



Received 8 June 2020 Accepted 14 July 2020

Edited by J. T. Mague, Tulane University, USA

Keywords: crystal structure; 2-hydroxy-5methyl-benzaldehyde; 4-trifluoromethylphenylamine.

CCDC reference: 2016363

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





Crystal structure, Hirshfeld surface analysis and DFT studies of 4-methyl-2-({[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]imino}methyl)phenol

Md. Serajul Haque Faizi,^a Emine Berrin Cinar,^b Onur Erman Dogan,^c Alev Sema Aydin,^c Erbil Agar,^c Necmi Dege^b and Ashraf Mashrai^d*

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Langat Singh College, B.R.A. Bihar University, Muzaffarpur, Bihar-842001, India, ^bOndokuz Mayıs University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Physics, Samsun, Turkey, ^cOndokuz Mayıs University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Chemistry, Samsun, Turkey, and ^dDepartment of Pharmacy, University of Science and Technology, Ibb Branch, Ibb, Yemen. *Correspondence e-mail: ashraf.yemen7@gmail.com

The title compound, $C_{15}H_{12}F_{3}NO$, crystallizes with one molecule in the asymmetric unit. The configuration of the C=N bond is *E* and there is an intramolecular $O-H\cdots N$ hydrogen bond present, forming an *S*(6) ring motif. The dihedral angle between the mean planes of the phenol and the 4-trifluoromethylphenyl rings is 44.77 (3)°. In the crystal, molecules are linked by C-H···O interactions, forming polymeric chains extending along the *a*-axis direction. The Hirshfeld surface analysis indicates that the most important contributions to the crystal packing are from C···H/H···C (29.2%), H···H (28.6%), F···H/H···F (25.6%), O···H/H···O (5.7%) and F···F (4.6%) interactions. The density functional theory (DFT) optimized structure at the B3LYP/6-311 G(d,p) level is compared with the experimentally determined molecular structure in the solid state. The HOMO-LUMO behaviour was elucidated to determine the energy gap. The crystal studied was refined as an inversion twin.

1. Chemical context

Over the past 25 years, there has been extensive research on the synthesis and use of Schiff base compounds in organic and inorganic chemistry as they have important medicinal and pharmaceutical applications. These compounds show biological activities including antibacterial, antifungal, anticancer and herbicidal activities (Desai et al., 2001; Singh & Dash, 1988; Karia & Parsania, 1999). Schiff bases are also becoming increasingly important in the dye and plastics industries, as well as in liquid-crystal technology and for the mechanistic investigation of drugs used in pharmacology, biochemistry and physiology (Sheikhshoaie & Sharif, 2006). The present work is a part of an ongoing structural study of Schiff bases and their use in the synthesis of new organic, excited-state proton-transfer compounds and fluorescent chemosensors (Faizi et al., 2016, 2018; Kumar et al., 2018; Mukherjee et al., 2018). We report here on the synthesis and crystal structure as well as the Hirshfeld surface analysis of the new compound, (I).





Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I) with the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% probability level. The intramolecular $O-H\cdots N$ hydrogen bond (Table 1) is shown as a dashed line.

The results of calculations by density functional theory (DFT) carried out at the B3LYP/6-311 G(d,p) level are compared with the experimentally determined molecular structure of (I) in the solid state.

2. Structural commentary

The molecular structure of the title compound, (I), is illustrated in Fig. 1. There is an intramolecular $O-H\cdots N$



Figure 2

A view along the *b* axis of the polymeric chain formed via $C-H \cdots O$ interactions (see Table 1 for details).

Table 1	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).	

		,		
$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O1-H1\cdots N1$	0.82	1.90	2.620 (7)	146
$C1-H1A\cdots O1^{i}$	0.93	2.60	3.463 (7)	154

Symmetry code: (i) x - 1, y, z.

hydrogen bond present (Table 1 and Fig. 1), forming an S(6) ring motif; this is a common feature in related imine-phenol compounds. The imine group displays a C9-C8-N1-C6 torsion angle of 170.1 (4)° while the mean plane of the phenol ring (C9-C14) is inclined to that of the 4-trifluoromethyl-phenyl group (C1-C6) by 44.77 (3)°. The configuration of the C8=N1 bond is *E*. The C10-O1 bond length [1.357 (8) Å (experimental) and 1.342 Å (calculated)] indicates single-bond character (Ozeryanskii *et al.*, 2006), while the imine C8=N1 bond length [1.283 (8) Å (experimental) and 1.290 Å (calculated)] indicates double-bond character. All these data support the existence of the phenol-imine tautomer for (I) in its crystalline state. These features are similar to those observed in related 4-dimethylamino-*N*-salicylideneanilines (Pizzala *et al.*, 2000).



A view of the crystal packing along the a axis.

3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal of (I), molecules are linked by intermolecular $C-H\cdots O$ interactions, forming chains extending along the *a*-axis direction (Fig. 2 and Table 1). The crystal packing along the *a*-axis direction is shown in Fig. 3.

4. Hirshfeld surface analysis and two-dimensional fingerprint plots

In order to visualize the role of weak intermolecular interactions in the crystal, a Hirshfeld surface (HS) analysis (Spackman & Jayatilaka, 2009) was carried out along with the associated two-dimensional fingerprint plots (McKinnon *et al.*, 2007) generated using *CrystalExplorer17.5* (Turner *et al.*, 2017). The three-dimensional d_{norm} (Fig. 4a) and shape-index



Figure 4

A view of the Hirshfeld surface of (I) mapped over (a) d_{norm} , (b) intermolecular C-H···O interactions and (c) shape-index.

(Fig. 4c) surfaces of (I) are shown with a standard surface resolution and a fixed colour scale of -0.1805 to 1.0413 a.u. The darkest red spots on the Hirshfeld surface indicate contact points with atoms participating in intramolecular $C-H\cdots O$ (Fig. 4b) interactions that involve C1-H1A and the oxygen atom O1 of the phenol group (Table 1). As illustrated in Fig. 5a, the corresponding fingerprint plots for (I) have characteristic pseudo-symmetrical wings along the d_e and d_i diagonal axes. The presence of C-H···O interactions in the crystal is indicated by the pair of characteristic wings in the fingerprint plot delineated into $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ (Fig. 5b) contacts (29.2% contributions to the Hirshfeld surface). In Fig. 5c, the widely scattered points in the fingerprint plot are related to H...H contacts, which make a contribution of 28.6% to the Hirshfeld surface. There are also $F \cdots H/H \cdots F$ (25.6%; Fig. 5d), $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ (5.7%; Fig. 5e) and $F \cdots F$



Figure 5

(a) The overall two-dimensional finger print plot for (I) and those delineated into: (b) $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ (29.2%), (c) $H \cdots H$ (28.6%), (d) $F \cdots H/H \cdots F$ (25.6%), (e) $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ (5.7%) and (f) $F \cdots F$ (4.6%) contacts.

Table 2								
Comparison	of	selected	observed	(X-ray	data)	and	calculated	(DFT)
geometric pa	ırar	neters (Å	(A, \circ) for (I)).				

Parameter	X-ray	B3LYP/6-311G(d,p)	
01 010	1.257 (0)	1.242	
01-010	1.357 (8)	1.342	
N1-C8	1.283 (8)	1.290	
C3-C7	1.497 (9)	1.502	
C6-N1	1.410 (7)	1.404	
C8-C9	1.430 (9)	1.446	
N1-C8-C9	123.9 (6)	122.6	
C8-N1-C6	122.2 (5)	121.0	
O1-C10-C9	122.1 (5)	122.3	

(4.6%; Fig. 5f) contacts, with smaller contributions from $N \cdots H/H \cdots N$ (2.4%), $O \cdots C/C \cdots O$ (2.2%), $F \cdots C/C \cdots F$ (0.8%) and $O \cdots N/N \cdots O$ (0.2%) contacts.

5. DFT calculations

The optimized structure of (I) in the gas phase was generated theoretically *via* density functional theory (DFT) using the standard B3LYP functional and the 6-311G(d,p) basis-set calculations (Becke, 1993) as implemented in *GAUSSIAN 09* (Frisch *et al.*, 2009). The theoretical and experimental results are in good agreement (Table 2). The C8—N1 bond length is 1.283 (8) Å (experimental) and 1.290 Å (calculated) and the C10–O1 bond length is 1.357 (8) Å (experimental) and 1.342 Å (calculated).

The highest-occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) and the lowest-unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) are very important aspects as many electronic, optical and chemical reactivity properties of compounds can be predicted from these frontier molecular orbitals (Tanak, 2019). A molecule with a small HOMO–LUMO bandgap is more polarizable than one with a large gap and is considered a 'soft' molecule because of its high polarizibility while molecules with a large bandgap are considered to be 'hard' molecules. To better understand the nature of (I), the electron affinity (A =



The energy band gap of (I).

Table 3	
Interaction energies for (1).	
Molecular Energy (a.u.) (eV)	Compound (I)
Total Energy TE (eV)	-27438.7489
$E_{\rm HOMO} (\rm eV)$	-6.2064
$E_{\rm LUMO} (eV)$	-2.1307
Gap, ΔE (eV)	4.076
Dipole moment, μ (Debye)	4.466
Ionization potential, I (eV)	6.2064
Electron affinity, A	2.1307
Electronegativity, χ	4.1685
Hardness, η	2.038
Electrophilicity index, ω	4.2631
Softness, σ	0.245
Fraction of electrons transferred, ΔN	0.695

 $-E_{\rm HOMO}$), the ionization potential $(I = -E_{\rm LUMO})$, the HOMO–LUMO energy gap (ΔE), the chemical hardness (η) and softness (S) (based on the $E_{\rm HOMO}$ and $E_{\rm LUMO}$ energies; Tanak, 2019) were calculated (Table 3). Based on the relatively large ΔE and η values, the title compound can be classified as a hard molecule.

The electron distribution of the HOMO and LUMO energy levels is shown in Fig. 6. The DFT study shows that the HOMO and LUMO are localized in a plane extending over the whole 4-methyl-2-[(4-trifluoromethylphenylimino)methyl]phenol unit. From the frontier orbital analysis, it can be concluded that a HOMO-to-LUMO excitation of (I) would be a π - π * transition that would weaken the imine bond and drive the production of an excited-state keto-amine tautomer from the enol-imine ground state observed in the solid state. The calculated band gap of (I) is 4.076 eV, which is similar to that reported for other Schiff base materials, such as for example (*E*)-2-{[(3-chlorophenyl)imino]methyl}-6-methylphenol (energy gap = 4.069 eV; Faizi *et al.*, 2019) and (*E*)-2-[(2-hydroxy-5-methoxybenzylidene)amino]benzonitrile (energy gap = 3.520 eV; Saraçoğlu *et al.*, 2020).

6. Database survey

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, version 5.40, update of November 2018; Groom et al., 2016) for (Z)-1-phenyl-N-[3-(trifluoromethylphenyl]methanimine the skeleton yielded seven matches. Metal complexes with ligands analogous to (I) are the ruthenium complex chloro-(1-methyl-4-(propan-2-yl)benzene)-(2-({[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]imino}methyl)phenolato)ruthenium(II) (BIHCED; Cassells et al., 2018), the rhodium complex (η^5 -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)chlorido[2-({[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]imino}methyl)phenolato]rhodium(III) (BIHCIH; Cassells et al., 2018) and the iridium complex (η^{5} -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)chlorido[2-({[4-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl]imino}methyl)phenolato]iridium(III) (BIHCON; Cassells et al., 2018). Other similar ligands are incorporated into the titanium complex dichloridobis(3,5-di-tert-butyl-N-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)salicylaldiminato)titanium(IV) toluene solvate (INOTUA; Mason et al., 2002) and the copper complex bis{4-trifluoromethylphenyl[(2-oxo-3H-naphth-3-ylidene)methyl]aminato}-

copper(II) (POPFEF; Fernández et al., 1994). Two vanadium complexes with ligands similar to that in (I) are dichlorido-{2-[N-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)iminomethyl]phenolato}bis-(tetrahydrofuran)vanadium(III) (YOGSUJ; Wu et al., 2008) and chloridobis{2-[N-(4-trifluoromethylphenyl)iminomethyl]phenolato}(tetrahydrofuran)vanadium(III) (YOGTOE; Wu et al., 2008). Similar uncomplexed Schiff base molecules are N-[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-3-methoxysalicylaldimine (Karadayı et al., 2015), 2-{[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]carbonoimidovl}phenol (Yıldız et al., 2015), 2-{[3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]carbonoimidoyl]phenol (Ünver et al., 2016), (E)-3-{[3-(trifluoromethyl)phenylimino]methyl}benzene-1,2-diol (Koşar et al., 2010), 2-fluoro-N-(3-nitrobenzylidene)-5-(trifluoromethyl)aniline (Yang et al., 2007), (E)-2methyl-6-[3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyliminomethyl]phenol (Akkaya et al., 2007), (E)-2-[(4-chlorophenyl)iminomethyl]-4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenol (Tüfekçi et al., 2009) and (E)-4methyl-2-[3-(trifluoromethyl)phenyliminomethyl]phenol (Gül et al., 2007). The C=N bond lengths in these structures vary from 1.270 (3) to 1.295 (5) Å and the C–O bond lengths from 1.336 (5) to 1.366 (2) Å. The molecular configurations of these structures are also not planar, with dihedral angles between the phenyl rings varying between 5.00 (5) and 47.62 (9) $^{\circ}$. It is likely that the intramolecular O-H···N hydrogen bond, where the imine N atom acts as an hydrogen-bond acceptor, is an important prerequisite for the tautomeric shift toward the phenol-imine form. In fact, in all eight structures of the phenol-imine tautomers, hydrogen bonds of this type are observed.

7. Synthesis and crystallization

The title compound was prepared by combining solutions of 2-hydroxy-5-methylbenzaldehyde (38.0 mg, 0.28 mmol) in ethanol (15 mL) and 4-trifluoromethylphenylamine (42.0 mg, 0.28 mmol) in ethanol (15 mL) and stirring the mixture for 8 h under reflux. Single crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of an ethanol solution (yield 65%, m.p. 425-427K).

8. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 4. All C-bound H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model with C-H = 0.93-0.97 Å and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2-1.5U_{eq}(C)$. The hydrogen atom of the phenol group was located in a difference map and also included as a riding contributor with O-H =0.82 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O)$. During refinement, the twin transformation matrix (-1.0 0.0 0.0, 0.0 -1.0 0.0, 0.0 0.0 -1.0), was used.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Turkey, for the use of the Stoe IPDS 2 diffractometer (purchased under grant F.279 of the University Research Fund).

Table	4	
Experi	mental	details

$C_{15}H_{12}F_3NO$
279.26
Orthorhombic, Pca2 ₁
296
6.2592 (5), 7.2229 (6), 28.551 (3)
1290.77 (19)
4
Μο Κα
0.12
$0.72 \times 0.55 \times 0.22$
Stoe IPDS 2
Integration (<i>X-RED32</i> ; Stoe & Cie, 2002)
0.936, 0.982
6209, 2135, 1517
0.111
0.622
0.073, 0.213, 0.99
2135
182
1
H-atom parameters constrained
0.24, -0.22
Refined as an inversion twin

Computer programs: X-AREA and X-RED32 (Stoe & Cie, 2002), SHELXT2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2018/3 (Sheldrick, 2015b), OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009), Mercury (Macrae et al., 2020), WinGX (Farrugia, 2012), PLATON (Spek, 2020) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

Funding information

Funding for this research was provided by start-up grants from the University Grants Commission, India.

References

- Akkaya, A., Erşahin, F., Ağar, E., Şenel, İ. & Büyükgüngör, O. (2007). Acta Cryst. E63, 03555.
- Becke, A. D. (1993). J. Chem. Phys. 98, 5648-5652.
- Cassells, I., Stringer, T., Hutton, A. T., Prince, S. & Smith, G. S. (2018). J. Biol. Inorg. Chem. 23, 763–774.
- Desai, S. B., Desai, P. B. & Desai, K. R. (2001). *Heterocycl. Commun.* 7, 83–90.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **42**, 339–341.
- Faizi, M. S. H., Alam, M. J., Haque, A., Ahmad, S., Shahid, M. & Ahmad, M. (2018). J. Mol. Struct. **1156**, 457–464.
- Faizi, M. S. H., Ali, A. & Potaskalov, V. A. (2016). Acta Cryst. E72, 1366–1369.
- Faizi, M. S. H., Dege, N., Çiçek, C., Agar, E. & Fritsky, I. O. (2019). Acta Cryst. E75, 987–990.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Fernández, J. M., Lembrino-Canales, J. J. & Villena, R. (1994). Monatsh. Chem. 125, 275–284.
- Frisch, M. J., Trucks, G. W., Schlegel, H. B., Scuseria, G. E., Robb, M. A., Cheeseman, J. R., Scalmani, G., Barone, V., Mennucci, B., Petersson, G. A., Nakatsuji, H., Caricato, M., Li, X., Hratchian, H. P., Izmaylov, A. F., Bloino, J., Zheng, G., Sonnenberg, J. L., Hada, M., Ehara, M., Toyota, K., Fukuda, R., Hasegawa, J., Ishida, M., Nakajima, T., Honda, Y., Kitao, O., Nakai, H., Vreven, T.,

research communications

Montgomery, J. A. Jr, Peralta, J. E., Ogliaro, F., Bearpark, M., Heyd, J. J., Brothers, E., Kudin, K. N., Staroverov, V. N., Kobayashi, R., Normand, J., Raghavachari, K., Rendell, A., Burant, J. C., Iyengar, S. S., Tomasi, J., Cossi, M., Rega, N., Millam, J. M., Klene, M., Knox, J. E., Cross, J. B., Bakken, V., Adamo, C., Jaramillo, J., Gomperts, R., Stratmann, R. E., Yazyev, O., Austin, A. J., Cammi, R., Pomelli, C., Ochterski, J. W., Martin, R. L., Morokuma, K., Zakrzewski, V. G., Voth, G. A., Salvador, P., Dannenberg, J. J., Dapprich, S., Daniels, A. D., Farkas, Ö., Foresman, J. B., Ortiz, J. V., Cioslowski, J. & Fox, D. J. (2009). *GAUSSIAN 09*. Gaussian Inc., Wallingford, CT, USA.

- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Gül, Z. S., Erşahin, F., Ağar, E. & Işık, Ş. (2007). Acta Cryst. E63, o2854.
- Karadayı, N., Şahin, S., Köysal, Y., Coşkun, E. & Büyükgüngör, O. (2015). Acta Cryst. E71, 0466–0467.
- Karia, F. D. & Parsania, P. H. (1999). Asian J. Chem. 11, 991-995.
- Koşar, B., Albayrak, C., Odabaşoĝlu, M. & Büyükgüngör, O. (2010). *Turk. J. Chem.***34**, 481–487.
- Kumar, M., Kumar, A., Faizi, M. S. H., Kumar, S., Singh, M. K., Sahu, S. K., Kishor, S. & John, R. P. (2018). Sens. Actuators B Chem. 260, 888–899.
- Macrae, C. F., Sovago, I., Cottrell, S. J., Galek, P. T. A., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Platings, M., Shields, G. P., Stevens, J. S., Towler, M. & Wood, P. A. (2020). J. Appl. Cryst. 53, 226–235.
- Mason, A. F., Tian, J., Hustad, P. D., Lobkovsky, E. B. & Coates, G. W. (2002). *Isr. J. Chem.* **42**, 301–306.
- McKinnon, J. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2007). Chem. Commun. pp. 3814–3816.
- Mukherjee, P., Das, A., Faizi, M. S. H. & Sen, P. (2018). *Chemistry Select*, **3**, 3787–3796.

- Ozeryanskii, V. A., Pozharskii, A. F., Schilf, W., Kamieński, B., Sawka-Dobrowolska, W., Sobczyk, L. & Grech, E. (2006). *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* pp. 782–790.
- Pizzala, H., Carles, M., Stone, W. E. E. & Thevand, A. (2000). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. 935–939.
- Saraçoğlu, H., Doğan, O. E., Ağar, T., Dege, N. & Iskenderov, T. S. (2020). Acta Cryst. E**76**, 141–144.
- Sheikhshoaie, I. & Sharif, M. A. (2006). Acta Cryst. E62, 03563-03565.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Singh, W. M. & Dash, B. C. (1988). Pesticides, 22, 33-37.
- Spackman, M. A. & Jayatilaka, D. (2009). CrystEngComm, 11, 19-32.
- Spek, A. L. (2020). Acta Cryst. E76, 1-11.
- Stoe & Cie (2002). X-AREA, X-RED32 and X-SHAPE. Stoe & Cie GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Tanak, H. (2019). ChemistrySelect, 4, 10876-10883.
- Tüfekçi, M., Bingöl Alpaslan, Y., Macit, M. & Erdönmez, A. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* E65, o2704.
- Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Spackman, P. R., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2017). *CrystalExplorer17.5*. The University of Western Australia.
- Ünver, H., Boyacıoğlu, B., Zeyrek, C. T., Yıldız, M., Demir, N., Yıldırım, N., Karaosmanoğlu, O., Sivas, H. & Elmalı, A. (2016). *J. Mol. Struct.* **1125**, 162–176.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Wu, J.-Q., Pan, L., Hu, N.-H. & Li, Y.-S. (2008). Organometallics, 27, 3840–3848.
- Yang, M.-H., Yan, G.-B. & Zheng, Y.-F. (2007). Acta Cryst. E63, 03202.
- Yıldız, M., Karpuz, O., Zeyrek, C. T., Boyacıoğlu, B., Dal, H., Demir, N., Yıldırım, N. & Ünver, H. (2015). J. Mol. Struct. 1094, 148–160.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2020). E76, 1325-1330 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989020009615]

Crystal structure, Hirshfeld surface analysis and DFT studies of 4methyl-2-({[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]imino}methyl)phenol

Md. Serajul Haque Faizi, Emine Berrin Cinar, Onur Erman Dogan, Alev Sema Aydin, Erbil Agar, Necmi Dege and Ashraf Mashrai

Computing details

Data collection: *X-AREA* (Stoe & Cie, 2002); cell refinement: *X-AREA*; data reduction: *X-RED32* (Stoe & Cie, 2002); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXT2018/3* (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2018/3* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009) and *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2020); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 2012), *PLATON* (Spek, 2020), *SHELXL2018* (Sheldrick, 2015b) and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

4-Methyl-2-({[4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl]imino}methyl)phenol

Crystal data $C_{15}H_{12}F_{3}NO$ $M_r = 279.26$ Orthorhombic, $Pca2_1$ a = 6.2592 (5) Å b = 7.2229 (6) Å c = 28.551 (3) Å V = 1290.77 (19) Å³ Z = 4F(000) = 576

Data collection

Stoe IPDS 2 diffractometer Radiation source: sealed X-ray tube, 12 x 0.4 mm long-fine focus Plane graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 6.67 pixels mm⁻¹ rotation method scans Absorption correction: integration (X-RED32; Stoe & Cie, 2002)

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.073$ $wR(F^2) = 0.213$ S = 0.992135 reflections $D_x = 1.437 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 7251 reflections $\theta = 2.8-26.8^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296 KPrism, yellow $0.72 \times 0.55 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}$

 $T_{\min} = 0.936, T_{\max} = 0.982$ 6209 measured reflections 2135 independent reflections 1517 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.111$ $\theta_{\max} = 26.2^{\circ}, \theta_{\min} = 2.8^{\circ}$ $h = -7 \rightarrow 6$ $k = -8 \rightarrow 8$ $l = -28 \rightarrow 34$

182 parameters1 restraintPrimary atom site location: dualSecondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1465P)^2]$ where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

Special details

Absolute structure: Refined as an inversion twin Absolute structure parameter: 0 (3) Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.24 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.22 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes. Refinement. Refined as a two-component inversion twin

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
N1	-0.2713 (8)	-0.7682 (6)	-0.49830 (18)	0.0645 (11)
01	0.0688 (7)	-0.6785 (7)	-0.54674 (17)	0.0809 (12)
H1	0.000741	-0.705484	-0.523169	0.121*
F2	-0.8837 (9)	-0.7711 (8)	-0.3228 (2)	0.1216 (18)
C10	-0.0518 (9)	-0.7125 (6)	-0.5853 (2)	0.0630 (13)
C6	-0.3839 (8)	-0.7631 (6)	-0.4556 (2)	0.0570 (12)
F1	-0.6915 (9)	-0.5479 (6)	-0.30622 (18)	0.1272 (19)
C5	-0.2780 (10)	-0.8240 (7)	-0.4157 (2)	0.0655 (14)
Н5	-0.141666	-0.874119	-0.418207	0.079*
C3	-0.5758 (10)	-0.7369 (7)	-0.3684 (2)	0.0626 (13)
C4	-0.3737 (10)	-0.8105 (7)	-0.3725 (2)	0.0657 (14)
H4	-0.301758	-0.851192	-0.345952	0.079*
C14	-0.3760 (10)	-0.8129 (7)	-0.6233 (2)	0.0640 (13)
H14	-0.513415	-0.861146	-0.621131	0.077*
C7	-0.6847 (12)	-0.7213 (8)	-0.3219 (2)	0.0744 (16)
C9	-0.2636 (9)	-0.7789 (6)	-0.5821 (2)	0.0628 (13)
C8	-0.3645 (10)	-0.8001 (7)	-0.5375 (2)	0.0657 (14)
H8	-0.505930	-0.839357	-0.536897	0.079*
F3	-0.5971 (13)	-0.8224 (11)	-0.29008 (19)	0.160 (3)
C12	-0.0825 (12)	-0.7083 (8)	-0.6683 (2)	0.0719 (16)
H12	-0.020903	-0.683218	-0.697211	0.086*
C1	-0.5870 (9)	-0.6869 (7)	-0.4518 (2)	0.0638 (13)
H1A	-0.658405	-0.646274	-0.478455	0.077*
C11	0.0350 (11)	-0.6758 (7)	-0.6286 (3)	0.0745 (15)
H11	0.173005	-0.628998	-0.630903	0.089*
C2	-0.6836 (10)	-0.6716 (7)	-0.40787 (19)	0.0627 (13)
H2	-0.818272	-0.618523	-0.404942	0.075*
C13	-0.2928 (12)	-0.7782 (7)	-0.6671 (2)	0.0706 (15)
C15	-0.4146 (15)	-0.8082 (10)	-0.7111 (3)	0.089 (2)
H15A	-0.326864	-0.775423	-0.737439	0.134*
H15B	-0.454828	-0.936105	-0.713450	0.134*
H15C	-0.540560	-0.732414	-0.710949	0.134*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

supporting information

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
N1	0.069 (3)	0.072 (2)	0.053 (3)	-0.0016 (18)	0.003 (2)	0.002 (2)
01	0.067 (3)	0.109 (3)	0.067 (3)	-0.016 (2)	-0.006(2)	-0.002(2)
F2	0.110 (3)	0.159 (4)	0.096 (3)	-0.050 (3)	0.031 (3)	-0.024 (3)
C10	0.058 (3)	0.065 (3)	0.066 (3)	0.001 (2)	-0.002(3)	-0.001 (2)
C6	0.058 (3)	0.056 (2)	0.057 (3)	-0.0059 (19)	0.004 (2)	0.003 (2)
F1	0.176 (5)	0.098 (3)	0.108 (3)	-0.023 (3)	0.049 (4)	-0.037 (2)
C5	0.068 (3)	0.068 (3)	0.060 (3)	0.006 (2)	-0.001 (3)	-0.002 (2)
C3	0.070 (4)	0.062 (3)	0.056 (3)	-0.002 (2)	0.003 (3)	0.006 (2)
C4	0.074 (4)	0.065 (3)	0.059 (3)	0.001 (2)	-0.006(3)	0.009(2)
C14	0.063 (3)	0.071 (2)	0.059 (3)	0.000(2)	0.004 (3)	-0.005 (2)
C7	0.092 (4)	0.073 (3)	0.058 (3)	-0.003 (3)	0.000 (3)	-0.003 (3)
C9	0.065 (3)	0.059 (2)	0.064 (3)	0.002 (2)	0.005 (3)	0.005 (2)
C8	0.065 (4)	0.067 (3)	0.064 (4)	-0.005 (2)	0.005 (3)	0.002 (2)
F3	0.200 (7)	0.207 (6)	0.072 (3)	0.102 (5)	0.042 (3)	0.052 (3)
C12	0.089 (5)	0.069 (3)	0.058 (3)	0.003 (3)	0.007 (3)	0.000(2)
C1	0.066 (3)	0.072 (3)	0.054 (3)	-0.001 (2)	-0.008(2)	0.005 (2)
C11	0.074 (4)	0.072 (3)	0.078 (4)	-0.001 (2)	0.006 (3)	0.002 (3)
C2	0.060 (3)	0.069 (3)	0.060 (3)	0.003 (2)	-0.006 (3)	0.001 (2)
C13	0.085 (4)	0.067 (3)	0.060 (3)	0.001 (3)	-0.002 (3)	0.000 (2)
C15	0.113 (6)	0.095 (4)	0.060 (4)	-0.007 (4)	-0.011 (3)	-0.002(3)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

N1—C8	1.283 (8)	C14—C9	1.391 (9)
N1—C6	1.410 (7)	C14—H14	0.9300
O1—C10	1.357 (8)	C7—F3	1.289 (8)
01—H1	0.8200	C9—C8	1.430 (9)
F2—C7	1.297 (9)	C8—H8	0.9300
C10-C11	1.376 (10)	C12—C11	1.371 (10)
С10—С9	1.412 (8)	C12—C13	1.410 (10)
C6—C5	1.389 (8)	C12—H12	0.9300
C6—C1	1.389 (8)	C1—C2	1.397 (8)
F1—C7	1.331 (7)	C1—H1A	0.9300
C5—C4	1.374 (8)	C11—H11	0.9300
С5—Н5	0.9300	C2—H2	0.9300
C3—C4	1.377 (9)	C13—C15	1.487 (10)
С3—С2	1.395 (8)	C15—H15A	0.9600
С3—С7	1.497 (9)	C15—H15B	0.9600
C4—H4	0.9300	C15—H15C	0.9600
C14—C13	1.377 (9)		
C8—N1—C6	122.2 (5)	C14—C9—C8	120.7 (5)
C10-01-H1	109.5	C10—C9—C8	120.5 (6)
O1-C10-C11	118.3 (5)	N1—C8—C9	123.9 (6)
O1—C10—C9	122.2 (6)	N1—C8—H8	118.0

C11—C10—C9	119.5 (6)	С9—С8—Н8	118.0
C5—C6—C1	119.9 (5)	C11—C12—C13	122.8 (6)
C5—C6—N1	117.6 (5)	C11—C12—H12	118.6
C1C6N1	122.3 (5)	C13—C12—H12	118.6
C4—C5—C6	120.3 (5)	C6—C1—C2	119.8 (5)
С4—С5—Н5	119.8	C6—C1—H1A	120.1
С6—С5—Н5	119.8	C2—C1—H1A	120.1
C4—C3—C2	120.4 (6)	C12—C11—C10	119.8 (6)
C4—C3—C7	121.5 (6)	C12—C11—H11	120.1
C2—C3—C7	118.1 (6)	C10—C11—H11	120.1
C5—C4—C3	120.3 (6)	C3—C2—C1	119.3 (5)
C5—C4—H4	119.9	С3—С2—Н2	120.4
C3—C4—H4	119.9	C1—C2—H2	120.4
C13—C14—C9	122.9 (6)	C14—C13—C12	116.1 (6)
C13—C14—H14	118.6	C14—C13—C15	123.2 (7)
C9—C14—H14	118.6	C12—C13—C15	120.7 (6)
F3—C7—F2	105.4 (7)	С13—С15—Н15А	109.5
F3—C7—F1	108.0 (7)	C13—C15—H15B	109.5
F2—C7—F1	103.7 (6)	H15A—C15—H15B	109.5
F3—C7—C3	112.9 (6)	C13—C15—H15C	109.5
F2—C7—C3	113.5 (5)	H15A—C15—H15C	109.5
F1—C7—C3	112.6 (5)	H15B—C15—H15C	109.5
C14—C9—C10	118.8 (5)		
C8—N1—C6—C5	147.0 (5)	O1—C10—C9—C8	4.5 (7)
C8—N1—C6—C1	-38.2 (7)	C11—C10—C9—C8	-173.6 (5)
C1—C6—C5—C4	0.5 (7)	C6—N1—C8—C9	170.1 (4)
N1-C6-C5-C4	175.5 (4)	C14—C9—C8—N1	-178.8 (5)
C6—C5—C4—C3	0.2 (8)	C10-C9-C8-N1	-2.6 (8)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-1.4 (8)	C5-C6-C1-C2	0.1 (7)
C7—C3—C4—C5	179.7 (5)	N1—C6—C1—C2	-174.7 (5)
C4—C3—C7—F3	-16.4 (9)	C13-C12-C11-C10	0.3 (8)
C2—C3—C7—F3	164.6 (7)	O1—C10—C11—C12	-179.9 (5)
C4—C3—C7—F2	-136.3 (6)	C9-C10-C11-C12	-1.7 (8)
C2—C3—C7—F2	44.7 (7)	C4—C3—C2—C1	2.0 (8)
C4—C3—C7—F1	106.3 (7)	C7—C3—C2—C1	-179.1 (5)
C2—C3—C7—F1	-72.7 (7)	C6—C1—C2—C3	-1.3 (8)
C13—C14—C9—C10	-2.5 (7)	C9—C14—C13—C12	1.1 (8)
C13—C14—C9—C8	173.8 (5)	C9—C14—C13—C15	-177.9 (5)
O1-C10-C9-C14	-179.1 (5)	C11—C12—C13—C14	0.0 (8)
C11-C10-C9-C14	2.7 (7)	C11—C12—C13—C15	179.0 (6)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
01—H1…N1	0.82	1.90	2.620 (7)	146

			supporting information		
C1—H1A····O1 ⁱ	0.93	2.60	3.463 (7)	154	

Symmetry code: (i) x-1, y, z.