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# Crystal structure of tert-butyl 4-[4-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylate 
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The title sterically congested piperazine derivative, $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, was prepared using a modified Bruylants approach. A search of the Cambridge Structural Database identified 51 compounds possessing an $N$-tert-butyl piperazine substructure. Of these only 14 were asymmetrically substituted on the piperazine ring and none with a synthetically useful second nitrogen. Given the novel chemistry generating a pharmacologically useful core, determination of the crystal structure for this compound was necessary. The piperazine ring is present in a chair conformation with di-equatorial substitution. Of the two N atoms, one is $s p^{3}$ hybridized while the other is $s p^{2}$ hybridized. Intermolecular interactions resulting from the crystal packing patterns were investigated using Hirshfeld surface analysis and fingerprint analysis. Directional weak hydrogen-bond-like interactions $(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O})$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions with the dispersion interactions as the major source of attraction are present in the crystal packing.

## 1. Chemical context

In the course of designing novel sigma-2 ligands, it was necessary to synthesize 1-(2-methyl-4-phenylbutan-2-yl)piperazines. These could be prepared in several steps from the corresponding alkyne $\mathbf{1}$ shown in Fig. 1. The challenge of synthesizing quaternary carbons (Wei et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2015; Volla et al., 2014; Fuji, 1993; Martin, 1980), particularly amine-bearing quaternary carbons (Zhu et al., 2019; Yeung et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2019; Velasco-Rubio et al., 2019; Vasu et al.,
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Figure 1
Synthesis of tert-butyl 4-[4-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl]piper-azine-1-carboxylate (1) via Bruylants reaction (Firth et al., 2016).

2019; Trost et al., 2019; Ling \& Rivas, 2016; Hager et al., 2016; Clayden et al., 2011; Fu et al., 2008; Riant \& Hannedouche, 2007), is well established. The presence of the N -gem-dimethyl group of $\mathbf{1}$ presented a significant synthetic challenge arising from steric congestion. Nucleophilic attack by an organometallic reagent into a transient $1-N$-ethylidenepiperazinium has a literature precedent, but nucleophilic attack into the more sterically congested $1-N$-propylidenepiperazinium intermediate by an alkynyl Grignard reagent is presented here for the first time. Four potential synthetic routes were identified including Katritzky benzotriazole trapping of an iminium (Monbaliu et al., 2013; Ingram et al., 2006; Katritzky, 1998; Katritzky et al., 1989, 1991, 2005; Katritzky \& Rogovoy, 2003; Katritzky \& Saczewski, 1990), a Bruylants (Bruylants, 1924) trapping of an iminium, sequential addition of two methyl groups into an amide, and rearrangement to the gem-dimethyl group. All in-house attempts at the Katritzky benzotriazole (Tang et al., 2013; Pierce et al., 2012; Albaladejo et al., 2012) or triazole (Prashad et al., 2005) reactions failed. A variation on the Bruylants reaction (Liu et al., 2014; Beaufort-Droal et al., 2006; Prashad et al., 2005; Kudzma et al., 1988; Bernardi et al., 2003) described herein was successful. The traditional Bruylants reaction captures a trapped iminium as the corresponding $\alpha$-amino nitrile. In a subsequent reaction, the $\alpha$ amino nitrile transiently forms an iminium that is then trapped with excess Grignard reagent. Conversion of the terminal alkyne 4 to the corresponding magnesiobromide acetylide proceeded under established conditions. Attack of an alkynyl magnesium bromide into the transient iminium is precedented to yield tertiary carbon products. Generation of a quaternary carbon product in an analogous manner has not been described. A single paper details addition of a copper acetylide into a Brulyants adduct (Tang et al., 2013). Given the pharmacological importance of this compound and its tractable synthesis with novel chemistry, careful structural characterization by X-ray crystallographic analysis was necessary. Optimization of this reaction, subsequent structural elaboration, and specific pharmacological relevance will be detailed in later publications.


## 2. Structural commentary

The title compound, prepared from achiral reagents as a racemic mixture, crystallizes in the chiral monoclinic space group $P 2_{1}$ with one molecule in the asymmetric unit as shown in the Scheme and Fig. 2. No heavy atoms are present in the

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\mathrm{A},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| F1-C1 | $1.359(3)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 16$ | $1.336(3)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| O1-C16 | $1.211(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $1.351(4)$ |
| O2-C16 | $1.345(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 7 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 1$ | $3.508(3)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| C12-N1-C14 | $108.36(16)$ | $\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 13$ | $120.9(2)$ |
| C12-N1-C 9 | $113.89(18)$ | $\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 13$ | $112.8(2)$ |
| C14-N1-C9 | $113.48(16)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13$ | $110.77(19)$ |
| C16-N2-C15 | $126.30(19)$ | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{C} 14$ | $110.1(2)$ |

structure and data were collected using Mo $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation. Thus, the absolute structure of the randomly chosen crystals could not be determined reliably (Parsons et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2015). In the molecule, the $\mathrm{NC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{O}$ group of the carbamate exists in resonance. The bond lengths between carbon and other atoms (Table 1) are in the expected ranges with the bond length between $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 16$ [1.211 (3) $\AA$ ] being the shortest, followed by $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 16$ [1.336 (3) $\AA$ ], $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 16$ [1.345 (3) $\AA$ ], and F1-C1 [1.359 (3) $\AA$ ] owing to the presence of the more electronegative atoms oxygen, nitrogen and fluorine. The bond length between $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6[1.351$ (4) $\AA$ ] is the shortest among all the bond lengths in the phenyl group, possibly due to the inductive effect of fluorine. The spatial distance between the extreme atoms of propargylamine groups ( $\mathrm{C} 7 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 1$ ) was observed to be 3.508 ( 3 ) $\AA$, which is slightly longer than for the other reported propargylamines (3.372-3.478 A; Marvelli et al., 2004; Sidorov et al., 1999, 2000), and possibly due to the open L-shaped structure of the molecule. Also, the piperazine ring is shown in its most stable chair form conformation in Fig. 3, as evidenced by the bond angles (Table 1) between $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{C} 13$ [110.77 (19) ${ }^{\circ}$ ] and $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{C} 14\left[110.1(2)^{\circ}\right]$, which are close to the ideal bond angle of $109.5^{\circ}$ for a chair conformation. The sum of the bond angles around $\mathrm{N} 1\left(335.73^{\circ}\right)$ indicate $s p^{3}$ hybridization, while the sum of the bond angles around $\mathrm{N} 2\left(360^{\circ}\right)$ indicates $s p^{2}$ hybridization. This is also evidenced by the tetragonal molecular geometry of $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 9\left[113.89(18)^{\circ}\right], \mathrm{C} 14-\mathrm{N} 1-$ C9 [113.48 (16) ${ }^{\circ}$, and $\mathrm{C} 12-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 14\left[108.36(16)^{\circ}\right]$ and the trigonal planar molecular geometry of $\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 15$ [126.30 (19) ${ }^{\circ}$ ], C16-N2-C13 [120.9 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$ ], and $\mathrm{C} 15-\mathrm{N} 2-$ C13 [112.8 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$. The delocalization of the lone pair of N 2 into the $\pi$ bond of carbonyl group causes $s p^{2}$ hybridization of N 2 .


Figure 2
$30 \%$ probability ellipsoid plot for the crystal structure solution of tertbutyl 4-[4-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylate. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.


Figure 3
$40 \%$ probability plot of the molecular crystal structure solution of tertbutyl 4-[4-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylate showing the L-shaped structure and the chair conformation of the piperazine ring.

## 3. Supramolecular features

Hirshfeld surface analysis and fingerprint analysis were performed using CrystalExplorer (Spackman \& Jayatilaka, 2009, Spackman \& McKinnon, 2002, McKinnon et al., 2007). In the absence of acidic hydrogen atoms, there cannot be any conventional hydrogen bonds; however, there are directional interactions present between $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions between $\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{H} 19 \cdots \mathrm{C} 1$, as shown in the crystal packing along the $a$-axis (Fig. 4). These interactions are


Figure 4
$30 \%$ probability plot of crystal packing of tert-butyl 4-[4-(4-fluorophen-yl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylate viewed down the $a$ axis showing weak hydrogen-bond-like interactions between $\mathrm{C} 2-$ $\mathrm{H} 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions between $\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{H} 19 \cdots \mathrm{C} 1$ due to dispersion interactions. Hydrogen atoms not involved in intermolecular interactions are omitted for clarity.


Figure 5
Hirshfeld surface for tert-butyl 4-[4-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylate mapped over $d_{\text {norm }}$ showing weak hydrogen-bond-like interactions between $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions between $\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{H} 19 \cdots \mathrm{C} 1$.
represented by the faint red spots between $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1$ and $\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{H} 19 \cdots \mathrm{C} 1$ on the Hirshfeld surface mapped over $d_{\text {norm }}$ in Fig. 5. The directional $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \cdots \mathrm{O} 1[d(\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O})=2.595 \AA]$ present in the crystal packing could be weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen-bond-like interactions (Desiraju \& Steiner, 1999) and the $\mathrm{C} 19-\mathrm{H} 19 \cdots \mathrm{C} 1[d(\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{H})=2.804 \AA$ ] interactions could be $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions with dispersion interactions as the major source of attraction. Fingerprint analysis (Fig. 6) complemented the Hirshfeld analysis by showing a minimal contact surface between $\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{H}(3.1 \%)$ and $\mathrm{F} \cdots \mathrm{H}(5.4 \%)$, as shown in Fig. $6 b$ and Fig. $6 c$. These could be the directional $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ interactions mentioned previously, and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{F}$ close contacts attributed to the proximity of the F atom to the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions. Please see Table 2 for the interatomic


Figure 6
The two-dimensional fingerprint plots of tert-butyl 4-[4-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylate showing contributions from different contacts.

Table 2
Short interatomic contact distances ( $\AA$ ).

| Contact | Distance |
| :--- | :---: |
| C2-H2 -O 1 | 2.595 |
| C19-H19 . C1 | 2.804 |
| C19-H19 $\cdots$ F1 | 3.163 |

contact distances. These data also suggested the absence of $\pi-$ $\pi$ stacking as $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ contacts contribute $0 \%$ of the Hirshfeld surfaces (Fig. 6d).

## 4. Database survey

A search in the Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.41 update of March 2020; (Groom et al., 2016)) for compounds possessing an N -tert-butyl piperazine substructure identified 51 compounds. These compounds were several variations of BuckyBall adducts, diketopiperazine derivatives, and ligands. There were only 14 compounds viz. DIYWAK (McDermott et al., 2008), HEHZOL (Legnani et al., 2012), HICYID, HICYOJ (Sinha et al., 2013b), JIFHEO (Zhong et al., 2018), OFUDAW (Korotaev et al., 2012), PUYNUS (Jin \& Liebscher, 2002), RIPWUJ (Bobeck et al., 2007), TILJIJ (Sinha et al., 2013a), UPIBIF, UPIBOL (Wiedner \& Vedejs, 2010), UYIHOB (Chen \& Cao, 2017), WANTAJ (Golubev \& Krasavin, 2017), and WINMAH (Brouant \& Giorgi, 1995) that were asymmetrically substituted on the piperazine ring, and none with a synthetically useful second nitrogen. All were effectively 'nonintermediate' compounds that could not reasonably serve for additional substitution at the second nitrogen and none had alkyne substitutions. The quaternary carbon piperazines were explored by Sinha et al. (2013a,b) using an Ugi reaction; however, the present structure is the only compound containing an $\alpha, \alpha$-dimethyl carbon attached to an alkyne and an amine. This new methodology required the X-ray studies to confirm the generated structure. In summary, to the best of the authors' knowledge, there is no published crystal structure like the title compound, for a molecule containing asymmetrical substitutions on the piperazine ring, having a synthetically useful second nitrogen, and an $\alpha, \alpha$-dimethyl carbon attached to an alkyne and an amine.

## 5. Synthesis and crystallization

tert-Butyl 4-(2-cyanopropan-2-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate (3): Ethereal $\mathrm{HCl}\left(40.3 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ of a 2.0 M in $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 80.6 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5$ eq. titrated against standardized $1 N \mathrm{NaOH}$ to a phenolphthalein pink end-point) was added dropwise to a stirred solution of tert-butyl piperazine-1-carboxylate $\mathbf{2}$ ( 12.6 g , $53.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0$ eq.) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(60 \mathrm{~mL})$ at 273 K under Argon. The resulting mixture was stirred at 273 K for 1 h , after which the solvent and excess HCl were removed under reduced pressure and the white residual solid was dissolved in water ( 150 mL ). In a well-ventilated fume hood, solid $\mathrm{NaCN}(2.63 \mathrm{~g}, 53.7 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) and then a solution of acetone ( $9.4 \mathrm{~g}, 11.8 \mathrm{~mL}, 161.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \mathrm{eq}$.) in water ( 20 mL )

Table 3
Experimental details.


Crytal data | Ch |
| :--- |
| $M_{r}$ |

Crystal system, space group
Temperature ( K )
$a, b, c(\AA)$
$\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$
$V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$
Z
Radiation type
$\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$
Crystal size (mm)
Data collection
Diffractometer
Absorption correction
$T_{\text {min }}, T_{\text {max }}$
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I>2 \sigma(I)]$ reflections $R_{\text {int }}$
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\text {max }}\left(\AA^{-1}\right)$
Refinement
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right], w R\left(F^{2}\right), S \quad 0.040,0.109,1.04$
No. of reflections
5058
No. of parameters
231
No. of restraints
H -atom treatment
1
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}, \Delta \rho_{\text {min }}\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$

## $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$

346.43

Monoclinic, $P 2_{1}$
293
10.2576 (11), 9.5127 (10),

### 10.5318 (11)

104.691 (2)
994.07 (18)

2
Mo $K \alpha$
0.08
$0.65 \times 0.50 \times 0.17$

Bruker SMART APEXII 2002)
0.704, 0.746

10640, 5058, 3662
0.017
0.675

Multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick,

Computer programs: SMART and SAINT (Bruker, 1998), SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2015) and CrystalMaker (Palmer, 2014).
were added sequentially at room temperature ( 296 K ). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature under air for an additional 48 h . Water ( 100 mL ) was added and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$ then NaCl (sat, aq.). The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure to give tert-butyl 4-(2-cyanopropan-2-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate $\mathbf{3}$ as a white crystalline solid, 11 g ( $64 \%$ ). MP: 381.2 K (reported $381-383 \mathrm{~K}$ ) matching the literature (Firth et al., 2016). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \delta 3.50(d d, J=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.62(d d, J$ $=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(s, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(s, 9 \mathrm{H})$ matches literature (Firth et al., 2016).

Note: the aqueous extracts $(\mathrm{pH}>10)$ were collected and the residual cyanide was oxidized to cyanate with sodium hypochlorite (Gerritsen \& Margerum, 1990) and absence of a cyanide ion was confirmed with an MQuant ${ }^{(0)}$ Koening Cyanide test indicator from EM sciences.
tert-Butyl 4-[4-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl]pi-perazine-1-carboxylate (1):

A 250 mL flame-dried, round-bottom flask was cooled under argon and then charged with 1-ethynyl-4-fluorobenzene $4(1.98 \mathrm{~g}, 16.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 50 mL of anhydrous THF. This solution was cooled with an external ice-bath. A commercial solution of methyl magnesium bromide ( $5.25 \mathrm{~mL}, 16.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) (Acros, $\sim 3.2 M$ in THF, assayed against anhydrous diphenyl acetic acid with 2 mg 1,10-phenanthroline as an indicator) was added with slow dropwise addition over 10 minutes. The internal temperature was maintained between $274-275 \mathrm{~K}$. This mixture
was stirred at ice-bath temperature for an additional 20 minutes, which resulted in a pale-yellow solution. A solution of tert-butyl 4-(2-cyanopropan-2-yl)piperazine-1-carboxylate $\mathbf{3}$ (Firth et al., 2016) ( $2.33 \mathrm{~g}, 9.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in 25 mL THF was added dropwise to this mixture over 10 minutes; the internal temperature was maintained between $274-275.3 \mathrm{~K}$. This deepyellow solution was permitted to stir with the external ice-bath slowly melting and rising to room temperature, while progress was monitored by TLC ( $R_{\mathrm{f}}$ of product at $0.61: 1 \mathrm{H}: \mathrm{EA}, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ plates, SWUV and $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ visualization). Following stirring for 12 h at 296 K , the crude reaction mixture was cooled to ice-bath temperature and the reaction was quenched with the addition of 10 mL of ice-cold water at a rate of addition that maintained the internal temperature below 278 K . After quenching the organo-base, an additional 50 mL of water were added. Small aliquots of brine and ethanol were used, as required, to break the emulsion in the following extraction. This mixture was extracted with $3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ of ethyl acetate, washed ( $3 \times$ $10 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ brine) dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, decanted, and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to afford 30.6 g of a yellow solid. This was separated on 50 g of $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ with hexane/ethyl acetate (1/1) as the eluent to yield tert-butyl 4-[4-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylate 1 as a white powder, 2.74 g ( $86.3 \%$ ). This compound was recrystallized from ethyl acetate as colorless plates, having a melting point of $388.1 \mathrm{~K} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , chloroform- $d$ ) $\delta 7.36(d d, J=8.2,5.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.96(t, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.46(s$, $5 \mathrm{H}), 2.63(s, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.45(s, 16 \mathrm{H})$. HRMS: $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ calculated for $[M+\mathrm{H}]^{+} 347.2129$, found 347.2127.

## 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection, and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. H atoms were localized in a difference-Fourier map. C-bound H atoms were treated as riding, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.93,0.96$ or $0.97 \AA$, and with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=$ $1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$ for aromatic and $1.5 U_{\mathrm{eq}}(\mathrm{C})$ for methyl groups.
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Crystal structure of tert-butyl 4-[4-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylate
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## Computing details

Data collection: SMART and SAINT (Bruker, 1998); cell refinement: SMART and SAINT (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: SMART and SAINT (Bruker, 1998); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: CrystalMaker (Palmer, 2014); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2015).
tert-Butyl 4-[4-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-methylbut-3-yn-2-yl]piperazine-1-carboxylate

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{FN}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$
$M_{r}=346.43$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1}$
$a=10.2576$ (11) $\AA$
$b=9.5127$ (10) $\AA$
$c=10.5318(11) \AA$
$\beta=104.691$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}$
$V=994.07(18) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII
diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ Scans scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 2002)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.704, T_{\text {max }}=0.746$
10640 measured reflections
$F(000)=372$
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.157 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 3739 reflections
$\theta=2.9-23.9^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.08 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=293 \mathrm{~K}$
Plate, colorless
$0.65 \times 0.50 \times 0.17 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.040$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.109$
$S=1.04$
5058 reflections
231 parameters 1 restraint

5058 independent reflections
3662 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.017$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=28.7^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=2.0^{\circ}$
$h=-13 \rightarrow 13$
$k=-12 \rightarrow 12$
$l=-14 \rightarrow 14$

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
H -atom parameters constrained

```
\(w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0543 P)^{2}+0.0286 P\right]\)
    where \(P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3\)
\((\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001\)
```

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \rho_{\max }=0.12 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3} \\
& \Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.11 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }} * / U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F1 | 1.18988 (19) | 0.1239 (2) | -0.11543 (15) | 0.1037 (6) |
| O1 | 0.36605 (17) | 0.51912 (19) | 0.18415 (19) | 0.0806 (5) |
| O2 | 0.51029 (17) | 0.70053 (17) | 0.25327 (19) | 0.0744 (5) |
| N1 | 0.75069 (16) | 0.3139 (2) | 0.49328 (17) | 0.0532 (4) |
| N2 | 0.54352 (19) | 0.4993 (2) | 0.3602 (3) | 0.0786 (7) |
| C1 | 1.1321 (3) | 0.1417 (3) | -0.0138 (2) | 0.0675 (6) |
| C2 | 1.1908 (2) | 0.2311 (3) | 0.0845 (2) | 0.0657 (6) |
| H2 | 1.267513 | 0.281757 | 0.081485 | 0.079* |
| C3 | 1.1337 (2) | 0.2446 (3) | 0.1888 (2) | 0.0607 (5) |
| H3 | 1.173183 | 0.304622 | 0.257504 | 0.073* |
| C4 | 1.0186 (2) | 0.1709 (2) | 0.1937 (2) | 0.0551 (5) |
| C5 | 0.9617 (3) | 0.0830 (3) | 0.0901 (3) | 0.0760 (7) |
| H5 | 0.884011 | 0.032892 | 0.090838 | 0.091* |
| C6 | 1.0188 (3) | 0.0687 (4) | -0.0148 (3) | 0.0830 (8) |
| H6 | 0.980055 | 0.010008 | -0.084752 | 0.100* |
| C7 | 0.9619 (2) | 0.1869 (3) | 0.3042 (2) | 0.0623 (5) |
| C8 | 0.9197 (2) | 0.1996 (3) | 0.3994 (2) | 0.0614 (5) |
| C9 | 0.8686 (2) | 0.2172 (3) | 0.5184 (2) | 0.0594 (5) |
| C10 | 0.8289 (3) | 0.0738 (3) | 0.5623 (3) | 0.0761 (7) |
| H10A | 0.907916 | 0.016550 | 0.591129 | 0.114* |
| H10B | 0.766913 | 0.028670 | 0.490045 | 0.114* |
| H10C | 0.786779 | 0.086116 | 0.633205 | 0.114* |
| C11 | 0.9824 (3) | 0.2766 (3) | 0.6290 (2) | 0.0766 (7) |
| H11A | 1.053887 | 0.208928 | 0.652455 | 0.115* |
| H11B | 0.948419 | 0.296461 | 0.704074 | 0.115* |
| H11C | 1.016014 | 0.361555 | 0.599756 | 0.115* |
| C12 | 0.6330 (2) | 0.2618 (2) | 0.3960 (3) | 0.0623 (5) |
| H12A | 0.612475 | 0.167143 | 0.419119 | 0.075* |
| H12B | 0.652638 | 0.258352 | 0.310685 | 0.075* |
| C13 | 0.5133 (2) | 0.3551 (2) | 0.3890 (3) | 0.0758 (7) |
| H13A | 0.437271 | 0.321130 | 0.321152 | 0.091* |
| H13B | 0.488758 | 0.352041 | 0.472069 | 0.091* |
| C14 | 0.7810 (2) | 0.4556 (2) | 0.4548 (2) | 0.0629 (6) |
| H14A | 0.799613 | 0.452268 | 0.369024 | 0.075* |
| H14B | 0.860821 | 0.490898 | 0.517127 | 0.075* |
| C15 | 0.6649 (2) | 0.5530 (3) | 0.4505 (3) | 0.0753 (7) |


| H15A | 0.650242 | 0.561673 | 0.537584 | $0.090^{*}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H15B | 0.685611 | 0.645571 | 0.422237 | $0.090^{*}$ |
| C16 | $0.4649(2)$ | $0.5686(2)$ | $0.2590(2)$ | $0.0613(5)$ |
| C17 | $0.4383(3)$ | $0.8005(3)$ | $0.1535(2)$ | $0.0719(6)$ |
| C18 | $0.4377(4)$ | $0.7509(5)$ | $0.0174(3)$ | $0.1233(14)$ |
| H18A | 0.411731 | 0.827031 | -0.043585 | $0.185^{*}$ |
| H18B | 0.374709 | 0.674936 | -0.007262 | $0.185^{*}$ |
| H18C | 0.526238 | 0.719043 | 0.016399 | $0.185^{*}$ |
| C19 | $0.2976(3)$ | $0.8232(4)$ | $0.1690(3)$ | $0.0933(9)$ |
| H19A | 0.255882 | 0.899276 | 0.113429 | $0.140^{*}$ |
| H19B | 0.301797 | 0.845773 | 0.258749 | $0.140^{*}$ |
| H19C | 0.245633 | 0.739092 | 0.144516 | $0.140^{*}$ |
| C20 | $0.5234(5)$ | $0.9306(4)$ | $0.1912(4)$ | $0.1246(14)$ |
| H20A | 0.487111 | 1.005437 | 0.131485 | $0.187^{*}$ |
| H20B | 0.614143 | 0.911229 | 0.187209 | $0.187^{*}$ |
| H20C | 0.523167 | 0.958052 | 0.278851 | $0.187^{*}$ |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\hat{A}^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| F1 | $0.1100(12)$ | $0.1444(17)$ | $0.0629(8)$ | $0.0197(12)$ | $0.0335(8)$ | $-0.0031(9)$ |
| O1 | $0.0619(9)$ | $0.0604(10)$ | $0.1066(13)$ | $-0.0065(8)$ | $-0.0025(9)$ | $0.0007(9)$ |
| O2 | $0.0717(10)$ | $0.0445(8)$ | $0.0986(12)$ | $-0.0040(8)$ | $0.0064(9)$ | $0.0062(8)$ |
| N1 | $0.0502(9)$ | $0.0478(9)$ | $0.0611(9)$ | $0.0025(7)$ | $0.0132(7)$ | $0.0059(8)$ |
| N2 | $0.0513(10)$ | $0.0470(11)$ | $0.1223(17)$ | $-0.0065(8)$ | $-0.0061(11)$ | $0.0149(11)$ |
| C1 | $0.0731(15)$ | $0.0785(16)$ | $0.0515(12)$ | $0.0157(13)$ | $0.0170(11)$ | $0.0065(11)$ |
| C2 | $0.0561(12)$ | $0.0724(16)$ | $0.0695(14)$ | $0.0003(11)$ | $0.0173(11)$ | $-0.0018(12)$ |
| C3 | $0.0586(12)$ | $0.0612(13)$ | $0.0615(13)$ | $0.0023(10)$ | $0.0136(10)$ | $-0.0077(10)$ |
| C4 | $0.0534(11)$ | $0.0551(12)$ | $0.0558(11)$ | $0.0101(9)$ | $0.0119(9)$ | $0.0081(9)$ |
| C5 | $0.0692(14)$ | $0.0772(17)$ | $0.0781(16)$ | $-0.0132(13)$ | $0.0125(12)$ | $-0.0043(13)$ |
| C6 | $0.0916(19)$ | $0.0891(19)$ | $0.0612(14)$ | $-0.0063(16)$ | $0.0063(13)$ | $-0.0167(13)$ |
| C7 | $0.0591(12)$ | $0.0619(13)$ | $0.0654(13)$ | $0.0095(10)$ | $0.0151(10)$ | $0.0103(10)$ |
| C8 | $0.0592(12)$ | $0.0600(13)$ | $0.0664(13)$ | $0.0088(10)$ | $0.0181(10)$ | $0.0108(11)$ |
| C9 | $0.0569(11)$ | $0.0610(13)$ | $0.0618(12)$ | $0.0105(10)$ | $0.0176(9)$ | $0.0125(10)$ |
| C10 | $0.0811(16)$ | $0.0624(15)$ | $0.0913(18)$ | $0.0187(13)$ | $0.0337(14)$ | $0.0265(13)$ |
| C11 | $0.0660(14)$ | $0.095(2)$ | $0.0641(14)$ | $0.0166(13)$ | $0.0075(11)$ | $0.0112(13)$ |
| C12 | $0.0601(12)$ | $0.0428(10)$ | $0.0788(14)$ | $-0.0063(9)$ | $0.0080(10)$ | $0.0050(10)$ |
| C13 | $0.0522(12)$ | $0.0513(14)$ | $0.115(2)$ | $-0.0074(10)$ | $0.0041(13)$ | $0.0170(13)$ |
| C14 | $0.0513(11)$ | $0.0507(12)$ | $0.0793(14)$ | $-0.0079(9)$ | $0.0028(10)$ | $0.0037(11)$ |
| C15 | $0.0606(13)$ | $0.0452(12)$ | $0.1076(19)$ | $-0.0031(10)$ | $-0.0019(13)$ | $-0.0023(12)$ |
| C16 | $0.0480(11)$ | $0.0445(11)$ | $0.0910(16)$ | $0.0004(9)$ | $0.0165(11)$ | $-0.0030(11)$ |
| C17 | $0.0970(17)$ | $0.0551(13)$ | $0.0653(13)$ | $0.0022(13)$ | $0.0237(12)$ | $0.0101(11)$ |
| C18 | $0.165(4)$ | $0.137(3)$ | $0.084(2)$ | $-0.021(3)$ | $0.063(2)$ | $-0.012(2)$ |
| C19 | $0.103(2)$ | $0.0772(18)$ | $0.098(2)$ | $0.0310(18)$ | $0.0233(17)$ | $0.0133(16)$ |
| C20 | $0.168(4)$ | $0.0650(19)$ | $0.130(3)$ | $-0.031(2)$ | $0.018(3)$ | $0.0250(19)$ |

Geometric parameters (A, ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| F1-C1 | 1.359 (3) | C10-H10C | 0.9600 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O1-C16 | 1.211 (3) | C11-H11A | 0.9600 |
| O2-C16 | 1.345 (3) | C11-H11B | 0.9600 |
| O2-C17 | 1.470 (3) | C11-H11C | 0.9600 |
| N1-C12 | 1.457 (3) | C12-C13 | 1.502 (3) |
| N1-C14 | 1.463 (3) | C12-H12A | 0.9700 |
| N1-C9 | 1.489 (3) | C12-H12B | 0.9700 |
| N2-C16 | 1.336 (3) | C13-H13A | 0.9700 |
| N2-C15 | 1.454 (3) | C13-H13B | 0.9700 |
| N2-C13 | 1.456 (3) | C14-C15 | 1.501 (4) |
| C1-C6 | 1.351 (4) | C14-H14A | 0.9700 |
| C1-C2 | 1.357 (4) | C14-H14B | 0.9700 |
| C2-C3 | 1.376 (3) | C15-H15A | 0.9700 |
| C2-H2 | 0.9300 | C15-H15B | 0.9700 |
| C3-C4 | 1.385 (3) | C17-C18 | 1.508 (4) |
| C3-H3 | 0.9300 | C17-C19 | 1.508 (4) |
| C4-C5 | 1.381 (3) | C17-C20 | 1.509 (4) |
| C4-C7 | 1.434 (3) | C18-H18A | 0.9600 |
| C5-C6 | 1.382 (4) | C18-H18B | 0.9600 |
| C5-H5 | 0.9300 | C18-H18C | 0.9600 |
| C6-H6 | 0.9300 | C19-H19A | 0.9600 |
| C7-C8 | 1.195 (3) | C19-H19B | 0.9600 |
| C8-C9 | 1.486 (3) | C19-H19C | 0.9600 |
| C9-C10 | 1.528 (4) | C20-H20A | 0.9600 |
| C9-C11 | 1.532 (3) | C20-H20B | 0.9600 |
| C10-H10A | 0.9600 | C20-H20C | 0.9600 |
| C10-H10B | 0.9600 |  |  |
| C16-O2-C17 | 121.26 (19) | N1-C12-H12B | 109.5 |
| C12-N1-C14 | 108.36 (16) | C13-C12-H12B | 109.5 |
| C12-N1-C9 | 113.89 (18) | H12A-C12-H12B | 108.1 |
| C14-N1-C9 | 113.48 (16) | N2-C13-C12 | 110.6 (2) |
| C16-N2-C15 | 126.30 (19) | N2-C13-H13A | 109.5 |
| C16-N2-C13 | 120.9 (2) | C12-C13-H13A | 109.5 |
| C15-N2-C13 | 112.8 (2) | N2-C13-H13B | 109.5 |
| C6- $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 122.8 (2) | C12-C13-H13B | 109.5 |
| C6-C1-F1 | 118.4 (2) | H13A-C13-H13B | 108.1 |
| C2-C1-F1 | 118.8 (2) | N1-C14-C15 | 110.74 (18) |
| C1-C2-C3 | 118.1 (2) | N1-C14-H14A | 109.5 |
| C1-C2-H2 | 120.9 | C15-C14-H14A | 109.5 |
| C3-C2-H2 | 120.9 | N1-C14-H14B | 109.5 |
| C2-C3-C4 | 121.5 (2) | C15-C14-H14B | 109.5 |
| C2-C3-H3 | 119.3 | H14A-C14-H14B | 108.1 |
| C4-C3-H3 | 119.3 | N2-C15-C14 | 110.1 (2) |
| C5-C4-C3 | 118.0 (2) | N2-C15-H15A | 109.6 |
| C5-C4-C7 | 121.9 (2) | C14-C15-H15A | 109.6 |


| C3-C4-C7 | 120.1 (2) |
| :---: | :---: |
| C4-C5-C6 | 120.7 (2) |
| C4-C5-H5 | 119.6 |
| C6-C5-H5 | 119.6 |
| C1-C6-C5 | 118.8 (2) |
| C1-C6-H6 | 120.6 |
| C5-C6-H6 | 120.6 |
| C8-C7-C4 | 177.4 (2) |
| C7-C8-C9 | 179.2 (3) |
| C8-C9-N1 | 111.34 (17) |
| C8-C9-C10 | 109.5 (2) |
| N1-C9-C10 | 109.80 (17) |
| C8-C9-C11 | 108.59 (18) |
| N1-C9-C11 | 109.55 (19) |
| C10-C9-C11 | 108.0 (2) |
| C9-C10-H10A | 109.5 |
| C9-C10-H10B | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H} 10 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C} 10-\mathrm{H} 10 \mathrm{~B}$ | 109.5 |
| C9-C10-H10C | 109.5 |
| H10A-C10-H10C | 109.5 |
| H10B-C10-H10C | 109.5 |
| C9-C11-H11A | 109.5 |
| C9-C11-H11B | 109.5 |
| H11A-C11-H11B | 109.5 |
| C9-C11-H11C | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{H} 11 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{H} 11 \mathrm{C}$ | 109.5 |
| H11B-C11-H11C | 109.5 |
| N1-C12-C13 | 110.77 (19) |
| N1-C12-H12A | 109.5 |
| C13-C12-H12A | 109.5 |
| C6- $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 1.7 (4) |
| F1-C1-C2-C3 | -177.9 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ | -0.7 (3) |
| C2-C3-C4-C5 | -0.3 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 7$ | 179.7 (2) |
| C3-C4-C5-C6 | 0.5 (4) |
| C7-C4-C5-C6 | -179.6 (2) |
| C2- $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5$ | -1.5 (4) |
| F1-C1-C6-C5 | 178.1 (2) |
| C4-C5-C6-C1 | 0.4 (4) |
| C12-N1-C9-C8 | 64.1 (2) |
| C14-N1-C9-C8 | -60.5 (2) |
| C12-N1-C9-C10 | -57.3 (2) |
| C14-N1-C9-C10 | 178.12 (19) |
| C12-N1-C9-C11 | -175.76 (19) |
| C14-N1-C9-C11 | 59.6 (2) |
| C14-N1-C12-C13 | -60.2 (2) |


| N2-C15-H15B | 109.6 |
| :---: | :---: |
| C14-C15-H15B | 109.6 |
| H15A-C15-H15B | 108.1 |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 124.3 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 16-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 125.2 (2) |
| N2-C16-O2 | 110.50 (19) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{C} 18$ | 110.9 (3) |
| O2-C17-C19 | 109.7 (2) |
| C18-C17-C19 | 112.0 (3) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 17-\mathrm{C} 20$ | 101.0 (2) |
| C18-C17-C20 | 111.7 (3) |
| C19-C17-C20 | 111.1 (3) |
| C17-C18-H18A | 109.5 |
| C17-C18-H18B | 109.5 |
| H18A-C18-H18B | 109.5 |
| C17-C18-H18C | 109.5 |
| H18A-C18-H18C | 109.5 |
| H18B-C18-H18C | 109.5 |
| C17-C19-H19A | 109.5 |
| C17-C19-H19B | 109.5 |
| H19A-C19-H19B | 109.5 |
| C17-C19-H19C | 109.5 |
| H19A-C19-H19C | 109.5 |
| H19B-C19-H19C | 109.5 |
| C17-C20-H20A | 109.5 |
| C17-C20-H20B | 109.5 |
| H20A-C20-H20B | 109.5 |
| C17-C20-H20C | 109.5 |
| H20A-C20-H20C | 109.5 |
| H20B-C20-H20C | 109.5 |
| C16-N2-C13-C12 | 125.9 (3) |
| C15-N2-C13-C12 | -53.4 (3) |
| N1-C12-C13-N2 | 56.6 (3) |
| C12-N1-C14-C15 | 60.9 (2) |
| C9-N1-C14-C15 | -171.60 (19) |
| C16-N2-C15-C14 | -125.5 (3) |
| C13-N2-C15-C14 | 53.7 (3) |
| N1-C14-C15-N2 | -57.5 (3) |
| C15-N2-C16-O1 | 178.3 (3) |
| C13-N2-C16-O1 | -0.9 (4) |
| C15-N2-C16-O2 | -2.3 (4) |
| C13-N2-C16-O2 | 178.6 (2) |
| C17-O2-C16-O1 | 1.8 (4) |
| C17-O2-C16-N2 | -177.7 (2) |
| C16-O2-C17-C18 | -63.8 (3) |
| C16-O2-C17-C19 | 60.4 (3) |
| C16-O2-C17-C20 | 177.7 (3) |

## C9—N1-C12-C13

172.46 (18)

Selected bond lengths ( $A$ ) and bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| F1-C1 | 1.359 (3) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{C} 16$ | 1.211 (3) |
| O2-C16 | 1.345 (3) |
| N2-C16 | 1.336 (3) |
| C1-C6 | 1.351 (4) |
| C7-N2 | 3.508 |
| N1-C12-C13 | 110.77 (19) |
| N2-C15-C14 | 110.1 (2) |
| C12-N1-C9 | 113.89 (18) |
| C14-N1-C9 | 113.48 (16) |
| C12-N1-C14 | 108.36 (16) |
| C16-N2-C15 | 126.30 (19) |
| C16-N2-C13 | 120.9 (2) |
| C15-N2-C13 | 112.8 (2) |

