



ISSN 2056-9890

Received 1 October 2021 Accepted 3 October 2021

Edited by W. T. A. Harrison, University of Aberdeen, United Kingdom

**Keywords:** crystal structure; rubidium; caesium; nitropyrazoles; energetic materials; hydrogen bonding.

CCDC references: 2113578; 2113577

**Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





## Isostructural rubidium and caesium 4-(3,5-dinitropyrazol-4-yl)-3,5-dinitropyrazolates: crystal engineering with polynitro energetic species

### Kostiantyn V. Domasevitch\* and Vira V. Ponomarova

Inorganic Chemistry Department, National Taras Shevchenko University of Kyiv, Volodymyrska Str. 64/13, 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine. \*Correspondence e-mail: dk@univ.kiev.ua

In the structures of the title salts,  $poly[[\mu_4-4-(3.5-dinitropyrazol-4-yl)-3.5$ dinitropyrazol-1-ido]rubidium],  $[Rb(C_6HN_8O_8)]_n$ , (1), and its isostructural caesium analogue  $[C_8(C_6HN_8O_8)_n, (2)]$ , two independent cations M1 and M2 (M = Rb, Cs) are situated on a crystallographic twofold axis and on a center of inversion, respectively. Mutual intermolecular hydrogen bonding between the conjugate 3,5-dinitopyrazole NH-donor and 3,5-dinitropyrazole N-acceptor sites of the anions  $[N \cdot \cdot \cdot N = 2.785 (2) \text{ Å for } (1) \text{ and } 2.832 (3) \text{ Å for } (2)]$  governs the self-assembly of the translation-related anions in a predictable fashion. Such one-component modular construction of the organic subtopology supports the utility of the crystal-engineering approach towards designing the structures of polynitro energetic materials. The anionic chains are further linked by multiple ion-dipole interactions involving the 12-coordinate cations bonded to two pyrazole N-atoms [Rb-N = 3.1285 (16), 3.2261 (16) Å; Cs-N = 3.369 (2), 3.401 (2) Å] and all of the eight nitro O-atoms [Rb-O = 2.8543 (15)-3.6985 (16) Å; Cs-O = 3.071 (2)-3.811 (2) Å]. The resulting ionic networks follow the CsCl topological archetype, with either metal or organic ions residing in an environment of eight counter-ions. Weak lone pair- $\pi$ -hole interactions [pyrazole-N atoms to NO<sub>2</sub> groups;  $N \cdots N = 2.990 (3) - 3.198 (3) \text{ Å}$ ] are also relevant to the packing. The Hirshfeld surfaces and percentage two-dimensional fingerprint plots for (1) and (2) are described.

### 1. Chemical context

Many issues of crystal engineering, in regard to control over bonding patterns, supramolecular topologies, molecular packing, and crystal morphologies are highly relevant to the area of energetic materials. In particular, non-covalent contacts involving common explosophore nitro groups (Bauzá et al., 2017) establish pathways to transmit intermolecular interactions and they are often responsible for higher densities of the solids (Zhang et al., 2000). The layered architectures of the energetic solids provide better buffering against external mechanical stimuli, which is essential for developing insensitive materials (Zhang et al., 2008). At the same time, incorporation of specific coordination geometries for the assembly of metal-organic solids offers potential for the synthesis of new perchlorate-free flame colorants and pyrotechnics (Glück et al., 2017). However, successful applications of the crystal-engineering methodology toward designing the structures of polynitro compounds are relatively rare, so far (Domasevitch et al., 2020). This is predetermined by a lack of reliable supramolecular synthons comprising the nitro groups, which are only weak acceptors of conventional hydrogen

bonds (Robinson *et al.*, 2000) and are only weak donors with respect to the metal ions. A more severe limitation is associated with the need for direct bonding between the nitro-rich functionalities only, since the incorporation of any low-energetic component or solvent molecules is an inevitable penalty to the performance. Such dilution of the energetic moieties in the crystals is relevant, for example, to a series of hydrogenbonded solids prepared by Aakeröy *et al.* (2015) with acidic ethylenedinitramine and common bitopic pyridine-N bases.



(2) 
$$M = C$$

Recently, we have reported a new strategy for the construction of energetic salts, which offers higher degree of control over the structure. Double functionality of the well-performing material 3,3',5,5'-tetranitro-4,4'-bipyrazole [H<sub>2</sub>(TNBP)] (Domasevitch *et al.*, 2019) grants synthetic access either to singly or doubly anionic species [{H(TNBP)}<sup>-</sup> and {TNBP}<sup>2-</sup>, respectively]. The former combine conjugate dinitropyrazole donor and dinitropyrazolate acceptor sites for sustaining particularly strong N—H···N bonding. In fact, such bonding of two explosophores dominated the self-assembly in a very predictable fashion and it was traced in all of the previously examined salts with a range of nitrogen-rich cations



Figure 1

The molecular structure and the atom-labeling scheme for (1) [the atom labeling for (2) is identical, with Cs1 and Cs2 instead of Rb1 and Rb2], with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level and the N-H···N hydrogen bond shown as a dashed line. [Symmetry code: (i) x, y + 1, z.]

 Table 1

 Selected bond lengths (Å) for (1).

Rb1-O1 <sup>i</sup>	2.8543 (15)	Rb2–O5 <sup>iii</sup>	2.9616 (17)
Rb1-O5	2.9673 (16)	Rb2-O7	2.9690 (15)
Rb1-N3	3.1285 (16)	$Rb2-O3^{i}$	3.0743 (17)
Rb1-O8 <sup>ii</sup>	3.3074 (16)	$Rb2-N2^{i}$	3.2261 (16)
Rb1-O3 <sup>iii</sup>	3.424 (2)	Rb2-O6 <sup>iii</sup>	3.2275 (16)
Rb1–O4 <sup>iii</sup>	3.4942 (16)	Rb2–O2 <sup>ii</sup>	3.6985 (16)

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y + 1, z; (ii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z$ ; (iii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

 Table 2

 Selected bond lengths (Å) for (2)

Science Joint I	$\operatorname{clights}(\mathbf{A}) \operatorname{lot}(\mathbf{Z}).$		
Cs1-O1 <sup>i</sup>	3.071 (2)	Cs2-O7	3.109 (2)
Cs1-O5	3.177 (2)	Cs2-O5 <sup>ii</sup>	3.159 (2)
Cs1-O3 <sup>ii</sup>	3.351 (3)	$Cs2-O3^{i}$	3.297 (2)
Cs1-N3	3.369 (2)	$Cs2-O6^{ii}$	3.396 (2)
Cs1-O4 <sup>ii</sup>	3.464 (2)	Cs2-N2 <sup>i</sup>	3.401 (2)
$Cs1 - O8^{iii}$	3.514 (2)	$Cs2-O2^{iv}$	3.811 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y + 1, z; (ii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z$ ; (iv)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, z$ .

(Gospodinov *et al.*, 2020). That the resulting networks are ionic may find further applications to the synthesis of inorganic nitro-rich salts, based upon  $\text{Li}^+$ ,  $\text{Rb}^+$ ,  $\text{Cs}^+$ ,  $\text{Sr}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Ba}^{2+}$  and other *s*- and *p*-block cations, which are a new generation of 'green' pyrotechnic formulations (Steinhauser & Klapötke, 2008).

Following the above findings, we now describe the synthesis and structure of rubidium and caesium 4-(3,5-dinitropyrazol-4-yl)-3,5-dinitropyrazolates  $M{H(TNBP)}$  [M = Rb (1) and Cs (2)], incorporating the peculiar half-deprotonated bipyrazole tectons. These materials may give an insight into the development of flame colorants in pyrotechnics: rubidium and caesium compounds exhibit, respectively, purple and orange colors when burned.

### 2. Structural commentary

The title compounds are isostructural, crystallizing in space group C2/c. The molecular structure of the rubidium salt (1) is shown in Fig. 1, with the unique part comprising one organic anion {H(TNBP)}<sup>-</sup> (or C<sub>6</sub>HN<sub>8</sub>O<sub>8</sub><sup>-</sup>) and two cations situated on a crystallographic twofold axis [Rb1] or on a center of inversion [Rb2]. The easy formation of such salts is conditioned by the appreciable acidity of polynitropyrazoles, *c.f.*  $pK_a = 3.14$  for 3,5-dinitropyrazole *versus* 14.63 for the parent pyrazole (Janssen *et al.*, 1973), while for the crystallization of singly charged hydrogen bipyrazolate derivatives, the weakly polarizing, large Rb<sup>+</sup> and Cs<sup>+</sup> cations are important.

Both unique metal ions exhibit exceptionally high coordination numbers of twelve, which are completed with ten O atoms [Rb–O = 2.8543 (15)–3.6985 (16) Å; Cs–O 3.071 (2)– 3.811 (2) Å] and two N atoms of the pyrazole rings [Rb–N = 3.1285 (16) and 3.2261 (16) Å; Cs–N = 3.369 (2) and 3.401 (2) Å] (Tables 1 and 2). Most of these separations slightly exceed the sum of the corresponding ionic radii [which are M–O = 3.13 and 3.28 Å; M–N = 3.18 and 3.34 Å for 12coordinate Rb and Cs ions, respectively (Shannon, 1976)], indicating the weakness of these relatively distal ion–dipole



Figure 2

Twelvefold coordination environments adopted by the Rb1 and Rb2 ions in (1), in the form of distorted icosahedra. The coordination of the two Cs ions in (2) is almost identical. [Symmetry codes: (i) x, y + 1, z; (ii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z$ ; (iv)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, z$ ; (v) -x + 1, y + 1,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (vi)  $-x + 1, y, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (vii)  $x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, z$ ; (viii)  $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ix) -x, -y + 1, -z; (x)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (xi) -x, -y, -z.]

interactions. This may be best related to the bonding in the ionic salts with polynitro anions lacking conventional donor sites. For example, in caesium picrate, the cations display a comparable 12-fold coordination and a wide spread of Cs-O separations of 3.028 (3)-3.847 (2) Å (Schouten *et al.*, 1990). The coordination polyhedra of the two unique cations are very similar and represent essentially distorted icosahedra (Fig. 2). These are completed with a twofold axis [for *M*1] or inversion [for *M*2] related pairs of chelating nitropyrazole-N,O groups, pseudo-chelating NO<sub>2</sub> groups and two singly coordinated NO<sub>2</sub> groups. Both kinds of cations reside in a closest environment of eight {H(TNBP)}<sup>-</sup> anions, which maintain supramolecular boxes with a small internal cavity for the cation (Fig. 3). It is notable that all of the eight O atoms present and the two pyrazole N atoms coordinate to the metal ions.

The main geometrical parameters of the organic anions are very similar to those of the parent [H<sub>2</sub>(TNBP)] (Domasevitch et al., 2019). In the case of (1), the protolytic inequivalency of two pyrazole halves is reflected by the ring C-N distances, which are almost the same for anionic ring A (atoms C4/C5/C6/N3/N4) [N3-C4 = 1.343 (2) and N4-C6 1.348 (2) Å] and are slightly differentiated for the neutral ring B (C1/C2/C3/N1/ N2) [N1-C1 1.348 (2) and N2-C3 1.331 (2) Å] (Fig. 1). In addition, the deprotonation causes slight elongation of the N-N bond, which is 1.336 (2) Å for ring B and 1.347 (2) Å for ring A. Even more sensitive parameters are the bond angles at the N atoms, which are perceptibly different for the former fragment [N2-N1-C1 = 110.67 (15); C3-N2-N1 = $104.29 (15)^{\circ}$ , being much closer for the latter [106.38 (15) and  $107.59 (15)^{\circ}$ ]. In the case of (2), the corresponding geometries are nearly identical for rings A and B [C-N = 1.340 (3)-1.346(3) Å; N2-N1-C1 = 109.8(2); N3-N4-C6 =  $109.6 (2)^{\circ}$  and N1-N2-C3 = 104.9 (2); N4-N3-C4 = $105.1 (2)^{\circ}$ ]. This situation agrees with the disorder of the H atoms between two positions [at the N1 or N4 carrier atoms] within the  $N-H \cdots N$  hydrogen bond in (2) as discussed below.

In both structures, the  $\{H(TNBP)\}^{-}$  anions display twisted conformations, with the dihedral angles between the rings being 42.99 (8) and 44.86 (10)° for (1) and (2), respectively. These angles, however, are unusually small. For example,



Figure 3

The Rb2 ion resides inside a supramolecular prism (represented here as a gray box) adopted by eight anions, which complete the coordination environment. The vertices of the prism are built through the mid-points of the central C-C bonds of the molecules. The environments of Rb1 and the respective Cs ions in the structure of (2) are similar. [Symmetry codes: (i) x, y + 1, z; (ii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iv)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, z$ ; (ix) -x, -y + 1, -z.]

typical parameters for the structurally similar 3,3',5,5'-tetramethyl-4,4'-bipyrazole unit are  $65-90^{\circ}$  (Ponomarova *et al.*, 2013). The flattening of the {H(TNBP)}<sup>-</sup> anion suggests certain attractivity in steric interactions of the NO<sub>2</sub> groups, which generates a set of short intramolecular O···N contacts, the shortest being O2···N7 at 2.786 (3) Å observed for (**2**). Indeed, the nitro/nitro stackings are energetically favorable, as a special kind of lone pair– $\pi$ -hole bond (Bauzá *et al.*, 2017).

### 3. Supramolecular features

The ionic structures of the title compounds may be regarded as three-dimensional networks, which are related to the structure of CsCl. The metal ions themselves constitute a distorted primitive cubic framework with the cells representing elongated prisms [the  $M \cdots M$  edges are 5.2560 (3), 6.5962 (3), 8.8395 (8) and 5.4775 (4), 6.3932 (5), 9.1482 (12) Å for (1) and (2), respectively]. Every such cell is populated with the organic anion and, conversely, every cation resides inside the distorted prismatic box of eight anions (Figs. 3 and 4).

Beyond Coulombic attraction, the principal supramolecular interaction is strong and directional  $N-H\cdots N$  hydrogen bonding between the pyrazole and pyrazolate halves of



Figure 4

Fragment of the crystal structure of (1), showing the polar hydrogenbonded anionic chains propagating along the *b*-axis direction, in the environment of the Rb cations. Blue lines indicate a pseudo-primitive cubic net arrangement of the cations, with every cell populated by a single anion (*c.f.* the structure of CsCl). [Symmetry codes: (i) x, y + 1, z; (vi)  $-x + 1, y, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (xiv) x, y - 1, z.]

translation-related anions  $[N1\cdots N4^{xiv} = 2.785 (2)$  and 2.832 (3) Å;  $H\cdots N^{xiv} = 1.93$  and 1.99 Å;  $N1H\cdots N4^{xiv} = 166$  and  $163^{\circ}$  for (1) and (2), respectively; symmetry code: (xiv) x, y - 1, z], arranging the latter into linear polar chains propagating along the *b*-axis direction (Fig. 4). Such bonding involving the conjugate acid (pyrazole-NH) and base (pyrazolate-N) sites is a very rare, if not the only, example of a highly reliable supramolecular synthon for crystal engineering with energetic polynitro derivatives. In fact, the conjugate



Figure 5

Structure of (1) viewed in projection on the *ac* plane (down the direction of the anionic chains) showing the organic layers, which are separated by layers of the cations. The chains of opposite polarity are identified by blue and red colors. [Symmetry codes: (iv)  $x - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ , z; (v) -x + 1, y + 1,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ .]

### Table 3

Geometry of lone pair– $\pi$ -hole interactions (Å, °) in (1) and (2).

 $N \cdots$  plane is a distance of an N-donor to the mean plane of a nitro group and  $\varphi$  is an angle of the  $N \cdots N$  axis to the plane of the nitro group.

Compound	N-Donor	Group	$N{\cdots}N$	$N{\cdots}plane$	$\varphi$
(1)	N2	(C4N7O5O6) <sup>xii</sup>	2.997 (3)	2.980 (2)	83.9 (2)
	N3	(C3N6O3O4) <sup>ii</sup>	3.198 (3)	3.093 (2)	75.3 (2)
(2)	N2	(C4N7O5O6) <sup>xii</sup>	2.990 (3)	2.976 (3)	84.5 (2)
	N3	(C3N6O3O4) <sup>ii</sup>	3.186 (3)	3.083 (3)	75.4 (2)

Symmetry codes: (ii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (xii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

interactions are relevant for many organic species, *e.g.* carboxylates (Speakman, 1972) and oximes (Domasevitch *et al.*, 1998), being often the most crucial bonding for the crystal patterns. With the aid of such a synthon, the assembly of the organic subtopology of lower dimensionality is possible in a very rational and predictable fashion and the title structures exactly follow the motifs of previously examined  $NH_3OH^+$  and 3,3',5,5'-tetramethyl-4,4'-bipyrazolium  ${H(TNBP)}^-$  salts (Gospodinov *et al.*, 2020).

The above hydrogen-bonded chains associate to yield layers lying parallel to the *ac* plane and the latter are separated by the layers of metal cations (Fig. 5). There are two kinds of weaker interactions, which facilitate close packing of the chains. The first of these is identified by close  $N3 \cdots N6^{ii}$  and  $N2 \cdots N7^{xii}$  contacts [the shortest of 2.990 (3) Å] originating in situation of the pyrazole N atoms almost exactly above the NO<sub>2</sub> N atoms (Table 3). This peculiar lone pair– $\pi$ -hole interaction occurs instead of the more common  $NO_2/NO_2$  bonding (Bauzá *et al.*, 2017), which is also relevant for the structure of [H<sub>2</sub>(TNBP)] itself (Domasevitch *et al.*, 2019). One can note that extensive ion-dipole interactions  $M \cdots O_2N$  in (1) and (2) mitigate against mutual interactions of nitro groups, which are totally eliminated from the suite of supramolecular bonds. The





A suite of non-covalent interactions of the {H(TNBP)}<sup>-</sup> anions, with two kinds of lone pair– $\pi$ -hole bonds (marked in red) and two kinds of nitro/ pyrazole stacks (marked in blue) complementing the conventional hydrogen bonding. [Symmetry codes: (ii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (v) -x + 1, y + 1,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (vi) -x + 1, y,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (xiii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-y - \frac{1}{2}$ , -z; (xiv) x, y - 1, z.]

Table 4	
Geometry of stacking interactions involving nitro and pyrazole groups (Å, $^{\circ}$ ) in (1	) and ( <b>2</b> ).

AtomCg is the shortest distance from the nitro group atom to the centroid of the ring; Atomplane is the deviation of the given atom from the mean plane of
the ring and $\varphi$ is the angle of the atom $\cdots Cg$ axis to the plane of the ring.

Atom	Ring	Atom $\cdot \cdot \cdot Cg$	Atom···plane	φ
07	(C4C5C6N3N4) <sup>iii</sup>	3.265 (3)	3.262 (2)	87.5 (2)
N5	(C1C2C3N1N2) <sup>xiii</sup>	3.541 (3)	3.526 (3)	84.7 (2)
07	(C4C5C6N3N4) <sup>iii</sup>	3.240 (3)	3.232 (3)	86.0 (3)
N5	(C1C2C3N1N2) <sup>xiii</sup>	3.448 (3)	3.389 (3)	79.4 (3)
	Atom O7 N5 O7 N5	Atom         Ring           O7         (C4C5C6N3N4) <sup>iii</sup> N5         (C1C2C3N1N2) <sup>xiii</sup> O7         (C4C5C6N3N4) <sup>iii</sup> N5         (C1C2C3N1N2) <sup>xiii</sup> N5         (C1C2C3N1N2) <sup>xiii</sup>	AtomRingAtom $\cdot \cdot \cdot Cg$ O7 $(C4C5C6N3N4)^{iii}$ $3.265$ (3)N5 $(C1C2C3N1N2)^{xiii}$ $3.541$ (3)O7 $(C4C5C6N3N4)^{iii}$ $3.240$ (3)N5 $(C1C2C3N1N2)^{xiii}$ $3.448$ (3)	AtomRingAtom···CgAtom···planeO7 $(C4C5C6N3N4)^{iii}$ $3.265$ (3) $3.262$ (2)N5 $(C1C2C3N1N2)^{xiii}$ $3.541$ (3) $3.526$ (3)O7 $(C4C5C6N3N4)^{iii}$ $3.240$ (3) $3.232$ (3)N5 $(C1C2C3N1N2)^{xiii}$ $3.448$ (3) $3.389$ (3)

Symmetry codes: (iii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z$ ; (xiii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y - \frac{1}{2}, -z$ .

second type of interchain interaction is stacking between pairs of inversion-related pyrazole and pyrazolate rings (Fig. 6), with the O7 and N5 atoms situated nearly above the centroids of the rings  $A^{iii}$  and  $B^{xiii}$ , respectively [symmetry codes: (iii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z$ ; (xiii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y - \frac{1}{2}, -z$ .] (Table 4). As a result of the inversion symmetry of the stacks, the alignment of two polar hydrogen-bonded chains in (1) is antiparallel, while the above lone pair– $\pi$ -hole interactions support coherent alignment of the contributing chains (Fig. 6). This results in



### Figure 7

Two-dimensional fingerprint plots for the individual anions in (1), and delineated into the principal contributions of  $O, N \cdots Rb$ ,  $O \cdots O, N \cdots N$ ,  $O \cdots N/N \cdots O$ ,  $O \cdots C/C \cdots O$ ,  $N \cdots C/C \cdots C$ ,  $N \cdots H/H \cdots N$  and  $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$  contacts. Other contacts are  $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$  (1.5%) and  $C \cdots C$  (1.0%).

pairing of the chains possessing identical polarities (Fig. 5). In the structure of (2), the polarity of the chains is eliminated because of the disorder of the H atoms in the  $N-H\cdots N/N\cdots H-N$  bonds.

### 4. Hirshfeld analysis

The supramolecular interactions in the title structures were also assessed by Hirshfeld surface analysis (Spackman & Byrom, 1997; McKinnon et al., 2004; Hirshfeld, 1977; Spackman & McKinnon, 2002) performed with Crystal-Explorer17 (Turner et al., 2017). The contributions of different kinds of interatomic contacts to the Hirshfeld surfaces of the individual anions are listed in Table 5 and the fingerprint plots for (1) are shown in Fig. 7. The most significant contributors are  $O \cdots O$  contacts (37.4%), while the fraction of  $O N \cdots Rb$ (15.4%) is relatively modest due to the larger lengths of the ion-dipole interactions. The shortest O····O separation on the plot of ~2.8 Å corresponds to the contact  $O1 \cdots O8^{xiii}$  = 2.741 (2) Å [2.732 (3) Å in (2); symmetry code (xiii)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-y - \frac{1}{2}, -z$ ]. We note that slight contraction of the O···M fraction in the case of M = Rb [13.6% for (1) and 13.0% for (2)] coincides with a larger contribution of less favorable  $O \cdots O$  contacts [37.4% for (1) and 35.5% for (2)]. This may be an additional factor destabilizing the structure: the crystals of (1) eventually decompose under the mother solution, unlike the stable Cs analogue. The lone pair- $\pi$ -hole pyrazole-NO<sub>2</sub> interactions generate 5.3% (1) and 6.3% (2) of the contacts of

Table 5

Contributions of the different kinds of the contacts (%) to the Hirshfeld surfaces of individual anions in  $(1)^a$  and (2).

M = Rb (	(1) and C	s (2)
----------	-----------	-------

13.6
2.2
35.5
6.3
0.7
16.2
5.4
6.6
6.6
5.2
1.7

Note: (a) For the two-dimensional plots for (1), see Fig. 7.

Table	6	
Experii	nental	details.

	(1)	(2)
Crystal data		
Chemical formula	$[Rb(C_6HN_8O_8)]$	$[Cs(C_6HN_8O_8)]$
$M_{\rm r}$	398.62	446.06
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, C2/c	Monoclinic, C2/c
Temperature (K)	213	213
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	19.4400 (15), 8.6070 (4), 16.0977 (10)	19.944 (2), 8.6307 (7), 16.2083 (17)
$\beta$ (°)	115.264 (7)	113.766 (8)
$V(Å^3)$	2435.8 (3)	2553.4 (5)
Ζ	8	8
Radiation type	Μο Κα	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	4.13	2.97
Crystal size (mm)	$0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.14$	$0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.14$
Data collection		
Diffractometer	Stoe IPDS	Stoe IPDS
Absorption correction	Numerical [X-RED (Stoe & Cie, 2001) and X-SHAPE (Stoe & Cie, 1999)]	Numerical [X-RED (Stoe & Cie, 2001) and X-SHAPE (Stoe & Cie, 1999)]
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.672, 0.789	0.677, 0.772
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	9925, 2890, 2186	9014, 2990, 2686
R <sub>int</sub>	0.033	0.042
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} ( \text{\AA}^{-1} )$	0.658	0.656
Refinement		
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.026, 0.055, 0.89	0.027, 0.060, 1.21
No. of reflections	2890	2990
No. of parameters	210	211
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max},  \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.37, -0.39	0.67, -0.77

Computer programs: IPDS Software (Stoe & Cie, 2000), SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2018/1 (Sheldrick, 2015), DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 1999) and WinGX (Farrugia, 2012).

the Hirshfeld surfaces, with the shortest  $N \cdot \cdot \cdot N = 2.9$  Å. The nature of the  $O \cdots N/N \cdots O$  and  $N \cdots C/C \cdots N$  contacts [in total 23.3% (1) and 22.8% (2)] is similar, since they correspond to the stacking of pyrazole and NO<sub>2</sub> groups with shortest  $O \cdots N$ and N···C distances of 3.2 and 3.3 Å, respectively. However, there are no pairs of the features that are characteristic for the mutual  $O \cdots N/N \cdots O$  interactions of NO<sub>2</sub> groups themselves (Domasevitch et al., 2020). The contributions of the O···H/  $H{\cdots}O$  and  $N{\cdots}H/H{\cdots}N$  contacts are comparable and perceptible [5.4 and 6.9% for (1) and 5.2 and 6.6% for (2)], but only the latter correspond to hydrogen bonding, as is reflected in the plots. These bonds are responsible for a pair of very sharp features pointing to the lower left, with a shortest contact of 1.9 Å, whereas  $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$  contacts are identified only with a diffuse collection of points between the above features and with a shortest contact of 2.8 Å.

### 5. Synthesis and crystallization

3,3',5,5'-Tetranitro-4,4'-bipyrazole [H<sub>2</sub>(TNBP)] was synthesized in 92% yield by nitration of 4,4'-bipyrazole in mixed acids and then crystallized from water as a monohydrate (Domasevitch *et al.*, 2019).

To prepare the Rb salt (1), 0.332 g (1.0 mmol) of  $H_2(\text{TNBP}) \cdot H_2\text{O}$  was added to a solution of 0.116 g (0.5 mmol) of Rb<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in 8 ml of water and the mixture was heated at 353–363 K until total dissolution was observed. The solution was cooled to room temperature and left for a few hours for

crystallization. Pale-yellow crystals of Rb{H(TNBP)} were isolated in a yield of 0.325 g (82%) and dried in air. The compound is unstable when stored under the reaction solution as the initially formed crystals dissolve in a period of 10–15 d and colorless H<sub>2</sub>(TNBP)·H<sub>2</sub>O deposits. In a similar way, the reaction of 0.332 g (1.0 mmol) of H<sub>2</sub>(TNBP)·H<sub>2</sub>O and 0.163 g (0.5 mmol) of Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in 8 ml of water gives 0.415 g (93%) of pale-yellow Cs{H(TNBP)} (2). Unlike (1), this material is stable under the mother solution. Similar reactions with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> did not afford any hydrogen bipyrazolates and led to soluble  $M_2$ {TNBP} (M = Na, K) and precipitation of the excess amount of H<sub>2</sub>(TNBP)·H<sub>2</sub>O.

Analysis (%) calculated for (1),  $C_6HN_8O_8Rb$ : C 18.08, H 0.25, N 28.12; found: C 17.93, H 0.44, N 28.49. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 590 w, 708 w, 838 m, 854 s, 996 m, 1024 m, 1308 s, 1352 vs, 1398 vs, 1432 m, 1490 vs, 1500 m, 1556 vs, 1636 w, 3448 br.

Analysis (%) calculated for (**2**),  $C_6HCsN_8O_8$ : C 16.15, H 0.23, N 25.13; found: C 16.01, H 0.38, N 28.11. IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 516 w, 586 m, 708 m, 838 s, 852 s, 994 s, 1022 m, 1170 w, 1306 s, 1324 s, 1350 vs, 1396 vs, 1432 s, 1488 vs, 1512 vs, 1544 vs, 1634 m, 3024 br, 3442 br.

### 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 6. The hydrogen atoms were located and then refined as riding with N-H = 0.87 Å and  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H})$  =

 $1.5U_{eq}(N)$ . For (2), the H atom is equally disordered over two positions corresponding to the N1 and N4 carrier atoms.

### **Funding information**

This work was supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of Ukraine (project No. 19BF037–05).

### References

- Aakeröy, C. B., Wijethunga, T. K. & Desper, J. (2015). *Chem. Eur. J.* **21**, 11029–11037.
- Bauzá, A., Sharko, A. V., Senchyk, G. A., Rusanov, E. B., Frontera, A. & Domasevitch, K. V. (2017). *CrystEngComm*, **19**, 1933–1937.
- Brandenburg, K. (1999). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Domasevitch, K. V., Gospodinov, I., Krautscheid, H., Klapötke, T. M. & Stierstorfer, J. (2019). New J. Chem. 43, 1305–1312.
- Domasevitch, K. V., Ponomareva, V. V., Rusanov, E. B., Gelbrich, T., Sieler, J. & Skopenko, V. V. (1998). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 268, 93–101.
- Domasevitch, K. V., Senchyk, G. A. & Krautscheid, H. (2020). Acta Cryst. C76, 598–604.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Glück, J., Klapötke, T. M., Rusan, M., Sabatini, J. J. & Stierstorfer, J. (2017). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 56, 16507–16509.
- Gospodinov, I., Domasevitch, K. V., Unger, C. C., Klapötke, T. M. & Stierstorfer, J. (2020). Cryst. Growth Des. 20, 755–764.
- Hirshfeld, F. L. (1977). Theor. Chim. Acta, 44, 129-138.
- Janssen, J. W. A. M., Kruse, C. C., Koeners, H. J. & Habraken, C. (1973). J. Heterocycl. Chem. 10, 1055–1058.
- McKinnon, J. J., Spackman, M. A. & Mitchell, A. S. (2004). Acta Cryst. B60, 627–668.

- Ponomarova, V. V., Komarchuk, V. V., Boldog, I., Krautscheid, H. & Domasevitch, K. V. (2013). *CrystEngComm*, 15, 8280–8287.
- Robinson, J. M. A., Philp, D., Harris, K. D. M. & Kariuki, B. M. (2000). New J. Chem. 24, 799–806.
- Schouten, A., Kanters, J. A. & Poonia, N. S. (1990). Acta Cryst. C46, 61–64.
- Shannon, R. D. (1976). Acta Cryst. A32, 751-767.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Spackman, M. A. & Byrom, P. G. A. (1997). Chem. Phys. Lett. 267, 215–220.
- Spackman, M. A. & McKinnon, J. J. (2002). CrystEngComm, 4, 378– 392.
- Speakman, J. C. (1972). *Structure and Bonding*. Vol. 12, pp. 141–199. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer.
- Steinhauser, G. & Klapötke, T. M. (2008). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 47, 3330–3347.
- Stoe & Cie (1999). X-SHAPE. Stoe & Cie GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (2000). *IPDS Software*. Stoe & Cie GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Stoe & Cie (2001). X-RED. Stoe & Cie GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Spackman, P. R., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2017). *CrystalExplorer17*. University of Western Australia. http://crystalexplorer.scb.uwa.edu.au/
- Zhang, C., Wang, X. & Huang, H. (2008). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 130, 8359–8365.
- Zhang, M.-X., Eaton, P. E. & Gilardi, R. (2000). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 39, 401–404.

### Acta Cryst. (2021). E77, 1109-1115 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989021010227]

## Isostructural rubidium and caesium 4-(3,5-dinitropyrazol-4-yl)-3,5-dinitropyrazolates: crystal engineering with polynitro energetic species

### Kostiantyn V. Domasevitch and Vira V. Ponomarova

### **Computing details**

For both structures, data collection: *IPDS Software* (Stoe & Cie, 2000); cell refinement: *IPDS Software* (Stoe & Cie, 2000); data reduction: *IPDS Software* (Stoe & Cie, 2000); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2018/1* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 2012).

Poly[[ $\mu_4$ -4-(3,5-dinitropyrazol-4-yl)-3,5-dinitropyrazol-1-ido]rubidium] (1)

Crystal data	
$[Rb(C_6HN_8O_8)]$	F(000) = 1552
$M_r = 398.62$	$D_x = 2.174 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, C2/c	Mo K $\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$
a = 19.4400 (15) Å	Cell parameters from 8000 reflections
b = 8.6070 (4) Å	$\theta = 2.3-27.9^{\circ}$
c = 16.0977 (10) Å	$\mu = 4.13 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 115.264 (7)^{\circ}$	T = 213  K
$V = 2435.8 (3) Å^3$	Prism, yellow
Z = 8	$0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.14 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Stoe IPDS	9925 measured reflections
diffractometer	2890 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	2186 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
$\varphi$ oscillation scans	$R_{int} = 0.033$
Absorption correction: numerical	$\theta_{max} = 27.9^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.3^{\circ}$
[X-RED (Stoe & Cie, 2001) and X-SHAPE	$h = -25 \rightarrow 25$
(Stoe & Cie, 1999)]	$k = -10 \rightarrow 11$
$T_{\min} = 0.672, T_{\max} = 0.789$	$l = -20 \rightarrow 20$
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.055$	neighbouring sites
S = 0.89	H-atom parameters constrained
2890 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0326P)^2]$
210 parameters	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.37$ e Å <sup>-3</sup>
direct methods	$\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.39$ e Å <sup>-3</sup>

### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}*/U_{ m eq}$
Rb1	0.500000	0.39279 (3)	0.250000	0.02840 (9)
Rb2	0.000000	0.500000	0.000000	0.03230 (9)
01	0.38330 (9)	-0.38304 (18)	0.16106 (14)	0.0422 (5)
O2	0.38489 (9)	-0.14611 (18)	0.11639 (13)	0.0344 (4)
O3	0.03697 (9)	-0.16032 (19)	0.06215 (16)	0.0490 (5)
O4	0.10681 (9)	0.03244 (17)	0.13792 (13)	0.0347 (4)
05	0.45319 (8)	0.09854 (18)	0.30718 (12)	0.0329 (4)
O6	0.37797 (9)	-0.09294 (16)	0.29580 (11)	0.0301 (4)
07	0.13123 (9)	0.34370 (17)	-0.01293 (13)	0.0344 (4)
O8	0.12218 (8)	0.09417 (17)	-0.03095 (11)	0.0280 (3)
N1	0.23222 (9)	-0.36612 (17)	0.10737 (13)	0.0199 (4)
H1	0.247444	-0.461154	0.107308	0.030*
N2	0.16218 (9)	-0.32601 (19)	0.09532 (13)	0.0210 (4)
N3	0.33470 (9)	0.27100 (18)	0.19547 (13)	0.0207 (4)
N4	0.26946 (9)	0.31935 (17)	0.12643 (13)	0.0200 (4)
N5	0.35387 (9)	-0.25662 (18)	0.13349 (12)	0.0212 (4)
N6	0.09790 (10)	-0.0935 (2)	0.10011 (14)	0.0266 (4)
N7	0.39083 (9)	0.03467 (19)	0.27152 (13)	0.0218 (4)
N8	0.15509 (9)	0.21089 (19)	0.01062 (13)	0.0206 (4)
C1	0.27606 (10)	-0.2391 (2)	0.11965 (14)	0.0175 (4)
C2	0.23545 (10)	-0.1061 (2)	0.11814 (14)	0.0161 (4)
C3	0.16475 (10)	-0.1719 (2)	0.10251 (15)	0.0181 (4)
C4	0.32927 (10)	0.1159 (2)	0.19966 (14)	0.0177 (4)
C5	0.26131 (10)	0.0553 (2)	0.13416 (14)	0.0158 (4)
C6	0.22651 (10)	0.1926 (2)	0.08941 (14)	0.0167 (4)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$	
Rb1	0.01707 (13)	0.01962 (14)	0.0442 (2)	0.000	0.00897 (13)	0.000	
Rb2	0.02226 (15)	0.03355 (17)	0.0307 (2)	0.00090 (12)	0.00132 (12)	-0.01165 (14)	
O1	0.0311 (8)	0.0188 (8)	0.0702 (14)	0.0128 (6)	0.0153 (9)	0.0038 (8)	
O2	0.0284 (8)	0.0279 (8)	0.0533 (12)	-0.0005 (6)	0.0234 (8)	0.0034 (8)	
O3	0.0212 (8)	0.0283 (9)	0.0941 (17)	-0.0011 (7)	0.0214 (9)	0.0036 (9)	
O4	0.0411 (9)	0.0214 (8)	0.0519 (12)	0.0071 (7)	0.0299 (9)	-0.0003 (7)	
05	0.0206 (7)	0.0336 (8)	0.0327 (10)	-0.0044 (6)	0.0001 (7)	0.0048 (7)	
06	0.0375 (8)	0.0155 (7)	0.0272 (10)	-0.0001 (6)	0.0040 (7)	0.0046 (6)	
07	0.0313 (8)	0.0190 (7)	0.0470 (12)	0.0121 (6)	0.0111 (8)	0.0127 (7)	
08	0.0225 (7)	0.0231 (8)	0.0305 (10)	-0.0046 (6)	0.0037 (6)	0.0008 (7)	

N1	0.0231 (8)	0.0089 (7)	0.0267 (11)	0.0004 (6)	0.0098 (7)	-0.0010 (7)	
N2	0.0222 (8)	0.0127 (8)	0.0283 (11)	-0.0004 (6)	0.0109 (7)	0.0020 (7)	
N3	0.0220 (8)	0.0141 (8)	0.0230 (10)	-0.0016 (6)	0.0067 (7)	-0.0010 (7)	
N4	0.0213 (8)	0.0103 (7)	0.0277 (11)	-0.0001 (6)	0.0098 (7)	0.0009 (7)	
N5	0.0211 (8)	0.0164 (8)	0.0230 (10)	0.0031 (6)	0.0064 (7)	-0.0051 (7)	
N6	0.0256 (9)	0.0174 (9)	0.0423 (13)	0.0030(7)	0.0198 (8)	0.0077 (8)	
N7	0.0241 (9)	0.0176 (9)	0.0196 (10)	0.0010 (6)	0.0053 (7)	-0.0014 (7)	
N8	0.0187 (8)	0.0180 (8)	0.0263 (11)	0.0031 (6)	0.0108 (7)	0.0057 (7)	
C1	0.0203 (9)	0.0115 (9)	0.0193 (12)	0.0001 (7)	0.0070 (8)	0.0000(7)	
C2	0.0187 (9)	0.0104 (8)	0.0188 (11)	0.0010(7)	0.0075 (8)	0.0008 (8)	
C3	0.0203 (9)	0.0122 (9)	0.0223 (12)	0.0008 (7)	0.0095 (8)	0.0019 (8)	
C4	0.0206 (9)	0.0119 (8)	0.0192 (11)	0.0007 (7)	0.0070 (8)	-0.0003 (7)	
C5	0.0177 (9)	0.0109 (8)	0.0202 (12)	0.0014 (7)	0.0094 (8)	0.0003 (7)	
C6	0.0185 (9)	0.0126 (8)	0.0198 (11)	0.0016 (7)	0.0089 (8)	0.0011 (7)	

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Rb1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	2.8543 (15)	O1—N5	1.221 (2)	
Rb1—O1 <sup>ii</sup>	2.8543 (15)	O2—N5	1.219 (2)	
Rb1—O5	2.9673 (16)	O3—N6	1.220 (2)	
Rb1—O5 <sup>iii</sup>	2.9673 (16)	O4—N6	1.219 (2)	
Rb1—N3	3.1285 (16)	O5—N7	1.228 (2)	
Rb1—N3 <sup>iii</sup>	3.1285 (16)	O6—N7	1.227 (2)	
Rb1—O8 <sup>iv</sup>	3.3074 (16)	O7—N8	1.231 (2)	
Rb1—O8 <sup>v</sup>	3.3074 (16)	O8—N8	1.225 (2)	
Rb1—O3 <sup>vi</sup>	3.424 (2)	N1—N2	1.336 (2)	
Rb1—O3 <sup>vii</sup>	3.424 (2)	N1—C1	1.348 (2)	
Rb1—O4 <sup>vi</sup>	3.4942 (16)	N1—H1	0.8700	
Rb1—O4 <sup>vii</sup>	3.4942 (16)	N2—C3	1.331 (2)	
Rb2—O5 <sup>viii</sup>	2.9616 (17)	N3—C4	1.343 (2)	
Rb2—O5 <sup>vii</sup>	2.9616 (17)	N3—N4	1.347 (2)	
Rb2—O7 <sup>ix</sup>	2.9690 (15)	N4—C6	1.348 (2)	
Rb2—O7	2.9690 (15)	N5—C1	1.440 (2)	
Rb2—O3 <sup>x</sup>	3.0743 (17)	N6—C3	1.450 (2)	
Rb2—O3 <sup>ii</sup>	3.0743 (17)	N7—C4	1.441 (2)	
Rb2—N2 <sup>x</sup>	3.2261 (16)	N8—C6	1.435 (3)	
Rb2—N2 <sup>ii</sup>	3.2261 (16)	C1—C2	1.384 (3)	
Rb2—O6 <sup>viii</sup>	3.2275 (16)	C2—C3	1.406 (3)	
Rb2—O6 <sup>vii</sup>	3.2275 (16)	C2—C5	1.462 (2)	
Rb2—O2 <sup>v</sup>	3.6985 (16)	C4—C5	1.393 (3)	
Rb2—O2 <sup>xi</sup>	3.6985 (16)	C5—C6	1.399 (3)	
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Rb1—O1 <sup>ii</sup>	94.94 (7)	O5 <sup>vii</sup> —Rb2—O6 <sup>viii</sup>	139.46 (4)	
Ol <sup>i</sup> —Rb1—O5	134.80 (5)	O7 <sup>ix</sup> —Rb2—O6 <sup>viii</sup>	71.28 (5)	
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Rb1—O5	116.69 (4)	O7—Rb2—O6 <sup>viii</sup>	108.72 (5)	
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Rb1—O5 <sup>iii</sup>	116.69 (4)	O3 <sup>x</sup> —Rb2—O6 <sup>viii</sup>	86.34 (5)	
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Rb1—O5 <sup>iii</sup>	134.80 (5)	O3 <sup>ii</sup> —Rb2—O6 <sup>viii</sup>	93.66 (5)	
O5—Rb1—O5 <sup>iii</sup>	62.80 (7)	N2 <sup>x</sup> —Rb2—O6 <sup>viii</sup>	59.09 (4)	

O1 <sup>i</sup> —Rb1—N3	150.29 (5)	N2 <sup>ii</sup> —Rb2—O6 <sup>viii</sup>	120.91 (4)
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Rb1—N3	65.44 (5)	O5 <sup>viii</sup> —Rb2—O6 <sup>vii</sup>	139.46 (4)
O5—Rb1—N3	52.18 (4)	O5 <sup>vii</sup> —Rb2—O6 <sup>vii</sup>	40.54 (4)
O5 <sup>iii</sup> —Rb1—N3	92.36 (4)	O7 <sup>ix</sup> —Rb2—O6 <sup>vii</sup>	108.72 (5)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Rb1—N3 <sup>iii</sup>	65.44 (5)	O7—Rb2—O6 <sup>vii</sup>	71.28 (5)
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Rb1—N3 <sup>iii</sup>	150.29 (5)	O3 <sup>x</sup> —Rb2—O6 <sup>vii</sup>	93.66 (5)
O5—Rb1—N3 <sup>iii</sup>	92.36 (4)	O3 <sup>ii</sup> —Rb2—O6 <sup>vii</sup>	86.34 (5)
O5 <sup>iii</sup> —Rb1—N3 <sup>iii</sup>	52.18 (4)	N2 <sup>x</sup> —Rb2—O6 <sup>vii</sup>	120.91 (4)
N3—Rb1—N3 <sup>iii</sup>	140.85 (6)	N2 <sup>ii</sup> —Rb2—O6 <sup>vii</sup>	59.09 (4)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Rb1—O8 <sup>iv</sup>	52.19 (5)	O6 <sup>viii</sup> —Rb2—O6 <sup>vii</sup>	180.00 (7)
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Rb1—O8 <sup>iv</sup>	124.56 (5)	$O5^{viii}$ —Rb2— $O2^{v}$	63.14 (4)
O5—Rb1—O8 <sup>iv</sup>	82.76 (4)	$O5^{vii}$ —Rb2— $O2^{v}$	116.86 (4)
O5 <sup>iii</sup> —Rb1—O8 <sup>iv</sup>	100.60 (4)	$O7^{ix}$ —Rb2— $O2^{v}$	127.24 (4)
N3—Rb1—O8 <sup>iv</sup>	119.65 (4)	$O7$ —Rb2— $O2^{v}$	52.75 (4)
N3 <sup>iii</sup> —Rb1—O8 <sup>iv</sup>	61.84 (4)	$O3^{x}$ —Rb2— $O2^{v}$	105.45 (5)
$O1^{i}$ —Rb1— $O8^{v}$	124.56 (5)	$O3^{ii}$ —Rb2— $O2^{v}$	74.55 (5)
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Rb1—O8 <sup>v</sup>	52.19 (5)	$N2^{x}$ —Rb2—O2 <sup>v</sup>	126.63 (4)
O5—Rb1—O8 <sup>v</sup>	100.60 (4)	$N2^{ii}$ — $Rb2$ — $O2^{v}$	53.37 (4)
O5 <sup>iii</sup> —Rb1—O8 <sup>v</sup>	82.76 (4)	$O6^{viii}$ —Rb2— $O2^{v}$	74.96 (4)
N3—Rb1—O8 <sup>v</sup>	61.84 (4)	$O6^{vii}$ —Rb2— $O2^{v}$	105.04 (4)
N3 <sup>iii</sup> —Rb1—O8 <sup>v</sup>	119.65 (4)	O5 <sup>viii</sup> —Rb2—O2 <sup>xi</sup>	116.86 (4)
O8 <sup>iv</sup> —Rb1—O8 <sup>v</sup>	176.11 (5)	O5 <sup>vii</sup> —Rb2—O2 <sup>xi</sup>	63.14 (4)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Rb1—O3 <sup>vi</sup>	96.42 (5)	O7 <sup>ix</sup> —Rb2—O2 <sup>xi</sup>	52.76 (4)
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Rb1—O3 <sup>vi</sup>	93.94 (5)	$O7$ —Rb2— $O2^{xi}$	127.25 (4)
O5—Rb1—O3 <sup>vi</sup>	111.58 (4)	$O3^{x}$ —Rb2— $O2^{xi}$	74.55 (5)
O5 <sup>iii</sup> —Rb1—O3 <sup>vi</sup>	53.44 (4)	O3 <sup>ii</sup> —Rb2—O2 <sup>xi</sup>	105.45 (5)
N3—Rb1—O3 <sup>vi</sup>	106.56 (4)	$N2^{x}$ —Rb2—O2 <sup>xi</sup>	53.37 (4)
N3 <sup>iii</sup> —Rb1—O3 <sup>vi</sup>	68.00 (4)	N2 <sup>ii</sup> —Rb2—O2 <sup>xi</sup>	126.63 (4)
O8 <sup>iv</sup> —Rb1—O3 <sup>vi</sup>	128.33 (4)	O6 <sup>viii</sup> —Rb2—O2 <sup>xi</sup>	105.04 (4)
O8 <sup>v</sup> —Rb1—O3 <sup>vi</sup>	52.33 (4)	O6 <sup>vii</sup> —Rb2—O2 <sup>xi</sup>	74.96 (4)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Rb1—O3 <sup>vii</sup>	93.94 (5)	$O2^{v}$ —Rb2— $O2^{xi}$	180.00 (3)
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Rb1—O3 <sup>vii</sup>	96.42 (5)	N5—O1—Rb1 <sup>xii</sup>	158.96 (13)
O5—Rb1—O3 <sup>vii</sup>	53.44 (4)	N6—O3—Rb2 <sup>xii</sup>	130.77 (13)
O5 <sup>iii</sup> —Rb1—O3 <sup>vii</sup>	111.58 (4)	N6—O3—Rb1 <sup>xiii</sup>	91.12 (15)
N3—Rb1—O3 <sup>vii</sup>	68.00 (4)	Rb2 <sup>xii</sup> —O3—Rb1 <sup>xiii</sup>	107.84 (5)
N3 <sup>iii</sup> —Rb1—O3 <sup>vii</sup>	106.56 (4)	N6—O4—Rb1 <sup>xiii</sup>	87.86 (12)
O8 <sup>iv</sup> —Rb1—O3 <sup>vii</sup>	52.33 (4)	N7—O5—Rb2 <sup>xiv</sup>	99.33 (12)
O8 <sup>v</sup> —Rb1—O3 <sup>vii</sup>	128.33 (4)	N7—O5—Rb1	127.55 (12)
O3 <sup>vi</sup> —Rb1—O3 <sup>vii</sup>	164.66 (6)	Rb2 <sup>xiv</sup> —O5—Rb1	124.88 (5)
$O1^{i}$ —Rb1— $O4^{vi}$	60.41 (5)	N7—O6—Rb2 <sup>xiv</sup>	86.64 (11)
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Rb1—O4 <sup>vi</sup>	91.65 (5)	N8—O7—Rb2	128.40 (13)
O5—Rb1—O4 <sup>vi</sup>	141.47 (4)	N8—O8—Rb1 <sup>v</sup>	121.64 (12)
O5 <sup>iii</sup> —Rb1—O4 <sup>vi</sup>	78.75 (4)	N2—N1—C1	110.67 (15)
N3—Rb1—O4 <sup>vi</sup>	137.39 (5)	N2—N1—H1	124.7
N3 <sup>iii</sup> —Rb1—O4 <sup>vi</sup>	59.64 (4)	C1—N1—H1	124.7
$O8^{iv}$ —Rb1—O4 <sup>vi</sup>	102.96 (4)	C3—N2—N1	104.29 (15)
$O8^v$ —Rb1—O4 $^{vi}$	75.66 (4)	C3—N2—Rb2 <sup>xii</sup>	119.80 (12)
O3 <sup>vi</sup> —Rb1—O4 <sup>vi</sup>	36.38 (4)	N1—N2—Rb2 <sup>xii</sup>	132.66 (12)
	× /		

O3 <sup>vii</sup> —Rb1—O4 <sup>vi</sup>	153.75 (4)	C4—N3—N4	106.38 (15)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Rb1—O4 <sup>vii</sup>	91.65 (5)	C4—N3—Rb1	114.35 (12)
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Rb1—O4 <sup>vii</sup>	60.41 (5)	N4—N3—Rb1	128.37 (12)
O5—Rb1—O4 <sup>vii</sup>	78.75 (4)	N3—N4—C6	107.59 (15)
O5 <sup>iii</sup> —Rb1—O4 <sup>vii</sup>	141.47 (4)	O2—N5—O1	125.25 (17)
N3—Rb1—O4 <sup>vii</sup>	59.64 (4)	02—N5—C1	118.13 (15)
$N3^{iii}$ —Rb1—O4 <sup>vii</sup>	137.39 (5)	01—N5—C1	116.61 (16)
$O8^{iv}$ —Rb1— $O4^{vii}$	75.66 (4)	04—N6—03	124.73 (18)
$O8^{v}$ —Rb1— $O4^{vii}$	102.96 (4)	O4—N6—C3	117.74 (17)
$O3^{vi}$ —Rb1— $O4^{vii}$	153.75 (4)	O3-N6-C3	117.50 (18)
$O3^{\text{vii}}$ Rb1 $O3^{\text{vii}}$	36.38 (4)	O4—N6—Rb1 <sup>xiii</sup>	72.68 (11)
$O4^{vi}$ —Rb1— $O4^{vii}$	139.76 (5)	$O3-N6-Rb1^{xiii}$	69.40 (14)
$O5^{\text{viii}}$ Rb2 $O5^{\text{vii}}$	180.00 (8)	$C3-N6-Rb1^{xiii}$	132.87 (13)
$O5^{\text{viii}}$ Rb2 $O7^{\text{ix}}$	108.32(4)	06—N7—05	123.15(18)
$05^{\text{vii}}$ Bb2 $07^{\text{ix}}$	71 68 (4)	06—N7—C4	118 54 (16)
$O_{5}^{\text{viii}}$ Rb2 $O_{7}^{\text{viii}}$	71.68 (4)	05—N7—C4	118 29 (16)
$05^{vii}$ Rb2 07	108 32 (4)	$06-N7-Bh2^{xiv}$	72 15 (11)
$0.7^{ix}$ Bb2 $0.7$	180.0	05 N7 Rb2 <sup>xiv</sup>	59 69 (11)
$O_{5^{\text{viii}}}$ Bb2 $O_{5^{\text{viii}}}$	57 45 (5)	C4—N7—Rb2 <sup>xiv</sup>	146 67 (12)
$05^{\text{vii}}$ Rb2 $05^{\text{vii}}$	122 55 (5)	08 - N8 - 07	12357(18)
$0.7^{ix}$ Rb2 $0.3^{x}$	111 42 (4)	08 - N8 - C6	123.37(10) 11840(15)
$07 - Rb^2 - 03^x$	68 58 (4)	07—N8—C6	118.10(13) 118.00(17)
$O_{5^{viii}}$ Rb2 $O_{5^{viii}}$	122.55 (5)	N1 - C1 - C2	110.36 (16)
$05^{vii}$ Rb2 $05^{vii}$	57 45 (5)	N1 - C1 - N5	119.62 (15)
$0.7^{ix}$ Rb2 $0.3^{ii}$	68 58 (4)	$C_2 - C_1 - N_5$	130.01 (16)
$07 - Rb^2 - 03^{ii}$	111 42 (4)	C1 - C2 - C3	100.01(10) 100.20(16)
$O_{3^{x}}$ Rb2 $O_{3^{ii}}$	180.00(10)	C1 - C2 - C5	129.17(17)
$O5^{\text{viii}}$ Rb2 $V2^{\text{x}}$	64 39 (4)	$C_{3}-C_{2}-C_{5}$	129.17(17) 130.53(17)
$O5^{\text{vii}}$ Rb2 N2 <sup>x</sup>	115 61 (4)	$N_{2} - C_{3} - C_{2}$	114 47 (16)
$07^{ix}$ Rb2 N2	63.20 (4)	$N_2 - C_3 - N_6$	117.47 (16)
07—Rb2—N2 <sup>x</sup>	116.80 (4)	C2-C3-N6	127.90 (17)
$O3^{x}$ —Rb2—N2 <sup>x</sup>	50.09 (4)	N3-C4-C5	113.82 (17)
$O3^{ii}$ —Rb2—N2 <sup>x</sup>	129.91 (4)	N3-C4-N7	117.80(17)
$O5^{\text{viii}}$ Rb2 N2 <sup>ii</sup>	115 61 (4)	C5-C4-N7	128 31 (17)
$O5^{\text{vii}}$ —Rb2—N2 <sup>ii</sup>	64.39 (4)	C4-C5-C6	99.63 (15)
$07^{ix}$ Rb2 N2 <sup>ii</sup>	116.80 (4)	C4—C5—C2	129.27 (17)
07—Rb2—N2 <sup>ii</sup>	63.20 (4)	C6-C5-C2	131.10(17)
$O3^{x}$ —Rb2—N2 <sup>ii</sup>	129.91 (4)	N4—C6—C5	112.57 (17)
$O3^{ii}$ —Rb2—N2 <sup>ii</sup>	50.09 (4)	N4—C6—N8	119.01 (16)
$N2^{x}$ —Rb2—N2 <sup>ii</sup>	180.0	C5—C6—N8	128.38 (16)
$O5^{\text{viii}}$ Rb2 $O6^{\text{viii}}$	40.54 (4)		
00 102 00			
C1—N1—N2—C3	-1.3(2)	C1—C2—C3—N2	0.0(2)
C1—N1—N2—Rb2 <sup>xii</sup>	157.43 (14)	C5—C2—C3—N2	-176.6 (2)
C4—N3—N4—C6	-1.0 (2)	C1—C2—C3—N6	175.2 (2)
Rb1—N3—N4—C6	140.39 (14)	C5—C2—C3—N6	-1.4 (4)
Rb1 <sup>xii</sup> —O1—N5—O2	26.7 (6)	O4—N6—C3—N2	154.3 (2)
Rb1 <sup>xii</sup> —O1—N5—C1	-153.8 (4)	O3—N6—C3—N2	-24.1 (3)

Rb1 <sup>xiii</sup> —O4—N6—O3	48.3 (2)	Rb1 <sup>xiii</sup> —N6—C3—N2	62.6 (3)
Rb1 <sup>xiii</sup> —O4—N6—C3	-129.88 (17)	O4—N6—C3—C2	-20.8 (3)
Rb2 <sup>xii</sup> —O3—N6—O4	-164.56 (17)	O3—N6—C3—C2	160.8 (2)
Rb1 <sup>xiii</sup> —O3—N6—O4	-49.6 (2)	Rb1 <sup>xiii</sup> —N6—C3—C2	-112.5 (2)
Rb2 <sup>xii</sup> —O3—N6—C3	13.7 (3)	N4—N3—C4—C5	0.3 (2)
Rb1 <sup>xiii</sup> —O3—N6—C3	128.59 (17)	Rb1—N3—C4—C5	-147.15 (14)
Rb2 <sup>xii</sup> —O3—N6—Rb1 <sup>xiii</sup>	-114.92 (19)	N4—N3—C4—N7	-177.06 (17)
Rb2 <sup>xiv</sup> —O6—N7—O5	32.5 (2)	Rb1—N3—C4—N7	35.5 (2)
Rb2 <sup>xiv</sup> —O6—N7—C4	-145.49 (16)	O6—N7—C4—N3	156.77 (19)
Rb2 <sup>xiv</sup> —O5—N7—O6	-36.3 (2)	O5—N7—C4—N3	-21.3 (3)
Rb1—O5—N7—O6	174.67 (14)	Rb2 <sup>xiv</sup> —N7—C4—N3	55.7 (3)
Rb2 <sup>xiv</sup> —O5—N7—C4	141.67 (15)	O6—N7—C4—C5	-20.2 (3)
Rb1—O5—N7—C4	-7.3 (3)	O5—N7—C4—C5	161.7 (2)
Rb1—O5—N7—Rb2 <sup>xiv</sup>	-149.01 (17)	Rb2 <sup>xiv</sup> —N7—C4—C5	-121.3 (2)
Rb1 <sup>v</sup>	22.7 (3)	N3—C4—C5—C6	0.4 (2)
Rb1 <sup>v</sup>	-155.32 (13)	N7—C4—C5—C6	177.5 (2)
Rb2—O7—N8—O8	72.4 (3)	N3—C4—C5—C2	-179.85 (19)
Rb2—O7—N8—C6	-109.63 (17)	N7—C4—C5—C2	-2.8 (4)
N2—N1—C1—C2	1.4 (2)	C1—C2—C5—C4	-41.0 (4)
N2—N1—C1—N5	-179.72 (17)	C3—C2—C5—C4	134.7 (2)
O2—N5—C1—N1	157.8 (2)	C1—C2—C5—C6	138.7 (2)
O1—N5—C1—N1	-21.7 (3)	C3—C2—C5—C6	-45.6 (4)
O2—N5—C1—C2	-23.6 (3)	N3—N4—C6—C5	1.3 (2)
O1—N5—C1—C2	156.9 (2)	N3—N4—C6—N8	-176.64 (16)
N1—C1—C2—C3	-0.8 (2)	C4—C5—C6—N4	-1.0 (2)
N5—C1—C2—C3	-179.5 (2)	C2—C5—C6—N4	179.2 (2)
N1—C1—C2—C5	175.8 (2)	C4—C5—C6—N8	176.7 (2)
N5—C1—C2—C5	-2.9 (4)	C2—C5—C6—N8	-3.1 (4)
N1—N2—C3—C2	0.8 (2)	O8—N8—C6—N4	168.87 (18)
Rb2 <sup>xii</sup> —N2—C3—C2	-161.31 (14)	O7—N8—C6—N4	-9.2 (3)
N1—N2—C3—N6	-174.94 (18)	O8—N8—C6—C5	-8.7 (3)
Rb2 <sup>xii</sup> —N2—C3—N6	23.0 (2)	O7—N8—C6—C5	173.2 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+1, *y*+1, -*z*+1/2; (ii) *x*, *y*+1, *z*; (iii) -*x*+1, *y*, -*z*+1/2; (iv) *x*+1/2, -*y*+1/2, *z*+1/2; (v) -*x*+1/2, -*y*+1/2, -*z*; (vi) *x*+1/2, *y*+1/2, *z*; (vii) -*x*+1/2, *y*+1/2, -*z*; (vii) *x*-1/2, -*y*+1/2, *z*; (vii) *x*-1/2, *y*+1/2, *z*; (viii) *x*-1/2, *y*-1/2, *z*;

### *Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)*

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
N1—H1…N4 <sup>xii</sup>	0.87	1.93	2.785 (2)	166

Symmetry code: (xii) x, y-1, z.

Poly[[ $\mu_4$ -4-(3,5-dinitropyrazol-4-yl)-3,5-dinitropyrazol-1-ido]caesium] (2)

Crystal data

$[Cs(C_6HN_8O_8)]$	<i>a</i> = 19.944 (2) Å
$M_r = 446.06$	b = 8.6307 (7) Å
Monoclinic, C2/c	c = 16.2083 (17)  Å

Cell parameters from 8000 reflections

 $\theta = 2.2 - 27.8^{\circ}$ 

 $\mu = 2.97 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

Prism, yellow

 $0.20 \times 0.16 \times 0.14 \text{ mm}$ 

9014 measured reflections

 $\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.8^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.2^{\circ}$ 

2990 independent reflections

2686 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

T = 213 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.042$ 

 $h = -20 \rightarrow 26$ 

 $k = -11 \rightarrow 11$ 

 $l = -21 \rightarrow 21$ 

 $\beta = 113.766 \ (8)^{\circ}$   $V = 2553.4 \ (5) \text{ Å}^3$  Z = 8 F(000) = 1696  $D_x = 2.321 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ 

Data collection

Stoe IPDS diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube  $\varphi$  oscillation scans Absorption correction: numerical [X-RED (Stoe & Cie, 2001) and X-SHAPE (Stoe & Cie, 1999)]  $T_{\min} = 0.677, T_{\max} = 0.772$ 

### Refinement

Refinement on F<sup>2</sup> Hydrogen site location: inferred from Least-squares matrix: full neighbouring sites  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.027$ H-atom parameters constrained  $wR(F^2) = 0.060$  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0214P)^2 + 3.932P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ S = 1.212990 reflections  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.67 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 211 parameters 0 restraints  $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.77 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ Primary atom site location: structure-invariant Extinction correction: SHELXL2018/1 (Sheldrick 2015), direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier  $Fc^* = kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$ Extinction coefficient: 0.00151 (14) map

### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
Cs1	0.500000	0.42703 (3)	0.250000	0.03031 (9)	
Cs2	0.000000	0.500000	0.000000	0.04080 (10)	
O1	0.36906 (14)	-0.3754 (3)	0.1294 (2)	0.0536 (7)	
02	0.38087 (12)	-0.1274 (2)	0.12176 (15)	0.0369 (5)	
O3	0.04269 (12)	-0.1420 (3)	0.07349 (18)	0.0460 (6)	
O4	0.11168 (14)	0.0488 (2)	0.14521 (17)	0.0391 (5)	
05	0.44367 (12)	0.1085 (2)	0.29706 (15)	0.0379 (5)	
06	0.36857 (13)	-0.0765 (2)	0.29226 (14)	0.0351 (5)	
07	0.13940 (12)	0.3610(2)	-0.01257 (15)	0.0391 (5)	
08	0.12142 (13)	0.1123 (2)	-0.02282 (14)	0.0370 (5)	
N1	0.22944 (13)	-0.3511 (2)	0.10259 (15)	0.0260 (5)	
H1A	0.243307	-0.445633	0.099377	0.039*	0.5
N2	0.16260 (13)	-0.3095(2)	0.09520 (15)	0.0267 (5)	

N3	0.32972 (13)	0.2858 (2)	0.19322 (15)	0.0266 (5)	
N4	0.26741 (13)	0.3309 (2)	0.12597 (15)	0.0252 (5)	
H1B	0.256042	0.426103	0.108253	0.038*	0.5
N5	0.34581 (13)	-0.2427 (2)	0.12310 (15)	0.0260 (5)	
N6	0.10201 (14)	-0.0772 (3)	0.10736 (17)	0.0304 (5)	
N7	0.38266 (14)	0.0482 (3)	0.26693 (15)	0.0268 (5)	
N8	0.15723 (13)	0.2273 (2)	0.01333 (15)	0.0253 (5)	
C1	0.27188 (15)	-0.2249 (3)	0.11572 (17)	0.0228 (5)	
C2	0.23398 (15)	-0.0903 (3)	0.11868 (16)	0.0216 (5)	
C3	0.16581 (15)	-0.1553 (3)	0.10518 (17)	0.0236 (5)	
C4	0.32386 (15)	0.1316 (3)	0.19768 (17)	0.0230 (5)	
C5	0.25873 (14)	0.0703 (3)	0.13417 (16)	0.0208 (5)	
C6	0.22504 (15)	0.2064 (3)	0.08996 (17)	0.0230 (5)	

Atomic displacement parameters  $(\mathring{A}^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Cs1	0.02186 (13)	0.02958 (13)	0.03945 (15)	0.000	0.01232 (10)	0.000
Cs2	0.02484 (14)	0.04935 (19)	0.03776 (16)	-0.00107 (11)	0.00175 (11)	-0.01535 (12)
01	0.0407 (13)	0.0213 (10)	0.095 (2)	0.0120 (10)	0.0230 (14)	-0.0019 (12)
02	0.0366 (12)	0.0257 (10)	0.0524 (13)	0.0006 (9)	0.0220 (10)	0.0023 (9)
03	0.0277 (11)	0.0353 (11)	0.0727 (16)	-0.0002 (10)	0.0177 (11)	0.0033 (11)
04	0.0456 (13)	0.0234 (10)	0.0604 (14)	0.0040 (9)	0.0339 (12)	-0.0020 (9)
05	0.0276 (11)	0.0354 (11)	0.0418 (12)	-0.0014 (8)	0.0047 (9)	0.0046 (9)
06	0.0440 (13)	0.0198 (9)	0.0305 (10)	-0.0020 (8)	0.0035 (9)	0.0055 (8)
07	0.0395 (12)	0.0224 (9)	0.0488 (12)	0.0106 (9)	0.0107 (10)	0.0125 (9)
08	0.0369 (12)	0.0276 (10)	0.0369 (11)	-0.0045 (9)	0.0048 (9)	0.0035 (8)
N1	0.0305 (11)	0.0154 (9)	0.0310 (11)	0.0029 (9)	0.0113 (9)	0.0008 (9)
N2	0.0312 (12)	0.0172 (10)	0.0313 (11)	-0.0004 (9)	0.0121 (10)	0.0011 (9)
N3	0.0305 (12)	0.0187 (10)	0.0282 (11)	-0.0016 (9)	0.0095 (9)	-0.0018 (9)
N4	0.0311 (12)	0.0127 (9)	0.0306 (11)	0.0031 (8)	0.0111 (9)	0.0014 (8)
N5	0.0272 (12)	0.0207 (10)	0.0283 (11)	0.0053 (9)	0.0094 (9)	-0.0017 (8)
N6	0.0314 (13)	0.0229 (11)	0.0427 (14)	0.0025 (10)	0.0208 (11)	0.0070 (10)
N7	0.0299 (12)	0.0211 (10)	0.0254 (11)	0.0028 (9)	0.0069 (9)	-0.0004 (8)
N8	0.0268 (12)	0.0206 (10)	0.0296 (11)	0.0045 (9)	0.0123 (9)	0.0048 (9)
C1	0.0282 (13)	0.0143 (10)	0.0232 (12)	0.0035 (9)	0.0076 (10)	0.0018 (9)
C2	0.0288 (13)	0.0131 (10)	0.0214 (11)	0.0013 (10)	0.0085 (10)	0.0011 (8)
C3	0.0284 (13)	0.0163 (11)	0.0279 (12)	0.0015 (10)	0.0134 (11)	0.0023 (9)
C4	0.0274 (13)	0.0171 (11)	0.0227 (11)	0.0022 (10)	0.0082 (10)	0.0010 (9)
C5	0.0267 (13)	0.0130 (10)	0.0232 (11)	0.0020 (9)	0.0105 (10)	0.0021 (9)
C6	0.0265 (13)	0.0162 (11)	0.0260 (12)	0.0052 (9)	0.0101 (10)	0.0026 (9)

## Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cs1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	3.071 (2)	O2—N5	1.222 (3)
Cs1—O1 <sup>ii</sup>	3.071 (2)	O3—N6	1.221 (3)
Cs1—O5 <sup>iii</sup>	3.177 (2)	O4—N6	1.225 (3)
Cs1—O5	3.177 (2)	O5—N7	1.229 (3)

Cs1—O3 <sup>iv</sup>	3.351 (3)	O6—N7	1.224 (3)
Cs1—O3 <sup>v</sup>	3.351 (3)	O7—N8	1.230 (3)
Cs1—N3	3.369 (2)	O8—N8	1.225 (3)
Cs1—N3 <sup>iii</sup>	3.369 (2)	N1—N2	1.338 (3)
Cs1—O4 <sup>iv</sup>	3.464 (2)	N1—C1	1.342 (3)
Cs1—O4 <sup>v</sup>	3.464 (2)	N1—H1A	0.8700
Cs1—O8 <sup>vi</sup>	3.514 (2)	N2—C3	1.340 (3)
Cs1—O8 <sup>vii</sup>	3.514 (2)	N3—N4	1.339 (3)
Cs2—O7 <sup>viii</sup>	3.109 (2)	N3—C4	1.340 (3)
Cs2—O7	3.109 (2)	N4—C6	1.346 (3)
$Cs2-O5^{ix}$	3,159 (2)	N4—H1B	0.8700
$Cs2 = O5^{v}$	3.159 (2)	N5-C1	1.439 (4)
$Cs^2 = O3^x$	3 297 (2)	N6—C3	1453(3)
$C_{82} = O_{3i}$	3.297(2)	N7-C4	1.437(3)
$Cs2 = O6^{ix}$	3 396 (2)	N8	1.117(3) 1.432(3)
$C_{s2} = O_{c_{s}}^{v}$	3 396 (2)	C1-C2	1.398(3)
$Cs2 = N2^{x}$	3.390(2) 3.401(2)	$C^{2}$ – $C^{3}$	1.000(0) 1 404 (4)
$C_{s2}$ N2 <sup>ii</sup>	3.401(2)	$C^{2}$ - C5	1.101(1) 1.458(3)
$C_{s2} = 0.02^{xi}$	3.401(2) 3.811(2)	$C_2 = C_3$	1.496(3) 1 396(4)
$C_{s2} = O_2^{vi}$	3.811(2)	C5 C6	1.390(4) 1 300(3)
01 N5	1.224(3)	05-00	1.599 (5)
01-103	1.224 (3)		
01 <sup>i</sup> —Cs1—O1 <sup>ii</sup>	112.57 (9)	O5 <sup>ix</sup> —Cs2—N2 <sup>x</sup>	60.69 (6)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Cs1—O5 <sup>iii</sup>	109.94 (6)	$O5^v$ —Cs2—N2 <sup>x</sup>	119.31 (6)
01 <sup>ii</sup> —Cs1—O5 <sup>iii</sup>	128.30 (6)	$O3^{x}$ — $Cs2$ — $N2^{x}$	47.39 (5)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Cs1—O5	128.30 (6)	$O3^{ii}$ —Cs2—N2 <sup>x</sup>	132.61 (5)
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Cs1—O5	109.94 (6)	$O6^{ix}$ —Cs2—N2 <sup>x</sup>	55.26 (5)
O5 <sup>iii</sup> —Cs1—O5	60.14 (9)	$O6^{v}$ —Cs2—N2 <sup>x</sup>	124.74 (5)
$O1^{i}$ —Cs1—O3 <sup>iv</sup>	101.47 (7)	O7 <sup>viii</sup> —Cs2—N2 <sup>ii</sup>	119.87 (6)
$O1^{ii}$ — $Cs1$ — $O3^{iv}$	89.92 (7)	$07 - Cs^2 - N2^{ii}$	60.13 (6)
$05^{iii}$ Cs1 $-03^{iv}$	53.49 (6)	$O5^{ix}$ —Cs2—N2 <sup>ii</sup>	119.31 (6)
$05-Cs1-O3^{iv}$	106.69 (6)	$O5^{v}$ —Cs2—N2 <sup>ii</sup>	60.69 (6)
$O1^{i}$ —Cs1—O3 <sup>v</sup>	89.92 (7)	$O3^{x}$ — $Cs2$ — $N2^{ii}$	132.61 (5)
$01^{ii}$ Cs1 $03^{v}$	101 47 (7)	$O3^{ii}$ — $Cs2$ — $N2^{ii}$	47 39 (5)
$05^{\text{iii}}$ Cs1 $-03^{\text{v}}$	106.69(6)	$O6^{ix}$ $Cs^2$ $N2^{ii}$	124 74 (5)
$05 - C_{s1} - 03^{v}$	53 49 (6)	$06^{v} - Cs^{2} - N2^{ii}$	55 26 (5)
$O_{3^{iv}} C_{s1} O_{3^{v}}$	159 51 (8)	$N2^{x}$ $Cs^{2}$ $N2^{ii}$	180.0
$O1^{i}$ Cs1 $N3$	157.51(0) 151.61(7)	$0.7^{\text{viii}}$ $C_{\text{s}}^2$ $0.2^{\text{xi}}$	46.92 (5)
$O1^{ii}$ $Cs1$ N3	61 45 (6)	$07 - C_{32} - 02^{x_i}$	133.09(5)
$05^{iii}$ Cs1 N3	92.08 (6)	$07 \ 0.32 \ 0.2$	114 79 (5)
$O_5 = C_{s1} = N_3$	<i>J</i> 2.08 (0) <i>A</i> 8.49 (5)	$05^{v} - C_{s2} - 02^{xi}$	65 21 (5)
$O_3^{iv}$ $C_{s1}$ $N_3$	106 11 (6)	$03^{x} - 03^{2} - 02^{xi}$	78.04 (6)
$03^{v} - Cs1 = N3$	66.04.(6)	$O_{3}^{ii} - C_{3}^{2} - O_{2}^{xi}$	101.06 (6)
$O1^{i}$ Col. N2 <sup>iii</sup>	61 45 (6)	$O_{3} = C_{32} = O_{2}^{xi}$	101.50 (0)
$01^{ii}  Cs1  N2^{iii}$	151 61 (7)	00 - 032 - 02	70.84(5)
01 - 031 - 103 $05^{\text{iii}} = 0.51 - 103$	131.01(7)	$\frac{1}{100} - \frac{1}{100} - \frac{1}$	19.04 (J) 51 78 (S)
$05 - 051 - 103^{}$	40.47 (J) 02.09 (G)	$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	34.20(3)
$O_2 i V C_2 1 N_2 i i i$	92.00 (0) 66.02 (6)	$0.02 \longrightarrow 0.02$	123.12(3) 122.09(5)
03 - 031 - 103	00.03 (0)	$0/1 - 0.82 - 0.2^{-1}$	133.08 (3)

O3 <sup>v</sup> —Cs1—N3 <sup>iii</sup>	106.11 (6)	O7—Cs2—O2 <sup>vi</sup>	46.91 (5)
N3—Cs1—N3 <sup>iii</sup>	137.57 (8)	$O5^{ix}$ — $Cs2$ — $O2^{vi}$	65.21 (5)
$O1^{i}$ —Cs1—O4 <sup>iv</sup>	66.05 (7)	$O5^{v}$ — $Cs2$ — $O2^{vi}$	114.79 (5)
$O1^{ii}$ — $Cs1$ — $O4^{iv}$	93.97 (7)	$O3^{x}$ — $Cs2$ — $O2^{vi}$	101.96 (6)
O5 <sup>iii</sup> —Cs1—O4 <sup>iv</sup>	77.60 (6)	$O3^{ii}$ — $Cs2$ — $O2^{vi}$	78.04 (6)
$O5$ — $Cs1$ — $O4^{iv}$	137.70 (5)	$O6^{ix}$ —Cs2—O2 <sup>vi</sup>	79.84 (5)
$O3^{iv}$ —Cs1—O4 <sup>iv</sup>	36.92 (6)	$O6^{v}$ — $Cs2$ — $O2^{vi}$	100.16 (5)
$O3^{v}$ — $Cs1$ — $O4^{iv}$	155.15 (6)	$N2^{x}$ —Cs2—O2 <sup>vi</sup>	125.72 (5)
$N3-Cs1-O4^{iv}$	138.81 (6)	$N2^{ii}$ $Cs2$ $O2^{vi}$	54.28 (5)
$N3^{iii}$ Cs1 $O4^{iv}$	57 79 (6)	$\Omega^{2xi}$ $Cs^2$ $\Omega^{2vi}$	180.00 (6)
$01^{i}$ Cs1 $04^{v}$	93 97 (7)	$N_{2} = 0.52 = 0.2$	140.2(2)
$01^{ii}$ Cs1 $04^{v}$	66.05 (7)	$N6-O3-Cs^{2xii}$	130.86(18)
$05^{iii}$ $05^{iii}$ $04^{v}$	137 70 (6)	$N6-O3-Cs1^{xiii}$	93 91 (18)
$05-Cs1-04^{v}$	77 60 (6)	$C_{s2}^{xii}$ $O_{3}$ $C_{s1}^{xiii}$	110.96 (7)
$03^{iv}$ (s1 - 04 <sup>v</sup>	155 15 (6)	$N6-04-Cs1^{xiii}$	88 50 (16)
$03^{v} - C_{s1} - 04^{v}$	36.92 (6)	$N7-05-Cs^{2xiv}$	99.67 (16)
$N_3 = C_8 I = O_4^v$	57 79 (6)	N7-05-Cs1	131 31 (16)
$N_{3}^{iii}$ Cs1 $O_{4}^{V}$	138 81 (6)	$C_{\rm s}2^{\rm xiv}$ O5 Cs1	131.51(10) 110.65(7)
$\Omega A^{iv}$ Cs1 $\Omega A^{v}$	138.81(0) 144.69(7)	$V_{2} = 0.5 = 0.51$	119.05(7)
$O_4 = C_{s1} = O_4$	144.09(7) 140.41(6)	N = 00 = 0.02	118.85(17)
O1 - Cs1 - O8	140.41(0)	$N_{0} = 0^{7} = 0^{1}$	110.03(17) 127.02(16)
$O_1 = C_{S1} = O_8$	40.43(0)	$N_{2} = N_{1} = C_{1}$	127.02(10)
$O_5 = C_{s1} = O_8^{vi}$	79.97 (3)	N2 - N1 - U1	109.0(2)
$O_{3}$ $C_{1}$ $O_{2}$ $V_{3}$	90.40 ( <i>3</i> )	$N_2 - N_1 - \Pi_1 A$	125.1
$O_{3}^{\text{N}}$ $C_{3}^{\text{N}}$ $O_{3}^{\text{N}}$	32.04(0)	CI-NI-HIA	123.1
$03^{\circ}$ $Cs1 = 08^{\circ}$	124.92 (6)	NI - N2 - C3	104.9(2)
$N3 - Cs1 - O8^{**}$	59.05 (6) 11(-20,(6)	$NI - N2 - Cs2^{xxx}$	130.12 (15)
$N3^{m}$ Cs1 O8 <sup>vi</sup>	116.39 (6)	$C3 - N2 - Cs2^{m}$	121./1(1/)
$04^{\prime\prime}$ $Cs1$ $08^{\prime\prime}$	/9.82 (6)	N4—N3—C4	105.1 (2)
$O4^{v}$ —Cs1—O8 <sup>v1</sup>	103.61 (6)	N4—N3—Csl	128.32 (16)
$OI^{I}$ $CsI = O8^{VII}$	48.43 (6)	C4—N3—Cs1	116.41 (17)
$OI^{n}$ —CsI—O8 <sup>vin</sup>	140.41 (6)	N3—N4—C6	109.6 (2)
$O5^{m}$ — $Cs1$ — $O8^{vn}$	90.40 (5)	N3—N4—HIB	125.2
O5—Cs1—O8 <sup>vn</sup>	79.97 (5)	C6—N4—H1B	125.2
$O3^{\text{IV}}$ —Cs1—O8 <sup>VII</sup>	124.92 (6)	02—N5—01	124.3 (3)
$O3^{v}$ —Cs1—O8 <sup>vn</sup>	52.64 (6)	O2—N5—C1	119.1 (2)
N3—Cs1—O8 <sup>vn</sup>	116.39 (6)	01—N5—C1	116.6 (2)
$N3^{in}$ —Cs1—O8 <sup>vn</sup>	59.05 (6)	O3—N6—O4	124.1 (3)
$O4^{iv}$ —Cs1—O8 <sup>vii</sup>	103.61 (6)	O3—N6—C3	118.4 (2)
$O4^{v}$ —Cs1—O8 <sup>vii</sup>	79.82 (6)	O4—N6—C3	117.5 (2)
$O8^{vi}$ — $Cs1$ — $O8^{vii}$	168.92 (7)	O3—N6—Cs1 <sup>xiii</sup>	66.57 (17)
$O7^{\text{viii}}$ —Cs2—O7	180.0	O4—N6—Cs1 <sup>xiii</sup>	71.87 (15)
$O7^{\text{viii}}$ —Cs2—O5 <sup>ix</sup>	103.32 (6)	C3—N6—Cs1 <sup>xiii</sup>	137.60 (16)
$O7$ — $Cs2$ — $O5^{ix}$	76.68 (6)	O6—N7—O5	124.3 (2)
$O7^{viii}$ — $Cs2$ — $O5^{v}$	76.68 (6)	O6—N7—C4	118.3 (2)
$O7$ — $Cs2$ — $O5^{v}$	103.32 (6)	O5—N7—C4	117.4 (2)
$O5^{ix}$ — $Cs2$ — $O5^{v}$	180.00 (11)	$O6$ — $N7$ — $Cs2^{xiv}$	71.61 (14)
$O7^{viii}$ —Cs2—O3 <sup>x</sup>	106.12 (6)	$O5$ — $N7$ — $Cs2^{xiv}$	60.53 (14)
O7—Cs2—O3 <sup>x</sup>	73.88 (6)	C4—N7—Cs $2^{xiv}$	149.11 (16)

$05^{ix}$ $Cs^2$ $03^x$	54 16 (6)	08—N8—07	1243(2)
$05^{v}$ Cs2 $03^{x}$	125.84 (6)	08—N8—C6	118.5 (2)
0.00000000000000000000000000000000000	73 88 (6)	07—N8—C6	1171(2)
$07 - C_{s2} - 03^{ii}$	106.12 (6)	N1 - C1 - C2	117.1(2) 111.4(2)
$0.5^{ix}$ $0.5^{ix}$ $0.5^{ii}$	125 84 (6)	N1 - C1 - N5	111.4(2) 1191(2)
$05^{v} - Cs^{2} - 03^{ii}$	54.16 (6)	$C_2 C_1 N_5$	119.1(2) 129.5(2)
$O_{3^{x}} C_{3^{2}} O_{3^{ii}}^{ii}$	180.00(10)	$C_2 - C_1 - K_3$	129.3(2)
03 - 032 - 03	68 60 (6)	$C_1 = C_2 = C_3$	130.2(2)
$O_7 = C_{s2} = O_0^{ix}$	111 31 (6)	$C_1 - C_2 - C_3$	130.2(3)
$07 - c_{32} - 00$	38.43(5)	$C_{3} = C_{2} = C_{3}$	130.2(2) 114.3(2)
05 - Cs2 - 00	36.43(3)	$N_2 = C_2 = N_2$	114.3(2)
$O_3^{\text{c}}$ $C_{\text{s}2}$ $O_6^{\text{is}}$	141.37(3)	N2 = C3 = N6	117.7(2)
$O_{2ii}$ $C_{2}$ $O_{2ii}$	80.81 (S) 00.10 (5)	$C_2 = C_3 = N_0$	127.8(2)
$03^{n} - 052 - 06^{n}$	99.19 (5)	N3-C4-C5	114.3 (2)
$0.7$ $Cs2 - 06^{\circ}$	111.31 (6)	$N_3 - C_4 - N_7$	118.3 (2)
$O'/=Cs2=O6^{\circ}$	68.69 (6)	C5—C4—N/	127.3 (2)
$O5^{1x}$ —Cs2—O6 <sup>v</sup>	141.57 (5)	C4—C5—C6	99.9 (2)
$O5^{v}$ — $Cs2$ — $O6^{v}$	38.43 (5)	C4—C5—C2	129.6 (2)
$O3^{x}$ — $Cs2$ — $O6^{v}$	99.19 (5)	C6—C5—C2	130.6 (2)
$O3^{n}$ — $Cs2$ — $O6^{v}$	80.81 (5)	N4—C6—C5	111.1 (2)
$O6^{ix}$ —Cs2—O6 <sup>v</sup>	180.00 (9)	N4—C6—N8	119.0 (2)
$O7^{viii}$ —Cs2—N2 <sup>x</sup>	60.13 (6)	C5—C6—N8	129.8 (2)
$O7$ — $Cs2$ — $N2^x$	119.87 (6)		
C1—N1—N2—C3	-0.7(3)	C1—C2—C3—N2	-0.1(3)
$C1-N1-N2-Cs2^{xii}$	158.78 (16)	C5-C2-C3-N2	-178.3(2)
C4-N3-N4-C6	-0.6(3)	C1-C2-C3-N6	175.2 (2)
$C_{s1}$ N3 $N4$ $C_{6}$	142.57 (18)	$C_{5}-C_{2}-C_{3}-N_{6}$	-31(4)
$C_{81}^{xii} - 01 - N5 - 02$	53.4 (5)	03 - N6 - C3 - N2	-22.2(4)
$Cs1^{xii}$ $01$ $N5$ $C1$	-1275(3)	04 - N6 - C3 - N2	1562(2)
$C_{s}^{2xii} = 03 = N6 = 04$	-167.5(2)	$C_{s1}^{xiii}$ N6 C3 N2	633(3)
$C_{s1}^{xiii} = 03 = N_{6}^{xiii} = 04$	-453(3)	03 - N6 - C3 - C2	162.7(3)
$C_{s}^{2xii}$ 03 N6 C3	10.7(4)	03 - N6 - C3 - C2	-189(4)
$C_{s1}^{xiii} = O_{s1}^{xiii} = O_{s1}^{xii} = O_{s1}^{xiii} = O_{s1}^{xii} = O_{s1}^{xii}$	132.9(2)	$C_{s1}^{xiii}$ N6 C3 C2	-111.8(3)
$C_s 2^{xii} = O_s^2 = N_6 = C_s 1^{xiii}$	-122.2(2)	N4 N3 - C4 - C5	0.4(3)
$C_{s1}^{xiii} - 04 - N_{6}^{xiii} - 03$	$A_{3} A (3)$	$C_{s1}$ N3 $C_{4}$ C5	-147.90(19)
$C_{s1}^{xiii} O_{s1}^{xiii} N_{s1}^{xiii} O_{s1}^{xiii} N_{s1}^{xiii} O_{s1}^{xiii} N_{s1}^{xiii} O_{s1}^{xiii} N_{s1}^{xiii} O_{s1}^{xiii} O_{s1}^{xii} O_{s1}^{xi} O_{s1}^{$	-1340(2)	$N_{1}$ $N_{2}$ $C_{1}$ $N_{2}$ $N_{3}$ $C_{4}$ $N_{7}$	-1780(1)
$C_{s}^{2xiv} = 06 = N7 = 05$	134.9(2)	$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$	170.0(2)
$C_{s2} = 06 = 17 = 03$	-1480(2)	$C_{S1} = N_{S} = C_{T} = N_{T}$	35.7(5)
$C_{S2} = 00 = N/=C4$	-146.0(2) -24.6(2)	00 - N7 - C4 - N3	-24.4(3)
$C_{s2} = 05 = N7 = 06$	-34.0(3)	$C_{2}$ $C_{3}$ $C_{4}$ $C_{4$	-24.4(4)
$C_{S1} = 05 = N7 = 06$	-1/9.42(19)	$C_{S2} = N / - C_{4} = N_{3}$	53.4(4)
$C_{s2} = 05 = N/=C4$	144.81 (19)	06-N/-C4-C5	-23.1(4)
Cs1 = 05 = N7 = C4	0.0 (4)	05 - N / - C4 - C5	157.4 (3)
$C_{s1} = 0$	-144.8(2)	$Us2^{AV}$ —N/— $U4$ — $U5$	-124.8 (3)
$Cs1^{-1}$ $O8$ $N8$ $O7$	26.7 (4)	N3-C4-C5-C6	-0.1(3)
$Cs1^{v_1}$ $O8$ $N8$ $C6$	-152.15 (18)	N/	178.2 (3)
Cs2—07—N8—08	66.2 (3)	N3—C4—C5—C2	179.4 (3)
Cs2—O7—N8—C6	-114.9 (2)	N7—C4—C5—C2	-2.3 (5)
N2—N1—C1—C2	0.7 (3)	C1—C2—C5—C4	-43.6 (4)

N2—N1—C1—N5	-178.4 (2)	C3—C2—C5—C4	134.1 (3)
O2—N5—C1—N1	169.4 (2)	C1—C2—C5—C6	135.7 (3)
O1—N5—C1—N1	-9.8 (4)	C3—C2—C5—C6	-46.5 (4)
O2—N5—C1—C2	-9.5 (4)	N3—N4—C6—C5	0.5 (3)
O1—N5—C1—C2	171.4 (3)	N3—N4—C6—N8	-176.5 (2)
N1—C1—C2—C3	-0.4 (3)	C4—C5—C6—N4	-0.3 (3)
N5-C1-C2-C3	178.5 (2)	C2C5	-179.8 (3)
N1—C1—C2—C5	177.9 (2)	C4—C5—C6—N8	176.4 (3)
N5-C1-C2-C5	-3.2 (5)	C2C5	-3.1 (5)
N1—N2—C3—C2	0.5 (3)	O8—N8—C6—N4	175.1 (2)
$Cs2^{xii}$ N2 C3 C2	-161.16 (16)	O7—N8—C6—N4	-3.9 (4)
N1—N2—C3—N6	-175.3 (2)	O8—N8—C6—C5	-1.4 (4)
$Cs2^{xii}$ —N2—C3—N6	23.1 (3)	O7—N8—C6—C5	179.6 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, y+1, -z+1/2; (ii) x, y+1, z; (iii) -x+1, y, -z+1/2; (iv) x+1/2, y+1/2, z; (v) -x+1/2, y+1/2, -z+1/2; (vi) -x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z; (vii) x+1/2, -y+1/2, z+1/2; (viii) -x, -y+1, -z; (ix) x-1/2, -y+1/2, z-1/2; (x) -x, -y, -z; (xi) x-1/2, y+1/2, z; (xii) x, y-1, z; (xiii) x-1/2, y-1/2, z; (xiv) -x+1/2, y-1/2, -z+1/2.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D···A	D—H···A
N1—H1A····N4 <sup>xii</sup>	0.87	1.99	2.832 (3)	162
$N4-H1B\cdots N1^{ii}$	0.87	1.99	2.832 (3)	163

Symmetry codes: (ii) *x*, *y*+1, *z*; (xii) *x*, *y*-1, *z*.