

Received 12 April 2023 Accepted 3 May 2023

Edited by L. Van Meervelt, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium

Keywords: crystal structure; benzimidazolone; hydrogen bond.

CCDC references: 2260424; 2260423

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





Polymorphic structures of 3-phenyl-1*H*-1,3-benzodiazol-2(3*H*)-one

Dabeen Hong and Kyounghoon Lee*

Department of Chemical Education and Research Institute of Natural Sciences, Gyeongsang National University, Gyeongsangnam-do 52828, Republic of Korea. *Correspondence e-mail: klee1@gnu.ac.kr

The polymorphic structures (I and II) of 3-phenyl-1*H*-1,3-benzodiazol-2(3*H*)one, $C_{13}H_{10}N_2O$, acquired from pentane diffusion into the solution in THF, are reported. The structures show negligible differences in bond distances and angles, but the C-N-C-C torsion angles between the backbone and the phenyl substituent, 123.02 (15)° for I and 137.18 (11)° for II, are different. Compound I features a stronger C=O···H-N hydrogen bond than that in II, while the structure of II exhibits a stronger π - π interaction than in I, as confirmed by the shorter intercentroid distance [3.3257 (8) Å in II in comparison to 3.6862 (7) Å in I]. Overall, the supramolecular interactions of I and II are distinct, presumably originating from the variation in the dihedral angle.

1. Chemical context

Benzimidazolones are widely found in functional organic and biologically active molecules (Palin *et al.*, 2008; Monforte *et al.*, 2010; Pribut *et al.*, 2019; Bellenie *et al.*, 2020). For example, substituted benzimidazolones have been used as pigments due to their high fastness and resistance to light and weathering (Metz & Morgenroth, 2009). In addition, the biological activities of benzimidazolone derivatives have been tested for anticancer, HIV, pain regulation, *etc.* (Henning *et al.*, 1987; Elsinga *et al.*, 1997; Tapia *et al.*, 1999; Kawamoto *et al.*, 2001; Poulain *et al.*, 2001; Roger *et al.*, 2003; Dombroski *et al.*, 2004; Gustin *et al.*, 2005; Li *et al.*, 2005; Hammach *et al.*, 2006; Monforte *et al.*, 2009).

Singly N-substituted benzimidazolones exhibit interesting properties partially due to the hydrogen-bonding interactions between N-H···O=C moieties. N-phenyl-substituted benzimidazolone can be prepared by the intramolecular Narylation of urea (Beyer *et al.*, 2011), carbonylation of 2nitroaniline (Qi *et al.*, 2019), carbonylation of o-phenylenediamine with CO₂ (Yu *et al.*, 2013), carbonylation of iminophosphorane with CO₂ (Łukasik & Wróbel, 2016), iodosylbenzene-induced intramolecular Hofmann rearrangement of 2-(phenylamino)benzamide (Liu *et al.*, 2012), and carbonylation of N1-phenylbenzene-1,2-diamine with 1,1'carbonyldiimidazole (Zhang *et al.*, 2008). Preparations of phenyl-substituted benzimidazolone have been reported using various reagents and catalysts, but the structure is unknown.

Here we report two polymorphic structures of 3-phenyl-1H-1,3-benzodiazol-2(3H)-one. The compound was prepared following the reported procedure using 1,1'-carbonyldiimidazole and N1-phenylbenzene-1,2-diamine in CH₂Cl₂ (Zhang *et al.*, 2008). Single crystals grown by pentane vapor diffusion into a THF solution formed colorless needles (I) and blocks (II).



F.I.I. 4	

Hydrogen-bond	geometry (A	(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}) for \mathbf{I} .		
$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$N3-H3\cdots O1^i$	0.88	1.91	2.7786 (14)	177
Symmetry code: (i)	-x + 1, -y + 2	$z_{z}, -z + 1.$		
Table 2 Hydrogen-bond	geometry (Å	., °) for Ⅱ .		
$D - H \cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$N3-H3\cdots O1^i$	0.88	2.00	2.8453 (13)	174

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1.

Minor intermolecular interactions are observed as faint red spots on the surface. The spots in I indicate the intermolecular interactions of C4···C2/C2···C4, C3A···C3A and C7-H7/ H7–C7, whereas those in **II** correspond to $C2 \cdot \cdot \cdot C5/C5 \cdot \cdot \cdot C2$, $C4 - H4 \cdot \cdot \cdot C12/$ $C12 \cdot \cdot \cdot H4 - C4$, $C7A \cdots H6 - C6/C6 -$ H6...C7A, C3A...H6-C6/C6-H6...C3A and C3A...C6/ $C6 \cdot \cdot \cdot C3A$ contacts. The largest contributions to the Hirshfeld surface of I arises from $H \cdots H (44.4\%), C \cdots H/H \cdots C (31.9\%)$, and $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ (13.5%) contacts, whereas the contributions for II are $H \cdots H$ (45.8%), $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ (27.5%) and $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ (15.5%). Minor contributions include $N \cdots H/$ $H \cdots N$ (3.6%), $C \cdots C$ (3.2%), $C \cdots N/N \cdots C$ (2.1%), $C \cdots O/$ $O \cdots C$ (1.4%) for I and $C \cdots C$ (5.4%), $C \cdots N/N \cdots C$ (3.4%), $N \cdots H/H \cdots N$ (3.2%), $C \cdots O/O \cdots C$ (0.2%) for **II**.

2. Structural commentary

The title compounds crystallized as colorless needles (I) and blocks (II) in space groups C2/c and Pbca, respectively. The two polymorphic structures exhibit identical bond distances and angles, except for the dihedral angle of the phenyl substituent (Fig. 1). Both structures retain the planarity of benzimidazolone moiety, as demonstrated by the low r.m.s. deviations of 0.009 and 0.023 Å for I and II, respectively. The C2-N1-C8-C9/C13 torsion angle is 123.03 (14) and $-137.18 (12)^{\circ}$ for I and II, respectively. No additional differences are observed from an analysis of bond distances and angles.

3. Supramolecular features

Initial investigations of supramolecular features for I and II were carried out using Hirshfeld surface analysis with *CrystalExplorer 21.5* (Spackman *et al.*, 2021). The Hirshfeld surface was mapped over d_{norm} in the ranges -0.6415 to 1.2040 a.u. and -0.5612 to 1.1830 a.u. for I and II, respectively (Figs. 2 and 3). The most intense red spots on the surface for I and II indicate the N3 $-H3\cdots O1$ hydrogen-bonding interactions (Tables 1 and 2), which have $R_2^2(8)$ graph-set motifs (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995). The shorter $D\cdots A$ and $H\cdots A$ distances, and more linear $D-H\cdots A$ angle reveal that the hydrogen-bonding interaction in I is stronger than that in II. In contrast, the structure of II contains a stronger $\pi-\pi$ interaction between the adjacent benzimidazolone moieties, as defined by the centroid \cdots centroid distance of 3.3257 (8) Å, while the corresponding distance in I is more elongated at 3.6862 (7) Å.



Figure 1

Molecular structures of (a) I, (b) II, and (c) overlay of I and II with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.



Figure 2 (*a*) Hirshfeld surface of **I** mapped over d_{norm} . (*b*) Partial packing plot of **I**.



Figure 3 (*a*) Hirshfeld surface of **II** mapped over d_{norm} . (*b*) Partial packing plot of **II**

research communications

 Table 3

 Experimental details.

	I	II
Crystal data		
Chemical formula	$C_{13}H_{10}N_2O$	$C_{13}H_{10}N_2O$
M _r	210.23	210.23
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, C2/c	Orthorhombic, Pbca
Temperature (K)	193	193
a, b, c (Å)	18.0187 (9), 6.4455 (3), 18.7315 (10)	13.7925 (3), 7.2652 (1), 19.7956 (4)
α, β, γ (°)	90, 111.181 (3), 90	90, 90, 90
$V(A^3)$	2028.50 (18)	1983.62 (6)
Ζ	8	8
Radiation type	Μο Κα	Μο Κα
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	0.09	0.09
Crystal size (mm)	$0.51 \times 0.23 \times 0.14$	$0.37 \times 0.33 \times 0.19$
Data collection		
Diffractometer	Bruker APEXII CCD	Bruker APEXII CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)	Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.699, 0.746	0.712, 0.746
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	9328, 2350, 1956	34068, 2479, 2203
R _{int}	0.031	0.036
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.651	0.668
Refinement		
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.041, 0.104, 1.07	0.039, 0.099, 1.02
No. of reflections	2350	2479
No. of parameters	145	145
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.18, -0.23	0.25, -0.38

Computer programs: APEX2 and SAINT (Bruker, 2012), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015b) and OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009).

4. Database survey

A search for the title compound in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, Version 5.43, update of November 2022; Groom et al., 2016) did not match any reported structures, including aryl-derivative searches. However, a survey for mono-N-substituted benzimidazolone compounds revealed 75 results, which included structures with simple substituents such as methyl (WIKPAJ; Rong et al., 2013), tert-butyl (WIKNOV; Rong et al., 2013), octyl (ZANXET; Belaziz, Kandri Rodi, Essassi et al., 2012), nonyl (IJUGIE; Ouzidan, Kandri Rodi et al., 2011), decyl (ESANAQ; Ait Elmachkouri et al., 2021), dodecyl (SECBUZ; Belaziz, Kandri Rodi, Ouazzani Chahdi et al., 2012), benzyl (EVEYIO; Ouzidan, Essassi et al., 2011), 4-methylbenzyl (NEQBIW; Belaziz et al., 2013), acetyl (VADYIM; Sebhaoui et al., 2021) and a trifluoromethyl group (ZEDJAX; Bouayad-Gervais et al., 2022). Most structures feature bimolecular hydrogen-bonding interactions between N-H ··· O=C moieties with an $R_2^2(8)$ graph-set motif, but in ZEDJAX N-H ··· O=C hydrogen bonds link the molecules into C(4) chains. The distances between a nitrogen donor and an oxygen acceptor range from 2.79–2.84 Å, comparable to the values for I and II of 2.7786 (14) and 2.8453 (14) Å, respectively.

5. Synthesis and crystallization

3-Phenyl-1*H*-1,3-benzodiazol-2(3*H*)-one was prepared following a reported procedure (Fig. 4; Zhang *et al.*, 2008; Mark *et al.*, 2013). A solution of 1,1'-carbonyldiimidazole

(0.50 g, 3.1 mmol) and 2-aminodiphenylamine (0.57 g, 3.1 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) was stirred at room temperature overnight. The resulting white precipitate was filtered. An additional white precipitate was acquired by adding Et₂O (10 mL) into the filtrate. Combined yield: 0.30 g (46%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz): δ 10.75 (*br s*, NH, 1H), 7.58 (*m*, Ar, 4H), 7.45 (*m*, Ar, 1H), 7.17 (*m*, Ar, 1H), 7.10 (*m*, Ar, 1H), 7.06 (*m*, Ar, 2H). Pentane vapor diffusion into a solution of the compound in THF formed colorless needles and blocks.

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection, and refinement statistics are summarized in Table 3. No appreciable disorder was observed for both structures. The hydrogen atoms were optimized using riding models.

Acknowledgements

Dr Ji-Eun Lee (Gyeongsang National University) is gratefully acknowledged for collecting the single-crystal XRD data.



Figure 4 Synthesis of 3-phenyl-1*H*-1,3-benzodiazol-2(3*H*)-one.

Funding information

Funding for this research was provided by: National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean government (MSIT) (2021R1G1A1093332 and 2022R1F1A1064158).

References

- Ait Elmachkouri, Y., Saber, A., Irrou, E., Amer, B., Mague, J. T., Hökelek, T., Labd Taha, M., Sebbar, N. K. & Essassi, E. M. (2021). *Acta Cryst.* E77, 559–563.
- Belaziz, D., Kandri Rodi, Y., Essassi, E. M. & El Ammari, L. (2012). Acta Cryst. E68, o1276.
- Belaziz, D., Kandri Rodi, Y., Ouazzani Chahdi, F., Essassi, E. M., Saadi, M. & El Ammari, L. (2012). Acta Cryst. E68, o3069.
- Belaziz, D., Kandri Rodi, Y., Ouazzani Chahdi, F., Essassi, E. M., Saadi, M. & El Ammari, L. (2013). Acta Cryst. E69, o122.
- Bellenie, B. R., Cheung, K. J., Varela, A., Pierrat, O. A., Collie, G. W., Box, G. M., Bright, M. D., Gowan, S., Hayes, A., Rodrigues, M. J., Shetty, K. N., Carter, M., Davis, O. A., Henley, A. T., Innocenti, P., Johnson, L. D., Liu, M., de Klerk, S., Le Bihan, Y.-V., Lloyd, M. G., McAndrew, P. C., Shehu, E., Talbot, R., Woodward, H. L., Burke, R., Kirkin, V., van Montfort, R. L. M., Raynaud, F. I., Rossanese, O. W. & Hoelder, S. (2020). J. Med. Chem. 63, 4047–4068.
- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Beyer, A., Reucher, C. M. M. & Bolm, C. (2011). Org. Lett. 13, 2876–2879.
- Bouayad-Gervais, S., Nielsen, C. D. T., Turksoy, A., Sperger, T., Deckers, K. & Schoenebeck, F. (2022). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 144, 6100–6106.
- Bruker (2012). APEX2, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341.
- Dombroski, M. A., Letavic, M. A., McClure, K. F., Barberia, J. T., Carty, T. J., Cortina, S. R., Csiki, C., Dipesa, A. J., Elliott, N. C., Gabel, C. A., Jordan, C. K., Labasi, J. M., Martin, W. H., Peese, K. M., Stock, I. A., Svensson, L., Sweeney, F. J. & Yu, C. H. (2004). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 14, 919–923.
- Elsinga, P. H., van Waarde, A., Jaeggi, K. A., Schreiber, G., Heldoorn, M. & Vaalburg, W. (1997). J. Med. Chem. 40, 3829–3835.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Gustin, D. J., Sehon, C. A., Wei, J., Cai, H., Meduna, S. P., Khatuya, H., Sun, S., Gu, Y., Jiang, W., Thurmond, R. L., Karlsson, L. & Edwards, J. P. (2005). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **15**, 1687–1691.
- Hammach, A., Barbosa, A., Gaenzler, F. C., Fadra, T., Goldberg, D., Hao, M.-H., Kroe, R. R., Liu, P., Qian, K. C., Ralph, M., Sarko, C., Soleymanzadeh, F. & Moss, N. (2006). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 16, 6316–6320.
- Henning, R., Lattrell, R., Gerhards, H. J. & Leven, M. (1987). J. Med. Chem. 30, 814–819.

- Kawamoto, H., Nakashima, H., Kato, T., Arai, S., Kamata, K. & Iwasawa, Y. (2001). *Tetrahedron*, 57, 981–986.
- Krause, L., Herbst-Irmer, R., Sheldrick, G. M. & Stalke, D. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 3–10.
- Li, Q., Li, T., Woods, K. W., Gu, W.-Z., Cohen, J., Stoll, V. S., Galicia, T., Hutchins, C., Frost, D., Rosenberg, S. H. & Sham, H. L. (2005). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **15**, 2918–2922.
- Liu, P., Wang, Z. & Hu, X. (2012). Eur. J. Org. Chem. pp. 1994–2000.
- Łukasik, E. & Wróbel, Z. (2016). Synthesis, 48, 1159-1166.
- Mark, C., Bornatowicz, B., Mitterhauser, M., Hendl, M., Nics, L., Haeusler, D., Lanzenberger, R., Berger, M. L., Spreitzer, H. & Wadsak, W. (2013). *Nucl. Med. Biol.* 40, 295–303.
- Metz, H.-J. & Morgenroth, F. (2009). In *High Performance Pigments*, edited by E. B. Faulkner & R. J. Schwarz, pp. 139–164. Weinheim: Wiley-VCH.
- Monforte, A.-M., Logoteta, P., De Luca, L., Iraci, N., Ferro, S., Maga, G., De Clercq, E., Pannecouque, C. & Chimirri, A. (2010). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 18, 1702–1710.
- Monforte, A.-M., Logoteta, P., Ferro, S., De Luca, L., Iraci, N., Maga, G., Clercq, E. D., Pannecouque, C. & Chimirri, A. (2009). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 17, 5962–5967.
- Ouzidan, Y., Essassi, E. M., Luis, S. V., Bolte, M. & El Ammari, L. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, o1822.
- Ouzidan, Y., Kandri Rodi, Y., Butcher, R. J., Essassi, E. M. & El Ammari, L. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, o283.
- Palin, R., Clark, J. K., Evans, L., Houghton, A. K., Jones, P. S., Prosser, A., Wishart, G. & Yoshiizumi, K. (2008). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 16, 2829–2851.
- Poulain, R., Horvath, D., Bonnet, B., Eckhoff, C., Chapelain, B., Bodinier, M.-C. & Déprez, B. (2001). J. Med. Chem. 44, 3378–3390.
- Pribut, N., Basson, A. E., van Otterlo, W. A. L., Liotta, D. C. & Pelly, S. C. (2019). ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 10, 196–202.
- Qi, X., Zhou, R., Peng, J.-B., Ying, J. & Wu, X.-F. (2019). Eur. J. Org. Chem. pp. 5161–5164.
- Roger, G., Lagnel, B., Besret, L., Bramoullé, Y., Coulon, C., Ottaviani, M., Kassiou, M., Bottlaender, M., Valette, H. & Dollé, F. (2003). *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 11, 5401–5408.
- Rong, Y., Al-Harbi, A., Kriegel, B. & Parkin, G. (2013). *Inorg. Chem.* **52**, 7172–7182.
- Sebhaoui, J., El Bakri, Y., Lai, C.-H., Karthikeyan, S., Anouar, E. H., Mague, J. T. & Essassi, E. M. (2021). *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.* **39**, 4859–4877.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Spackman, P. R., Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2021). *J. Appl. Cryst.* 54, 1006–1011.
- Tapia, I., Alonso-Cires, L., López-Tudanca, P. L., Mosquera, R., Labeaga, L., Innerárity, A. & Orjales, A. (1999). J. Med. Chem. 42, 2870–2880.
- Yu, B., Zhang, H., Zhao, Y., Chen, S., Xu, J., Hao, L. & Liu, Z. (2013). ACS Catal. 3, 2076–2082.
- Zhang, P., Terefenko, E. A., McComas, C. C., Mahaney, P. E., Vu, A., Trybulski, E., Koury, E., Johnston, G., Bray, J. & Deecher, D. (2008). *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 18, 6067–6070.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2023). E79, 534-537 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989023003961]

Polymorphic structures of 3-phenyl-1H-1,3-benzodiazol-2(3H)-one

Dabeen Hong and Kyounghoon Lee

Computing details

For both structures, data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2012); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2012); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2012); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXT* (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: Olex2 1.3 (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: Olex2 1.3 (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009).

3-Phenyl-1*H*-1,3-benzodiazol-2(3*H*)-one (I)

Crystal data

C₁₃H₁₀N₂O $M_r = 210.23$ Monoclinic, C2/c a = 18.0187 (9) Å b = 6.4455 (3) Å c = 18.7315 (10) Å $\beta = 111.181$ (3)° V = 2028.50 (18) Å³ Z = 8

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD
diffractometer
φ and ω scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
$T_{\min} = 0.699, \ T_{\max} = 0.746$
9328 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.041$ $wR(F^2) = 0.104$ S = 1.072350 reflections 145 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: dual F(000) = 880 $D_x = 1.377 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 2753 reflections $\theta = 2.3-27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 193 KNEEDLE, colourless $0.51 \times 0.23 \times 0.14 \text{ mm}$

2350 independent reflections 1956 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.031$ $\theta_{max} = 27.6^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.3^{\circ}$ $h = -23 \rightarrow 23$ $k = -8 \rightarrow 8$ $l = -24 \rightarrow 24$

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0438P)^2 + 1.4081P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.18 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.23 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	<i>x</i>	у	Z	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
01	0.60223 (5)	0.96614 (15)	0.57745 (5)	0.0239 (2)
N3	0.53005 (6)	0.77512 (18)	0.46886 (6)	0.0210 (3)
Н3	0.488955	0.857863	0.448435	0.025*
N1	0.64477 (6)	0.64976 (18)	0.54578 (6)	0.0199 (3)
C8	0.71782 (7)	0.6314 (2)	0.60949 (7)	0.0200 (3)
C7A	0.61292 (7)	0.5048 (2)	0.48703 (7)	0.0198 (3)
C3A	0.54019 (7)	0.5865 (2)	0.43844 (7)	0.0202 (3)
C2	0.59267 (7)	0.8152 (2)	0.53505 (7)	0.0201 (3)
C9	0.73216 (8)	0.4585 (2)	0.65660 (7)	0.0242 (3)
Н9	0.694564	0.348538	0.645522	0.029*
C13	0.77316 (7)	0.7904 (2)	0.62403 (7)	0.0230 (3)
H13	0.763240	0.907155	0.590917	0.028*
C7	0.64074 (8)	0.3170 (2)	0.47242 (8)	0.0236 (3)
H7	0.689738	0.261391	0.506014	0.028*
C4	0.49365 (8)	0.4809 (2)	0.37362 (7)	0.0238 (3)
H4	0.443989	0.534926	0.340804	0.029*
C5	0.52220 (8)	0.2924 (2)	0.35821 (8)	0.0274 (3)
Н5	0.491700	0.217059	0.313667	0.033*
C10	0.80220 (8)	0.4481 (2)	0.72020 (8)	0.0275 (3)
H10	0.812386	0.330940	0.753147	0.033*
C6	0.59439 (8)	0.2116 (2)	0.40654 (8)	0.0266 (3)
H6	0.612378	0.082452	0.394429	0.032*
C12	0.84327 (8)	0.7775 (2)	0.68750 (8)	0.0274 (3)
H12	0.881670	0.885313	0.697783	0.033*
C11	0.85719 (8)	0.6075 (2)	0.73576 (7)	0.0283 (3)
H11	0.904654	0.600345	0.779703	0.034*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
01	0.0232 (5)	0.0213 (5)	0.0244 (5)	0.0026 (4)	0.0052 (4)	-0.0008 (4)
N3	0.0185 (5)	0.0202 (6)	0.0217 (5)	0.0040 (4)	0.0041 (4)	0.0023 (4)
N1	0.0177 (5)	0.0193 (6)	0.0223 (5)	0.0027 (4)	0.0068 (4)	0.0014 (4)
C8	0.0177 (6)	0.0243 (8)	0.0193 (6)	0.0038 (5)	0.0083 (5)	0.0019 (5)
C7A	0.0191 (6)	0.0213 (7)	0.0216 (6)	-0.0015 (5)	0.0105 (5)	0.0018 (5)
C3A	0.0205 (6)	0.0207 (7)	0.0218 (6)	0.0006 (5)	0.0106 (5)	0.0032 (5)
C2	0.0195 (6)	0.0207 (7)	0.0209 (6)	0.0012 (5)	0.0081 (5)	0.0031 (5)
C9	0.0234 (6)	0.0248 (8)	0.0269 (7)	0.0031 (6)	0.0122 (5)	0.0037 (6)
C13	0.0221 (6)	0.0232 (8)	0.0244 (6)	0.0019 (5)	0.0095 (5)	0.0030 (5)

supporting information

C7	0.0216 (6)	0.0236 (8)	0.0300 (7)	0.0022 (5)	0.0145 (5)	0.0029 (6)
C4	0.0229 (6)	0.0272 (8)	0.0210 (6)	-0.0022 (6)	0.0076 (5)	0.0025 (5)
C5	0.0333 (7)	0.0278 (9)	0.0251 (7)	-0.0077 (6)	0.0152 (6)	-0.0037 (6)
C10	0.0300 (7)	0.0295 (9)	0.0239 (6)	0.0100 (6)	0.0109 (5)	0.0071 (6)
C6	0.0322 (7)	0.0221 (8)	0.0327 (7)	-0.0013 (6)	0.0203 (6)	-0.0021 (6)
C6	0.0322 (7)	0.0221 (8)	0.0327 (7)	-0.0013 (6)	0.0203 (6)	-0.0021 (6)
C12	0.0220 (6)	0.0306 (9)	0.0288 (7)	-0.0010 (6)	0.0083 (5)	-0.0044 (6)
C11	0.0232 (6)	0.0369 (9)	0.0211 (6)	0.0088 (6)	0.0037 (5)	-0.0022 (6)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01—C2	1.2282 (16)	C13—H13	0.9500
N3—H3	0.8800	C13—C12	1.3896 (18)
N3—C3A	1.3824 (18)	С7—Н7	0.9500
N3—C2	1.3660 (16)	C7—C6	1.3920 (19)
N1-C8	1.4266 (15)	C4—H4	0.9500
N1—C7A	1.3988 (17)	C4—C5	1.390 (2)
N1—C2	1.3864 (17)	С5—Н5	0.9500
С8—С9	1.3868 (19)	C5—C6	1.390 (2)
C8—C13	1.3867 (19)	C10—H10	0.9500
C7A—C3A	1.4004 (17)	C10-C11	1.384 (2)
C7A—C7	1.375 (2)	С6—Н6	0.9500
C3A—C4	1.3803 (18)	C12—H12	0.9500
С9—Н9	0.9500	C12—C11	1.384 (2)
C9—C10	1.3893 (18)	C11—H11	0.9500
C3A—N3—H3	124.7	C12—C13—H13	120.3
C2—N3—H3	124.7	С7А—С7—Н7	121.3
C2—N3—C3A	110.58 (11)	C7A—C7—C6	117.46 (12)
C7A—N1—C8	126.52 (11)	C6—C7—H7	121.3
C2—N1—C8	123.83 (11)	C3A—C4—H4	121.3
C2—N1—C7A	109.60 (10)	C3A—C4—C5	117.46 (12)
C9—C8—N1	120.15 (12)	C5—C4—H4	121.3
C13—C8—N1	118.93 (12)	C4—C5—H5	119.3
С13—С8—С9	120.91 (12)	C4—C5—C6	121.45 (13)
N1—C7A—C3A	106.37 (12)	C6—C5—H5	119.3
C7—C7A—N1	131.98 (12)	C9—C10—H10	119.9
C7—C7A—C3A	121.64 (12)	C11—C10—C9	120.28 (14)
N3—C3A—C7A	107.14 (11)	C11—C10—H10	119.9
C4—C3A—N3	131.89 (12)	С7—С6—Н6	119.5
C4—C3A—C7A	120.97 (13)	C5—C6—C7	121.00 (14)
O1—C2—N3	127.84 (12)	С5—С6—Н6	119.5
01—C2—N1	125.88 (11)	C13—C12—H12	120.0
N3—C2—N1	106.28 (11)	C11—C12—C13	120.03 (13)
С8—С9—Н9	120.4	C11—C12—H12	120.0
C8—C9—C10	119.16 (14)	C10-C11-C12	120.23 (12)
С10—С9—Н9	120.4	C10—C11—H11	119.9
C8—C13—H13	120.3	C12—C11—H11	119.9
C8—C13—C12	119.38 (13)		

$N_{3}-C_{3}A-C_{4}-C_{5}$ $N_{1}-C_{8}-C_{9}-C_{10}$ $N_{1}-C_{8}-C_{13}-C_{12}$ $N_{1}-C_{7}A-C_{3}A-C_{4}$ $N_{1}-C_{7}A-C_{7}-C_{6}$ $C_{8}-N_{1}-C_{7}A-C_{7}$ $C_{8}-N_{1}-C_{7}-C_{7}$ $C_{8}-N_{1}-C_{2}-O_{1}$ $C_{8}-N_{1}-C_{2}-O_{1}$ $C_{8}-C_{1}-C_{1}$ $C_{8}-C_{1}-C_{1}-C_{1}$ $C_{8}-C_{1}-C_{1}-C_{1}$ $C_{7}A-N_{1}-C_{8}-C_{9}$ $C_{7}A-N_{1}-C_{8}-C_{13}$ $C_{7}A-N_{1}-C_{2}-N_{3}$ $C_{7}A-C_{3}A-C_{4}-C_{5}$ $C_{7}A-C_{7}-C_{6}$	$\begin{array}{c} -177.25 (12) \\ -177.74 (12) \\ -0.29 (13) \\ 179.34 (11) \\ -178.35 (12) \\ 178.81 (11) \\ -1.8 (2) \\ 0.7 (2) \\ -179.32 (11) \\ -0.6 (2) \\ -0.3 (2) \\ -54.27 (17) \\ 126.85 (14) \\ 178.38 (12) \\ -1.62 (14) \\ -0.72 (19) \\ 0.96 (10) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{C3A} - \text{N3} - \text{C2} - \text{N1} \\ \text{C3A} - \text{C7A} - \text{C7} - \text{C6} \\ \text{C3A} - \text{C7A} - \text{C5} - \text{C6} \\ \text{C2} - \text{N3} - \text{C3A} - \text{C7A} \\ \text{C2} - \text{N3} - \text{C3A} - \text{C4} \\ \text{C2} - \text{N1} - \text{C8} - \text{C9} \\ \text{C2} - \text{N1} - \text{C8} - \text{C13} \\ \text{C2} - \text{N1} - \text{C7A} - \text{C3A} \\ \text{C2} - \text{N1} - \text{C7A} - \text{C7A} \\ \text{C9} - \text{C8} - \text{C13} - \text{C12} \\ \text{C9} - \text{C10} - \text{C11} - \text{C12} \\ \text{C13} - \text{C8} - \text{C9} - \text{C10} \\ \text{C13} - \text{C12} - \text{C11} - \text{C10} \\ \text{C7} - \text{C7A} - \text{C3A} - \text{N3} \\ \text{C7} - \text{C7A} - \text{C3A} - \text{C4} \\ \text{C4} - \text{C5} - \text{C6} - \text{C7} \\ \end{array}$	1.45 (14) $0.98 (19)$ $0.7 (2)$ $-0.73 (14)$ $179.69 (13)$ $123.03 (14)$ $-55.86 (17)$ $1.19 (14)$ $-179.40 (13)$ $-1.1 (2)$ $-0.8 (2)$ $1.62 (19)$ $1.3 (2)$ $-179.77 (11)$ $-0.14 (19)$ $0.1 (2)$
C7A—C7—C6—C5	-0.96 (19)	00-07	0.1 (2)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D····A	D—H···A
N3—H3···O1 ⁱ	0.88	1.91	2.7786 (14)	177

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y+2, -z+1.

(11)

Crystal data	
$C_{13}H_{10}N_{2}O$ $M_{r} = 210.23$ Orthorhombic, <i>Pbca</i> $a = 13.7925 (3) Å$ $b = 7.2652 (1) Å$ $c = 19.7956 (4) Å$ $V = 1983.62 (6) Å^{3}$ $Z = 8$ $F(000) = 880$	$D_x = 1.408 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 9912 reflections $\theta = 2.5-28.3^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 193 K BLOCK, colourless $0.37 \times 0.33 \times 0.19 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Krause <i>et al.</i> , 2015) $T_{\min} = 0.712, T_{\max} = 0.746$ 34068 measured reflections	2479 independent reflections 2203 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.036$ $\theta_{max} = 28.4^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.1^{\circ}$ $h = -18 \rightarrow 18$ $k = -8 \rightarrow 9$ $l = -26 \rightarrow 26$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
Least-squares matrix: full	neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.099$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0401P)^2 + 1.3866P]$
S = 1.02	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
2479 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
145 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.25 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.37 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
Primary atom site location: dual	
Special details	

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
01	0.45568 (7)	0.03382 (12)	0.59030 (4)	0.0202 (2)
N1	0.38806 (7)	0.32974 (13)	0.58960 (5)	0.0156 (2)
N3	0.43199 (7)	0.20514 (13)	0.49242 (5)	0.0162 (2)
Н3	0.452728	0.125653	0.462151	0.019*
C7A	0.37019 (8)	0.46038 (16)	0.53914 (6)	0.0150 (2)
C2	0.42885 (8)	0.17358 (16)	0.56054 (6)	0.0162 (2)
C8	0.37484 (8)	0.35772 (16)	0.66031 (6)	0.0164 (2)
C3A	0.39783 (8)	0.38031 (16)	0.47791 (6)	0.0152 (2)
C7	0.33606 (8)	0.63906 (16)	0.54215 (6)	0.0176 (2)
H7	0.319418	0.694844	0.583974	0.021*
C4	0.38813 (8)	0.47304 (17)	0.41748 (6)	0.0178 (2)
H4	0.405896	0.417587	0.375818	0.021*
C5	0.35117 (8)	0.65146 (17)	0.42007 (6)	0.0195 (2)
Н5	0.342230	0.718091	0.379252	0.023*
C9	0.44915 (9)	0.31474 (17)	0.70512 (6)	0.0198 (2)
Н9	0.507674	0.261337	0.689104	0.024*
C13	0.28837 (9)	0.43311 (16)	0.68360 (6)	0.0203 (3)
H13	0.237403	0.460514	0.652926	0.024*
C6	0.32707 (8)	0.73401 (17)	0.48132 (6)	0.0192 (2)
H6	0.304090	0.857272	0.481620	0.023*
C12	0.27728 (10)	0.46798 (17)	0.75227 (7)	0.0257 (3)
H12	0.218579	0.520089	0.768528	0.031*
C11	0.35128 (11)	0.42719 (17)	0.79701 (6)	0.0268 (3)
H11	0.343401	0.451607	0.843835	0.032*
C10	0.43697 (10)	0.35064 (18)	0.77346 (6)	0.0245 (3)
H10	0.487637	0.322592	0.804296	0.029*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

supporting information

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
01	0.0276 (5)	0.0164 (4)	0.0168 (4)	0.0051 (3)	0.0006 (3)	-0.0002 (3)
N1	0.0173 (4)	0.0150 (4)	0.0145 (4)	0.0021 (4)	0.0005 (3)	-0.0022 (4)
N3	0.0188 (5)	0.0157 (5)	0.0142 (4)	0.0028 (4)	0.0004 (4)	-0.0022 (4)
C7A	0.0122 (5)	0.0172 (5)	0.0156 (5)	-0.0013 (4)	-0.0007(4)	-0.0008(4)
C2	0.0157 (5)	0.0168 (5)	0.0161 (5)	0.0004 (4)	0.0004 (4)	-0.0027 (4)
C8	0.0215 (5)	0.0137 (5)	0.0140 (5)	-0.0014 (4)	0.0023 (4)	-0.0020(4)
C3A	0.0119 (5)	0.0160 (5)	0.0178 (5)	0.0001 (4)	-0.0001 (4)	-0.0025 (4)
C7	0.0148 (5)	0.0177 (5)	0.0203 (5)	0.0006 (4)	0.0012 (4)	-0.0035 (4)
C4	0.0166 (5)	0.0208 (6)	0.0161 (5)	0.0002 (4)	0.0004 (4)	-0.0011 (4)
C5	0.0167 (5)	0.0215 (6)	0.0202 (6)	-0.0001 (5)	-0.0006 (4)	0.0039 (5)
С9	0.0219 (6)	0.0199 (5)	0.0176 (5)	-0.0022 (5)	0.0005 (4)	0.0000 (4)
C13	0.0241 (6)	0.0162 (5)	0.0205 (6)	0.0009 (5)	0.0031 (5)	-0.0014 (4)
C6	0.0151 (5)	0.0166 (5)	0.0258 (6)	0.0011 (4)	0.0004 (4)	0.0006 (5)
C12	0.0353 (7)	0.0180 (6)	0.0237 (6)	0.0025 (5)	0.0117 (5)	-0.0024 (5)
C11	0.0460 (8)	0.0188 (6)	0.0156 (5)	-0.0047 (6)	0.0058 (5)	-0.0031 (5)
C10	0.0340(7)	0.0230 (6)	0.0166 (6)	-0.0066(5)	-0.0028(5)	0.0013 (5)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01—C2	1.2309 (14)	C4—H4	0.9500	
N1—C7A	1.3997 (15)	C4—C5	1.3939 (17)	
N1—C2	1.3908 (14)	С5—Н5	0.9500	
N1—C8	1.4262 (14)	C5—C6	1.3928 (17)	
N3—H3	0.8800	С9—Н9	0.9500	
N3—C2	1.3685 (15)	C9—C10	1.3880 (17)	
N3—C3A	1.3872 (15)	C13—H13	0.9500	
C7A—C3A	1.3976 (15)	C13—C12	1.3912 (17)	
С7А—С7	1.3821 (16)	С6—Н6	0.9500	
С8—С9	1.3910 (17)	C12—H12	0.9500	
C8—C13	1.3911 (16)	C12—C11	1.383 (2)	
C3A—C4	1.3793 (16)	C11—H11	0.9500	
С7—Н7	0.9500	C11—C10	1.387 (2)	
С7—С6	1.3933 (17)	C10—H10	0.9500	
C7A—N1—C8	125.54 (10)	С5—С4—Н4	121.4	
C2—N1—C7A	109.23 (9)	C4—C5—H5	119.3	
C2—N1—C8	125.02 (10)	C6—C5—C4	121.32 (11)	
C2—N3—H3	124.8	C6—C5—H5	119.3	
C2—N3—C3A	110.31 (9)	С8—С9—Н9	120.3	
C3A—N3—H3	124.8	C10—C9—C8	119.35 (12)	
C3A—C7A—N1	106.78 (10)	С10—С9—Н9	120.3	
C7—C7A—N1	131.77 (11)	C8—C13—H13	120.3	
C7—C7A—C3A	121.41 (11)	C8—C13—C12	119.33 (12)	
01—C2—N1	126.63 (11)	C12—C13—H13	120.3	
O1—C2—N3	126.89 (11)	С7—С6—Н6	119.4	

N3—C2—N1	106.48 (10)	C5—C6—C7	121.19 (11)
C9—C8—N1	119.98 (10)	С5—С6—Н6	119.4
C9—C8—C13	120.59 (11)	C13—C12—H12	119.8
C13—C8—N1	119.41 (10)	C11—C12—C13	120.36 (12)
N3—C3A—C7A	107.15 (10)	C11—C12—H12	119.8
C4—C3A—N3	131.35 (11)	C12—C11—H11	120.0
C4—C3A—C7A	121.50 (11)	C12—C11—C10	119.96 (12)
С7А—С7—Н7	121.4	C10—C11—H11	120.0
C7A—C7—C6	117.27 (11)	С9—С10—Н10	119.8
С6—С7—Н7	121.4	C11—C10—C9	120.41 (12)
C3A—C4—H4	121.4	C11—C10—H10	119.8
C3A—C4—C5	117.24 (11)		
N1—C7A—C3A—N3	-0.32 (12)	C8—N1—C7A—C3A	176.62 (10)
N1—C7A—C3A—C4	179.08 (10)	C8—N1—C7A—C7	-1.01 (19)
N1—C7A—C7—C6	179.56 (11)	C8—N1—C2—O1	3.36 (19)
N1-C8-C9-C10	177.03 (11)	C8—N1—C2—N3	-177.43 (10)
N1—C8—C13—C12	-177.13 (11)	C8—C9—C10—C11	0.53 (19)
N3—C3A—C4—C5	-179.65 (11)	C8—C13—C12—C11	-0.35 (19)
C7A—N1—C2—O1	178.21 (11)	C3A—N3—C2—O1	-178.39 (11)
C7A—N1—C2—N3	-2.59 (12)	C3A—N3—C2—N1	2.40 (12)
C7A—N1—C8—C9	-129.31 (12)	C3A—C7A—C7—C6	2.22 (16)
C7A—N1—C8—C13	48.81 (16)	C3A—C4—C5—C6	1.38 (17)
C7A—C3A—C4—C5	1.11 (17)	C7—C7A—C3A—N3	177.61 (10)
C7A—C7—C6—C5	0.27 (17)	C7—C7A—C3A—C4	-2.99 (17)
C2—N1—C7A—C3A	1.81 (12)	C4—C5—C6—C7	-2.11 (18)
C2—N1—C7A—C7	-175.82 (12)	C9—C8—C13—C12	0.98 (18)
C2—N1—C8—C9	44.70 (17)	C13—C8—C9—C10	-1.07 (18)
C2-N1-C8-C13	-137.18 (12)	C13—C12—C11—C10	-0.2 (2)
C2—N3—C3A—C7A	-1.31 (13)	C12—C11—C10—C9	0.1 (2)
C2—N3—C3A—C4	179.37 (12)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —Н	H····A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
N3—H3…O1 ⁱ	0.88	2.00	2.8453 (13)	174

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y, -z+1.