



ISSN 2056-9890

Received 10 March 2021 Accepted 22 May 2021

Edited by G. Diaz de Delgado, Universidad de Los Andes, Venezuela

**Keywords:** crystal structure; photoreaction; chiral crystal.

CCDC reference: 2085220

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





# Crystal structure and photoreactive behaviour of *N*,*N*-diisopropyl(*p*-phenylphenyl)glyoxylamide

#### Hisakazu Miyamoto<sup>a</sup>\* and Hiroki Takahashi<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Liberal Arts (Sciences & Mathematics), National Institute of Technology, Kurume College, Fukuoka 830-8555, Japan, and <sup>b</sup>Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8501, Japan. \*Correspondence e-mail: h-miya@kurume-nct.ac.jp

The title compound [systematic name:  $2-([1,1'-biphenyl]-4-yl)-2-oxo-N,N-bis-(propan-2-yl)acetamide], C_{20}H_{23}NO_2$  was synthesized and its photoreactive properties in the crystalline state and in acetonitrile solution were investigated. The compound crystallizes in the chiral space group  $P2_12_12_1$ . The crystal does not react under UV light irradiation, perhaps due to the presence of the biphenyl group. However, the compound is photoreactive in acetonitrile solution to give racemic 3-(*p*-phenylphenyl)-3-hydroxy-*N*-isopropyl-4,4-dimethylazetidin-2-one.

#### 1. Chemical context

The solid-state photochemistry of N,N-dialkyl- $\alpha$ -oxoamides has been studied in relation to penicillin chemistry (Aoyama et al., 1979). The amides undergo Norrish type II cyclization giving  $\beta$ -lactams (Aoyama *et al.*, 1978). The achiral molecule N,N-diisopropylphenylglyoxylamide **1a** crystallizes in the chiral space group  $P2_12_12_1$  and is transformed to the optically active  $\beta$ -lactam derivative **2a** upon UV light irradiation (Fig. 1; Toda et al., 1987; Sekine et al., 1989). N,N-Diisopropyl(mchloro or *m*-methyl or *o*-methylphenyl)glyoxylamides 1b and 1c also form chiral crystals, and photoirradiation in the solid state gives optically active  $\beta$ -lactam derivatives **2b** and **2c**, respectively (Toda & Miyamoto, 1993; Hashizume et al., 1995, 1996, 1998). However, N,N-diisopropyl(p-chloro or o-chloro or *p*-methylphenyl)glyoxylamide **1b** and **1c** do not form chiral crystals, and their photoirradiation in the solid state gives racemic  $\beta$ -lactam derivatives **2b** and **2c**, respectively. Therefore, we synthesized the novel title compound 1d having a phenyl group and investigated whether optically active  $\beta$ -lactam derivative 2d could be obtained by photoreaction. It was found that 1d formed a chiral crystal in the chiral space group  $P2_12_12_1$ , but photoreaction did not proceed in the solid



Photoreaction of N,N-diisopropylarylglyoxylamide derivatives.

## research communications

Table 1	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).	

$D - H \cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
C9-H5···O1	1.00	2.65	3.245 (2)	119
C13-H15···O2	0.98	2.47	3.033 (3)	117
C14−H16···O2	0.98	2.51	3.072 (3)	116
$C14-H18\cdots O1^{i}$	0.98	2.71	3.612 (3)	154
$C17-H20\cdots O2^{ii}$	0.95	2.66	3.608 (2)	179

Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1, y, z; (ii) -x + 1,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{3}{2}$ .

state. However, photoreaction of 1d in acetonitrile solution proceeded to give racemic 3-(p-phenylphenyl)-3-hydroxy-Nisopropyl-4,4-dimethylazetidin-2-one 2d in 26% yield. In this study, although 1d formed a chiral crystal, the reason why the photoreaction product of 1d in the solid state was not obtained was clarified by single-crystal X-ray structural analysis, UV spectroscopy and time-dependent density functional theory (TDDFT) calculations.



#### 2. Structural commentary

Table 1 summarizes intra and intermolecular hydrogen bonds observed in the title compound. The phenyl rings in the biphenyl group are coplanar with the carbonyl group (C7=O1). The torsion angles C2-C1-C7-O1 and C3-C4-C15-C16 are 7.8 (3) and -0.4 (2)°, respectively, and the torsion angles O1-C7-C8-O2 and C7-C8-N1-C9 are 97.1 (2) and -3.9 (2)°, respectively (Fig. 2). The corresponding torsion angles in **1a** are 88.0 (4) and -5.1 (4)°. In order for the Norrish-Yang reaction to take place, the reacting atoms in the molecular structure must be in close proximity. The Yang cyclization of  $\alpha$ -oxoamides to  $\beta$ -lactams starts with abstraction of the  $\gamma$ -hydrogen (with respect to the benzylic carbonyl) by the benzylic carbonyl oxygen in the excited state. In the title compound, there are two  $\gamma$ -hydrogen atoms (H5 on C9 and H12 on C12). The distances between the carbonyl oxygen atom O1 and the respective  $\gamma$ -hydrogen atoms H5 and



Figure 2

The molecular structure of 1d. Displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms are drawn at the 50% probability level.



Overlay of molecules 1a (in red) and 1d (in blue).

H12 are 2.65 and 5.01 Å. The former interatomic distance is within the ideal value of up to about 2.7 Å, at which photoreaction can proceed in the crystal (Konieczny et al., 2018). Moreover, the distance between the reacting C7 and C9 carbon atoms is 2.840 (2) Å, which is in the range of ideal values of up to about 3.2 Å. The corresponding distances are 2.78 (4) and 2.871 (4) Å in 1a. As shown in Fig. 3, the geometries of the oxoamide moiety of 1d and 1a are almost the same. Despite satisfying the geometry and distance requirements for the photoreaction, the corresponding  $\beta$ -lactam was not detected in the solid-state reaction. From the UV spectrum of 1d, it is considered that the biphenyl group of 1d absorbs ultraviolet light preventing the solid-state reaction (Fig. 4). In other words, the photocyclization reaction does not proceed in the solid state for at least 300 h because the irradiated UV light is absorbed by the  $\pi$ - $\pi$ \* transition of the biphenyl group.

#### 3. DFT calculations

The GAUSSIAN16 program (Frisch et al., 2016) was used for density functional theory (DFT) calculations. Initial geom-





UV spectra of **1a** (in red, 51.3  $x \, 10^{-6} M$  MeOH solution) and **1d** (in blue,  $48.6 \times 10^{-6} M$  MeOH solution).



Figure 5 Calculated UV-vis spectra of (a) 1a and (b) 1d.

etries of 1a and 1d were obtained from XRD data. Hydrogen atoms were optimized at the B3LYP/6-311G(d,p) level (Becke, 1993). The UV-vis spectra of 1a and 1d were calculated by the time-dependent density functional theory [TDDFT, B3LYP/6-311G(d,p)] method. In the calculated UV-vis spectra, there were two weak peaks at 254 and 362 nm for 1a, and there was an intense and broad peak at 310 nm for 1d. The calculated spectra were similar to the experimental spectra (Fig. 5). For 1a, the peak at 254 nm corresponds to the  $\pi - \pi^*$  transition of the Ph group, while that at the longer wavelength of 362 nm is due to  $n-\pi^*$  transitions of the carbonyl groups. For 1d, the adsorption peak at 376 nm was assigned to  $n-\pi^*$  transitions of carbonyl groups. A very weak absorption peak was observed around 370 nm in the experimental spectrum. A mercury lamp has an intense emission at 365 nm, such that the photoreaction for **1a** proceeds rapidly in the solid state. In contrast, the large and broad absorption prevents the solid-state photoreaction for 1d. Since the molecules can move freely in solution, light irradiation for 60 h was uniformly performed, and it seemed that the reaction proceeded slightly. It has been reported that an oxoamide derivative having a naphthyl group slows down the photoreaction (Natarajan et al., 2005). The relationship between photoreactivity and irradiation wavelength is under investigation.

#### 4. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, the molecules are linked by weak intermolecular C-H···O (C14-H18···O1, 2.71 Å) interactions forming a 1D chain structure along the *a*-axis direction (Fig. 6*a*), and C-H···O (C17-H20···O2, 2.66 Å) interactions forming a 1D zigzag chain structure along the *b*-axis direction (Fig. 6*b*). Details of these interactions are given in Table 1.

#### 5. Database survey

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.41, last update August 2020; Groom *et al.*, 2016) yielded 18 hits for compounds based on the *N*,*N*-diisopropylphenylglyoxylamide fragment shown in Fig. 1: no substituent on the phenyl ring (JAGLAE; Sekine *et al.*, 1989), various chiral amido groups on the phenyl ring (KAHWIA, NAHZIG, NAHZUS, NAJBAC,

NAJBEG, NAJBIK, NAJBOQ, NAJBUW, NAJCAD, and NAJCEH; Natarajan *et al.*, 2005), methyl or dimethyl group(s) on the phenyl ring (WIQKUC, YOWVUB, YOWVUF, and YOWWAI; Hashizume *et al.*, 1995), and a chlorine atom on the phenyl ring (ZOHNIT, ZOHNOZ, and ZOHNUF; Hashizume *et al.*, 1996).

#### 6. Synthesis and crystallization

The title compound was prepared according to a reported method (Toda et al., 1987; Sekine et al., 1989), i.e., chlorination of 2-oxo-2-(4-phenylphenyl)acetic acid with thionyl chloride followed by reaction with N,N-diisopropylamine. Thus, to an ice-cooled solution of N,N-diisopropylamine (16 mL, 0.11 mol) in dry diethyl ether (45 mL) was added a solution of 4-phenylbenzoylformyl chloride (13.8 g, 0.0564 mol) in dry diethyl ether (45 mL), and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 h at room temperature. After filtration of N,N-diisopropylammonium chloride, the filtrate was washed with dilute HCl and aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (toluene:ethyl acetate = 9:1) and recrystallized from toluene to give 1d as colorless prisms (1.02 g, 5.8% yield, m.p. 397-398 K); IR (KBr):  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  1640, 1680 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.01 (*d*, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.73 (*d*, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.63 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.50-7.39 (m, 3H), 3.75 (sept, J = 6.9 Hz)1H), 3.61 (*sept*, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 1.60 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 6H), 1.20 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  190.7, 167.0,



Figure 6

Packing diagrams for **1d** viewed (a) along the b axis and (b) along the a axis, showing intermolecular  $C-H\cdots O$  interactions as dotted blue lines.

147.1, 139.7, 132.1, 130.1, 129.0, 128.5, 127.6, 127.4, 50.2, 46.1, 20.6, 20.4; ESIMS m/z: calculated for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NNaO<sub>2</sub> [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>, 322.1621; found, 322.1586. Single crystals of **1d** suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were grown from a toluene solution.

#### 7. Photoreaction

**1d** (0.100 g, 0.323 mmol) was pulverized in a mortar and irradiated with a 400 W high-pressure mercury lamp for 300 h. No reaction took place, as determined by TLC, IR and NMR spectroscopies. **1d** (0.1368 g, 0.442 mmol) in acetonitrile (10 mL) was irradiated with a 400 W high-pressure mercury lamp for 60 h. The crude product was purified by silica gel column chromatography (toluene:ethyl acetate = 4:1) to give 3-(*p*-phenylphenyl)-3-hydroxy-*N*-isopropyl-4,4-dimethylaze-tidin-2-one **2d** as a colorless powder (0.035 g, 26% yield, m.p. 467-469 K); IR (KBr):  $\nu_{max}$  3200, 1720 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (60 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.60–7.00 (*m*, 9H), 4.64 (*s*, 1H), 3.57 (*sept*, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 1.44 (*d*, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 6H), 1.27 (*s*, 3H), 0.87 (*s*, 3H); ESIMS *m/z*: calculated for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NNaO<sub>2</sub> [*M* + Na]<sup>+</sup>, 322.1621; found, 322.1569.

#### 8. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. All H atoms were positioned in geometrically calculated positions (C-H = 0.95-0.98 Å) and refined using a riding model with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$  and 1.5Ueq(C-methyl). The Flack parameter x is 0.1 (4) as shown in Table 2. The standard uncertainty is large. The Flack and Hooft (Hooft *et al.*, 2008) parameters are strongly indicative of the correct absolute configuration, even when the standard uncertainties are large (Thompson & Watkin, 2011). Hooft [0.19 (16)] and Parsons parameters [0.2 (3)] (Parsons *et al.*, 2013) were calculated using *PLATON* (Spek, 2020).

#### Acknowledgements

Theoretical calculations were performed at the Super Computer System of Academic Centre for Computing and Media Studies, Kyoto University.

#### References

- Aoyama, H., Hasegawa, T. & Omote, Y. (1979). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 101, 5343–5347.
- Aoyama, H., Hasegawa, T., Watabe, M., Shiraishi, H. & Omote, Y. (1978). J. Org. Chem. 43, 419–422.
- Becke, A. D. (1993). J. Chem. Phys. 98, 5648-5652.
- Frisch, M. J., Trucks, G. W., Schlegel, H. B., Scuseria, G. E., Robb, M. A., Cheeseman, J. R., Scalmani, G., Barone, V., Petersson, G. A., Nakatsuji, H., Li, X., Caricato, M., Marenich, A. V., Bloino, J., Janesko, B. G., Gomperts, R., Mennucci, B., Hratchian, H. P., Ortiz, J. V., Izmaylov, A. F., Sonnenberg, J. L., Williams-Young, D., Ding, F., Lipparini, F., Egidi, F., Goings, J., Peng, B., Petrone, A., Henderson, T., Ranasinghe, D., Zakrzewski, V. G., Gao, J., Rega, N., Zheng, G., Liang, W., Hada, M., Ehara, M., Toyota, K., Fukuda, R., Hasegawa, J., Ishida, M., Nakajima, T., Honda, Y., Kitao, O., Nakai, H., Vreven, T., Throssell, K., Montgomery, J. A. Jr, Peralta, J. E., Ogliaro, F., Bearpark, M. J., Heyd, J. J., Brothers, E. N., Kudin, K. N., Staroverov, V. N., Keith, T. A., Kobayashi, R., Normand, J.,

Table	2	
Experi	mental	details.

(11)
ed
6 ·( <i>I</i> <sup></sup> )1
、 /1

Computer programs: CrystalClear-SM Expert (Rigaku, 2009), SHELXT2014 (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2018 (Sheldrick, 2015b), Mercury (Macrae et al., 2020) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

Raghavachari, K., Rendell, A. P., Burant, J. C., Iyengar, S. S., Tomasi, J., Cossi, M., Millam, J. M., Klene, M., Adamo, C., Cammi, R., Ochterski, J. W., Martin, R. L., Morokuma, K., Farkas, O., Foresman, J. B. & Fox, D. J. (2016). *GAUSSIAN16*. Rev. C.01. Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, USA.

- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Hashizume, D., Kogo, H., Ohashi, Y., Miyamoto, H. & Toda, F. (1998). Anal. Sci. 14, 1187–1188.
- Hashizume, D., Kogo, H., Sekine, A., Ohashi, Y., Miyamoto, H. & Toda, F. (1995). *Acta Cryst.* C**51**, 929–933.
- Hashizume, D., Kogo, H., Sekine, A., Ohashi, Y., Miyamoto, H. & Toda, F. (1996). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 61–66.
- Hooft, R. W. W., Straver, L. H. & Spek, A. L. (2008). J. Appl. Cryst. 41, 96–103.
- Konieczny, K., Ciesielski, A., Bakowicz, J., Galica, T. & Turowska-Tyrk, I. (2018). Crystals, 8, 299–311.
- Macrae, C. F., Sovago, I., Cottrell, S. J., Galek, P. T. A., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Platings, M., Shields, G. P., Stevens, J. S., Towler, M. & Wood, P. A. (2020). J. Appl. Cryst. 53, 226–235.
- Natarajan, A., Mague, J. T. & Ramamurthy, V. (2005). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 3568–3576.
- Parsons, S., Flack, H. D. & Wagner, T. (2013). Acta Cryst. B69, 249– 259.
- Rigaku (2009). CrystalClear-SM Expert. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Sekine, A., Hori, K., Ohashi, Y., Yagi, M. & Toda, F. (1989). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 111, 697–699.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Spek, A. L. (2020). Acta Cryst. E76, 1-11.

Thompson, A. L. & Watkin, D. J. (2011). J. Appl. Cryst. 44, 1017–1022. Toda, F. & Miyamoto, H. (1993). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, pp. 1129–1132.

Toda, F., Yagi, M. & Soda, S. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 1413–1414. Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. **43**, 920–925.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2021). E77, 653-657 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989021005387]

# Crystal structure and photoreactive behaviour of *N*,*N*-diisopropyl(*p*-phenyl-phenyl)glyoxylamide

## Hisakazu Miyamoto and Hiroki Takahashi

### **Computing details**

Data collection: *CrystalClear-SM Expert* (Rigaku, 2009); cell refinement: *CrystalClear-SM Expert* (Rigaku, 2009); data reduction: *CrystalClear-SM Expert* (Rigaku, 2009); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXT2014 (Sheldrick, 2015*a*); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2018* (Sheldrick, 2015*b*); molecular graphics: *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2020); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

2-([1,1'-Biphenyl]-4-yl)-2-oxo-N,N-bis(propan-2-yl)acetamide

Crystal data	
$C_{20}H_{23}NO_2$ $M_r = 309.39$ Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$ a = 6.1313 (2) Å b = 7.3710 (2) Å	$D_x = 1.193 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 2939 reflections $\theta = 3.4-27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 38.1143 (11)  Å $V = 1722.53 (9) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 4 F(000) = 664	T = 173  K Prism, colorless $0.31 \times 0.29 \times 0.29 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Rigaku Saturn 724+ CCD diffractometer Radiation source: sealed tube Detector resolution: 28.5714 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup> profile data from $\omega$ -scans Absorption correction: numerical ( <i>CrystalClear-SM Expert</i> ; Rigaku, 2009) $T_{min} = 0.985$ , $T_{max} = 0.985$	16094 measured reflections 3877 independent reflections 3614 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.021$ $\theta_{max} = 28.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 3.4^{\circ}$ $h = -8 \rightarrow 7$ $k = -9 \rightarrow 9$ $l = -48 \rightarrow 48$
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from

Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.036$   $wR(F^2) = 0.080$  S = 1.053877 reflections 212 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0314P)^2 + 0.3778P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.16$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.15$  e Å<sup>-3</sup> Absolute structure: Flack *x* determined using 1346 quotients  $[(I^+)-(I^-)]/[(I^+)+(I^-)]$  (Parsons *et al.*, 2013) Absolute structure parameter: 0.1 (4)

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

	x	у	Z	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
C1	0.5093 (3)	0.5728 (2)	0.66469 (4)	0.0240 (3)	
C2	0.6161 (3)	0.6491 (2)	0.69356 (4)	0.0264 (4)	
H1	0.757076	0.700514	0.690769	0.032*	
C3	0.5171 (3)	0.6499 (2)	0.72609 (4)	0.0256 (3)	
H2	0.591743	0.702783	0.745390	0.031*	
C4	0.3097 (3)	0.5750 (2)	0.73146 (4)	0.0231 (3)	
01	0.8128 (2)	0.6133 (2)	0.62656(3)	0.0413 (3)	
02	0.4869 (2)	0.32629 (16)	0.59665 (3)	0.0381 (3)	
N1	0.4188 (2)	0.61084 (19)	0.57509 (4)	0.0276 (3)	
C5	0.2040 (3)	0.5007 (2)	0.70210 (5)	0.0282 (4)	
H3	0.062937	0.449217	0.704797	0.034*	
C6	0.3012 (3)	0.5011 (2)	0.66922 (4)	0.0287 (4)	
H4	0.225190	0.452194	0.649669	0.034*	
C7	0.6227 (3)	0.5697 (2)	0.63028 (4)	0.0284 (4)	
C8	0.4985 (3)	0.4927 (2)	0.59863 (4)	0.0281 (4)	
C9	0.4364 (3)	0.8099 (2)	0.58034 (5)	0.0318 (4)	
H5	0.497384	0.829750	0.604367	0.038*	
C10	0.5949 (4)	0.8947 (3)	0.55449 (6)	0.0470 (5)	
H6	0.736401	0.833410	0.556225	0.070*	
H7	0.612914	1.023717	0.559944	0.070*	
H8	0.537864	0.881506	0.530604	0.070*	
C11	0.2145 (4)	0.9013 (3)	0.57929 (6)	0.0424 (5)	
H9	0.156583	0.896594	0.555338	0.064*	
H10	0.229103	1.028114	0.586642	0.064*	
H11	0.114502	0.838140	0.595205	0.064*	
C12	0.3111 (3)	0.5445 (2)	0.54250 (4)	0.0309 (4)	
H12	0.270143	0.654227	0.528562	0.037*	
C13	0.4680 (4)	0.4345 (3)	0.51993 (5)	0.0466 (5)	
H13	0.601203	0.504937	0.515886	0.070*	
H14	0.398812	0.406781	0.497376	0.070*	
H15	0.504725	0.321214	0.532000	0.070*	
C14	0.1007 (4)	0.4442 (3)	0.55063 (6)	0.0434 (5)	
H16	0.134408	0.331101	0.563027	0.065*	
H17	0.024513	0.416204	0.528682	0.065*	
H18	0.007510	0.520425	0.565414	0.065*	

# supporting information

0.2068 (3)	0.5729 (2)	0.76699 (4)	0.0242 (3)	
0.3150 (3)	0.6450 (2)	0.79631 (5)	0.0327 (4)	
0.454724	0.698538	0.793378	0.039*	
0.2219 (4)	0.6397 (3)	0.82944 (5)	0.0385 (5)	
0.299212	0.687471	0.848972	0.046*	
0.0172 (4)	0.5653 (3)	0.83418 (5)	0.0387 (5)	
-0.047408	0.563386	0.856828	0.046*	
-0.0930 (3)	0.4937 (3)	0.80572 (5)	0.0360 (4)	
-0.233437	0.441822	0.808872	0.043*	
0.0005 (3)	0.4972 (2)	0.77263 (5)	0.0295 (4)	
-0.077146	0.447082	0.753360	0.035*	
	$\begin{array}{c} 0.2068 \ (3) \\ 0.3150 \ (3) \\ 0.454724 \\ 0.2219 \ (4) \\ 0.299212 \\ 0.0172 \ (4) \\ -0.047408 \\ -0.0930 \ (3) \\ -0.233437 \\ 0.0005 \ (3) \\ -0.077146 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Atomic displacement parameters  $(\mathring{A}^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	U <sup>23</sup>
C1	0.0285 (8)	0.0234 (7)	0.0201 (8)	0.0024 (7)	-0.0035 (6)	0.0011 (6)
C2	0.0249 (8)	0.0275 (8)	0.0267 (9)	-0.0027 (7)	-0.0031 (7)	0.0002 (7)
C3	0.0275 (8)	0.0278 (8)	0.0216 (8)	-0.0033 (7)	-0.0061 (7)	-0.0022 (6)
C4	0.0267 (8)	0.0202 (7)	0.0223 (8)	0.0028 (7)	-0.0031 (6)	0.0009 (6)
01	0.0359 (7)	0.0594 (9)	0.0286 (7)	-0.0063 (7)	0.0036 (6)	-0.0020 (6)
O2	0.0598 (9)	0.0259 (6)	0.0285 (6)	0.0030 (6)	-0.0079 (6)	0.0004 (5)
N1	0.0376 (8)	0.0255 (7)	0.0198 (7)	0.0002 (6)	-0.0016 (6)	-0.0001 (6)
C5	0.0252 (8)	0.0313 (8)	0.0283 (9)	-0.0048 (8)	-0.0037 (7)	-0.0017 (7)
C6	0.0303 (9)	0.0334 (9)	0.0224 (8)	-0.0006 (8)	-0.0081 (7)	-0.0034 (7)
C7	0.0338 (10)	0.0271 (8)	0.0244 (8)	0.0000 (7)	-0.0023 (7)	0.0021 (7)
C8	0.0365 (10)	0.0284 (8)	0.0194 (8)	0.0010 (8)	0.0007 (7)	0.0000 (7)
C9	0.0442 (11)	0.0264 (8)	0.0248 (9)	-0.0025 (8)	-0.0018 (8)	0.0011 (7)
C10	0.0446 (11)	0.0382 (11)	0.0581 (13)	-0.0029 (10)	0.0089 (10)	0.0104 (10)
C11	0.0506 (12)	0.0316 (9)	0.0451 (11)	0.0038 (9)	0.0135 (10)	-0.0045 (9)
C12	0.0421 (10)	0.0311 (9)	0.0195 (8)	0.0074 (8)	-0.0050 (7)	-0.0020 (7)
C13	0.0593 (14)	0.0570 (13)	0.0234 (9)	0.0184 (12)	-0.0023 (9)	-0.0081 (9)
C14	0.0476 (12)	0.0433 (11)	0.0395 (11)	-0.0028 (10)	-0.0093 (10)	-0.0081 (9)
C15	0.0293 (8)	0.0193 (7)	0.0240 (8)	0.0019 (7)	-0.0004 (7)	-0.0002 (6)
C16	0.0396 (10)	0.0323 (9)	0.0260 (9)	-0.0076 (8)	0.0005 (8)	-0.0016 (7)
C17	0.0568 (12)	0.0351 (10)	0.0238 (9)	-0.0080(9)	0.0009 (9)	-0.0033 (8)
C18	0.0555 (13)	0.0322 (9)	0.0285 (9)	0.0005 (9)	0.0126 (9)	0.0031 (8)
C19	0.0360 (10)	0.0348 (9)	0.0373 (10)	-0.0009 (8)	0.0090 (9)	0.0038 (8)
C20	0.0305 (9)	0.0281 (8)	0.0300 (9)	0.0011 (8)	-0.0023 (7)	0.0000 (7)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—C6	1.392 (3)	С11—Н9	0.9800	
C1—C2	1.398 (2)	C11—H10	0.9800	
C1—C7	1.485 (2)	C11—H11	0.9800	
C2—C3	1.380 (2)	C12—C14	1.519 (3)	
С2—Н1	0.9500	C12—C13	1.524 (3)	
C3—C4	1.401 (2)	C12—H12	1.0000	
С3—Н2	0.9500	C13—H13	0.9800	

## supporting information

C4—C5	1.404 (2)	C13—H14	0.9800
C4—C15	1.494 (2)	C13—H15	0.9800
O1—C7	1.217 (2)	C14—H16	0.9800
O2—C8	1.231 (2)	C14—H17	0.9800
N1-C8	1.342 (2)	C14—H18	0.9800
N1—C9	1.485 (2)	C15—C20	1.399 (3)
N1—C12	1.489 (2)	C15—C16	1.404 (2)
C5—C6	1.388 (2)	C16—C17	1.386 (3)
С5—Н3	0.9500	C16—H19	0.9500
С6—Н4	0.9500	C17—C18	1.382 (3)
C7—C8	1.535 (2)	C17—H20	0.9500
C9-C10	1.519 (3)	C18—C19	1.382 (3)
C9—C11	1 519 (3)	C18—H21	0.9500
C9—H5	1.0000	C19-C20	1.385(3)
C10—H6	0.9800	C19—H22	0.9500
C10—H7	0.9800	C20—H23	0.9500
C10_H8	0.9800	020-1125	0.7500
010-110	0.9800		
C6_C1_C2	118 98 (15)	H9C11H10	109.5
$C_{0}-C_{1}-C_{2}$	110.98 (15)	$C_{0} = C_{11} = H_{11}$	109.5
$C_{0} = C_{1} = C_{7}$	122.22(13)	H0 C11 H11	109.5
$C_2 = C_1 = C_7$	110.00(13) 120.18(15)		109.5
$C_3 = C_2 = C_1$	120.18 (13)	HI0-CII-HII	109.5
$C_3 - C_2 - H_1$	119.9	NI = C12 = C14	111.50 (15)
CI - C2 - HI	119.9	NI = CI2 = CI3	111.46 (16)
$C_2 - C_3 - C_4$	121.88 (15)	C14—C12—C13	113.10(17)
С2—С3—Н2	119.1	N1—C12—H12	106.8
C4—C3—H2	119.1	C14—C12—H12	106.8
C3—C4—C5	117.15 (15)	C13—C12—H12	106.8
C3—C4—C15	121.29 (14)	C12—C13—H13	109.5
C5—C4—C15	121.56 (15)	C12—C13—H14	109.5
C8—N1—C9	121.65 (14)	H13—C13—H14	109.5
C8—N1—C12	120.38 (14)	C12—C13—H15	109.5
C9—N1—C12	117.97 (14)	H13—C13—H15	109.5
C6—C5—C4	121.36 (16)	H14—C13—H15	109.5
С6—С5—Н3	119.3	C12—C14—H16	109.5
С4—С5—Н3	119.3	C12—C14—H17	109.5
C5—C6—C1	120.44 (15)	H16—C14—H17	109.5
С5—С6—Н4	119.8	C12-C14-H18	109.5
C1—C6—H4	119.8	H16—C14—H18	109.5
O1—C7—C1	123.19 (16)	H17—C14—H18	109.5
O1—C7—C8	118.74 (16)	C20-C15-C16	117.12 (16)
C1—C7—C8	117.87 (15)	C20—C15—C4	121.66 (15)
O2—C8—N1	125.77 (17)	C16—C15—C4	121.21 (16)
O2—C8—C7	116.41 (16)	C17—C16—C15	121.34 (18)
N1-C8-C7	117.78 (15)	C17—C16—H19	119.3
N1-C9-C10	111.42 (16)	C15—C16—H19	119.3
N1-C9-C11	111.71 (16)	C18 - C17 - C16	120.26 (18)
C10-C9-C11	111.97 (16)	C18—C17—H20	119.9
			/ •/

N1—C9—H5	107.1	C16—C17—H20	119.9
С10—С9—Н5	107.1	C17—C18—C19	119.54 (17)
С11—С9—Н5	107.1	C17—C18—H21	120.2
С9—С10—Н6	109.5	C19—C18—H21	120.2
С9—С10—Н7	109.5	C18—C19—C20	120.33 (19)
Н6—С10—Н7	109.5	C18—C19—H22	119.8
С9—С10—Н8	109.5	С20—С19—Н22	119.8
Н6—С10—Н8	109.5	C19—C20—C15	121.40 (17)
Н7—С10—Н8	109.5	С19—С20—Н23	119.3
С9—С11—Н9	109.5	С15—С20—Н23	119.3
C9—C11—H10	109.5		
C6—C1—C2—C3	1.2 (2)	C1—C7—C8—N1	104.20 (19)
C7—C1—C2—C3	-178.37 (15)	C8—N1—C9—C10	110.4 (2)
C1—C2—C3—C4	0.3 (2)	C12—N1—C9—C10	-69.1 (2)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-1.0 (2)	C8—N1—C9—C11	-123.57 (18)
C2—C3—C4—C15	178.55 (15)	C12—N1—C9—C11	57.0 (2)
C3—C4—C5—C6	0.2 (3)	C8—N1—C12—C14	65.9 (2)
C15—C4—C5—C6	-179.27 (16)	C9—N1—C12—C14	-114.69 (18)
C4—C5—C6—C1	1.2 (3)	C8—N1—C12—C13	-61.6 (2)
C2—C1—C6—C5	-1.9 (2)	C9—N1—C12—C13	117.88 (18)
C7—C1—C6—C5	177.64 (16)	C3—C4—C15—C20	-179.53 (16)
C6—C1—C7—O1	-171.73 (18)	C5—C4—C15—C20	-0.1 (2)
C2-C1-C7-O1	7.8 (3)	C3—C4—C15—C16	-0.4 (2)
C6—C1—C7—C8	3.1 (2)	C5—C4—C15—C16	179.07 (17)
C2-C1-C7-C8	-177.40 (15)	C20-C15-C16-C17	0.6 (3)
C9—N1—C8—O2	178.42 (19)	C4—C15—C16—C17	-178.57 (17)
C12—N1—C8—O2	-2.2 (3)	C15—C16—C17—C18	-1.1 (3)
C9—N1—C8—C7	-3.9 (2)	C16—C17—C18—C19	1.0 (3)
C12—N1—C8—C7	175.50 (16)	C17—C18—C19—C20	-0.3 (3)
O1—C7—C8—O2	97.1 (2)	C18—C19—C20—C15	-0.2 (3)
C1—C7—C8—O2	-77.9 (2)	C16—C15—C20—C19	0.0 (2)
O1—C7—C8—N1	-80.7 (2)	C4—C15—C20—C19	179.20 (16)

### Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

$\cdot A \qquad D \cdots A \qquad D - H \cdots A$
3.245 (2) 119
7 3.033 (3) 117
3.072 (3) 116
3.612 (3) 154
.6 3.608 (2) 179

Symmetry codes: (i) x-1, y, z; (ii) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+3/2.