0018 (7)
C4	0.0230 (9)	0.0166 (11)	0.0180 (8)	-0.0005 (7)	-0.0001 (7)	-0.0021(7)

C5	0.0151 (8)	0.0176 (10)	0.0180 (8)	0.0009 (7)	-0.0018 (6)	0.0007 (7)
C6	0.0212 (8)	0.0175 (10)	0.0164 (8)	0.0015 (7)	0.0006 (7)	-0.0021 (7)
C7	0.0213 (9)	0.0162 (10)	0.0221 (10)	0.0002 (7)	-0.0015 (7)	-0.0017 (7)
C8	0.0202 (8)	0.0168 (10)	0.0203 (8)	-0.0005 (7)	-0.0009 (6)	-0.0027 (7)
C9	0.0219 (9)	0.0187 (11)	0.0229 (9)	0.0004 (7)	0.0007 (7)	0.0016 (7)
C10	0.0243 (9)	0.0207 (11)	0.0163 (9)	0.0005 (7)	-0.0013 (7)	-0.0012 (7)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01—C1	1.219 (3)	С3—НЗА	0.9500
N1—C10	1.374 (2)	C4—C5	1.397 (2)
N1—C8	1.388 (2)	C4—H4A	0.9500
N1—C5	1.421 (2)	C5—C6	1.401 (3)
N2—C10	1.311 (2)	C6—C7	1.383 (3)
N2—C9	1.391 (2)	С6—Н6А	0.9500
C1—C2	1.469 (2)	С7—Н7А	0.9500
C1—H1A	0.9500	C8—C9	1.362 (3)
C2—C3	1.395 (3)	C8—H8A	0.9500
C2—C7	1.403 (2)	С9—Н9А	0.9500
C3—C4	1.391 (3)	C10—H10A	0.9500
C10—N1—C8	106.39 (15)	C4—C5—N1	119.84 (17)
C10—N1—C5	126.28 (15)	C6—C5—N1	119.32 (16)
C8—N1—C5	127.19 (15)	C7—C6—C5	119.59 (17)
C10—N2—C9	105.21 (15)	С7—С6—Н6А	120.2
O1—C1—C2	125.41 (17)	С5—С6—Н6А	120.2
O1—C1—H1A	117.3	C6—C7—C2	120.28 (18)
C2—C1—H1A	117.3	С6—С7—Н7А	119.9
C3—C2—C7	119.54 (17)	С2—С7—Н7А	119.9
C3—C2—C1	118.92 (15)	C9—C8—N1	105.83 (16)
C7—C2—C1	121.53 (17)	С9—С8—Н8А	127.1
C4—C3—C2	120.81 (16)	N1—C8—H8A	127.1
C4—C3—H3A	119.6	C8—C9—N2	110.49 (18)
С2—С3—НЗА	119.6	С8—С9—Н9А	124.8
C3—C4—C5	118.95 (17)	N2—C9—H9A	124.8
C3—C4—H4A	120.5	N2-C10-N1	112.07 (16)
C5—C4—H4A	120.5	N2-C10-H10A	124.0
C4—C5—C6	120.84 (16)	N1—C10—H10A	124.0
O1 $C1$ $C2$ $C3$	_179 55 (19)	N1 C5 C6 C7	179 09 (15)
01 - C1 - C2 - C3	-1/8.33(18)	N1 - C3 - C0 - C7	1/8.08(13)
01 - 01 - 02 - 07	0.2(3)	$C_{2} = C_{0} = C_{1} = C_{2}$	0.0(2)
$C_{1} = C_{2} = C_{3} = C_{4}$	-0.0(3)	$C_{3} = C_{2} = C_{7} = C_{6}$	0.2(3)
C1 = C2 = C3 = C4	1/8.21 (16)	CI = C2 = C/ = C6	-1/8.52(16)
$C_2 = C_3 = C_4 = C_5$	0.1 (3)	C10—N1—C8—C9	0.62 (19)
C_{3} C_{4} C_{5} C_{6}	0.7(3)	C_{2} N_{1} C_{3} C_{9} N_{2}	1/6.67 (16)
C3—C4—C5—N1	-178.42 (15)	NI	-0.6 (2)
C10—N1—C5—C4	153.02 (17)	C10—N2—C9—C8	0.4 (2)
C8—N1—C5—C4	-22.3 (2)	C9—N2—C10—N1	0.0 (2)

C10—N1—C5—C6	-26.2 (3)	C8—N1—C10—N2	-0.4 (2)
C8—N1—C5—C6	158.54 (16)	C5—N1—C10—N2	-176.52 (16)
C4—C5—C6—C7	-1.1 (2)		

Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	9
---------------	----------	-----	---

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D···A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
C8—H8A···O1 ⁱ	0.95	2.51	3.458 (2)	176
C10—H10A…N2 ⁱⁱ	0.95	2.51	3.449 (2)	173

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*-1, *y*-1, *z*; (ii) -*x*+1, *y*+1/2, -*z*+1.

1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1H-imidazole (II)

Crystal data

 $C_{10}H_{10}N_2O$ $M_r = 174.20$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ a = 8.5663 (12) Å b = 11.2143 (16) Å c = 9.1635 (13) Å $\beta = 94.448 (2)^\circ$ $V = 877.6 (2) Å^3$ Z = 4

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer Radiation source: sealed X-ray tube, Bruker APEXII CCD Graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 8.3333 pixels mm⁻¹ φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2016)

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.040$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.119$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.04	H-atom parameters constrained
2678 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0687P)^2 + 0.1966P]$
119 parameters	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
Primary atom site location: dual	$\Delta ho_{ m max} = 0.35 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.29 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

F(000) = 368 $D_x = 1.318 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 8588 reflections $\theta = 2.4-30.4^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 125 KPlate, colourless $0.40 \times 0.25 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$

 $T_{\min} = 0.92, T_{\max} = 0.99$ 21397 measured reflections 2678 independent reflections 2332 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.031$ $\theta_{max} = 30.6^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 2.4^{\circ}$ $h = -12 \rightarrow 12$ $k = -16 \rightarrow 15$ $l = -13 \rightarrow 13$

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
N1	0.50111 (8)	0.64826 (6)	0.27442 (7)	0.01696 (16)
N2	0.35189 (9)	0.60120 (7)	0.07295 (8)	0.02110 (17)
O1	0.98420 (8)	0.63969 (6)	0.71768 (7)	0.02769 (18)
C1	1.02429 (11)	0.53203 (10)	0.79493 (10)	0.0289 (2)
H1A	1.108753	0.547969	0.87077	0.043*
H1B	1.059244	0.472373	0.726426	0.043*
H1C	0.932403	0.501862	0.840608	0.043*
C2	0.86571 (10)	0.63397 (8)	0.60922 (9)	0.02084 (18)
C3	0.81994 (11)	0.74301 (8)	0.54587 (10)	0.02479 (19)
H3A	0.870761	0.814315	0.579384	0.03*
C4	0.70105 (11)	0.74809 (8)	0.43457 (9)	0.02198 (18)
H4A	0.670793	0.822403	0.391376	0.026*
C5	0.62626 (10)	0.64337 (7)	0.38651 (9)	0.01708 (17)
C6	0.67205 (10)	0.53468 (7)	0.44827 (9)	0.01901 (17)
H6A	0.621215	0.463503	0.414318	0.023*
C7	0.79220 (10)	0.52919 (8)	0.55986 (9)	0.02071 (18)
H7A	0.823565	0.454653	0.601777	0.025*
C8	0.37965 (10)	0.72974 (7)	0.26290 (9)	0.01978 (18)
H8A	0.362414	0.793692	0.327719	0.024*
С9	0.28976 (10)	0.69928 (8)	0.13921 (9)	0.02107 (18)
H9A	0.197198	0.739844	0.10349	0.025*
C10	0.47846 (10)	0.57358 (7)	0.15758 (9)	0.01911 (18)
H10A	0.545959	0.509019	0.139087	0.023*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
N1	0.0198 (3)	0.0156 (3)	0.0153 (3)	0.0015 (2)	0.0003 (2)	-0.0014 (2)
N2	0.0226 (4)	0.0219 (4)	0.0183 (3)	0.0020 (3)	-0.0011 (3)	-0.0016 (3)
01	0.0249 (3)	0.0327 (4)	0.0239 (3)	-0.0069 (3)	-0.0077 (3)	0.0039 (3)
C1	0.0249 (4)	0.0389 (5)	0.0222 (4)	-0.0024 (4)	-0.0028 (3)	0.0082 (4)
C2	0.0194 (4)	0.0251 (4)	0.0178 (4)	-0.0035 (3)	0.0003 (3)	0.0010 (3)
C3	0.0271 (4)	0.0204 (4)	0.0260 (4)	-0.0055 (3)	-0.0037 (3)	-0.0010 (3)
C4	0.0264 (4)	0.0162 (4)	0.0228 (4)	-0.0015 (3)	-0.0013 (3)	0.0004 (3)
C5	0.0188 (4)	0.0176 (4)	0.0148 (3)	-0.0004 (3)	0.0009 (3)	-0.0006 (3)
C6	0.0205 (4)	0.0167 (4)	0.0195 (4)	-0.0014 (3)	-0.0001 (3)	-0.0001 (3)
C7	0.0214 (4)	0.0205 (4)	0.0200 (4)	-0.0013 (3)	-0.0001 (3)	0.0031 (3)
C8	0.0231 (4)	0.0166 (4)	0.0197 (4)	0.0034 (3)	0.0024 (3)	-0.0010 (3)
С9	0.0211 (4)	0.0209 (4)	0.0211 (4)	0.0037 (3)	0.0005 (3)	0.0011 (3)
C10	0.0221 (4)	0.0181 (4)	0.0170 (3)	0.0018 (3)	0.0004 (3)	-0.0031 (3)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

N1—C10	1.3612 (10)	C3—C4	1.3854 (12)
N1—C8	1.3827 (10)	С3—НЗА	0.9500

N1—C5	1.4271 (10)	C4—C5	1.3928 (11)
N2—C10	1.3200 (10)	C4—H4A	0.9500
N2—C9	1.3828 (11)	C5—C6	1.3876 (11)
O1—C2	1.3658 (10)	C6—C7	1.3947 (11)
01—C1	1.4279 (12)	C6—H6A	0.9500
C1—H1A	0.9800	С7—Н7А	0.9500
C1—H1B	0.9800	C8—C9	1.3634 (11)
C1—H1C	0.9800	C8—H8A	0.9500
C2—C7	1.3920 (12)	С9—Н9А	0.9500
C2—C3	1.3967 (12)	C10—H10A	0.9500
C10 N1 C9	106 62 (7)	C5 C4 114A	120.2
C10 - N1 - C8	100.02(7)	C_{3} C_{4} H_{4} C_{4} C_{4	120.3
CIU-NI-CS	120.37(7)	$C_0 - C_3 - C_4$	120.21(8)
C_{8} NI C_{9}	120.81(7)	C6 - C5 - N1	120.01(7)
C10 - N2 - C9	104.78(7)	C4—C5—N1	119.78(7)
	117.23 (7)	C_{5}	120.46 (7)
OI—CI—HIA	109.5	С5—С6—Н6А	119.8
Ol—Cl—HlB	109.5	С7—С6—Н6А	119.8
H1A—C1—H1B	109.5	C2—C7—C6	119.38 (8)
01—C1—H1C	109.5	С2—С7—Н7А	120.3
H1A—C1—H1C	109.5	С6—С7—Н7А	120.3
H1B—C1—H1C	109.5	C9—C8—N1	105.73 (7)
O1—C2—C7	124.61 (8)	С9—С8—Н8А	127.1
O1—C2—C3	115.48 (8)	N1—C8—H8A	127.1
C7—C2—C3	119.91 (8)	C8—C9—N2	110.64 (7)
C4—C3—C2	120.57 (8)	С8—С9—Н9А	124.7
С4—С3—Н3А	119.7	N2—C9—H9A	124.7
С2—С3—Н3А	119.7	N2	112.23 (7)
C3—C4—C5	119.47 (8)	N2-C10-H10A	123.9
C3—C4—H4A	120.3	N1-C10-H10A	123.9
C1 - 01 - C2 - C7	-643(13)	N1-C5-C6-C7	-178 75 (7)
C1 - O1 - C2 - C3	174.10 (8)	01 - C2 - C7 - C6	179.88 (8)
$01 - C^2 - C^3 - C^4$	179 87 (8)	$C_{3} - C_{2} - C_{7} - C_{6}$	-0.68(13)
C7—C2—C3—C4	0.38 (14)	$C_{5} - C_{6} - C_{7} - C_{2}$	0.19(13)
$C_{2} - C_{3} - C_{4} - C_{5}$	0.20(11) 0.41(14)	C10-N1-C8-C9	0.16 (9)
C_{3} C_{4} C_{5} C_{6}	-0.91(13)	$C_{5}-N_{1}-C_{8}-C_{9}$	179 98 (7)
C_{3} C_{4} C_{5} N_{1}	178 46 (7)	N1 - C8 - C9 - N2	-0.15(10)
C10-N1-C5-C6	-44.15(12)	$C_{10} N_{2} C_{9} C_{8}$	0.07(10)
C8-N1-C5-C6	136.07 (9)	C9 - N2 - C10 - N1	0.03(10)
C10-N1-C5-C4	136.49 (9)	C8 - N1 - C10 - N2	-0.12(10)
$C_{10} = 11 = 0.5 = 0.4$	-43 30 (12)	$C_{5} = N_{1} = C_{10} = N_{2}$	-17994(7)
$C_{0} = 101 = C_{0} = C_{1}$	-13.30(12)		1/9.94 (/)
U = U = U = U	0.01 (13)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
C8—H8A···N2 ⁱ	0.95	2.55	3.4391 (11)	157

C9-H9A···O1ⁱⁱ 0.95 2.56 3.3048 (11) 136 C10-H10A···N2ⁱⁱⁱ 0.95 2.52 3.3004 (11) 140

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*, -*y*+3/2, *z*+1/2; (ii) *x*-1, -*y*+3/2, *z*-1/2; (iii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*.