



ISSN 2056-9890

Received 12 May 2023 Accepted 7 June 2023

Edited by D. Chopra, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Bhopal, India

**Keywords:** crystal structure; intermolecular interactions; Hirshfeld surface analysis.

CCDC reference: 2268276

**Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

# Crystal structure of 1-(4-bromophenyl)but-3-yn-1one

## Shaziyaparveen K. Siddiqui,<sup>a,b</sup> C. V. Ramana<sup>a,b</sup> and Rajesh G. Gonnade<sup>c,b</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Division of Organic Synthesis, CSIR-National Chemical Laboratory, Dr. Homi, Bhabha Road, Pashan, Pune-411008, India, <sup>b</sup>Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Sector 19, Kamla Nehru Nagar, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh 201002, India, and <sup>c</sup>Physical & Materials Chemistry Division, CSIR-National Chemical Laboratory, Dr. Homi Bhabha Road, Pune-411008, India. \*Correspondence e-mail: rg.gonnade@ncl.res.in

The title compound, 1-(4-bromophenyl)but-3-yn-1-one,  $C_{10}H_7BrO$ , crystallizes in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1/n$  with one molecule in the asymmetric unit. The structure displays a planar geometry. The crystal structure is consolidated by  $C-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonding and a short  $C=O\cdots C\equiv C$  (acetylene) contacts. Hirshfeld surface analysis indicates that  $H\cdots H$ ,  $C\cdots H/H\cdots C$  and  $H\cdots Br/$  $Br\cdots H$  interactions play a more important role in consolidating the crystal structure compared to  $H\cdots O/O\cdots H$  and  $C\cdots C$  contacts.

#### 1. Chemical context

The title compound 1-(4-bromophenyl)but-3-yn-1-one (1) was obtained as a side product during the synthesis of 5-(4-bromophenyl)isoxazole-3-carboxylic acid (2) from the NaOH-mediated hydrolysis of ethyl 5-(4-bromophenyl)isoxazole-3-carboxylate (3). These arylisoxazole carboxylic acids have been identified as potential isosteres of aryl diketo acid in the design of novel HIV-1 integrase inhibitors (Zeng *et al.*, 2008). The presence of three distinct functional groups, viz. alkyne, bromo, and carbonyl, offers an intriguing opportunity to explore how intermolecular interactions contribute to the cohesion of the crystal structure.





The title compound crystallizes in the monoclinic  $P2_1/n$  centrosymmetric space group with one molecule of **1** in the asymmetric unit (Fig. 1). The structure displays a planar geometry [torsion angle C5-C1-C8-C9 = 175.4 (3)°, only the C5 atom of phenyl ring is considered and not the full fragment]. The phenyl ring makes a dihedral angle of 5.4 (2)°



Published under a CC BY 4.0 licence

# research communications



Figure 1

The asymmetric unit of **1** with the atom labelling. Displacement ellipsoids represent 30% probability levels.

with the least-squares plane through the O1/C1/C8-C10 fragment.

#### 3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, the closely associated molecules of **1** generate two different helical assemblies across the crystallographic  $2_1$ -screw axis (*b*-axis). The helical assembly generated using  $C1=O1\cdots C9^i\equiv C10^i$  (acetylene,  $C=O\cdots\pi$ ) contacts [symmetry code: (i)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{3}{2}$ ] (Li *et al.*, 2019; Mooibroek, *et al.*, 2008) has a sheet structure (Fig. 2, Table 1), while the helical assembly created using  $C-H\cdots O$  (C8–  $H8A\cdots O1^{ii}$ ) contacts [symmetry code: (ii)  $-x + \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{3}{2}$ ] (Desiraju & Steiner, 2001) has a proper helical structure (Fig. 3, Table 1). The helical assembly created using the short  $C1=O1\cdots C9\equiv C10$  contacts is further supported by marginal  $C-H\cdots\pi$  [symmetry code: (iii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{3}{2}$ ] contacts



#### Figure 2

A view of the molecular packing of **1** along the helical *b*-axis showing the association of closely linked molecules by  $C=0\cdots C\equiv C$  (acetylene,  $C=0\cdots \pi$ ) and marginal  $C-H\cdots \pi$  ( $\pi$ -cloud of acetylene molecules) contacts. Neighbouring helices along the longer *c* axis are linked by  $C-H\cdots Br$  contacts. Symmetry codes as in Table 1.

Table 1		
Hydrogen-bond ge	ometry (Å, °).	

$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C1 - O1 \cdots C9^{i}$ $C8 - H8A \cdots O1^{ii}$ $C7 - H7 \cdots C10^{iii}$ $C10 - H10 \cdots Br1^{iv}$	1.02 (4) 1.06 (3) 0.93	3.13 (1) 2.31 (4) 2.71 (4) 2.68	3.259 (5) 3.626 (5) 3.305 (5)	154 (1) 156 (3) 144 (3) 126

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$ ; (ii)  $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$ ; (iii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$ ; (iv)  $x - \frac{3}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ .

involving the phenyl ring (C7–H7) and the  $\pi$  cloud of the acetylene moiety. Both helices are intertwined and form a twodimensional sheet structure roughly along the *a*-axis direction. Along the longer *c*-axis, molecules are loosely connected using weak C–H···Br (C10–H10···Br1<sup>iv</sup> contacts [symmetry code: (iv)  $x - \frac{3}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ] (van den Berg & Seddon, 2003), generating the extended assembly (Figs. 2 and 3, Table 1).

In order to visualize and quantify intermolecular interactions in **1**, a Hirshfeld surface analysis (Spackman & Jayatilaka, 2009) was performed using *Crystal Explorer 21.5* (Spackman *et al.*, 2021), and the associated two-dimensional fingerprint plots (McKinnon *et al.*, 2007) were generated. The Hirshfeld surfaces for the molecule in **1** are shown in Fig. 4 in which the two-dimensional fingerprint plots of the most dominant contacts are also presented.  $H \cdots H (27.4\%), H \cdots C/$  $C \cdots H (22.3\%)$  and  $H \cdots Br/Br \cdots H (22.0\%)$  contacts are responsible for the largest contributions to the Hirshfeld surface. Besides these contacts,  $H \cdots O/O \cdots H (11.8\%)$  and  $C \cdots C (7.8\%)$  interactions contribute significantly to the total Hirshfeld surface. The contributions of further contacts are only minor and amount to  $C \cdots Br/Br \cdots C (4.5\%)$  and  $C \cdots O/$  $O \cdots C (3.6\%)$ .





A view of the molecular packing of **1** along the helical *b*-axis showing the association of closely linked molecules by  $C-H\cdots O$  contacts. Neighbouring helices along the longer *c* axis are linked by  $C-H\cdots Br$  contacts. Symmetry codes as in Table 1.





#### Figure 4

Three-dimensional Hirshfeld surfaces of compound 1 plotted over  $d_{norm}$ in the range -0.2760 to 0.9829 a.u., and Hirshfeld fingerprint plots for all contacts and those decomposed into H...H, H...C/C...H, H...Br/ Br...H, H...O/O...H, C...C and C...Br/Br...C contacts.  $d_i$  and  $d_e$ denote the closest internal and external distances (in Å) from a point on the surface.

#### 4. Database survey

A survey of the Cambridge Structural Database (version 5.43, update 4, November 2022; Groom et al., 2016) revealed that no crystal structure of compound 1 has been reported. Moreover, no crystal structure similar to that of compound 1 has been reported. However, focusing only on the 1-phenylbut-3-yn-1one unit yielded 24 hits with not much similarity with the title compound. The most similar structure with respect to compound **1** is 3-phenyl-2-(phenylethynyl)-1*H*-inden-1-one (FEGDOO; Kumar et al., 2022).

#### 5. Synthesis and crystallization

A solution of methyl ester 3 (100 mg, 0.35 mmol) and 1NNaOH (3 mL) and methanol (3 mL) was heated to reflux for 3 h. After completion of the reaction as indicated by TLC, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and neutralized with a solution of 3N HCl and then extracted with dichloromethane  $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic layer was washed with brine and concentrated. The resulting crude was purified by column chromatography (30% ethyl acetate in petroleum ether) to afford the acid 2 (70 mg, 74% yield) and an alkyne, the title compound 1 (8 mg, 11% yield) as colourless solids. Colourless crystals of the title compound 1 suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of an ethanol solution.

Crystal dataC10H7BrO $M_r$ 223.07Crystal system, space groupMonoclinic, $P2_1/n$ Temperature (K)297 $a, b, c$ (Å)4.471 (2), 9.032 (4), 21.652 (11) $\beta$ (°)92.252 (8) $V$ (Å3)873.7 (7) $Z$ 4Radiation typeMo K $\alpha$ $\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )4.65Crystal size (mm)0.35 × 0.28 × 0.13Data collectionBruker SMART APEXDiffractometerBruker SMART APEXAbsorption correction0.293, 0.583No. of measured, independent and4994, 1965, 1397	1)
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	D
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	D
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	D
Temperature (K)       297 $a, b, c$ (Å)       4.471 (2), 9.032 (4), 21.652 (11 $\beta$ (°)       92.252 (8) $V$ (Å <sup>3</sup> )       873.7 (7) $Z$ 4         Radiation type       Mo K $\alpha$ $\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )       4.65         Crystal size (mm)       0.35 × 0.28 × 0.13         Data collection       Diffractometer         Diffractometer       Bruker SMART APEX         Absorption correction       Multi-scan ( <i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker 2016) $T_{min}, T_{max}$ 0.293, 0.583         No. of measured, independent and       4994, 1965, 1397	1)
a, b, c (Å)       4.471 (2), 9.032 (4), 21.652 (11 $\beta$ (°)       92.252 (8)         V (Å <sup>3</sup> )       873.7 (7)         Z       4         Radiation type       Mo K $\alpha$ $\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )       4.65         Crystal size (mm)       0.35 × 0.28 × 0.13         Data collection       Bruker SMART APEX         Diffractometer       Bruker SMART APEX         Absorption correction       Multi-scan ( <i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker 2016) $T_{min}, T_{max}$ 0.293, 0.583         No. of measured, independent and       4994, 1965, 1397	1) –
$ \begin{array}{ll} \beta\left(\circ\right) & 92.252\ (8) \\ V\left(\mathrm{\AA}^3\right) & 873.7\ (7) \\ Z & 4 \\ \text{Radiation type} & \text{Mo } K\alpha \\ \mu\ (\text{mm}^{-1}) & 4.65 \\ \text{Crystal size (mm)} & 0.35\times0.28\times0.13 \\ \end{array} $ Data collection Diffractometer & Bruker SMART APEX \\ Absorption correction & Multi-scan ( <i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker 2016) \\ T_{\min}, T_{\max} & 0.293, 0.583 \\ \text{No. of measured, independent and} & 4994, 1965, 1397 \\ \end{array}	- /
$V(\tilde{A}^3)$ 873.7 (7) $Z$ 4Radiation typeMo K $\alpha$ $\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )4.65Crystal size (mm) $0.35 \times 0.28 \times 0.13$ Data collectionDiffractometerDiffractometerBruker SMART APEXAbsorption correctionMulti-scan (SADABS; Bruker 2016) $T_{min}, T_{max}$ $0.293, 0.583$ No. of measured, independent and4994, 1965, 1397	
Z       4         Radiation type       Mo $K\alpha$ $\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )       4.65         Crystal size (mm) $0.35 \times 0.28 \times 0.13$ Data collection       Diffractometer         Diffractometer       Bruker SMART APEX         Absorption correction       Multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker 2016) $T_{min}, T_{max}$ 0.293, 0.583         No. of measured, independent and       4994, 1965, 1397	
Radiation typeMo $K\alpha$ $\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )4.65Crystal size (mm) $0.35 \times 0.28 \times 0.13$ Data collectionDiffractometerDiffractometerBruker SMART APEXAbsorption correctionMulti-scan (SADABS; Bruker 2016) $T_{min}, T_{max}$ $0.293, 0.583$ No. of measured, independent and4994, 1965, 1397	
$\mu$ (mm <sup>-1</sup> )4.65Crystal size (mm) $0.35 \times 0.28 \times 0.13$ Data collectionDiffractometerDiffractometerBruker SMART APEXAbsorption correctionMulti-scan (SADABS; Bruker 2016) $T_{min}, T_{max}$ $0.293, 0.583$ No. of measured, independent and4994, 1965, 1397	
Crystal size (mm) $0.35 \times 0.28 \times 0.13$ Data collectionDiffractometerDiffractometerBruker SMART APEXAbsorption correctionMulti-scan (SADABS; Bruker 2016) $T_{min}, T_{max}$ 0.293, 0.583No. of measured, independent and Multi-Scan (SADABS, 1397	
Data collectionBruker SMART APEXDiffractometerBruker SMART APEXAbsorption correctionMulti-scan ( $SADABS$ ; Bruker 2016) $T_{min}, T_{max}$ 0.293, 0.583No. of measured, independent and4994, 1965, 1397	
DiffractometerBruker SMART APEXAbsorption correctionMulti-scan (SADABS; Bruker 2016) $T_{min}, T_{max}$ 0.293, 0.583No. of measured, independent and Multi-scan (SADABS; 1397	
Absorption correctionMulti-scan (SADABS; Bruker 2016) $T_{\min}, T_{\max}$ 0.293, 0.583No. of measured, independent and4994, 1965, 1397	
$T_{min}, T_{max}$ 0.293, 0.583         No. of measured, independent and       4994, 1965, 1397	r
No. of measured, independent and 4994, 1965, 1397	
observed $ I > 2\sigma(I) $ reflections	
R <sub>int</sub> 0.043	
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} ( \mathring{A}^{-1} )$ 0.664	
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$ 0.048, 0.140, 1.02	
No. of reflections 1965	
No. of parameters 133	
H-atom treatment H atoms treated by a mixture independent and constraine refinement	ed
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max},  \Delta \rho_{\rm min}  ({\rm e}  {\rm \AA}^{-3}) $ 0.40, -0.62	

Computer programs: APEX3 and SAINT-Plus (Bruker, 2016), SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2014/7 (Sheldrick, 2015), ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 2012), Mercury (Macrae et al., 2020), SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008), PLATON (Spek, 2020) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

#### 6. Refinement

Table 2

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. All H atoms (except the acetylene H atom) were located in difference-Fourier map and refined isotropically. The acetylene (-C = C - H) H atom was placed in a geometrically idealized position using HFIX 163. It was constrained to ride on its parent atom, with  $U_{iso}(H) =$  $1.2U_{eq}(C)$  for acetylene. The long C8-H8A distance [1.02 (4) Å] could be the result of its involvement in the directional C-  $H \cdots O$  hydrogen-bond formation with O1.

#### Acknowledgements

SKS thanks the DST-INSPIRE program for a research fellowship.

#### References

- Berg, J. van den & Seddon, K. R. (2003). Cryst. Growth Des. 3, 643-661.
- Bruker (2016). APEX3, SAINT-Plus and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Desiraju, G. R. & Steiner, T. (2001). The Weak Hydrogen Bond in Structural Chemistry and Biology. Oxford University Press.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171-179.
- Kumar, S., Nunewar, S., Sabbi, T. K. & Kanchupalli, V. (2022). Org. Lett. 24, 3395-3400.

- Li, P., Vik, E. C., Maier, J. M., Karki, I., Strickland, S. M. S., Umana, J. M., Smith, M. D., Pellechia, P. J. & Shimizu, K. D. (2019). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 141, 12513–12517.
- Macrae, C. F., Sovago, I., Cottrell, S. J., Galek, P. T. A., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Platings, M., Shields, G. P., Stevens, J. S., Towler, M. & Wood, P. A. (2020). J. Appl. Cryst. 53, 226–235.
- McKinnon, J. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2007). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 3814–3816.
- Mooibroek, T. J., Gamez, P. & Reedijk, J. (2008). *CrystEngComm*, **10**, 1501–1515.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Spackman, M. A. & Jayatilaka, D. (2009). CrystEngComm, 11, 19– 32.
- Spackman, P. R., Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2021). *J. Appl. Cryst.* 54, 1006–1011.
- Spek, A. L. (2020). Acta Cryst. E76, 1-11.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Zeng, L.-F., Zhang, H.-S., Wang, Y.-H., Sanchez, T., Zheng, Y.-T., Neamati, N. & Long, Y.-Q. (2008). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 18, 4521–4524.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2023). E79, 633-636 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S205698902300508X]

# Crystal structure of 1-(4-bromophenyl)but-3-yn-1-one

# Shaziyaparveen K. Siddiqui, C. V. Ramana and Rajesh G. Gonnade

## **Computing details**

Data collection: *APEX3* (Bruker, 2016); cell refinement: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 2016); data reduction: *SAINT-Plus* (Bruker, 2016); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014/7* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 2012), *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2020); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008), *PLATON* (Spek, 2020) and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

1-(4-Bromophenyl)but-3-yn-1-one

## Crystal data

C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>7</sub>BrO  $M_r = 223.07$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/n$  a = 4.471 (2) Å b = 9.032 (4) Å c = 21.652 (11) Å  $\beta = 92.252$  (8)° V = 873.7 (7) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4

## Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX	4994 mea
diffractometer	1965 ind
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1397 refl
Graphite monochromator	$R_{\rm int}=0.04$
Phi and $\omega$ Scan scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 28$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$h = -4 \rightarrow $
(SADABS; Bruker 2016)	k = -11 - 11
$T_{\min} = 0.293, \ T_{\max} = 0.583$	l = -27 - 27 - 27 - 27 - 27 - 27 - 27 - 2

## Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.048$  $wR(F^2) = 0.140$ S = 1.021965 reflections 133 parameters 0 restraints F(000) = 440  $D_x = 1.696 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 1876 reflections  $\theta = 2.4-24.9^{\circ}$   $\mu = 4.65 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 297 KBlock, colourless  $0.35 \times 0.28 \times 0.13 \text{ mm}$ 

4994 measured reflections 1965 independent reflections 1397 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.043$  $\theta_{max} = 28.2^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.4^{\circ}$  $h = -4 \rightarrow 5$  $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$  $l = -27 \rightarrow 27$ 

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Hydrogen site location: mixed H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0812P)^2 + 0.1069P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.002$  $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.40$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.62$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Z	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
C1	0.5990 (7)	0.3666 (3)	0.79382 (16)	0.0508 (8)
Br1	1.37959 (10)	0.22006 (5)	1.02549 (2)	0.0743 (2)
C2	0.7972 (7)	0.3261 (3)	0.84766 (16)	0.0488 (7)
C3	0.9384 (9)	0.4391 (4)	0.88181 (17)	0.0581 (9)
Н3	0.887 (9)	0.545 (5)	0.8679 (19)	0.080 (12)*
C4	1.1186 (9)	0.4079 (4)	0.93323 (18)	0.0615 (9)
H4	1.217 (9)	0.494 (5)	0.9555 (19)	0.077 (11)*
C5	1.1578 (9)	0.2628 (4)	0.95122 (18)	0.0556 (8)
C6	1.0233 (9)	0.1475 (4)	0.91808 (18)	0.0609 (9)
H6	1.065 (9)	0.051 (5)	0.9319 (19)	0.070 (11)*
C7	0.8439 (9)	0.1783 (4)	0.86619 (17)	0.0560 (8)
H7	0.752 (8)	0.096 (4)	0.8364 (15)	0.054 (9)*
C8	0.4544 (9)	0.2439 (4)	0.7551 (2)	0.0555 (9)
H8B	0.342 (9)	0.184 (4)	0.7824 (18)	0.056 (10)*
C9	0.2564 (10)	0.3048 (4)	0.7064 (2)	0.0666 (11)
H8A	0.617 (10)	0.187 (5)	0.734 (2)	0.068 (12)*
C10	0.1011 (10)	0.3516 (5)	0.6698 (2)	0.0783 (12)
H10	-0.0283	0.3905	0.6393	0.094*
01	0.5514 (7)	0.4954 (2)	0.77985 (13)	0.0718 (8)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

Atomic displacement parameters	$(Å^2)$

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
C1	0.0553 (18)	0.0353 (15)	0.0622 (19)	-0.0025 (13)	0.0097 (15)	-0.0018 (14)
Br1	0.0827 (4)	0.0702 (3)	0.0688 (3)	-0.00322 (18)	-0.0116 (2)	-0.00504 (18)
C2	0.0542 (18)	0.0368 (14)	0.0563 (18)	-0.0031 (13)	0.0125 (15)	-0.0051 (14)
C3	0.076 (2)	0.0382 (16)	0.061 (2)	-0.0070 (15)	0.0057 (18)	-0.0033 (15)
C4	0.074 (2)	0.0484 (19)	0.062 (2)	-0.0152 (17)	0.0072 (18)	-0.0110 (17)
C5	0.060(2)	0.0547 (19)	0.0524 (19)	-0.0042 (15)	0.0068 (15)	-0.0065 (16)
C6	0.077 (2)	0.0415 (18)	0.064 (2)	-0.0030 (17)	0.0016 (18)	-0.0003 (16)
C7	0.069 (2)	0.0386 (15)	0.060(2)	-0.0030 (15)	0.0009 (17)	-0.0053 (15)
C8	0.057 (2)	0.0396 (15)	0.069 (2)	0.0016 (15)	-0.0034 (19)	-0.0029 (16)
C9	0.067 (2)	0.0464 (18)	0.087 (3)	-0.0048 (17)	0.002 (2)	-0.0019 (19)
C10	0.084 (3)	0.060 (2)	0.088 (3)	0.005 (2)	-0.032 (2)	0.017 (2)
01	0.0875 (18)	0.0377 (12)	0.0895 (19)	0.0002 (12)	-0.0066 (16)	0.0024 (13)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—01	1.218 (4)	C5—C6	1.388 (5)
C1—C2	1.482 (5)	C6—C7	1.383 (5)
C1—C8	1.518 (5)	С6—Н6	0.93 (4)
Br1—C5	1.895 (4)	С7—Н7	1.06 (3)
C2—C3	1.397 (5)	C8—C9	1.458 (7)
C2—C7	1.407 (5)	C8—H8B	0.96 (4)
C3—C4	1.378 (6)	C8—H8A	1.02 (4)
С3—Н3	1.03 (5)	C9—C10	1.117 (6)
C4—C5	1.377 (5)	C10—H10	0.9300
C4—H4	1.01 (5)		
O1—C1—C2	121.6 (3)	C7—C6—C5	119.7 (3)
01—C1—C8	119.6 (3)	С7—С6—Н6	123 (3)
C2-C1-C8	118.8 (3)	С5—С6—Н6	117 (3)
C3—C2—C7	118.9 (3)	C6—C7—C2	119.8 (3)
C3—C2—C1	118.7 (3)	С6—С7—Н7	123.5 (18)
C7—C2—C1	122.4 (3)	С2—С7—Н7	116.4 (18)
C4—C3—C2	121.1 (3)	C9—C8—C1	110.9 (3)
С4—С3—Н3	123 (2)	C9—C8—H8B	110 (2)
С2—С3—Н3	116 (2)	C1—C8—H8B	107 (2)
C5—C4—C3	119.2 (3)	С9—С8—Н8А	107 (2)
C5—C4—H4	123 (2)	C1—C8—H8A	109 (2)
C3—C4—H4	117 (3)	H8B—C8—H8A	113 (3)
C4—C5—C6	121.3 (4)	C10—C9—C8	178.8 (6)
C4—C5—Br1	119.4 (3)	C9—C10—H10	180.0
C6—C5—Br1	119.2 (3)		
O1—C1—C2—C3	-1.9 (5)	C3—C4—C5—Br1	-175.1 (3)
C8—C1—C2—C3	177.7 (3)	C4—C5—C6—C7	-0.6 (6)
O1—C1—C2—C7	177.0 (3)	Br1C5C6C7	175.5 (3)
C8—C1—C2—C7	-3.4 (5)	C5—C6—C7—C2	-0.5 (6)
C7—C2—C3—C4	-0.7 (5)	C3—C2—C7—C6	1.1 (6)
C1—C2—C3—C4	178.3 (3)	C1—C2—C7—C6	-177.8 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.4 (6)	O1—C1—C8—C9	-3.3 (6)
C3—C4—C5—C6	1.0 (6)	C2—C1—C8—C9	177.1 (3)

# Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
	3.13 (1)		154 (1)
1.02 (4)	2.31 (4)	3.259 (5)	156 (3)
1.06 (3)	2.71 (4)	3.626 (5)	144 (3)
0.93	2.68	3.305 (5)	126
	<i>D</i> —H 1.02 (4) 1.06 (3) 0.93	D—H         H···A           3.13 (1)           1.02 (4)         2.31 (4)           1.06 (3)         2.71 (4)           0.93         2.68	D—H         H···A         D···A           3.13 (1)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1/2, y+1/2, -z+3/2; (ii) -x+3/2, y-1/2, -z+3/2; (iii) -x+1/2, y-1/2, -z+3/2; (iv) x-3/2, -y+1/2, z-1/2.