

Received 27 September 2021 Accepted 20 October 2021

Edited by M. Weil, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Keywords: crystal structure; binuclear copper complex; DFT calculations; dimethylformamide; FT–IR spectroscopy; 3-nitrobenzoate.

CCDC reference: 2036680

**Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

# Crystal structure, Hirshfeld surface analysis and DFT studies of tetrakis( $\mu$ -3-nitrobenzoato- $\kappa^2 O^1: O^{1'}$ )bis[(N,N-dimethylformamide- $\kappa O$ )-copper(II)] dimethylformamide disolvate

# Mavlonbek A. Ziyaev,\* Jamshid M. Ashurov, Alisher G. Eshimbetov and Bakhtiyar T. Ibragimov

Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry, Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, 100125, Mirzo Ulugbek Str.,83, Tashkent, Uzbekistan. \*Correspondence e-mail: mavlonbek.z@mail.ru

The title compound,  $[Cu_2(C_7H_4NO_4)_4(C_3H_7NO)_2] \cdot (C_3H_7NO)_2$ , is a binuclear copper(II) complex located on an inversion center midway between the two copper(II) cations. The asymmetric unit consists of one Cu<sup>II</sup> cation, two 3-nitrobenzoato ligands, and two dimethylformamide (DMF) molecules, one of which coordinates to the Cu<sup>II</sup> cation and one is a solvate molecule. The carboxylate groups of the ligands bridge two Cu<sup>II</sup> cations with a Cu–Cu distance of 2.6554 (6) Å, completing a distorted octahedral O<sub>5</sub>Cu coordination environment. The dihedral angles between the carboxylate and the aromatic ring planes of the two independent ligands are different from one another, *viz*. 5.2 (3) and 23.9 (3)°. The three-dimensional structure is consolidated by weak C–H···O interactions and stabilized by  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions between the aromatic rings. The complex and the free ligand were further characterized by Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FT–IR), and the energies of the frontier molecular orbitals of the complex were determined by DFT calculations at the B3LYP/def2-TZVP level of theory.

#### 1. Chemical context

Copper complexes have been explored extensively due to the fact that copper is a bio-essential element responsible for numerous bioactivities in living organisms (Tapiero & Tew, 2003). Moreover, it is well known that Cu<sup>II</sup> complexation plays an important role in the pharmacological profile of antimicrobial activities (Haiduc & Silvestru, 1989; Linder & Goode, 1991). The first syn-syn bridged binuclear structure of a large number of copper(II) carboxylates with general formula  $[Cu(RCOO)_2(L)]_2$  (L = co-ligand), was reported for simple copper(II) acetate monohydrate (Van Niekerk & Schoening, 1953). This classical structure consists of a binuclear  $[Cu_2O_8]$  unit in which each copper(II) atom is surrounded by four oxygen atoms of carboxylate groups in an almost square-planar coordination. An additional ligand, here the O atom of a water molecule, is attached in an apical position at longer Cu-O distances. The Cu-Cu contact completes a distorted octahedral coordination sphere around each copper(II) atom. This motif is also observed in polymeric copper(II) carboxylates, where the apical ligand has two coordination centers and links dimeric units (Rao et al., 1983; Zhu et al., 2003). In the situation where the apical ligand is absent, a zigzag polymeric structure is formed with direct





bonding between  $[Cu_2O_8]$  units *via* the metal and one of the basal oxygen atoms of the neighbouring unit (Drożdżewski *et al.*, 2004).



Copper(II) carboxylates, including copper(II) benzoates have been studied extensively from different points of view, because the carboxylato ligands exhibit different binding modes that are related to their properties, *e.g.* the basicity of the anion or the position of substituents on the aromatic ring. A bulky benzene ring substituent in an *ortho* position to the carboxylate group is believed to prefer the dimeric copper(II) benzoate structure (Harrison *et al.*, 1972; Ueyama *et al.*, 1996). In general, copper(II) carboxylates exhibit a dimeric paddlewheel cage structure. More than 500 crystal structures containing the  $Cu_2(OOCR)_4$  core have been determined on



Figure 1

Molecular structure of  $[Cu_2(C_7H_4NO_4)_4(C_3H_7NO)_2] \cdot (C_3H_7NO)_2$ , with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level and H atoms shown as spheres of arbitrary radius. [Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1]

Table 1		
Selected	bond lengths	(Å).

Cu1-O1	1.9620 (17)	Cu1-O4 <sup>i</sup>	1.9751 (16)
Cu1-O3	1.9650 (16)	Cu1-O5	2.1453 (17)
Cu1–O2 <sup>i</sup>	1.9719 (18)	Cu1-Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	2.6554 (6)

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

the basis of X-ray data and can be found in the Cambridge Structural Database (Groom *et al.*, 2016), of which more than 250 are of the type  $[Cu_2(OOCR)_4(L)_2]$ , where *L* is an apical ligand with an oxygen, a nitrogen, a chlorine or a phosphorus donor atom. We report here on the molecular and crystal structure of a similar binuclear copper(II) complex,  $[Cu_2(C_7H_4NO_4)_4(C_3H_7NO)_2] \cdot (C_3H_7NO)_2$ , further characterized by infrared spectroscopy and DFT calculations.

#### 2. Structural commentary

 $[Cu_2(C_7H_4NO_4)_4(C_3H_7NO)_2] \cdot (C_3H_7NO)_2$  crystallizes as a dimethylformamide disolvate (Fig. 1). The neutral  $[Cu_2(C_7H_4)]$  $NO_4_4(C_3H_7NO_2)$  complex is centrosymmetric, with the inversion center located midway between the two Cu<sup>II</sup> cations. The asymmetric unit comprises one Cu<sup>II</sup> cation, two 3-nitrobenzoato ligands and two dimethylformamide molecules, one ligating and one as a solvent. The complex displays a paddle-wheel-shaped binuclear structure. Each Cu<sup>II</sup> cation is coordinated by four carboxylate oxygen atoms, forming the base of a slightly distorted square pyramid supplemented by a fifth oxygen atom of the dimethylformamide molecule at the apical position (Fig. 1). The overall distorted octahedral coordination environment is completed by the neighbouring  $Cu^{II}$  cation with a Cu-Cu distance of 2.6554 (6) Å. This distance is close to that reported for similar binuclear complexes (Wang et al., 2018).

In the binuclear complex, the carboxylate groups of the 3-nitrobenzoato ligands link the two Cu<sup>II</sup> cations with short Cu–O distances [from 1.9620 (17) to 1.9751 (16) Å; Table 1] whereas the distance to the O atom of the dimethylformamide ligand is elongated [2.1453 (17) Å]. The carboxylate groups of the 3-nitrobenzoato ligands adopt a bidentate *syn–syn* bridging mode (Su *et al.*, 2015; Wang *et al.*, 2018), with dihedral angles between the carboxylate planes and the aromatic rings of 5.2 (3) and 23.9 (3)°, respectively.

#### 3. Supramolecular features

The binuclear complex molecules are allocated with their central parts parallel to (200). The crystal packing shows slipped  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions between the aromatic rings of symmetry-related 3-nitrobenzoato ligands  $[Cg1\cdots Cg1(-x+2, -y+1, -z+1) = 4.117 (2) \text{ Å where } Cg1 \text{ is the centroid of the C9-C14 phenyl ring; slippage 2.202 Å]. The nitro group of the second 3-nitrobenzoato ligand weakly interacts by O···C contacts <math>[O7\cdots C17(-x+1, y+\frac{1}{2}, -z+\frac{3}{2}) = 3.087 (3) \text{ Å}]$  with the coordinating dimethylformamide molecule, forming zigzag chains parallel to  $[01\overline{1}]$ . Through these interactions, the complex molecules form a channel-like

# research communications

Table 2			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$C4 - H4 \cdots O4^{ii}$	0.93	2.47	3,360 (4)	161
C15-H15O1	0.93	2.50	3.100 (4)	123
C16−H16C···O5	0.96	2.40	2.770 (4)	102
C19−H19A···O10	0.96	2.35	2.753 (8)	104
$C20-H20C\cdots O10^{iii}$	0.96	2.59	3.503 (7)	160

Symmetry codes: (ii) -x + 1,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{3}{2}$ ; (iii) -x + 2,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{3}{2}$ .

structure with the channels, in which the dimethylformamide solvate molecules are located, extending parallel to [010]. They interact *via* weak amide- $\pi$  interactions [N4···*Cg*1 = 3.597 (3) Å] and weak C-H···O(nitro group) hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2, Table 2). The latter interactions cause a greater rotation [23.9 (3)°] of the aromatic ring relative to the carboxylate group in the second 3-nitrobenzoato ligand.

#### 4. Hirshfeld surface analysis

Intramolecular and intermolecular interactions of  $[Cu_2(C_7H_4NO_4)_4(C_3H_7NO)_2] \cdot (C_3H_7NO)_2$  were quantified by Hirshfeld surface analysis using Crystal Explorer 17.5 (Turner et al., 2017). The presence of strong interactions on the Hirshfeld surface is indicated by red spots, while the blue areas indicate weak interactions, as shown in Fig. 3. Two-dimensional fingerprint plots with all interactions and delineated into individual interactions together with their relative contributions are displayed in Fig. 4. The most important intermolecular interactions are  $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$  (38.9%), followed by  $H \cdots H$  (33.3%),  $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$  (12.7%) and  $O \cdots C/C \cdots O$  (5.9%). Other interactions contribute less than 5% to the overall Hirshfeld surface.

#### 5. DFT calculations

Theoretical calculations were carried out by the hybrid density functional theory (DFT) at the B3LYP level of theory (Becke, 1988; Lee *et al.*, 1988) using Aldrich's def2-TZVP basis set, which has been successfully tested in one of our previous



Figure 2

Non-aromatic-aromatic interactions between adjacent binuclear metal units.





Three-dimensional Hirshfeld surface of the title compound mapped over  $d_{\text{norm}}$ .



Figure 4

Two-dimensional fingerprint plot of  $[Cu_2(C_7H_4NO_4)_4(C_3H_7.NO_2)_2] \cdot (C_3H_7NO)_2$  showing all interactions (top left) and delineated in individual contacts with relative contributions.

studies (Ibragimov *et al.*, 2021). Input files for the DFT calculations using the *ORCA 4.2.0* program package (Neese, 2012) were generated by *Avogadro* (Hanwell *et al.*, 2012) using the CIF of the title compound. Results of these calculations were analyzed with the aid of *Avogadro* and *Multiwfn* (Lu & Chen, 2012).

Homonuclear Cu<sup>II</sup> complexes form a closed system in which  $[Ar]d^9$  electrons of two neighbouring Cu<sup>II</sup> cations are paired with each other. Such a system is usually characterized by a singlet ground state. However, triplet and quintet electronic states are also possible, depending on the nature of the ligand molecules. The bond lengths and angles of the complex were therefore fully optimized in the singlet, triplet and quintet electronic spin states with the result that the singlet electronic state was found to be the energetically optimal structure. Calculated and experimentally determined bond lengths and angles are compared in Tables S1 and S2 in the supporting information, and mean absolute errors (MAE), largest errors (LE) and the correlation coefficients  $R^2$  were determined. The very low values of MAE and LE, and also the high  $R^2$  coefficient of 0.997 reveal the suitability of the applied method for calculation of the electronic structure parameters of the complex.

Calculations of electron densities on atoms in the highest occupied (HOMO) and lowest unoccupied (LUMO) molecular orbitals (MO), as well as the energies of the frontier MOs (FMO) were carried out. The charge distributions on atoms and on the FMOs, as well as the energy of FMOs are one of the main parameters of the electronic structure of chemical compounds (Karelson et al., 1996; Rauk, 2001; Miar et al., 2021). The energy of the HOMO is related to the electron-donating ability of a molecule and the energy of the LUMO is related to the electron-accepting ability of a molecule. The parameter for chemical hardness  $(\eta)$  is calculated based on the HOMO-LUMO energy gap ( $\eta = \Delta E/2$ ). The shape of the FMOs and the HOMO-LUMO energy gap of the complex are displayed in Fig. 5. The contribution of both Cu<sup>II</sup> d orbitals in the HOMO and LUMO are 58.14% and 52.72%, respectively. The contribution of the p orbitals of the eight oxygen atoms of the 3-nitrobenzoate ligands in the HOMO and LUMO are 37.15% and 38.06%, respectively. A higher contribution of  $Cu^{II} d$  electrons (56.74%) was observed in the case of second occupied MO ( $E_{\text{HOMO}-1} = -7.0 \text{ eV}$ ), and the next unoccupied MO ( $E_{LUMO+1} = -2.74 \text{ eV}$ ) consists of the antibonding p orbitals of the 3-nitrobenzoate fragment.

The complex has a very low HOMO–LUMO energy gap, which can be seen from Fig. 5 and also from the total density of state diagram (TDOS, Fig. S1) of the complex. The low energy gap is caused by a significant decreasing of the energy level of the LUMO of the complex. In other words, the electron accepting ability of the complex is very high and thus the molecule becomes more susceptible to nucleophile attack which makes this complex interesting in chemistry and physics due to its electrical properties and light absorption at a low energy level.

Atomic charge analysis (Fig. S2) shows that the largest negative charges and the largest positive charges are located



Figure 5 HOMO–LUMO energy diagram of  $[Cu_2(C_7H_4NO_4)_4(C_3H_7NO)_2]$ - $(C_3H_7NO)_2$ .

on oxygen atoms and carbon atoms of the carboxylic group, respectively.

#### 6. FT-IR analysis

The FT–IR (ATR) spectrum of dimethylformamide (see Fig. S1) comprises the following absorption bands (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2926, 2856 (–CH, NCH<sub>3</sub>), 2780 (C–H, CHO), 1662 (C=O), 1384 (CH, NCH<sub>3</sub>), 1089 (C–N). The FTIR (ATR) spectrum of 3-nitrobenzoic acid (Fig. 6) comprises the following absorption bands (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3095 (C–H, Ar), 2500–3000 (OH, dimeric form), 1689 (C=O), 1614, 1583 (Ar), 1525, 1350 (– NO<sub>2</sub>), 1288 (C–O, COOH). The FTIR (ATR) spectrum of the



Figure 6

FT–IR (ATR) spectrum of  $[Cu_2(C_7H_4NO_4)_4(C_3H_7NO)_2] \cdot (C_3H_7NO)_2$  (*a*) and the starting compounds 3-nitrobenzoic acid (*b*), dimethylformamide (*c*) and aqueous CuSO<sub>4</sub> solution (*d*).

# research communications

Table 3Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Cu_2(C_7H_4NO_4)_4(C_3H_7NO)_2] - 2C_2H_7NO$
М.	1083.91
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	293
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	11.5657 (4), 10.4851 (3), 19.7258 (5)
$\beta$ (°)	91.581 (3)
$V(Å^3)$	2391.19 (12)
Z	2
Radiation type	Cu Kα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	1.84
Crystal size (mm)	$0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.10$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Xcalibur, Ruby
Absorption correction	Multi-scan ( <i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Rigaku OD, 2018)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.366, 1.000
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	17186, 4940, 4016
R <sub>int</sub>	0.041
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} ( \text{\AA}^{-1} )$	0.630
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.043, 0.120, 1.05
No. of reflections	4940
No. of parameters	320
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max},  \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.38, -0.66

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku OD, 2018), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2014/7 (Sheldrick, 2015b), Mercury (Macrae et al., 2020) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

title complex (Fig. 6) comprises the following absorption bands (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3095 (C–H, Ar), 1600 (C=O), 1556 (Ar), 1514, 1348 (–NO<sub>2</sub>), 1396 (CH, NCH<sub>3</sub>).

Analysis of the IR spectra of the starting compounds and the product shows significant changes in the wavenumbers of absorption bands of characteristic groups in the IR spectrum of the product. Upon complexation, some absorption bands disappear, and some of them undergo a high-frequency or lowfrequency shift.

For example, the C=O band of 3-nitrobenzoic (1689 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and dimethylformamide (1662 cm<sup>-1</sup>) shifts to the low-frequency region and is observed at 1600 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Likewise, the absorption band of the nitro group (1525, 1350 cm<sup>-1</sup>) of 3-nitrobenzoic acid is shifted to the low-frequency region (1514, 1348 cm<sup>-1</sup>) after complexation. At the same time, the absorption band of the –CH<sub>3</sub> groups of dimethylformamide at 1384 cm<sup>-1</sup> undergoes a high-frequency shift and is observed at 1396 cm<sup>-1</sup>. On the other hand, the absorption band of the C–O group at 1288 cm<sup>-1</sup> of 3-nitrobenzoic acid does not occur in the IR spectrum of the complex.

#### 7. Database survey

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, Version 2020.1 including the update of January 2020; Groom *et al.*, 2016) of binuclear copper(II) complexes comprising benzoate ligands with an *o*-nitro group gave nine hits, with an

*m*-nitro group gave four hits [FAZXUA (Kabbani *et al.*, 2004), KELXEF (Stachová *et al.*, 2006), NIDSEY (Hökelek *et al.*, 1998) and PABNEP (Xu *et al.*, 2020)], and with a *p*-nitro group also gave four hits [AQNBCU (Usubaliev *et al.*, 1980), BOVPIN (Jassal *et al.*, 2015), QIXQIX01 (Li & Zhou, 2010) and VIHNAD (Song *et al.*, 2013)]. In the dinitrobenzoate complex NIDSEY, [Cu<sub>3</sub>{(NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>COO}<sub>6</sub>(CH<sub>3</sub>-OH)<sub>2</sub>], comprising three copper(II) atoms, two of them are fivecoordinate, being surrounded by square-pyramids of carboxylate O atoms and forming a paddle-wheel-shaped binuclear structure, whereas the third copper(II) ion has a square-planar environment.

#### 8. Synthesis and crystallization

The crystals were grown from low-cost standard materials. 3-Nitrobenzoic acid (20.0 mg, 0.12 mmol) and  $CuSO_4.5H_2O$  (20 mg, 0.056 mmol) were mixed and stirred at room temperature for 1 h. Then, in a gradual way, dimethylformamide (DMF; 0.78 mmol) was added dropwise to the stirred mixture throughout 60 min at 303 K, immediately after which the solution was cooled down and kept for several hours. Darkish blue single crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were grown by slow evaporation at ambient temperature for one week and collected by filtration. They were finally washed with pure DMF. Yield: 70%.

#### 9. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. Hydrogen atoms bonded to carbon atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined to ride on their parent atoms with C-H = 0.93 Å and  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) =$  $1.2U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$  for aromatic hydrogen atoms, and with C-H = 0.96 Å and  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.5U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$  for methyl hydrogen atoms.

#### **Funding information**

Funding for this research was provided by: This work was supported by a Grant for Fundamental Research from the Center of Science and Technology, Uzbekistan (No. BA–FA–F7–004).

#### References

Becke, A. D. (1988). Phys. Rev. A, 38, 3098-3100.

- Drożdżewski, P., Brożyna, A. & Kubiak, M. (2004). Polyhedron, 23, 1785–1792.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Haiduc, I. & Silvestru, C. (1989). Organometallics in Cancer Chemotherapy. Boston: CRS, Press.
- Hanwell, M. D., Curtis, D. E., Lonie, D. C., Vandermeersch, T., Zurek, E. & Hutchison, G. R. (2012). J. Cheminform, 4, 1–17.
- Harrison, W., Rettig, S. & Trotter, J. J. (1972). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1852–1856.
- Hökelek, T., Mert, Y. & Ünalerouğlu, C. (1998). Acta Cryst. C54, 310–313.
- Ibragimov, A. B., Ashurov, J. M., Ibragimov, A. B. & Eshimbetov, A. G. (2021). J. Chem. Crystallogr. 51, 405–417.

- Jassal, A. K., Sharma, S., Hundal, G. & Hundal, M. S. (2015). Cryst. Growth Des. 15, 79–93.
- Kabbani, A. T., Zaworotko, M. J., Abourahma, H., Walsh, R. D. B. & Hammud, H. H. (2004). J. Chem. Crystallogr. 34, 749–756.
- Karelson, M., Lobanov, V. S. & Katritzky, A. R. (1996). Chem. Rev. 96, 1027–1044.
- Lee, C., Yang, W. & Parr, G. (1988). Phys. Rev. B, 37, 785-789.
- Li, J. & Zhou, H. (2010). Nat. Chem. 2, 893-898.
- Linder, M. C. & Goode, C. A. (1991). *Biochemistry of Copper*. New York: Plenum.
- Lu, T. & Chen, F. (2012). J. Comput. Chem. 33, 580-592.
- Macrae, C. F., Sovago, I., Cottrell, S. J., Galek, P. T. A., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Platings, M., Shields, G. P., Stevens, J. S., Towler, M. & Wood, P. A. (2020). J. Appl. Cryst. 53, 226–235.
- Miar, M., Shiroudi, A., Pourshamsian, K., Oliaey, A. R. & Hatamjafari, F. (2021). J. Chem. Res. 45, 147–158.
- Neese, F. (2012). WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci. 2, 73-78.
- Niekerk, J. N. van & Schoening, F. R. L. (1953). Acta Cryst. 6, 227–232.
- Rao, V. M., Sathyanarayana, D. N. & Manohar, H. J. (1983). *J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans.* pp. 2167–2173.
- Rauk, A. (2001). Orbital interaction. Theory of Organic Chemistry. New York: Wiley-Interscience.

- Rigaku OD (2018). CrysAlis PRO. Rigaku OD, Yarnton, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Song, S., Cui, J., Li, Z. & Nie, F. (2013). Z. Krist. New Cryst. Struct. 228, 199–200.
- Stachová, P., Moncol, J., Valigura, D. & Lis, T. (2006). *Acta Cryst.* C62, m375–m377.
- Su, F., Lu, L., Feng, S., Zhu, M., Gao, Z. & Dong, Y. (2015). Dalton Trans. 44, 7213–7222.
- Tapiero, H. & Tew, K. D. (2003). Biomed. Pharmacother. 57, 399-411.
- Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Spackman, P. R., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2017). Crystal Explorer 17.5. The University of Western Australia.
- Ueyama, N., Yamada, Y., Takeda, J., Okamura, T., Mori, W. & Nakamura, A. (1996). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 1377–1378.
- Usubaliev, B. T., Movsumov, E. M., Amiraslanov, I. R. & Mamedov, Kh. S. (1980). Dokl. Nat. Akad. Nauk Azerbauidzhana, 36, 40.
- Wang, J., Su, F. & Shi, L. (2018). Acta Cryst. E74, 691-694.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Xu, D., Gao, J. & Long, S. (2020). IUCrData, 5, x200801.
- Zhu, L.-G., Kitagawa, S., Miyasaka, H. & Chang, H.-C. (2003). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **355**, 121–126.

# supporting information

## Acta Cryst. (2021). E77, 1164-1169 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989021010999]

Crystal structure, Hirshfeld surface analysis and DFT studies of tetrakis( $\mu$ -3-nitrobenzoato- $\kappa^2 O^1:O^1$ )bis[(N,N-dimethylformamide- $\kappa O$ )copper(II)] dimethyl-formamide disolvate

# Mavlonbek A. Ziyaev, Jamshid M. Ashurov, Alisher G. Eshimbetov and Bakhtiyar T. Ibragimov

## **Computing details**

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2018); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2018); data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2018); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014/7* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2020); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

Tetrakis( $\mu$ -3-nitrobenzoato- $\kappa^2 O^1: O^1$ )bis[(N, N-dimethylformamide- $\kappa O$ )copper(II)] dimethylformamide disolvate

### Crystal data

 $[Cu_{2}(C_{7}H_{4}NO_{4})_{4}(C_{3}H_{7}NO)_{2}] \cdot 2C_{3}H_{7}NO$   $M_{r} = 1083.91$ Monoclinic,  $P2_{1}/c$  a = 11.5657 (4) Å b = 10.4851 (3) Å c = 19.7258 (5) Å  $\beta = 91.581$  (3)° V = 2391.19 (12) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 2

#### Data collection

Xcalibur, Ruby diffractometer Radiation source: Enhance (Cu) X-ray Source Graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 10.2576 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup> w $\sigma$ cans Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysAlisPro; Rigaku OD, 2018)  $T_{min} = 0.366, T_{max} = 1.000$ 

## Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$  $wR(F^2) = 0.120$ S = 1.054940 reflections 320 parameters F(000) = 1116  $D_x = 1.505 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu K\alpha radiation,  $\lambda = 1.54184 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 5941 reflections  $\theta = 4.2-75.5^{\circ}$   $\mu = 1.84 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 293 KPlate, blue  $0.20 \times 0.15 \times 0.10 \text{ mm}$ 

17186 measured reflections 4940 independent reflections 4016 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.041$  $\theta_{max} = 76.2^\circ, \ \theta_{min} = 3.8^\circ$  $h = -14 \rightarrow 14$  $k = -13 \rightarrow 12$  $l = -24 \rightarrow 16$ 

0 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0582P)^2 + 0.9153P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$   $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.38 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.65 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Cul	0.52536 (3)	0.37834 (3)	0.48912 (2)	0.03259 (12)
01	0.67889 (15)	0.43281 (17)	0.52255 (9)	0.0438 (4)
O2	0.63778 (15)	0.63846 (16)	0.54126 (9)	0.0442 (4)
O3	0.48321 (16)	0.35248 (16)	0.58396 (8)	0.0447 (4)
O4	0.43655 (16)	0.55704 (16)	0.60163 (8)	0.0438 (4)
O5	0.57752 (17)	0.18687 (16)	0.46685 (9)	0.0474 (4)
O6	0.2420 (2)	0.6543 (3)	0.81319 (13)	0.0853 (8)
07	0.2426 (3)	0.5167 (3)	0.89371 (12)	0.0977 (10)
08	1.1930 (2)	0.3843 (3)	0.60860 (15)	0.0927 (9)
09	1.0475 (2)	0.2614 (3)	0.59089 (17)	0.1004 (10)
N1	0.7192 (2)	0.0413 (2)	0.45278 (11)	0.0533 (6)
N2	0.2717 (2)	0.5527 (3)	0.83778 (12)	0.0612 (7)
N3	1.0906 (2)	0.3660 (3)	0.59792 (14)	0.0659 (8)
C1	0.4512 (2)	0.4433 (2)	0.62026 (11)	0.0376 (5)
C2	0.4313 (2)	0.4157 (2)	0.69403 (11)	0.0376 (5)
C3	0.4849 (3)	0.3128 (3)	0.72534 (13)	0.0509 (7)
Н3	0.5305	0.2581	0.7004	0.061*
C4	0.4709 (3)	0.2912 (3)	0.79400 (15)	0.0614 (8)
H4	0.5092	0.2233	0.8150	0.074*
C5	0.4012 (3)	0.3685 (3)	0.83118 (13)	0.0543 (7)
Н5	0.3909	0.3534	0.8771	0.065*
C6	0.3469 (2)	0.4689 (3)	0.79880 (12)	0.0445 (6)
C7	0.3616 (2)	0.4953 (2)	0.73090 (12)	0.0411 (6)
H7	0.3253	0.5651	0.7105	0.049*
C8	0.7049 (2)	0.5442 (2)	0.54082 (11)	0.0373 (5)
C9	0.8275 (2)	0.5637 (2)	0.56579 (12)	0.0398 (5)
C10	0.8687 (3)	0.6832 (3)	0.58268 (15)	0.0547 (7)
H10	0.8197	0.7534	0.5794	0.066*
C11	0.9830 (3)	0.6997 (3)	0.60457 (18)	0.0701 (9)
H11	1.0098	0.7807	0.6161	0.084*
C12	1.0562 (3)	0.5977 (3)	0.60927 (17)	0.0654 (9)
H12	1.1332	0.6084	0.6231	0.078*
C13	1.0132 (2)	0.4784 (3)	0.59303 (14)	0.0504 (7)
C14	0.9006 (2)	0.4592 (3)	0.57205 (12)	0.0449 (6)
H14	0.8736	0.3776	0.5622	0.054*
C15	0.6799 (3)	0.1556 (3)	0.46790 (13)	0.0482 (6)

H15	0.7341	0.2174	0.4803	0.058*
C16	0.6410 (4)	-0.0611 (3)	0.4369 (2)	0.0850 (12)
H16A	0.6331	-0.1143	0.4761	0.128*
H16B	0.6707	-0.1107	0.4004	0.128*
H16C	0.5668	-0.0268	0.4236	0.128*
C17	0.8432 (3)	0.0129 (4)	0.4595 (2)	0.0837 (12)
H17A	0.8833	0.0858	0.4779	0.126*
H17B	0.8726	-0.0069	0.4157	0.126*
H17C	0.8548	-0.0587	0.4892	0.126*
O10	1.0396 (3)	0.4379 (4)	0.7733 (2)	0.1376 (14)
N4	0.8658 (3)	0.5366 (3)	0.76200 (16)	0.0773 (8)
C18	0.9808 (5)	0.5333 (5)	0.7716 (2)	0.0941 (13)
H18	1.0188	0.6109	0.7774	0.113*
C19	0.8031 (6)	0.4220 (6)	0.7556 (3)	0.145 (2)
H19A	0.8554	0.3532	0.7469	0.217*
H19B	0.7477	0.4292	0.7187	0.217*
H19C	0.7636	0.4056	0.7968	0.217*
C20	0.8067 (5)	0.6575 (5)	0.7589 (2)	0.1113 (16)
H20A	0.7587	0.6661	0.7976	0.167*
H20B	0.7594	0.6616	0.7182	0.167*
H20C	0.8624	0.7253	0.7589	0.167*

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Cu1	0.0397 (2)	0.02672 (19)	0.03118 (18)	0.00108 (14)	-0.00230 (14)	-0.00110 (12)
O1	0.0408 (10)	0.0382 (9)	0.0517 (10)	0.0001 (8)	-0.0074 (8)	-0.0067 (8)
O2	0.0428 (10)	0.0384 (10)	0.0511 (10)	0.0009 (8)	-0.0070 (8)	-0.0056 (8)
O3	0.0604 (12)	0.0393 (10)	0.0346 (8)	0.0024 (8)	0.0043 (8)	0.0023 (7)
O4	0.0626 (12)	0.0360 (9)	0.0328 (8)	-0.0022 (8)	0.0026 (8)	0.0038 (7)
05	0.0572 (12)	0.0315 (9)	0.0533 (10)	0.0070 (8)	0.0008 (9)	-0.0047 (8)
06	0.108 (2)	0.0639 (15)	0.0859 (17)	0.0257 (15)	0.0341 (15)	0.0078 (13)
07	0.125 (2)	0.122 (2)	0.0477 (13)	0.0305 (19)	0.0330 (14)	0.0112 (14)
08	0.0399 (13)	0.133 (3)	0.105 (2)	0.0118 (14)	-0.0095 (13)	0.0151 (17)
09	0.0694 (17)	0.0748 (18)	0.156 (3)	0.0204 (15)	-0.0189 (17)	-0.0202 (19)
N1	0.0728 (17)	0.0353 (12)	0.0528 (13)	0.0130 (11)	0.0181 (12)	0.0010 (10)
N2	0.0669 (17)	0.0692 (18)	0.0480 (13)	0.0005 (14)	0.0093 (12)	-0.0031 (13)
N3	0.0449 (16)	0.089 (2)	0.0635 (16)	0.0140 (15)	-0.0027 (12)	0.0034 (15)
C1	0.0405 (13)	0.0390 (13)	0.0330 (11)	-0.0051 (11)	-0.0030 (9)	0.0045 (10)
C2	0.0425 (14)	0.0361 (12)	0.0339 (11)	-0.0029 (11)	-0.0014 (10)	0.0042 (9)
C3	0.0568 (17)	0.0503 (16)	0.0456 (14)	0.0095 (14)	0.0034 (12)	0.0074 (12)
C4	0.074 (2)	0.062 (2)	0.0476 (15)	0.0153 (17)	-0.0003 (14)	0.0188 (14)
C5	0.0636 (19)	0.0632 (19)	0.0359 (13)	0.0016 (15)	0.0001 (12)	0.0138 (12)
C6	0.0498 (15)	0.0479 (15)	0.0361 (12)	-0.0027 (12)	0.0035 (11)	0.0015 (11)
C7	0.0435 (14)	0.0391 (14)	0.0404 (12)	-0.0016 (11)	-0.0025 (10)	0.0076 (10)
C8	0.0409 (13)	0.0399 (13)	0.0310 (11)	-0.0013 (11)	-0.0013 (9)	0.0010 (9)
C9	0.0402 (14)	0.0434 (14)	0.0357 (11)	-0.0029 (11)	-0.0014 (10)	-0.0003 (10)
C10	0.0547 (17)	0.0478 (16)	0.0610 (17)	-0.0100 (14)	-0.0097 (14)	0.0025 (13)

# supporting information

C11	0.065 (2)	0.059 (2)	0.085 (2)	-0.0241 (17)	-0.0192 (18)	0.0027 (17)
C12	0.0466 (18)	0.081 (2)	0.0672 (19)	-0.0181 (17)	-0.0165 (15)	0.0062 (17)
C13	0.0398 (14)	0.0673 (19)	0.0438 (13)	0.0021 (14)	-0.0017 (11)	0.0014 (13)
C14	0.0411 (14)	0.0527 (16)	0.0409 (13)	-0.0042 (12)	-0.0018 (11)	-0.0046 (11)
C15	0.0596 (18)	0.0360 (14)	0.0494 (14)	0.0068 (13)	0.0075 (13)	-0.0021 (11)
C16	0.110 (3)	0.0401 (18)	0.107 (3)	0.0039 (19)	0.027 (2)	-0.0158 (19)
C17	0.085 (3)	0.072 (2)	0.096 (3)	0.034 (2)	0.034 (2)	0.008 (2)
O10	0.140 (3)	0.109 (3)	0.163 (4)	0.049 (3)	-0.008 (3)	0.016 (3)
N4	0.086 (2)	0.072 (2)	0.0730 (19)	-0.0017 (18)	0.0054 (16)	0.0005 (16)
C18	0.107 (4)	0.085 (3)	0.090 (3)	0.008 (3)	0.004 (3)	0.006 (2)
C19	0.179 (6)	0.133 (5)	0.122 (4)	-0.071 (5)	0.000 (4)	-0.009 (4)
C20	0.117 (4)	0.120 (4)	0.097 (3)	0.040 (3)	0.014 (3)	0.009 (3)

# Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu1—O1	1.9620 (17)	C6—C7	1.383 (3)
Cu1—O3	1.9650 (16)	С7—Н7	0.9300
Cu1—O2 <sup>i</sup>	1.9719 (18)	C8—C9	1.502 (3)
Cu1—O4 <sup>i</sup>	1.9751 (16)	C9—C10	1.378 (4)
Cu1—O5	2.1453 (17)	C9—C14	1.387 (4)
Cu1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	2.6554 (6)	C10—C11	1.390 (4)
O1—C8	1.257 (3)	C10—H10	0.9300
O2—C8	1.257 (3)	C11—C12	1.365 (5)
O2—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	1.9718 (18)	C11—H11	0.9300
O3—C1	1.254 (3)	C12—C13	1.381 (4)
O4—C1	1.258 (3)	C12—H12	0.9300
O4—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	1.9751 (16)	C13—C14	1.371 (4)
O5—C15	1.229 (3)	C14—H14	0.9300
O6—N2	1.216 (3)	C15—H15	0.9300
O7—N2	1.222 (3)	C16—H16A	0.9600
O8—N3	1.213 (3)	C16—H16B	0.9600
O9—N3	1.211 (4)	C16—H16C	0.9600
N1-C15	1.318 (3)	C17—H17A	0.9600
N1-C16	1.432 (4)	C17—H17B	0.9600
N1-C17	1.467 (4)	C17—H17C	0.9600
N2—C6	1.469 (4)	O10-C18	1.209 (5)
N3—C13	1.481 (4)	N4—C18	1.339 (6)
C1—C2	1.508 (3)	N4—C19	1.407 (6)
C2—C3	1.381 (4)	N4—C20	1.441 (5)
C2—C7	1.381 (3)	C18—H18	0.9300
C3—C4	1.387 (4)	C19—H19A	0.9600
С3—Н3	0.9300	C19—H19B	0.9600
C4—C5	1.370 (4)	C19—H19C	0.9600
C4—H4	0.9300	C20—H20A	0.9600
C5—C6	1.374 (4)	C20—H20B	0.9600
С5—Н5	0.9300	C20—H20C	0.9600
O1—Cu1—O3	88.06 (8)	01—C8—C9	115.9 (2)

O1—Cu1—O2 <sup>i</sup>	167.89 (7)	C10—C9—C14	119.4 (2)
O3—Cu1—O2 <sup>i</sup>	90.95 (8)	С10—С9—С8	121.3 (2)
$O1$ — $Cu1$ — $O4^i$	88.98 (8)	C14—C9—C8	119.3 (2)
$O3$ — $Cu1$ — $O4^i$	167.85 (7)	C9—C10—C11	120.5 (3)
$O2^{i}$ —Cu1—O4 <sup>i</sup>	89.47 (8)	С9—С10—Н10	119.7
O1—Cu1—O5	94.83 (7)	C11—C10—H10	119.7
O3—Cu1—O5	98.30 (7)	C12—C11—C10	120.4 (3)
$O2^{i}$ —Cu1—O5	97.26 (7)	C12—C11—H11	119.8
$O4^{i}$ —Cu1—O5	93.69 (7)	C10—C11—H11	119.8
$01$ — $Cu1$ — $Cu1^i$	82.41 (5)	C11—C12—C13	118.4 (3)
$O3-Cu1-Cu1^i$	85 24 (5)	C11 - C12 - H12	120.8
$O^{2i}$ Cu1 Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	85 48 (5)	C13 - C12 - H12	120.8
$O4^{i}$ —Cu1—Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	82.68 (5)	C14-C13-C12	122.4(3)
$05-Cu1-Cu1^i$	175 46 (6)	C14 - C13 - N3	122.1(3) 118.0(3)
C8-O1-Cu1	175.10(0) 125.04(17)	C12 - C13 - N3	110.0(3) 119.5(3)
$C8-O2-Cu1^{i}$	120.04(17) 120.92(16)	C12 - C13 - C14 - C9	119.5(3) 118.9(3)
$C_1 = O_3 = C_{11}$	120.92(10) 121.59(15)	C13 - C14 - H14	120.6
$C1 = O4 = Cu^{1i}$	121.55(15) 123.95(16)	$C_{13}$ $C_{14}$ $H_{14}$	120.0
$C_{1} = 0_{1} = C_{11}$	123.93(10) 121.53(18)	$C_{2} = C_{14} = 1114$	120.0 125.2(3)
C15 = 05 = C01	121.33(10) 120.8(3)	05 - 015 - 015	123.2(3)
C15 = N1 = C17	120.8(3) 120.4(3)	N1 C15 H15	117.4
C16 = N1 = C17	120.4(3)	N1 C16 H16A	117.4
06 N2 07	110.3(3)	N1_C16_H16B	109.5
$O_6 N_2 C_6$	123.3(3) 118.7(2)		109.5
00-N2-C0	110.7(2)	$\mathbf{N}_{10} = \mathbf{C}_{10} = \mathbf{H}_{10} \mathbf{C}$	109.5
$0/-N_2-C_0$	116.0(3) 124.1(2)		109.5
09 - N3 - 08	124.1(3)	H10A - C10 - H10C	109.5
09-N3-C13	117.9 (3)	HI0B - CIO - HI0C	109.5
08-N3-C13	118.1(3)	NI-CI7-HI7A	109.5
03 - 01 - 04	126.4(2)		109.5
03-01-02	117.4 (2)	HI/A - CI/-HI/B	109.5
04-01-02	116.2 (2)		109.5
$C_{3}$ $C_{2}$ $C_{7}$	119.8 (2)	H1/A—C1/—H1/C	109.5
C3—C2—C1	120.2 (2)	HI/B—CI/—HI/C	109.5
C/C2C1	119.9 (2)	C18—N4—C19	119.9 (4)
C2—C3—C4	120.1 (3)	C18—N4—C20	119.8 (4)
С2—С3—Н3	120.0	C19—N4—C20	120.3 (4)
C4—C3—H3	120.0	010—C18—N4	125.5 (5)
C5—C4—C3	120.8 (3)	O10—C18—H18	117.3
C5—C4—H4	119.6	N4—C18—H18	117.3
C3—C4—H4	119.6	N4—C19—H19A	109.5
C4—C5—C6	118.2 (2)	N4—C19—H19B	109.5
C4—C5—H5	120.9	H19A—C19—H19B	109.5
C6—C5—H5	120.9	N4—C19—H19C	109.5
C5—C6—C7	122.5 (3)	H19A—C19—H19C	109.5
C5—C6—N2	119.0 (2)	H19B—C19—H19C	109.5
C7—C6—N2	118.5 (2)	N4—C20—H20A	109.5
C2—C7—C6	118.6 (2)	N4—C20—H20B	109.5
С2—С7—Н7	120.7	H20A—C20—H20B	109.5

# supporting information

С6—С7—Н7	120.7	N4—C20—H20C	109.5
O2—C8—O1	126.1 (2)	H20A—C20—H20C	109.5
O2—C8—C9	118.0 (2)	H20B—C20—H20C	109.5
Cu1—O3—C1—O4	3.6 (4)	Cu1—O1—C8—C9	179.02 (15)
Cu1—O3—C1—C2	-174.57 (15)	O2—C8—C9—C10	-6.0 (4)
Cu1 <sup>i</sup> —O4—C1—O3	-5.6 (4)	O1—C8—C9—C10	175.3 (2)
Cu1 <sup>i</sup> —O4—C1—C2	172.56 (15)	O2—C8—C9—C14	173.9 (2)
O3—C1—C2—C3	23.2 (4)	O1—C8—C9—C14	-4.8 (3)
O4—C1—C2—C3	-155.2 (3)	C14—C9—C10—C11	1.2 (4)
O3—C1—C2—C7	-158.5 (2)	C8—C9—C10—C11	-178.9 (3)
O4—C1—C2—C7	23.1 (3)	C9-C10-C11-C12	0.4 (5)
C7—C2—C3—C4	-1.4 (4)	C10-C11-C12-C13	-1.2 (5)
C1—C2—C3—C4	176.9 (3)	C11—C12—C13—C14	0.5 (5)
C2—C3—C4—C5	2.0 (5)	C11—C12—C13—N3	-179.8 (3)
C3—C4—C5—C6	-0.8 (5)	O9—N3—C13—C14	-8.5 (4)
C4—C5—C6—C7	-1.0 (4)	O8—N3—C13—C14	171.4 (3)
C4—C5—C6—N2	179.9 (3)	O9—N3—C13—C12	171.8 (3)
O6—N2—C6—C5	165.9 (3)	O8—N3—C13—C12	-8.4 (4)
O7—N2—C6—C5	-13.7 (4)	C12—C13—C14—C9	1.1 (4)
O6—N2—C6—C7	-13.2 (4)	N3-C13-C14-C9	-178.7 (2)
O7—N2—C6—C7	167.2 (3)	C10-C9-C14-C13	-1.9 (4)
C3—C2—C7—C6	-0.4 (4)	C8—C9—C14—C13	178.2 (2)
C1—C2—C7—C6	-178.7 (2)	Cu1—O5—C15—N1	-177.7 (2)
C5—C6—C7—C2	1.6 (4)	C16—N1—C15—O5	-3.6 (4)
N2—C6—C7—C2	-179.3 (2)	C17—N1—C15—O5	-176.6 (3)
Cu1 <sup>i</sup> O2C8O1	-0.5 (3)	C19—N4—C18—O10	2.5 (7)
Cu1 <sup>i</sup>	-178.98 (15)	C20-N4-C18-O10	-178.0 (4)
Cu1—O1—C8—O2	0.5 (4)		

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1.

# Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H	H···A	D···A	D—H···A
0.93	2.47	3.360 (4)	161
0.93	2.50	3.100 (4)	123
0.96	2.40	2.770 (4)	102
0.96	2.35	2.753 (8)	104
0.96	2.59	3.503 (7)	160
	<i>D</i> —H 0.93 0.93 0.96 0.96 0.96	D—H         H···A           0.93         2.47           0.93         2.50           0.96         2.40           0.96         2.35           0.96         2.59	DHH…AD…A0.932.473.360 (4)0.932.503.100 (4)0.962.402.770 (4)0.962.352.753 (8)0.962.593.503 (7)

Symmetry codes: (ii) -x+1, y-1/2, -z+3/2; (iii) -x+2, y+1/2, -z+3/2.