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# X-ray crystal structure of trans-bis(pyridin-3-yl)ethylene: comparing the supramolecular structural features among the symmetrical bis(n-pyridyl)ethylenes ( $n=2,3$, or 4 ) constitutional isomers 
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The molecular structure of trans-bis(pyridin-3-yl)ethylene ( $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-bpe), $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$, as determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction is reported. The molecule selfassembles into two dimensional arrays by a combination of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds and edge-to-face $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ interactions that stack in a herringbone arrangement perpendicular to the crystallographic $c$-axis. The supramolecular forces that direct the packing of $\mathbf{3 , 3} \mathbf{3}$-bpe as well as its packing assembly within the crystal are also compared to those observed within the structures of the other symmetrical isomers trans-1,2-bis( $n$-pyridyl)ethylene ( $\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}$-bpe, where $n=$ $n^{\prime}=2$ or 4 ).

## 1. Chemical context

Bis(pyridyl)ethylenes have arisen as somewhat of a natural extension of cinnamic acid as a series of molecules capable of undergoing [2+2] photodimerization in the solid state to generate cyclobutanes. Foundational work by Schmidt and coworkers on trans-cinnamic acids led to the formation of the 'Topochemical Postulate', which dictated that olefins within $4.2 \AA$ of one another are capable of undergoing the photodimerization process. Unlike cinnamic acid, which crystallizes in such a way that the olefins are rendered photoactive (olefins within $4.2 \AA$ of one another), the native crystalline forms of bis(pyridyl)-
ethylenes are photostable (olefins separated by distances $>4.2 \AA$ in the crystal). To achieve photoreactivity of these olefins, it often becomes necessary to use a 'molecular template' that can interact with the olefin-containing bipyridine via supramolecular interactions such as hydrogen bonding, halogen bonding, argento- and aurophilic interactions, and dative $\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \mathrm{B}$ interactions. Analyses of the crystal structures of symmetric bis(pyridyl)ethylenes derivatives such as the trans-bis( $n$-pyridyl)ethylenes series of isomers ( $n=2,3$ or 4 ) is necessary to understand the forces that govern their crystallization, why they are photostable, and why use templates to achieve photoreactivity (Campillo-Alvarado et al., 2019; Chanthapally et al., 2014; MacGillivray et al., 2008; Pahari et al., 2019; Sezer et al., 2017; Volodin et al., 2018).


Table 1
Structural features of the $\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}$-bpe series of constitutional isomers.
The twist angle is defined as the angle between the plane defined by the four alkene atoms and the plane defined by either pyridine ring.

| Compound | $\mathbf{2 , 2}$ '-bpe | $\mathbf{3 , \mathbf { 3 } ^ { \prime }}$-bpe | $\mathbf{4 , 4} \mathbf{4}^{\prime}$-bpe |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Twist angle $\varphi\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | 7.43 | 5.17 | 9.14 |
| Solid-state packing assembly | corrugated chains | approximately planar sheets | planar sheets |
| Assembly forces | edge-to-face $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ | edge-to-face $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi, \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$, face-to-face $\pi-\pi$ |
| Nearest-neighbor alkene separation $(\AA)$ | 6.09 | 5.50 | 5.72 |

## 2. Structural commentary

The alkene $\mathbf{3 , 3}$ '-bpe crystallizes in the centrosymmetric monoclinic space group $P 2_{1} / n$ (Fig. 1). The asymmetric unit consists of one-half molecule of $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-bpe with the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond sitting on a crystallographic center of inversion. The pyridyl rings adopt an anti-conformation with respect to each other (Fig. 1).

## 3. Supramolecular features

Adjacent $\mathbf{3 , 3}$ '-bpe molecules interact primarily via edge-toface $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi\left[d\left(\mathrm{C} 6 \cdots\right.\right.$ pyr) $3.58 \AA$; ${ }^{(\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H} 6 \cdots$ pyr) $\left.131.8^{\circ}\right]$ forces between pyridyl rings (Fig. 2). Those rings also participate in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}[d(\mathrm{C} 4 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 1) 3.59 \AA ; \Theta(\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \cdots \mathrm{~N} 1)$ $139.5^{\circ}$ ] hydrogen bonds (Fig. 2). The forces generate nearly


Figure 1
Single crystal structure for trans-bis(pyridin-3-yl)ethylene (3,3'-bpe) with anisotropic displacement ellipsoids at $50 \%$ probability.


Figure 2
$\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ and edge-to-face $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ intermolecular interactions (both yellow dotted lines) highlighting nearest-neighbor alkene separations (red dashed arrow) (view along $a$ ).
planar sheets (Fig. 3), which aggregate into a herringbone arrangement of adjacent sheets (Fig. 4). Nearest-neighbor alkene $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bonds of $\mathbf{3 , 3} \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-bpe between adjacent sheets reveals a parallel, but offset orientation of the neighboring alkenes relative to one another at a distance of $5.50 \AA$. The distance exceeds the inter-alkene separation of Schmidt for photodimerizarion and suggests that $\mathbf{3 , \mathbf { 3 } ^ { \prime }}$-bpe is photostable (Schmidt, 1971).

## 4. Database survey

For the $\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{n}^{\prime}$-bpe (where: $n=n^{\prime}=2,3$, or 4) series of symmetric alkenes, all three adopt nearly planar conformations (Table 1), with the pyridyl rings of $\mathbf{3 , \mathbf { 3 } ^ { \prime }}$-bpe and $\mathbf{2 , \mathbf { 2 } ^ { \prime }}$-bpe adopting anticonformations with respect to each other. The packings of the symmetric alkenes are defined by combinations of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ and/or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ hydrogen bonds (Table 1) to form either one-dimensional chain ( $\mathbf{2 , 2} \mathbf{2}^{\prime}$-bpe, Fig. 5) or two-dimensional sheet ( $\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-bpe and $\mathbf{4 , 4} \mathbf{4}^{\prime}$-bpe) structures (Fig. 6). Similar to $\mathbf{3 , 3} \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ bpe, the alkene $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bonds of $\mathbf{2 , \mathbf { 2 } ^ { \prime }}$-bpe ( $6.09 \AA$; Vansant et al.,


Figure 3
Edge-on view of sheets encompassing neighboring molecules of $\mathbf{3 , 3} \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-bpe supported by $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{N}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \pi$ intermolecular interactions.


Figure 4
Herringbone arrangement of neighboring sheets of $\mathbf{3 , 3} \mathbf{3}^{\mathbf{\prime}}$-bpe molecules.


Figure 6
Planar, two-dimensional sheets of $\mathbf{4 , 4} \mathbf{4}^{\prime}$-bpe.


Figure 5
Corrugated, one-dimensional chains of $\mathbf{2 , 2} \mathbf{2}^{\prime}$-bpe.
1980) and $\mathbf{4 , 4} \mathbf{4}^{\prime}$-bpe ( $5.72 \AA$ A Tinnemans et al., 2018) (Table 1) are beyond the separation distance of Schmidt (1971).

## 5. Synthesis and crystallization

The alkene $\mathbf{3 , 3} \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-bpe was prepared as described (Quentin et al., 2020; Gordillo et al., 2007, 2013) via a one-pot, aqueous Pdcatalyzed Hiyama-Heck cross-coupling between 3-bromopyridine and triethoxyvinylsilane (2:1 molar ratio) (Fig. 7). Flash chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{MeOH} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ furnished $\mathbf{3 , 3} \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-bpe as yellow crystals: $222.3 \mathrm{mg}(23 \%)$. A portion of $\mathbf{3 , 3} \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$ bpe was dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ and allowed to slowly evaporate at room temperature. Single crystals in the form of colorless plates suitable for single crystal X-ray diffraction formed within seven days.


Figure 7
Synthesis of $\mathbf{3 , 3} \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-bpe via Pd-catalyzed Hiyama-Heck cross-coupling.

Table 2
Experimental details.
Crystal data Chemical formula
$M_{\mathrm{r}}$
Crystal system, space group
Temperature (K)
$a, b, c(\AA)$
$\beta\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$
$V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$
Z
Radiation type
$\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$
Crystal size (mm)
Data collection
Diffractometer
Absorption correction
$T_{\text {min }}, T_{\text {max }}$
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I>2 \sigma(I)]$ reflections
$R_{\text {int }}$
Refinement
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right], w R\left(F^{2}\right), S$
No. of reflections
No. of parameters
H -atom treatment
$\Delta \rho_{\max }, \Delta \rho_{\text {min }}\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$
$\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$
182.22

Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$
296
7.4591 (7), 5.5045 (6), 11.7803 (12)
99.638 (5)
476.86 (8)

2
Mo $K \alpha$
0.08
$0.18 \times 0.12 \times 0.06$

> Bruker Nonius KappaCCD
> Multi-scan (SADABS; Krause et $\quad$ al., 2015)
> $0.989,0.995$
> $2410,836,587$
> 0.034

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.050,0.137,1.07 \\
& 836 \\
& 84 \\
& \text { All H-atom parameters refined } \\
& 0.13,-0.16
\end{aligned}
$$

Computer programs: COLLECT (Nonius, 1988), HKL DENZO and SCALEPACK (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015b) and OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009).

## 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details for $\mathbf{3 , 3} \mathbf{3}^{\prime}$-bpe are summarized in Table 2. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were located in the difference-Fourier map and freely refined with $0.93<\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}<0.99 \AA$. Refinement of the hydrogen atoms led to a data-to-parameter ratio of $\sim 10$. The single-crystal data were collected at room temperature to best reflect conditions under which photochemical reactions are typically conducted. Room-temperature data can also lead to fewer reflections and/ or scaling anomalies.
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## Computing details

Data collection: HKL SCALEPACK (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997); cell refinement: COLLECT (Nonius, 1998); data reduction: HKL DENZO and SCALEPACK (Otwinowski \& Minor, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: ShelXT (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009).
trans-1,2-Bis(pyridin-3-yl)ethene

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$
$M_{r}=182.22$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / n$
$a=7.4591$ (7) $\AA$
$b=5.5045$ (6) $\AA$
$c=11.7803(12) \AA$
$\beta=99.638(5)^{\circ}$
$V=476.86(8) \AA^{3}$
$Z=2$

## Data collection

## Bruker Nonius KappaCCD

 diffractometerRadiation source: fine-focus sealed tube CCD phi and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Krause et al., 2015)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.989, T_{\text {max }}=0.995$
2410 measured reflections

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.050$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.137$
$S=1.07$
836 reflections
84 parameters
0 restraints

$$
F(000)=192
$$

$D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.269 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 1169 reflections
$\theta=1.0-26.7^{\circ}$
$\mu=0.08 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=296 \mathrm{~K}$
Plate, colourless
$0.18 \times 0.12 \times 0.06 \mathrm{~mm}$

836 independent reflections
587 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.034$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=25.0^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=3.0^{\circ}$
$h=-8 \rightarrow 8$
$k=-6 \rightarrow 6$
$l=-13 \rightarrow 13$

Primary atom site location: dual
Hydrogen site location: difference Fourier map
All H-atom parameters refined
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}^{2}\right)+(0.0703 P)^{2}+0.056 P\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.13$ e $\AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-0.16$ e $\AA^{-3}$

## Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\mathrm{iso}} * / U_{\mathrm{eq}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N1 | $0.5400(2)$ | $0.7577(3)$ | $0.63093(15)$ | $0.0609(6)$ |
| C2 | $0.2479(2)$ | $0.5639(3)$ | $0.57302(15)$ | $0.0459(5)$ |
| C3 | $0.3752(3)$ | $0.7464(4)$ | $0.56601(17)$ | $0.0537(6)$ |
| C6 | $0.2998(3)$ | $0.3821(4)$ | $0.65272(17)$ | $0.0529(6)$ |
| C4 | $0.5835(3)$ | $0.5788(4)$ | $0.70678(19)$ | $0.0564(6)$ |
| C1 | $0.0695(3)$ | $0.5737(4)$ | $0.49890(16)$ | $0.0509(6)$ |
| C5 | $0.4688(3)$ | $0.3894(4)$ | $0.71993(19)$ | $0.0556(6)$ |
| H4 | $0.705(3)$ | $0.590(3)$ | $0.7528(19)$ | $0.062(6)^{*}$ |
| H3 | $0.345(3)$ | $0.875(4)$ | $0.507(2)$ | $0.068(6)^{*}$ |
| H5 | $0.504(3)$ | $0.265(4)$ | $0.7803(18)$ | $0.063(6)^{*}$ |
| H6 | $0.215(3)$ | $0.250(4)$ | $0.6607(17)$ | $0.066(6)^{*}$ |
| H1 | $0.051(3)$ | $0.706(4)$ | $0.4498(19)$ | $0.071(7)^{*}$ |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N1 | $0.0566(11)$ | $0.0569(11)$ | $0.0674(11)$ | $-0.0077(8)$ | $0.0048(9)$ | $0.0012(9)$ |
| C2 | $0.0493(11)$ | $0.0476(11)$ | $0.0416(10)$ | $-0.0010(9)$ | $0.0103(8)$ | $-0.0024(8)$ |
| C3 | $0.0562(13)$ | $0.0522(13)$ | $0.0519(12)$ | $-0.0045(9)$ | $0.0068(10)$ | $0.0027(10)$ |
| C6 | $0.0491(12)$ | $0.0522(13)$ | $0.0585(13)$ | $-0.0029(9)$ | $0.0120(10)$ | $0.0048(10)$ |
| C4 | $0.0465(12)$ | $0.0671(14)$ | $0.0551(12)$ | $0.0010(10)$ | $0.0069(10)$ | $-0.0019(11)$ |
| C1 | $0.0553(12)$ | $0.0526(12)$ | $0.0448(11)$ | $-0.0034(8)$ | $0.0085(9)$ | $0.0020(10)$ |
| C5 | $0.0517(12)$ | $0.0591(13)$ | $0.0570(12)$ | $0.0067(9)$ | $0.0117(10)$ | $0.0095(10)$ |

## Geometric parameters ( $A,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $1.336(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H} 6$ | $0.98(2)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $1.333(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.374(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $1.395(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4$ | $0.98(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $1.382(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $1.320(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $1.465(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ | $0.93(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3$ | $0.99(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5$ | $0.99(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.372(3)$ |  | $123.4(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $116.54(18)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $115.2(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $119.85(19)$ | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4$ | $121.4(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | $116.44(19)$ | $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4$ | $115.3(13)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ | $123.71(18)$ | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ | $127.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $124.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ |  |

## supporting information

| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3$ | $116.6(12)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ | $117.4(13)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3$ | $118.6(12)$ | $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $119.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H} 6$ | $119.4(12)$ | $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5$ | $120.0(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $119.80(19)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H} 5$ | $120.8(11)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H} 6$ | $120.8(12)$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $-0.5(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $-0.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $0.2(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $4.7(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $0.1(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2$ | $0.5(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $0.3(3)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{N} 1$ | $178.62(17)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $-174.6(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $-178.96(17)$ |

Symmetry code: (i) $-x,-y+1,-z+1$.

