

Received 21 December 2020 Accepted 30 December 2020

Edited by L. Van Meervelt, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium

**Keywords:** crystal structure; whole-molecule disorder; 3,10-bis(4-fluorophenethyl)-1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclotetradecane; 4fluorophenethyl side chain; nickel(II) complex; *trans*-III configuration.

CCDC reference: 2053166

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



### Crystal structure of [3,10-bis(4-fluorophenethyl)-1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclotetradecane]nickel(II) diperchlorate

#### Chee-Hun Kwak<sup>a</sup>\* and Mee Chang<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry Education, Sunchon National University, 255 Jungang-ro, Sunchon, 57922, South Korea, and <sup>b</sup>Polymerization Manufacturing Technology Research Team, Lotte Chemicals, 334-27 Yeosu Sandan-ro, Yeosu, 59616, South Korea. \*Correspondence e-mail: chkwak@sunchon.ac.kr

The square-planar nickel(II) title complex,  $[Ni(C_{24}H_{36}F_2N_6)](ClO_4)_2$  or  $[NiL](ClO_4)_2$  (L = 3,10-bis(4-fluorophenethyl)-1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclotetradecane) was synthesized by a one-pot reaction of template condensation and its X-ray crystal structure was determined. The nickel(II) ion lies close by a twofold axis and the complex displays whole-molecule disorder. Ligand L, a hexaaza-cyclotetradecane ring having 4-fluorophenethyl side chains attached to uncoordinated nitrogen atoms, adopts a *trans* III (R,R,S,S) configuration. The average Ni–N bond distance is 1.934 (9) Å, which is quite similar to those of other nickel(II) complexes with similar ligands. The nickel(II) ion is located 0.051 (7) Å above the least-squares plane through the four coordinated N atoms. The average C–N bond distance and C–N–C angle involving uncoordinated nitrogen atoms are 1.425 (12) Å and 118.0 (9)°, respectively, indicating a significant contribution of  $sp^2$  hybridization for these N atoms. The intermolecular N–H···O, C–H···O/F hydrogen bonds of the complex form a network structure, which looks like a seamless floral lace pattern.

#### 1. Chemical context

A metal template condensation reaction with formaldehyde and appropriate amines is a useful method for the synthesis of saturated polyazamacrocyclic complexes. It often produces new macrocyclic complexes in one-pot reactions with high yield via selective routes (Salavati-Niasari & Davar, 2006; Salavati-Niasari & Najafian, 2003; Suh, 1996). The introduction of pendant arms into polyazamacrocyclic ligands has, sometimes, changed the structural and chemical properties of the complexes considerably (Hermann et al., 2008; Jee et al., 2003; Alexander, 1995; Kang et al., 1995). The information derived from polyazamacrocyclic complexes containing pendant arms helps in the understanding of apical effects in the biological behavior of tetraazamacrocyclic metalloenzymes having a square-planar geometry (Liang & Sadler, 2004; Costamagna et al., 2000). Furthermore, the donor atoms in the pendant arms of these macrocyclic complexes can be coordinated to another metal ion or participate in hydrogen bonding. Consequently, these complexes can be applied in the field of supramolecular chemistry or metal-organic frameworks. In the nickel(II) complex 8-(pyridin-4-ylmethyl)-1,3,6,8,10,13,15heptaazatricyclo[13.1.1.1<sup>13,15</sup>]octadecane, intermolecular hydrogen bonding between the nitrogen of the pendant pyridine and coordinated water produces a one-dimensional chain structure (Jee et al., 2003). In particular, many supramolecular

studies including metal-organic frameworks using complexes of 3,10-bis(alkyl)-1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclotetradecane-type ligands are available because they can be obtained by easy synthetic routes using metal template reactions (Min & Suh, 2001; Kang et al., 1999; Suh et al., 1994). The nickel(II) complex of 3,10-bis(2-cyanoethyl)-1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclotetradecane produces a coordination polymer with each nickel(II) ion in the macrocycle units coordinating to two nitrile pendant groups of neighboring macrocycles (Suh et al., 1994). In the nickel(II) complex of 3,10-bis(pyridin-4ylmethyl)-1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclotetradecane, hydrogenbonding interactions between nitrogen atoms in pendant pyridine rings, structural water molecules and hydrogen atoms of the secondary amine of the macrocycle link the macrocyclic complexes, resulting in a two-dimensional network (Min & Suh, 2001). In addition, many studies on metal-organic frameworks have been performed using complexes of 3,10bis(alkyl)-1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclotetradecane-type ligands (Jeoung et al., 2019; Stackhouse & Ma, 2018; Lee & Moon, 2018; Lin et al., 2014). In this communication, we report the preparation of a new nickel(II) complex  $[NiL](ClO_4)_2$ , where L is a 3,10-bis(alkyl)-1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclotetradecane ligand having 4-fluorophenethyl pendant arms at positions 3 and 10, and its structural characterization by single-crystal X-ray crystallography.



#### 2. Structural commentary

The molecular structure of the title compound is shown in Fig. 1. Both the complex and perchlorate anion display disorder. The Ni<sup>II</sup> ion lies close by a special position (twofold axis) and the  $[NiL]^{2+}$  complex occurs in two orientations with fixed occupancies of 0.50. The refinement of this whole-mol-



#### Figure 1

Molecular structure of one of the whole-molecule disorder component molecules of  $[NiL]^{2+}$  with displacement ellipsoids at 50% probability level. The second disorder component, generated by  $(1 - x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z)$  is omitted for clarity.

Table 1		
Selected geometric parameters	(Å,	°).

Ni1-N3	1.925 (7)	N1-C2	1.401 (11)
Ni1-N2	1.933 (9)	N1-C5	1.469 (10)
Ni1-N3'	1.934 (7)	N1′-C1′	1.400 (11)
Ni1-N2'	1.943 (9)	N1' - C2'	1.408 (12)
N1-C1	1.398 (11)	N1′-C5′	1.481 (10)
N2-Ni1-N3	93.7 (4)	C1-N1-C5	118.9 (9)
N3-Ni1-N3'	86.6 (3)	C2-N1-C5	119.1 (8)
N2-Ni1-N3'	176.4 (4)	C1' - N1' - C2'	115.6 (7)
N2-Ni1-N2'	86.5 (2)	C1'-N1'-C5'	120.1 (8)
C1-N1-C2	115.5 (7)	C2' - N1' - C5'	118.5 (9)

ecule disorder needed additional restraints (see Refinement section). The occupancies of the disordered perchlorate ion are 0.795 (7) and 0.205 (7). The nickel(II) ion is coordinated to the four nitrogens N2, N3, N2' and N3', and the complex has a square-planar coordination geometry. The 14-membered ring skeleton adopts the thermodynamically most stable trans-III configuration with R,R,S,S chirality of the four coordinated nitrogen atoms (Barefield, 2010). The ligand L of the complex has two 4-fluorophenethyl pendant arms attached to the two uncoordinated nitrogens (N1 and N1′) of the 14-membered 1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclotetradecane ring skeleton. The 4-fluorophenethyl pendants are positioned above and below the square coordination plane. The sixmembered chelate rings adopt a chair conformation and the five-membered chelate rings assume a gauche conformation.

Selected bond distances and angles are listed in Table 1. The average Ni-N bond distance of 1.934 (9) Å is quite similar to those in square-planar nickel(II) complexes of various other related 14-membered polyaza macrocycles (Kang et al., 1999; Suh et al., 1998; Suh et al., 1996). The bite angles of fivemembered chelates are  $86.5 (2)^{\circ}$  for N2-Ni1-N2' and  $86.6 (3)^{\circ}$  for N3-Ni1-N3', respectively and those of sixmembered chelates are 93.7 (4)° for N2-Ni1-N3 and  $93.0 (4)^{\circ}$  for N2'-Ni1-N3', respectively. The four coordinating nitrogen atoms (N2, N3, N2' and N3') are almost coplanar (r.m.s. deviation 0.010 Å). The nickel(II) ion is located 0.051 (7) Å above this least-squares plane showing a slightly square-pyramidal distortion. The N-C bond distances involving the uncoordinated bridgehead nitrogens (N1 and N1') range from 1.398 (11) Å (N1-C1) to 1.481 (10) Å (N1'-C5') and the average N–C bond distance is 1.425 (12) Å, which is significantly shorter than the other N-C single bond distances. Furthermore, the C-N-C bond angles involving these bridgehead nitrogens range from  $115.5 (7)^{\circ} (C1 - N1 - N1)^{\circ}$ C2) to 120.1 (8)° (C1'-N1'-C5') and the average bond angle is  $118.0 (9)^{\circ}$ , which is distinctly larger than the ideal tetrahedral angle. These results indicate a significant contribution of  $sp^2$  hybridization of the bridgehead nitrogen atoms (N1 and N1') (Min & Suh, 2001; Kang et al., 1999).

#### 3. Supramolecular features

There are several  $N-H\cdots A$  (A = O) as well as  $C-H\cdots A$  (A = O or F) hydrogen bonds in the crystal packing of

### research communications



Figure 2

Hydrogen-bonding interactions involving the perchlorate anions in the crystal packing  $[NiL](ClO_4)_2$ . Light-green dashed lines indicate N-H···O and C-H···O hydrogen-bonding interactions. Symmetry codes: (i)  $1 - x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z$ ; (ii) 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z; (iii)  $x, -\frac{1}{2} + y, 1 - z$ ; (v)  $\frac{3}{2} - x$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} - y, 1 - z$ ; (vi)  $-\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, -\frac{1}{2} + z$ . Only one of the whole-molecule disorder  $[NiL]^{2+}$  components and the major component of the perchlorate anion are shown.

 $[NiL](ClO_4)_2$ . Hydrogen-bonding interactions between N-H or C-H groups of the ligand L and perchlorate oxygen atoms are summarized in Table 2 and illustrated in Fig. 2. In addition, fluorine atom F1 in one of the pendant phenyl groups of the macrocycle is involved in an intermolecular interaction with hydrogen H4A of a neighboring molecule (Table 2 and Fig. 3). The other fluorine atom, F1', takes part in a weaker hydrogenbonding interaction with H4'A of a neighboring molecule  $[H4A\cdots F1' = 2.62 \text{ Å}, C4'\cdots F1' = 3.312 (17) \text{ Å} and C4' H4'A\cdots F1' = 128.4 (8)^{\circ}]$ . These interactions form a chain structure extending in the [101] direction (Fig. 3). All of these intermolecular hydrogen-bonding interactions lead to a network structure resembling a seamless floral lace pattern (Fig. 4).

#### 4. Database survey

An Access Structures search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, *via* CCDC Access Structures, December 2020; Groom *et al.*, 2016) resulted in 97 structures of complexes of 3,10-bis(alkyl)-1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclotetra-

Table 2Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

, , ,	/	/		
$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N2 - H2 \cdots O4$	0.98	2 51	3 355 (17)	144
$N2 - H2 \cdots O4^{i}$	0.98	2.31	3.260 (16)	141
$N2' - H2' \cdots O4^{ii}$	0.98	2.43	3.332 (18)	152
$N2' - H2' \cdots O4^{iii}$	0.98	2.36	3.089 (17)	131
$N3-H3 \cdot \cdot \cdot O2$	0.98	1.97	2.819 (11)	144
$N3' - H3' \cdot \cdot \cdot O2^{ii}$	0.98	2.40	3.186 (11)	137
$C1' - H1'A \cdots O1^{iii}$	0.97	2.31	3.198 (13)	151
$C1-H1B\cdots O3^{ii}$	0.97	2.35	3.156 (16)	140
$C1' - H1'B \cdots O3$	0.97	2.56	3.309 (15)	134
$C2-H2B\cdots O1^{iv}$	0.97	2.50	3.394 (16)	154
$C3-H3B\cdotsO1^{i}$	0.97	2.48	3.35 (2)	149
$C2' - H2'B \cdots O1^v$	0.97	2.58	3.551 (16)	175
$C4-H4A\cdots F1^{vi}$	0.97	2.54	3.341 (19)	140
$C3' - H3'A \cdots O4^{in}$	0.97	2.54	3.136 (17)	119
$C4' - H4'B \cdots O2$	0.97	2.54	3.239 (14)	129

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) -x + 1, y,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iii) x, -y + 1,  $z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iv)  $x - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (v)  $-x + \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $-y + \frac{1}{2}$ , -z + 1; (vi)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-y + \frac{1}{2}$ , -z + 1.

decane derivatives and 13 structures of complexes of 1,8bis(alkyl)-1,3,6,8,10,13-hexaazacyclotetradecane (different systematic name of the ligand). However, no results were found for the 3,10-bis(4-fluorophenethyl)-1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclotetradecane structure.

In addition, 92 structures containing the 1,3,5,8,10,12hexaazacyclotetradecane skeleton were found during a SciFinder search, but again no results were found containing the title complex. Most are classified as octahedral complexes, while only a few cases are square-planar nickel(II) complexes. The Ni-N bond distances are 1.931 (2)-1.934 (3) Å in the nickel(II) complex of 3,10-bis(2-aminoethyl)-1,3,5,8,10,12hexaazacyclotetradecane (Kang et al., 1999), 1.934 Å in the nickel(II) complex of 3,10-dibenzyl-1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclotetradecane (Min & Suh, 2001), and 1.933 (3)-1.936 (3) Å in 1,8-dimethyl-1,3,6,8,10,13-hexaazacyclotetradecane (Benkada et al., 2020), similar to those of the squareplanar nickel(II) complexes of various other related 14membered polyaza macrocycles. The Ni-N distances of 1.933 (4)-1.944 (4) Å in the nickel(II) complex of 1,8dipentyl-1,3,6,8,10,13-hexaazacyclotetradecane (Park et al., 2015) and the average Ni-N bond distance of 1.941 (6) Å in the nickel(II) complex of 3,10-bis( $\alpha$ -methylnaphthyl)-



Figure 3

A view showing the one-dimensional chain propagation of rings formed by the intermolecular hydrogen bonding between F1··· H4A and F1··· H4'A in  $[NiL]^{2+}$ . Symmetry codes: (i)  $1 - x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z$ ; (iv)  $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, 1 - z$ ; (v)  $\frac{3}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, -z$ ; (vii) -1 + x, y, 1 + z. Only one of the whole-molecule disorder  $[NiL]^{2+}$  components is shown.



Figure 4

A view of the crystal packing of  $[NiL](CIO_4)_2$ , which resembles a seamless floral lace pattern. Light-green dashed lines indicate hydrogen-bonding interactions.

1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclotetradecane (Min *et al.*, 2013) are a little longer than those of analogous complexes. However, the Ni–N distances of 1.927 (4)–1.932 (4) Å in the nickel(II) complex of 3,10-bis(2-thiophenemethyl)-1,3,5,8,10,12-hexa-azacyclotetradecane (Su *et al.*, 2007) and 1.926 (1)–1.928 (1) Å in that of 3,10-bis(2-hydroxyethyl)-1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclotetradecane (Kim *et al.*, 2002) are somewhat shorter than those of analogous complexes. In all these nickel(II) complexes of 3,10-bis(alkyl)-1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclotetradecane analogues, the nickel(II) ion is situated on an inversion center, except for the nickel(II) complex of 3,10-bis( $\alpha$ -methylnaphthyl)-1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclotetradecane,

which does not have an inversion center due to the chiral pendants of the macrocyclic ligand (Min *et al.*, 2013). The nickel (II) ion is exactly in the least-squares plane through the four coordinating nitrogen atoms.

#### 5. Synthesis and crystallization

A well-known one-pot reaction of template condensation was used for the preparation of the title complex (Salavati-Niasari & Rezai-Adaryani, 2004; Min & Suh, 2001; Kang *et al.*, 1999). 98% Ethylenediamine (1.1 ml, 16mmol), 99% 4-fluorophenethylamine (2.1 ml, 16 mmol), and 95% paraformaldehyde (1.44 g, 48 mmol) were slowly added to a stirred solution of 98% nickel(II) acetate tetrahydrate (2.0 g, 8.0 mmol) in 50 ml of methanol. The solution was heated under reflux for 24 h and then cooled to room temperature. The solution was filtered, concentrated HClO<sub>4</sub> was added to the filtrate, adjusting pH of the solution to 4, and it was kept in a refrigerator until a yellow-colored precipitate was formed. The

Table 3	
Experimental details.	
Crystal data	
Chamical formula	$[N_{i}(C, H, E, N_{i})](C O_{i})$
	$[N(C_{24}\Pi_{36}\Gamma_{2}N_{6})](C(O_{4})_{2}$
M <sub>r</sub>	/04.20 Managlinia C2/a
Crystal system, space group	Monochine, C2/c
Temperature (K)	1/3
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (A)	16.9910 (12), 15.5187 (11), 13.8864 (9)
$\beta$ (°)	126.189 (1)
$V(Å^3)$	2955.1 (4)
Z	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	0.91
Crystal size (mm)	$0.40 \times 0.35 \times 0.20$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker SMART CCD area detector
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	9357, 3400, 2737
R <sub>int</sub>	0.083
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.667
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.068, 0.159, 1.13
No. of reflections	3400
No. of parameters	282
No. of restraints	492
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max},  \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.88, -0.63

Computer programs: SMART and SAINT (Bruker, 2002), SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2015), ORTEP-3 for Windows and WinGX (Farrugia, 2012), and Mercury (Macrae et al., 2020).

product was filtered, washed with methanol, and dried in air. Single crystals for X-ray crystallography were obtained by recrystallization from hot water.

#### 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. H atoms were positioned geometrically and allowed to ride on their respective parent atoms  $[C-H = 0.93 \text{ Å} (CH, \text{ aromatic}), 0.97 \text{ Å} (CH_2) \text{ and } N-H =$  $0.98 \text{ Å} (NH_2), \text{ and } U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C) \text{ or } U_{iso}(H) =$  $1.2U_{eq}(N)].$ 

The refinement of the whole-molecule disorder employed the following constraints and restraints in *SHELXL*: (1) occupancy factors were set at 0.50, (2) the two chemically equivalent halves of the complex were restrained to be similar using the 'SAME' command, (3) the fluorinated benzene rings were given a weak 'FLAT' restraint, (4) Ni1 required a strong 'ISOR' restraint and (5) displacement factors for atom pairs related about the special position were constrained to be equal (EADP).

The perchlorate anion is disordered over two sets of atomic sites with occupancy ratios of 0.795 (7):0.205 (7).

#### Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Center for Research Faculties, Kyungsang National University, Jinju, South Korea, for the X-ray crystallographic data collection.

#### References

- Alexander, V. (1995). Chem. Rev. 95, 273-342.
- Barefield, E. K. (2010). Coord. Chem. Rev. 254, 1607-1627.
- Benkada, A., Näther, C. & Bensch, W. (2020). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 646, 1352–1358.
- Bruker (2002). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Costamagna, J., Ferraudi, G., Matsuhiro, B., Campos-Vallette, M., Canales, J., Villagrán, M., Vargas, J. & Aguirre, M. J. (2000). *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **196**, 125–164.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Hermann, P., Kotek, J., Kubíček, V. & Lukeš, I. (2008). Dalton Trans. pp. 3027–3047.
- Jee, J. E., Kim, Y. M., Lee, S. S., Park, K. M. & Kwak, C. H. (2003). *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* 6, 946–949.
- Jeoung, S., Lee, S., Lee, J. H., Lee, S., Choe, W., Moon, D. & Moon, H. R. (2019). Chem. Commun. 55, 8832–8835.
- Kang, S. G., Kim, M.-S., Choi, J. S., Whang, D. & Kim, K. (1995). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 363–366.
- Kang, S. G., Ryu, K., Jung, S. K. & Kim, J. (1999). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 293, 140–146.
- Kim, J. C., Lough, A. J. & Kim, H. (2002). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 5, 771–776.
- Lee, J. H. & Moon, H. R. (2018). J. Incl Phenom. Macrocycl Chem. 92, 237–249.

- Liang, X. & Sadler, P. J. (2004). Chem. Soc. Rev. 33, 246-266.
- Lin, Z. J., Lü, J., Hong, M. & Cao, R. (2014). Chem. Soc. Rev. 43, 5867–5895.
- Macrae, C. F., Sovago, I., Cottrell, S. J., Galek, P. T. A., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Platings, M., Shields, G. P., Stevens, J. S., Towler, M. & Wood, P. A. (2020). J. Appl. Cryst. 53, 226–235.
- Min, K. S., Park, M. J. & Ryoo, J. J. (2013). Chirality, 25, 54-58.
- Min, K. S. & Suh, M. P. (2001). Chem. Eur. J. 7, 303-313.
- Park, J. H., Jeong, A. R., Hastuti, D. K. A. K., Jeong, M. J. & Min, K. S. (2015). J. Incl Phenom. Macrocycl Chem. 82, 153–162.
- Salavati-Niasari, M. & Davar, F. (2006). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 9, 175–179.
- Salavati-Niasari, M. & Najafian, H. (2003). Polyhedron, 22, 2633–2638.
- Salavati-Niasari, M. & Rezai-Adaryani, M. (2004). Polyhedron, 23, 1325–1331.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Stackhouse, C. A. & Ma, S. (2018). Polyhedron, 145, 154–165.
- Su, Y. H., Liu, J., Li, J. & Si, X. Z. (2007). J. Mol. Struct. 837, 257– 262.
- Suh, M. P. (1996). Adv. Inorg. Chem. 44, 93-146.
- Suh, M. P., Han, M. Y., Lee, J. H., Min, K. S. & Hyeon, C. (1998). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 120, 3819–3820.
- Suh, M. P., Kim, I. S., Shim, B. Y., Hong, D. & Yoon, T.-S. (1996). *Inorg. Chem.* 35, 3595–3598.
- Suh, M. P., Shim, B. Y. & Yoon, T.-S. (1994). Inorg. Chem. 33, 5509– 5514.

Acta Cryst. (2021). E77, 148-152 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989020016795]

Crystal structure of [3,10-bis(4-fluorophenethyl)-1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclo-tetradecane]nickel(II) diperchlorate

### **Chee-Hun Kwak and Mee Chang**

**Computing details** 

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2002); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2002); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2002); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2018/3* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 2012), *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2020); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 2012).

[3,10-Bis(4-fluorophenethyl)-1,3,5,8,10,12-hexaazacyclotetradecane]nickel(II) bis(perchlorate)

Crystal data	
[Ni(C <sub>24</sub> H <sub>36</sub> F <sub>2</sub> N <sub>6</sub> )](ClO <sub>4</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> $M_r = 704.20$ Monoclinic, C2/c a = 16.9910 (12) Å b = 15.5187 (11) Å c = 13.8864 (9) Å $\beta = 126.189$ (1)° V = 2955.1 (4) Å <sup>3</sup> Z = 4	F(000) = 1464 $D_x = 1.583 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation, \lambda = 0.71073 \mathbf{A} Cell parameters from 3600 reflections \theta = 1.8-28.3^\circ \mu = 0.91 \text{ mm}^{-1} T = 173 \text{ K} Block, yellow 0.40 \times 0.35 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}
Data collection	
Bruker SMART CCD area detector diffractometer phi and $\omega$ scans 9357 measured reflections 3400 independent reflections 2737 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$	$R_{int} = 0.083$ $\theta_{max} = 28.3^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.0^{\circ}$ $h = -21 \rightarrow 19$ $k = -19 \rightarrow 18$ $l = -18 \rightarrow 15$
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.068$ $wR(F^2) = 0.159$ S = 1.13	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0449P)^2 + 12.0119P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$  $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.88 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.63 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

3400 reflections

282 parameters

492 restraints

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
Ni1	0.50831 (10)	0.37625 (4)	0.25924 (13)	0.0174 (2)*	0.5
F1	0.0633 (8)	0.3851 (12)	0.5651 (8)	0.071 (3)	0.5
N1	0.2930 (6)	0.3576 (6)	0.2078 (8)	0.0457 (18)	0.5
N2	0.4195 (8)	0.4599 (6)	0.2494 (14)	0.0285 (16)	0.5
H2	0.440274	0.471036	0.330822	0.034*	0.5
N3	0.4422 (6)	0.2796 (5)	0.2689 (8)	0.0315 (17)	0.5
H3	0.464913	0.275912	0.352038	0.038*	0.5
C1	0.3129 (7)	0.4353 (7)	0.1751 (11)	0.0438 (19)	0.5
H1A	0.276898	0.481023	0.180846	0.053*	0.5
H1B	0.289042	0.431370	0.092254	0.053*	0.5
C2	0.3322 (7)	0.2838 (6)	0.1930 (9)	0.0407 (18)	0.5
H2A	0.307538	0.280024	0.109821	0.049*	0.5
H2B	0.308648	0.233687	0.210625	0.049*	0.5
C3	0.4317 (10)	0.5416 (7)	0.2027 (12)	0.043 (2)	0.5
H3A	0.398839	0.537629	0.117278	0.052*	0.5
H3B	0.404620	0.589837	0.218621	0.052*	0.5
C4	0.4734 (8)	0.1987 (5)	0.2428 (11)	0.042 (2)	0.5
H4A	0.462776	0.149576	0.277068	0.050*	0.5
H4B	0.436522	0.190069	0.157356	0.050*	0.5
C5	0.2772 (7)	0.3584 (7)	0.3011 (9)	0.043 (2)	0.5
H5A	0.324764	0.396071	0.365491	0.051*	0.5
H5B	0.286668	0.300782	0.333287	0.051*	0.5
C6	0.1754 (10)	0.3892 (15)	0.2521 (13)	0.0436 (17)	0.5
H6A	0.169116	0.448576	0.226476	0.052*	0.5
H6B	0.129079	0.355294	0.181870	0.052*	0.5
C7	0.1472 (8)	0.3846 (10)	0.3366 (10)	0.0368 (12)	0.5
C8	0.1429 (10)	0.3083 (10)	0.3863 (11)	0.048 (3)	0.5
H8	0.157393	0.256297	0.366611	0.057*	0.5
C9	0.1173 (10)	0.3085 (10)	0.4650 (11)	0.054 (3)	0.5
H9	0.119223	0.257617	0.501639	0.065*	0.5
C10	0.0891 (15)	0.3847 (12)	0.4885 (15)	0.0519 (18)	0.5
C11	0.0973 (19)	0.4610 (12)	0.447 (2)	0.046 (2)	0.5
H11	0.084266	0.512687	0.469261	0.055*	0.5
C12	0.126 (2)	0.4599 (11)	0.371 (2)	0.039 (2)	0.5
H12	0.130349	0.512186	0.342290	0.047*	0.5
F1′	0.9281 (8)	0.3557 (11)	-0.0646 (8)	0.071 (3)	0.5
N1′	0.7183 (6)	0.3953 (6)	0.3006 (8)	0.0457 (18)	0.5
N2′	0.5718 (8)	0.4730 (6)	0.2422 (15)	0.0315 (17)	0.5
H2′	0.548837	0.474605	0.158805	0.038*	0.5

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

N3′	0.5922 (6)	0.2927 (5)	0.2582 (8)	0.0285 (16)	0.5
H3′	0.570787	0.286557	0.175710	0.034*	0.5
C1′	0.6818 (7)	0.4693 (6)	0.3192 (10)	0.0407 (18)	0.5
H1'A	0.706114	0.519692	0.303050	0.049*	0.5
H1′B	0.706349	0.471549	0.402388	0.049*	0.5
C2′	0.6995 (7)	0.3171 (7)	0.3348 (10)	0.0438 (19)	0.5
H2'A	0.722435	0.321528	0.417275	0.053*	0.5
H2′B	0.736204	0.271471	0.330180	0.053*	0.5
C3′	0.5385 (10)	0.5534 (7)	0.2658 (12)	0.042 (2)	0.5
H3'A	0.551526	0.603098	0.234804	0.050*	0.5
H3′B	0.571198	0.561228	0.350715	0.050*	0.5
C4′	0.5786 (8)	0.2082 (5)	0.2969 (10)	0.043 (2)	0.5
H4'A	0.600078	0.161811	0.270542	0.052*	0.5
H4′B	0.616559	0.206027	0.383173	0.052*	0.5
C5′	0.7323 (7)	0.3938 (7)	0.2050 (9)	0.043 (2)	0.5
H5'A	0.695637	0.440331	0.149100	0.051*	0.5
H5′B	0.708406	0.339732	0.161773	0.051*	0.5
C6′	0.8384 (11)	0.4037 (15)	0.2587 (14)	0.0436 (17)	0.5
H6'A	0.874819	0.360440	0.320403	0.052*	0.5
H6′B	0.860033	0.459795	0.296500	0.052*	0.5
C7′	0.8622 (8)	0.3952 (10)	0.1701 (10)	0.0368 (12)	0.5
C8′	0.8807 (9)	0.3129 (9)	0.1490 (10)	0.039 (2)	0.5
H8′	0.875962	0.266112	0.187145	0.047*	0.5
C9′	0.9059 (10)	0.2994 (10)	0.0724 (11)	0.046 (2)	0.5
H9′	0.923553	0.245074	0.062804	0.055*	0.5
C10′	0.9039 (15)	0.3693 (11)	0.0113 (15)	0.0519 (18)	0.5
C11′	0.893 (2)	0.4515 (12)	0.034 (2)	0.054 (3)	0.5
H11′	0.902900	0.497780	-0.000650	0.065*	0.5
C12′	0.868 (2)	0.4641 (12)	0.112 (2)	0.048 (3)	0.5
H12′	0.854488	0.519427	0.124343	0.057*	0.5
Cl1	0.6115 (4)	0.3604 (3)	0.5699 (5)	0.0469 (4)	0.795 (7)
01	0.6801 (6)	0.3549 (5)	0.6978 (5)	0.082 (3)	0.795 (7)
O2	0.5813 (5)	0.2776 (4)	0.5188 (5)	0.102 (2)	0.795 (7)
03	0.6555 (5)	0.3981 (6)	0.5233 (6)	0.113 (3)	0.795 (7)
O4	0.5284 (5)	0.4091 (5)	0.5368 (6)	0.089 (2)	0.795 (7)
Cl1′	0.6086 (16)	0.3675 (13)	0.5680 (19)	0.0469 (4)	0.205 (7)
01′	0.6497 (18)	0.3241 (14)	0.6781 (19)	0.049 (4)	0.205 (7)
O2′	0.6325 (17)	0.3288 (16)	0.4980 (16)	0.074 (4)	0.205 (7)
O3′	0.6267 (19)	0.4551 (13)	0.574 (2)	0.097 (6)	0.205 (7)
O4′	0.5058 (15)	0.3669 (16)	0.5057 (19)	0.067 (4)	0.205 (7)

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
F1	0.074 (3)	0.112 (11)	0.060 (2)	0.010 (4)	0.0571 (19)	0.004 (3)
N1	0.035 (2)	0.063 (6)	0.054 (3)	-0.001 (2)	0.034 (2)	0.005 (3)
N2	0.034 (3)	0.030 (3)	0.034 (3)	0.007 (2)	0.028 (3)	0.006 (3)
N3	0.037 (3)	0.031 (3)	0.039 (4)	-0.003 (3)	0.029 (3)	-0.002 (3)

C1	0.031 (3)	0.057 (5)	0.049 (4)	0.012 (3)	0.026 (3)	0.011 (4)
C2	0.038 (3)	0.050 (4)	0.043 (4)	-0.014 (3)	0.029 (3)	-0.007 (3)
C3	0.067 (6)	0.031 (3)	0.060 (6)	0.013 (3)	0.054 (5)	0.010 (3)
C4	0.073 (7)	0.022 (3)	0.062 (6)	-0.003 (3)	0.057 (6)	-0.001 (3)
C5	0.033 (3)	0.061 (8)	0.045 (3)	-0.001 (3)	0.028 (2)	0.002 (3)
C6	0.032 (4)	0.066 (6)	0.040 (3)	-0.003 (4)	0.025 (3)	-0.003 (5)
C7	0.029 (2)	0.052 (3)	0.033 (2)	0.003 (5)	0.020 (2)	-0.002 (4)
C8	0.045 (6)	0.053 (4)	0.046 (7)	0.009 (4)	0.027 (6)	0.010 (4)
C9	0.051 (7)	0.073 (5)	0.041 (7)	0.000 (5)	0.029 (6)	0.011 (5)
C10	0.041 (3)	0.086 (6)	0.041 (2)	0.010 (6)	0.031 (2)	0.008 (5)
C11	0.036 (5)	0.068 (4)	0.032 (5)	0.007 (4)	0.020 (5)	-0.003 (4)
C12	0.036 (5)	0.052 (4)	0.028 (5)	0.003 (4)	0.019 (4)	0.003 (4)
F1′	0.074 (3)	0.112 (11)	0.060 (2)	0.010 (4)	0.0571 (19)	0.004 (3)
N1′	0.035 (2)	0.063 (6)	0.054 (3)	-0.001 (2)	0.034 (2)	0.005 (3)
N2′	0.037 (3)	0.031 (3)	0.039 (4)	-0.003 (3)	0.029 (3)	-0.002 (3)
N3′	0.034 (3)	0.030 (3)	0.034 (3)	0.007 (2)	0.028 (3)	0.006 (3)
C1′	0.038 (3)	0.050 (4)	0.043 (4)	-0.014 (3)	0.029 (3)	-0.007 (3)
C2′	0.031 (3)	0.057 (5)	0.049 (4)	0.012 (3)	0.026 (3)	0.011 (4)
C3′	0.073 (7)	0.022 (3)	0.062 (6)	-0.003 (3)	0.057 (6)	-0.001 (3)
C4′	0.067 (6)	0.031 (3)	0.060 (6)	0.013 (3)	0.054 (5)	0.010 (3)
C5′	0.033 (3)	0.061 (8)	0.045 (3)	-0.001 (3)	0.028 (2)	0.002 (3)
C6′	0.032 (4)	0.066 (6)	0.040 (3)	-0.003 (4)	0.025 (3)	-0.003 (5)
C7′	0.029 (2)	0.052 (3)	0.033 (2)	0.003 (5)	0.020(2)	-0.002 (4)
C8′	0.036 (5)	0.052 (4)	0.028 (5)	0.003 (4)	0.019 (4)	0.003 (4)
C9′	0.036 (5)	0.068 (4)	0.032 (5)	0.007 (4)	0.020 (5)	-0.003 (4)
C10′	0.041 (3)	0.086 (6)	0.041 (2)	0.010 (6)	0.031 (2)	0.008 (5)
C11′	0.051 (7)	0.073 (5)	0.041 (7)	0.000 (5)	0.029 (6)	0.011 (5)
C12′	0.045 (6)	0.053 (4)	0.046 (7)	0.009 (4)	0.027 (6)	0.010 (4)
C11	0.0583 (8)	0.0582 (11)	0.0380 (6)	0.0229 (7)	0.0361 (6)	0.0149 (7)
01	0.089 (5)	0.116 (6)	0.038 (3)	0.058 (4)	0.035 (3)	0.014 (3)
O2	0.119 (5)	0.063 (3)	0.077 (4)	0.015 (3)	0.032 (3)	0.012 (3)
03	0.113 (5)	0.162 (6)	0.094 (4)	-0.035 (4)	0.077 (4)	0.015 (4)
O4	0.081 (4)	0.108 (5)	0.062 (4)	0.056 (4)	0.033 (3)	0.010 (3)
Cl1′	0.0583 (8)	0.0582 (11)	0.0380 (6)	0.0229 (7)	0.0361 (6)	0.0149 (7)
01′	0.068 (8)	0.052 (8)	0.050 (7)	0.028 (6)	0.047 (6)	0.017 (6)
O2′	0.093 (8)	0.095 (9)	0.039 (6)	0.040 (8)	0.042 (6)	0.016 (7)
O3′	0.108 (9)	0.081 (8)	0.084 (8)	0.002 (7)	0.046 (7)	0.013 (6)
O4′	0.073 (7)	0.093 (9)	0.050 (7)	0.007 (7)	0.044 (5)	0.008 (7)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Ni1—N3	1.925 (7)	N1′—C1′	1.400 (11)
Ni1—N2	1.933 (9)	N1′—C2′	1.408 (12)
Ni1—N3′	1.934 (7)	N1′—C5′	1.481 (10)
Ni1—N2′	1.943 (9)	N2′—C3′	1.484 (10)
F1-C10	1.370 (10)	N2′—C1′	1.510 (11)
N1—C1	1.398 (11)	N2′—H2′	0.9800
N1—C2	1.401 (11)	N3'—C4'	1.486 (10)

N1—C5	1,469 (10)	N3'—C2'	1.519 (12)
N2—C3	1.495 (11)	N3'—H3'	0.9800
N2-C1	1 511 (11)	C1'—H1'A	0.9700
N2—H2	0.9800	C1'—H1'B	0.9700
N3—C4	1 488 (9)	C2' - H2'A	0.9700
N3 C2	1.400(9)	C2' $H2'B$	0.9700
N3 H3	0.0800	$C_2 = H_2 D$	0.9700
	0.9800	$C_2'$ $H_2'P$	0.9700
	0.9700	$C_{3}$ — $H_{3}$ B	0.9700
	0.9700	C4 - H4 A	0.9700
C2—H2A	0.9700	C4 - H4 B	0.9700
C2—H2B	0.9700	$C_{5} = C_{6}$	1.498 (12)
	1.489 (13)		0.9700
C3—H3A	0.9700	CS'—HS'B	0.9700
С3—НЗВ	0.9700	C6'—C'/'	1.510 (10)
C4—C4′	1.482 (17)	С6'—Н6'А	0.9700
C4—H4A	0.9700	С6'—Н6'В	0.9700
C4—H4B	0.9700	C7'—C12'	1.380 (11)
C5—C6	1.515 (11)	C7'—C8'	1.387 (11)
С5—Н5А	0.9700	C8′—C9′	1.377 (11)
С5—Н5В	0.9700	C8'—H8'	0.9300
C6—C7	1.509 (10)	C9′—C10′	1.366 (12)
С6—Н6А	0.9700	С9'—Н9'	0.9300
С6—Н6В	0.9700	C10′—C11′	1.351 (12)
C7—C8	1.393 (11)	C11′—C12′	1.395 (12)
C7—C12	1.394 (11)	C11'—H11'	0.9300
C8—C9	1.394 (12)	C12'—H12'	0.9300
С8—Н8	0.9300	C11—O3	1.376 (7)
C9—C10	1.385 (12)	C11—O2	1.410 (7)
С9—Н9	0.9300	Cl1—04	1.418 (6)
C10—C11	1.357(12)	Cl1—O1	1 440 (6)
C11-C12	1 396 (11)	C11'-03'	1.386(17)
C11—H11	0.9300	Cl1'	1.300(17) 1.392(17)
C12_H12	0.9300	C11' - O4'	1.392(17) 1 420(17)
E12 III2	1 356 (10)		1.420(17)
11-010	1.550 (10)		1.421 (10)
N2 Ni1 N3	93.7(4)	$C_{2'}$ N2' $C_{1'}$	110.4(9)
N3 Ni1 N3'	95.7 ( <del>1</del> ) 86.6 (3)	C3 - N2 - C1 C3' - N2' - N1	108.1(8)
N2 Ni1 N2'	176 A (A)	$C_{1}$ $N_{2}$ $N_{1}$	106.1(8)
$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$	170.4(4)	$C_1 - N_2 - N_1$	107.5
$N_{2} = N_{11} = N_{2}$	177.5(3)	$C_{3} = N_{2} = N_{2}$	107.5
$N_2 = N_1 = N_2$	80.5(2)	CI - N2 - H2	107.5
$N3^{-}$ $N11^{-}$ $N2^{-}$	93.0 (4)	$N11 - N2^{2} - H2^{2}$	107.5
CI—NI—C2	115.5 (/)	C4' = N3' = C2'	110.2 (8)
CI—NI—C5	118.9 (9)	C4' - N3' - N11	108.5 (6)
C2—N1—C5	119.1 (8)	C2'—N3'—N11	114.4 (6)
C3—N2—C1	109.6 (9)	C4'—N3'—H3'	107.9
C3—N2—Ni1	107.3 (7)	C2'—N3'—H3'	107.9
C1—N2—Ni1	116.7 (7)	Ni1—N3'—H3'	107.9
C3—N2—H2	107.6	N1'—C1'—N2'	113.4 (8)

C1—N2—H2	107.6	N1'—C1'—H1'A	108.9
Ni1—N2—H2	107.6	N2'—C1'—H1'A	108.9
C4—N3—C2	109.7 (7)	N1′—C1′—H1′B	108.9
C4—N3—Ni1	109.6 (5)	N2′—C1′—H1′B	108.9
C2—N3—Ni1	116.8 (6)	H1'A—C1'—H1'B	107.7
C4—N3—H3	106.7	N1′—C2′—N3′	113.3 (7)
C2—N3—H3	106.7	N1′—C2′—H2′A	108.9
Ni1—N3—H3	106.7	N3'—C2'—H2'A	108.9
N1—C1—N2	114.7 (8)	N1′—C2′—H2′B	108.9
N1—C1—H1A	108.6	N3'-C2'-H2'B	108.9
N2—C1—H1A	108.6	H2'A - C2' - H2'B	107.7
N1—C1—H1B	108.6	N2'-C3'-C3	107.0(11)
N2—C1—H1B	108.6	N2'-C3'-H3'A	110.8
H1A—C1—H1B	107.6	$C_3 - C_3' - H_3'A$	110.8
N1-C2-N3	115 7 (7)	N2'-C3'-H3'B	110.8
N1-C2-H2A	108.4	$C_3 - C_3' - H_3'B$	110.8
$N_3 - C_2 - H_2 A$	108.4	H3'A = C3' = H3'B	108.8
N1 - C2 - H2B	108.4	C4-C4'-N3'	107.6 (8)
N3_C2_H2B	108.4	C4 - C4' - H4'A	110.2
$H_2 A = C_2 = H_2 B$	107.4	N3' - C4' - H4'A	110.2
$C_{3'} - C_{3} - N_{2}^{2}$	106.4 (10)	C4-C4'-H4'B	110.2
C3' - C3 - H3A	110.4	N3' - C4' - H4'B	110.2
N2-C3-H3A	110.1	H4'A - C4' - H4'B	108.5
C3' - C3 - H3B	110.4	N1′—C5′—C6′	109.6 (8)
N2-C3-H3B	110.4	N1' - C5' - H5'A	109.8 (0)
$H_{3A}$ $C_{3}$ $H_{3B}$	108.6	C6' - C5' - H5'A	109.8
C4' - C4 - N3	106.8 (8)	N1′—C5′—H5′B	109.8
C4′—C4—H4A	110.4	C6' - C5' - H5'B	109.8
N3—C4—H4A	110.4	H5'A - C5' - H5'B	108.2
C4'-C4-H4B	110.4	C5' - C6' - C7'	114.0 (8)
N3—C4—H4B	110.4	C5'—C6'—H6'A	108.7
H4A—C4—H4B	108.6	C7'—C6'—H6'A	108.7
N1-C5-C6	111.1 (8)	C5'—C6'—H6'B	108.7
N1—C5—H5A	109.4	C7'—C6'—H6'B	108.7
C6—C5—H5A	109.4	H6'A—C6'—H6'B	107.6
N1—C5—H5B	109.4	C12'—C7'—C8'	119.1 (9)
C6—C5—H5B	109.4	C12'—C7'—C6'	123.8 (11)
H5A—C5—H5B	108.0	C8′—C7′—C6′	117.1 (11)
C7—C6—C5	116.0 (8)	C9'—C8'—C7'	121.1 (10)
C7—C6—H6A	108.3	C9'—C8'—H8'	119.4
C5—C6—H6A	108.3	C7'—C8'—H8'	119.4
C7—C6—H6B	108.3	C10'—C9'—C8'	117.0 (10)
C5—C6—H6B	108.3	C10'—C9'—H9'	121.5
H6A—C6—H6B	107.4	C8'—C9'—H9'	121.5
C8—C7—C12	116.1 (9)	C11'-C10'-F1'	118.1 (11)
C8—C7—C6	124.0 (11)	C11'-C10'-C9'	124.3 (10)
C12—C7—C6	119.9 (11)	F1'-C10'-C9'	116.8(12)
C7—C8—C9	121.1 (11)	C10'-C11'-C12'	117.2 (13)
0, 00 07		010 011 012	

С7—С8—Н8	119.4	C10′—C11′—H11′	1214
C9-C8-H8	119.1	C12'-C11'-H11'	121.1
$C_{10} - C_{9} - C_{8}$	119.1	C7'-C12'-C11'	121.1 120.5(13)
$C_{10}$ $C_{9}$ $H_{9}$	120.0	$C_{7}^{\prime}$ $C_{12}^{\prime}$ $H_{12}^{\prime}$	110.8
	120.0	$C_{11'}$ $C_{12'}$ $H_{12'}$	110.8
$C_{0} = C_{0} = C_{0}$	120.0 118.8(12)	$C_{11} = C_{12} = 1112$	119.8
$C_{11} = C_{10} = C_{10}$	110.0(12) 120.7(10)	03 - C11 - 02	100.7(0)
C11 - C10 - C9	120.7(10) 120.1(11)	03 - 01 - 04	109.3(0)
F1 - C10 - C9	120.1(11) 118.4(12)	02 - CII - O4	109.0(6)
C10-C11-C12	110.4 (12)	03-01-01	109.0 (6)
	120.8		110.9 (5)
CI2—CII—HII	120.8		110.9 (5)
C/C12C11	123.4 (12)	03'	108.4 (19)
С7—С12—Н12	118.3	03'—Cl1'—O4'	101.1 (17)
C11—C12—H12	118.3	O2'—Cl1'—O4'	109.5 (18)
C1'—N1'—C2'	115.6 (7)	O3'-Cl1'-O1'	116.9 (18)
C1'—N1'—C5'	120.1 (8)	02'—Cl1'—O1'	112.8 (18)
C2'—N1'—C5'	118.5 (9)	04'—Cl1'—O1'	107.4 (18)
C2—N1—C1—N2	-64.3 (13)	C5'—N1'—C1'—N2'	86.6 (11)
C5-N1-C1-N2	87.3 (12)	C3' - N2' - C1' - N1'	-179.4(10)
$C_3 - N_2 - C_1 - N_1$	177.6 (10)	$N_1 - N_2' - C_1' - N_1'$	57.6 (13)
Ni1-N2-C1-N1	55 4 (13)	C1' - N1' - C2' - N3'	67.6 (12)
C1-N1-C2-N3	63.7(12)	C5' - N1' - C2' - N3'	-85.8(10)
$C_{5}$ N1 $C_{2}$ N3	-87.7(12)	C4' - N3' - C2' - N1'	1780(8)
$C_4 = N_3 = C_2 = N_3$	-1703(8)	$N_{11} N_{12} C_{2} N_{11}$	-59.5(10)
$N_{1} N_{2} C_{2} N_{1}$	-53.0(10)	N11 - N3 - C2 - N1 C1' - N2' - C3' - C3	-160.8(8)
$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{1} \frac{1}$	-1704(8)	$N_{1}^{-1} N_{2}^{-1} C_{3}^{-1} C_{3}^{-1}$	-42.7(11)
$N_{1} N_{2} C_{3} C_{3} C_{3}$	-170.4(6) -42.7(11)	N11 - N2 - C3 - C3 N2 C2 C2' N2'	-42.7(11)
NII - N2 - C3 - C3	-42.7(11)	N2 - C3 - C3 - N2	33.7(7)
$V_2 = N_3 = C_4 = C_4$	100.7(7)	$N_{3} = C_{4} = C_{4} = N_{3}$	-49.6(8)
NII - N3 - C4 - C4	57.5 (9) 79.6 (14)	$C_2 = N_3 = C_4 = C_4$	103.1(7)
CI = NI = CS = C6	/8.0 (14)	N11 - N3 - C4 - C4	39.5 (9)
C2—N1—C5—C6	-130.9 (14)	C1' - N1' - C5' - C6'	103.5 (13)
NI-C5-C6-C7	1/4.2 (12)	C2' - N1' - C5' - C6'	-104.4 (13)
C5—C6—C7—C8	-61.5 (16)	NI'	174.9 (12)
C5—C6—C7—C12	117 (2)	C5'—C6'—C7'—C12'	93 (2)
C12—C7—C8—C9	0.7 (16)	C5'—C6'—C7'—C8'	-88.0 (15)
C6—C7—C8—C9	179.3 (10)	C12'—C7'—C8'—C9'	1.0 (16)
C7—C8—C9—C10	4 (2)	C6'—C7'—C8'—C9'	-178.0 (10)
C8—C9—C10—C11	-8 (2)	C7'—C8'—C9'—C10'	-5.3 (19)
C8—C9—C10—F1	179.6 (14)	C8'—C9'—C10'—C11'	10 (3)
F1-C10-C11-C12	179 (2)	C8'—C9'—C10'—F1'	-179.6 (13)
C9—C10—C11—C12	6 (3)	F1'—C10'—C11'—C12'	180 (2)
C8—C7—C12—C11	-3 (3)	C9'—C10'—C11'—C12'	-11 (3)
C6-C7-C12-C11	178.8 (18)	C8'—C7'—C12'—C11'	-1 (3)
C10-C11-C12-C7	-1 (4)	C6'—C7'—C12'—C11'	177.8 (18)
C2'—N1'—C1'—N2'	-66.3 (12)	C10'—C11'—C12'—C7'	6 (4)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
N2—H2…O4	0.98	2.51	3.355 (17)	144
N2—H2···O4 <sup>i</sup>	0.98	2.44	3.260 (16)	141
N2′—H2′····O4 <sup>ii</sup>	0.98	2.43	3.332 (18)	152
N2′—H2′····O4 <sup>iii</sup>	0.98	2.36	3.089 (17)	131
N3—H3…O2	0.98	1.97	2.819 (11)	144
N3′—H3′····O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.98	2.40	3.186 (11)	137
C1′—H1′A…O1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.97	2.31	3.198 (13)	151
C1—H1 <i>B</i> …O3 <sup>ii</sup>	0.97	2.35	3.156 (16)	140
C1′—H1′ <i>B</i> ···O3	0.97	2.56	3.309 (15)	134
C2—H2 $B$ ····O1 <sup>iv</sup>	0.97	2.50	3.394 (16)	154
C3—H3 <i>B</i> …O1 <sup>i</sup>	0.97	2.48	3.35 (2)	149
C2'— $H2'B$ ···O1 <sup>v</sup>	0.97	2.58	3.551 (16)	175
C4—H4 $A$ ····F1 <sup>vi</sup>	0.97	2.54	3.341 (19)	140
C3'—H3'A···O4 <sup>iii</sup>	0.97	2.54	3.136 (17)	119
C4′—H4′ <i>B</i> ···O2	0.97	2.54	3.239 (14)	129

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; (ii) -x+1, y, -z+1/2; (iii) x, -y+1, z-1/2; (iv) x-1/2, -y+1/2, z-1/2; (v) -x+3/2, -y+1/2, -z+1; (vi) -x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1.