



Received 24 March 2020 Accepted 28 May 2020

Edited by O. Blacque, University of Zürich, Switzerland

Keywords: crystal structure; rhodamine B derivative; Hirshfeld surface; computational study.

CCDC reference: 2006371

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

Crystal structure, Hirshfeld surface analysis and computational study of a rhodamine B-salicylaldehyde Schiff base derivative

Songwut Suramitr,^a Jitpinan Teanwarawat,^a Nuttapong Ithiapa,^a Worawat Wattanathana^b and Anwaraporn Suramitr^c*

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science and Center for Advanced Studies in Nanotechnology for Chemical, Food and Agricultural Industries, KU Institute for Advanced Studies, Kasetsart University, Bangkok 10900, Thailand, ^bDepartment of Materials Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Kasetsart University 10900, Thailand, and ^cFaculty of Science at Si Racha, Kasetsart University Si Racha Campus, Chonburi 20230, Thailand. *Correspondence e-mail: sfsciawn@src.ku.ac.th

The molecular structure of the title compound {systematic name: 3',6'-bis-(diethylamino)-2-[(2-hydroxybenzylidene)amino]spiro[isoindoline-1,9'-xanthen]-3-one], $C_{35}H_{36}N_4O_3$ or RbSa, can be seen as being composed of two parts sharing a central quaternary carbon atom. Both the xanthene and isoindole moieties are nearly planar: 14 atoms in the former moiety show an r.m.s. deviation of 0.0411 Å and eleven atoms in the latter moiety show an r.m.s. deviation of 0.0545 Å. These two planes are almost perpendicular to each other, the angle between the mean planes being $87.71 (2)^\circ$. The title compound appears to be in its enol form. The corresponding H atom was located and freely refined at a distance of 1.02 (3) Å from the O atom and 1.72 (2) Å from the N atom. The strong intramolecular hydrogen bond O-H···N bridging the hydroxyl group and its neighboring nitrogen atom forms an S(6) graph-set motif. Apart from the intramolecular O-H···N hydrogen bond, C-H···O interactions are observed between two neighbouring RbSa molecules related by an inversion center. The C–O donor–acceptor distance is 3.474 (2) Å. Moreover, C–H··· π interactions are observed between the C-H bond of one of the ethyl groups and the centroid of the benzene ring of the isoindole moiety. The C···centroid distance is 3.8191 (15) Å. No π - π interactions are observed in the crystal structure as the shortest distance between ring centroids is more than 4 Å. A Hirshfeld surface analysis of the crystal structure indicates that the most important contributions for the crystal packing are from $H \cdots H$, $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$, $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$ and $N \cdots H/H$ H. \cdot N interactions. DFT calculations at the CAM-B3LYP/6–31 G(d) level were carried out to gain a better understanding of the relative energies and the tautomerization process between two possible conformers (keto and enol), as well as the transition state of the title compound.





1. Chemical context

Rhodamine B derivatives are employed extensively as molecular probes in the study of complex biological systems because of their high absorption coefficients, high fluorescence quantum yields and long-wavelength absorptions and emissions (Bao *et al.*, 2013; Biswal & Bag, 2013). On the basis of the spirolactam/ring-opened amide equilibrium of rhodamine, several fluorescence-based sensing systems for metal ions have been developed. Most of the reported sensors based on rhodamine B derivatives are fluorescent chemosensors for metal ion detection (Quang & Kim, 2010; Kim *et al.*, 2008; Kwon *et al.*, 2005; Liu *et al.*, 2013; Ni *et al.*, 2013). A rhodamine

research communications

B derivative is usually used as a chemosensor because of its good photophysical properties, sensitivity, the low cost of chemical reagents and the ease of modifying its structure. Rhodamine B derivatives have naked-eye detection and show off-on fluorescent property when reacting with metal ions.



2. Structural commentary

Fig. 1 shows the molecular structure of the title compound (rhodamine B - salicylaldehyde derivative, RbSa) together with the atomic labelling scheme. The title compound crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$, and the asymmetric unit contains a single molecule in a general position. The molecule can be seen as having two distinct parts sharing a central quaternary carbon atom. The atoms in the xanthene moiety, namely C5-C10, O1, C11-C17, are almost coplanar, as seen from the r.m.s. deviation of 0.0411 Å (Fig. 2a). The atoms C11, C22-C28, O2, N3 and N4 of the isoindole unit are also nearly coplanar with an r.m.s. deviation of 0.0545 Å (Fig. 2b). These two planes are almost perpendicular to each other, the dihedral angle between their mean planes being 87.71 (2)° (Fig. 2c). The four ethyl groups present in the molecule point out of the xanthene plane and are on the same side of the plane; the corresponding out-of-plane torsion angles C16-N2-C19-C18, C16-N2-C21-C20, C5-N1-C2-C1 and





(a) The xanthene plane that is a mean plane constructed from 14 atoms, namely C5, C6–C10, O1, C11–C17. (b) a mean plane constructed from 11 atoms, namely C11, C22–C28, O2, N3, and N4. (c) View of the molecule showing that planes (a) and (b) are roughly perpendicular to each other.

C5-N1-C4-C3 are 73.76 (15), -79.52 (15), -86.26 (15) and 65.09 (16)°, respectively.

The major tautomer of the rhodamine B – salicylaldehyde Schiff-base derivative is usually the enol form for compounds having hydroxy and azamethylene groups attached to the benzene ring in the *ortho* positions (Veranitisagul *et al.*, 2012; Wattanathana *et al.*, 2012, 2016). Similarly, our title compound appears to be in its enol form. The corresponding H atom was located and freely refined at a distance of 1.02 (3) Å from the O atom and 1.72 (2) Å from the N atom (Table 1, Fig. 3). The strong intramolecular $O-H\cdots N$ hydrogen bond bridging the hydroxyl group and its neighbouring nitrogen atom forms an S(6) graph-set motif involving atoms N4, H3, O3, C35, C30



Figure 1

The molecular structure of RbSa, showing the atom-labelling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. The non-IUPAC atom labelling is for the convenience of discussion.





A view of molecule illustrating the S(6) ring of the intramolecular hydrogen bond constructed from the N4-C29-C30-C35-O3-H3 synthon.



Figure 4

The unit cell and molecular packing in the title compound with the unitcell contents projecting down the [100] direction. The orange dots represent inversion centres and the green and magenta lines represent glide planes and twofold axes, respectively.



Figure 5

A view of the crystal packing showing $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions between C18-H18A and the C22-C27 ring of the isoindole unit.

Table 1	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$).	

Cg is the centroid of the C22-C27 ring.

$D-H\cdots A$ $D-H$ $H\cdots A$ $D\cdots A$ $D-H\cdots$			
	$A \qquad D \cdots A$	$\cdots A$ $D-H$ $H\cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3.4737 (19) 2) 2.6276 (15) 3.8191 (15)	$H31\cdots O3^i$ 0.952.77 $H3\cdots N4$ 1.02 (3)1.72 (2) $H18A\cdots Cg^{ii}$ 0.982.88	131 145 (2) 161

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z.

and C29 and stabilizes a rigid configuration that can partially inhibit the rotation of the phenyl ring about the N-N bond.

3. Supramolecular features

Besides the intramolecular $O-H\cdots N$ hydrogen bond, the title molecules form C31-H31 \cdots O3ⁱ interactions [symmetry code: (i) x, $-y + \frac{3}{2}$, $z - \frac{1}{2}$] between two neighbouring RbSa molecules related by an inversion center (Fig. 4). The C31 \cdots O3ⁱ donor-acceptor distance is 3.474 (2) Å. Moreover, $C-H\cdots \pi$ interactions are observed between C18-H18A and the benzene ring (C22-C27) of the isoindole unit (Fig. 5). Within the crystal structure, the RbSa molecules aggregate into infinite molecular chains in an end-to-end packing mode along the [100] direction. No π - π interactions are observed in the crystal structure as the shortest distance between ring centroids is greater than 4 Å.

4. Hirshfeld surface analysis

The intermolecular interactions in the crystal of the title compound were investigated and visualized by performing a Hirshfeld surface (HS) analysis (Hirshfeld, 1977; Spackman & Jayatilaka, 2009) using *Crystal Explorer 17.5* software (Turner *et al.*, 2017). The HS plotted over d_{norm} in the range -0.1732 to 1.4064 a.u. is shown in Fig. 6. Fig. 7 shows the full two-dimensional fingerprint plot (McKinnon *et al.*, 2007) and those delineated into the major contacts: H···H (61.5%), H···C/C···H (20.3%), H···O/O···H (11.7%), and H···N/N···H (1.9%) for which $d_e + d_i \sim 2.0$, 3.0, 2.8 and 3.4 Å, respectively. The other contacts are negligible with individual contributions of less than 1% and a sum of less than 5%.





Two views of the three-dimensional Hirshfeld surface of the title compound plotted over $d_{\rm norm}$ in the range -0.1732 to 1.4064 a.u.



Figure 7

The full two-dimensional fingerprint plots for the title compound, showing (a) all interactions and those delineated into (b) $H \cdots H$, (c) $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$, (d) $O \cdots H/H \cdots O$, and (e) $N \cdots H/H \cdots N$ interactions.

5. Database survey

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD version 5.41, November 2019 + one update; Groom et al., 2016) shows that the crystal structures of many compounds having rhodamine B as a core have been reported. The structural diversity of rhodamine B derivatives results from the fact that the different functional groups in the molecules can be tuned. For example, the carboxylic functional group can be converted to ester functional groups such as methyl ester derivatives (I) (ROKNOU; Fun et al., 1997) and (II) (QIMMII; Adhikesavalu et al., 2001), ethyl ester derivatives (III) (QIMMEE; Adhikesavalu et al., 2001) and cyclic lactones (IV) (FUFTIJ; Kvick et al., 2000). In our case, the carboxylic acid functional group is sequentially transformed into a cyclic lactam with a hydrazone side chain. The counter-anions are the other key factor in the crystal structures of rhodamine B derivatives. Mizuguchi (2008) reported the crystal structure of the ethyl gallate salt at 93 K (PIHJIA01), and Venkatraman et al. (2008) published a new derivative with the hexachloridostannate(IV) anion, RISOIU. Moreover, rhodamine B derivatives can be used as a ligand for many metal cations to form coordination complexes such as the cadmium complex (V) (IKISUQ; Qu et al., 2001).

6. Synthesis and crystallization

The reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification: rhodamine B (Fluka Chemicals), hydrazine hydrate (Across Organics), salicyaldehyde (Sigma–Aldrich), hydrochloric acid (Valchem), ammonium hydroxide (Mallinckrodt Chemicals) and sodium hydroxide (RCI Labscan). Solvents including absolute ethanol (EtOH), methanol (MeOH) and chloroform were purchased from RCI Labscan and Merck. ¹H NMR spectra were measured on a Varian INOVA 400 spectrometer at 400 MHz in CDCl₃. The FTIR spectrum was obtained using a Bruker Tensor 27 spectrometer, while the mass spectrum was recorded on a Bruker microTOF-Q III. The melting point of the obtained sample was measured by a Stuart Scientific melting-point analyser (SMP10).

Fig. 8 shows the chemical structures of the starting materials and intermediate and the synthetic route of the rhodamine-Schiff-base derivative studied in this work (RbSa). Firstly, the RbH intermediate compound was synthesized from the reaction between rhodamine B and hydrazine hydrate. Rhodamine B (1.20 g, 2.50 mmol) was dissolved in ethanol. An equimolar amount of hydrazine hydrate (2.0 ml, 2.5 mmol) was added to this solution. The mixture was then refluxed at 373 K under N₂ for 2 h. During the reaction, the colour of the solution changed from dark purple to light orange. After the reaction was complete, a precipitate of RbH was obtained by solvent evaporation, and then 1 M HCl was added to re-dissolve the crude product to obtain a clear red solution. After that, 1 M NaOH was added slowly in order to precipitate the purer RbH compound out. The obtained RbH was filtered under reduced pressure. The title compound RbSa was then prepared from the reaction of the filtered RbH (0.48 g, 0.97 mmol) and salicylaldehyde (0.12 ml, 1 mmol) in ethanolic solution. A pink precipitate of RbSa formed after reflux at 353 K for 12 h under an N₂ atmosphere. The RbSa precipitate was separated by vacuum filtration and washed three times with cold ethanol. After recrystallization from chloroform and methanol mixed solvents with a volume ratio of 1:1, light-violet single crystals were obtained after several days, m.p. 495 K.

HR-MS (ESI-TOF) m/z: $[M + 1]^+$ calculated from $C_{35}H_{37}N_4O_3$ is 561.286017; found 561.288140.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) chemical shifts (δ): 9.31 (*s*, 1H), 8.10–7.90 (*m*, 1H), 7.56 (*s*, 2H), 7.18 (*dq*, *J* = 7.2, 4.3, 3.9 Hz, 2H), 7.13 (*d*, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.81 (*q*, *J* = 7.9, 7.5 Hz, 3H), 6.41 (*d*, *J* = 87.7 Hz, 5H), 3.33 (*s*, 8H), 1.18 (*s*, 12H).

7. Computational details

All reported calculations (geometry optimizations, vibrational frequencies, and relative energies) were performed using *Gaussian09* (Frisch *et al.*, 2009) using density functional theory (DFT) at the CAM-B3LYP/6–31 G(d) level. The ground-state geometries of the RbSa molecules with different conformers were optimized, and vibrational frequency calculations were performed to confirm that the optimized structures correspond well to a local minimum or a transition state. The hybrid exchange-correlation functional CAM-B3LYP was selected because it has been found to be a method of choice in important reported studies (Klinhom *et al.*, 2019; Miengmern *et al.*, 2019), showing a good compromise between computa-



Figure 8 The synthetic route and the structures of RbSa.

research communications

tional time and the accuracy of the results. All calculations were carried out in ethanol using a conductor-like polarizable continuum model (CPCM) (Scalmani *et al.*, 2006).

8. Quantum chemical calculations

For a more in-depth insight into the molecular structures of RbSa, density functional theory (DFT) calculations at the CAM-B3LYP/6-311 G(d,p) level were carried out. They were mainly applied to investigate the intramolecular proton-transfer reaction during the enol-keto tautomerization mechanism. The molecular structures of two essential conformers (the enol and keto forms) of the title compound were first obtained by geometry optimizations without any constraints. Starting from the enol form and going to the keto form, the potential energy curve (PEC) was explored by elongating the O-H bond in steps of 0.1 Å (from 1.0 to 1.8 Å). The CAM-B3LYP optimized conformers and their relative energies are depicted in Fig. 9.

The enol form is the most stable conformer (O-H = 0.98 Å) with the lowest relative energy, and the keto form (O-H = 1.76 Å) is found to be slightly higher in energy than the enol form by 9.1 kcal mol⁻¹. All along the tautomerization path, the six atoms involved in the initial S(6) graph-set motif remain coplanar, but a rotation occurs around the N–N bond between the diaminoxanthene and the salicylidene aniline moieties, which move from being coplanar in the enol form to being nearly perpendicular in the keto-form (Fig. 9). The rotation of the N–N bond gives rise to the elongation and then the breakage of the O–H bond in the enol form, resulting in the formation of the N–H bond (keto form). Although the enol-keto tautomerization involves breakage of the O–H bond, the intramolecular hydrogen-bonded S(6)



Figure 9

Optimized structures and relative energies of the enol form, the keto form and the transition state formed during the tautomerization process of the compound RbSa, calculated in ethanol at the CAM-B3LYP level using a 6-311 G(d,p) basis set.

Experimental details.	
Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{35}H_{36}N_4O_3$
M _r	560.68
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	100
a, b, c (Å)	9.3903 (7), 26.8178 (19), 11.5639 (8)
β (°)	101.635 (2)
$V(\dot{A}^3)$	2852.3 (4)
Z	4
Radiation type	Cu Ka
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	0.67
Crystal size (mm)	$0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.20$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker APEXIII CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker, 2016)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.673, 0.754
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	64500, 5508, 5462
R _{int}	0.030
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.618
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.042, 0.099, 1.07
No. of reflections	5508
No. of parameters	387
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({ m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3})$	0.26, -0.25

Table 2

mimortal dataila

Computer programs: *APEX3* and *SAINT* (Bruker, 2018), *SHELXT* (Sheldrick, 2015*a*), *SHELXL* (Sheldrick, 2015*b*), *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009) and *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2020).

ring still remains even in the keto form, involving the same atoms in the synthon as in the enol form. According to the relative energy calculations, it can be concluded that the phenolic –OH group can form a stronger intramolecular hydrogen-bonding interaction (enol form) with the N atom of the diaminoxanthene moiety than with the –NH group of the phenolate O in the keto closed form. The result is in a good agreement with the X-ray crystal structure in that the enol form is the dominant conformer.

Based on the highest energy structure obtained on the PEC, we optimized the molecular geometry of the transition state of the keto-enol tautomerization pathway without constraints. The single negative (imaginary) vibrational frequency calculated for the obtained structure showed that the transition state was correctly determined. The transition state lies $10.4 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ higher in energy than the enol form and only 1.3 kcal mol⁻¹ above that of the keto form (Fig. 9). The optimized structure reveals an O-H distance of 1.30 Å, in between the O-H distances observed in the enol and keto conformers, but closer to that of the enol-conformer. The energy curve is rather flat between the transition state and the keto form, despite a difference of about 0.5 Å between the O-H distances (1.30 and 1.76 Å, respectively). Besides the fact that the enol form exhibits the lowest absolute energy and is the most stable conformer, that flatness also explains why the keto form is not as stable and can easily return to the enol form in solution under normal conditions.

9. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. The O-bound H atom (H3) was located in a difference-Fourier map and freely refined. The other hydrogen atoms were refined using a riding model with d(C-H) = 0.95 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$ for aromatic hydrogen atoms, with d(C-H) = 0.99 Å and $U_{iso}(H) =$ $1.2U_{eq}(C)$ for $-CH_2$ - hydrogen atoms and d(C-H) = 0.98 Å, $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$ for the terminal methyl hydrogen atoms.

Acknowledgements

This work would not have succeeded without the funding support from the Office of the Science Research Fund (ScRF), ScAWAKE from the Faculty of Science at Bangkhen, and the Faculty of Science at Si racha campus, Kasetsart University. The Center of Nanotechnology Kasetsart University, Kasetsart University Research and Development Institute (KURDI), National Nanotechnology Center (NANOTEC), Laboratory of Computational and Applied Chemistry (LCAC), the Commission on Higher Education, Ministry of Education [through the National Research University Project of Thailand (NRU) and the National Center of Excellence for Petroleum, Petrochemical and Advanced Materials (NCEPPAM)] are gratefully acknowledged for research facilities.

References

- Adhikesavalu, D. N., Mastropaolo, D., Camerman, A. & Camerman, N. (2001). *Acta Cryst.* C**57**, 657–659.
- Bao, X., Liu, D., Jin, Y., Liu, X. & Jiang, W. (2013). *RSC Adv.* **3**, 6783–6786.
- Biswal, B. & Bag, B. (2013). Org. Biomol. Chem. 11, 4975-4992.
- Bruker (2016). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2018). APEX3 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **42**, 339–341.
- Frisch, M. J., Trucks, G. W., Schlegel, H. B., Scuseria, G. E., Robb, M. A., Cheeseman, J. R., Scalmani, G., Barone, V., Mennucci, B., Petersson, G. A., Nakatsuji, H., Caricato, M., Li, X., Hratchian, H. P., Izmaylov, A. F., Bloino, J., Zheng, G., Sonnenberg, J. L., Hada, M., Ehara, M., Toyota, K., Fukuda, R., Hasegawa, J., Ishida, M., Nakajima, T., Honda, Y., Kitao, O., Nakai, H., Vreven, T., Montgomery, J. A. Jr, Peralta, J. E., Ogliaro, F., Bearpark, M., Heyd, J. J., Brothers, E., Kudin, K. N., Staroverov, V. N., Kobayashi,

R., Normand, J., Raghavachari, K., Rendell, A., Burant, J. C., Iyengar, S. S., Tomasi, J., Cossi, M., Rega, N., Millam, J. M., Klene, M., Knox, J. E., Cross, J. B., Bakken, V., Adamo, C., Jaramillo, J., Gomperts, R., Stratmann, R. E., Yazyev, O., Austin, A. J., Cammi, R., Pomelli, C., Ochterski, J. W., Martin, R. L., Morokuma, K., Zakrzewski, V. G., Voth, G. A., Salvador, P., Dannenberg, J. J., Dapprich, S., Daniels, A. D., Farkas, Ö., Foresman, J. B., Ortiz, J. V., Cioslowski, J. & Fox, D. J. (2009). *GAUSSIAN09*. Gaussian Inc., Wallingford, Connecticut, USA.

- Fun, H.-K., Chinnakali, K., Sivakumar, K., Lu, C.-M., Xiong, R.-G. & You, X.-Z. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 1619–1620.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Hirshfeld, F. L. (1977). Theor. Chim. Acta, 44, 129-138.
- Kim, H. N., Lee, M. H., Kim, H. J., Kim, J. S. & Yoon, J. (2008). Chem. Soc. Rev. 37, 1465–1472.
- Klinhom, N., Saengsuwan, N., Sriyab, S., Prompinit, P., Hannongbua, S. & Suramitr, S. (2019). *Spectrochim. Acta Part A*, **206**, 359–366.
- Kvick, Å., Vaughan, G. B. M., Wang, X., Sun, Y. & Long, Y. (2000). Acta Cryst. C56, 1232–1233.
- Kwon, J. Y., Jang, Y. J., Lee, Y. J., Kim, K. M., Seo, M. S., Nam, W. & Yoon, J. (2005). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 127, 10107–10111.
- Liu, J., Wu, D., Yan, X. & Guan, Y. (2013). Talanta, 116, 563-568.
- Macrae, C. F., Sovago, I., Cottrell, S. J., Galek, P. T. A., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Platings, M., Shields, G. P., Stevens, J. S., Towler, M. & Wood, P. A. (2020). J. Appl. Cryst. 53, 226–235.
- McKinnon, J. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2007). Chem. Commun. pp. 3814–3816.
- Miengmern, N., Koonwong, A., Sriyab, S., Suramitr, A., Poo-arporn, R. P., Hannongbua, S. & Suramitr, S. (2019). J. Lumin. 210, 493– 500.
- Mizuguchi, J. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, o1238-o1239.
- Ni, J., Li, Q., Li, B. & Zhang, L. (2013). Sens. Actuators B Chem. 186, 278–285.
- Qu, J. Q., Wang, L. F., Li, Y. Z., Sun, G. C., Zhu, Q. J. & Xia, C. G. (2001). Synth. React. Inorg. Met.-Org. Chem. 31, 1577–1585.
- Quang, D. T. & Kim, J. S. (2010). Chem. Rev. 110, 6280-6301.
- Scalmani, G., Frisch, M. J., Mennucci, B., Tomasi, J., Cammi, R. & Barone, V. (2006). J. Chem. Phys. 124, 094107.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Spackman, M. A. & Jayatilaka, D. (2009). CrystEngComm, 11, 19-32.
- Turner, M. J., McKinnon, J. J., Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., Spackman, P. R., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2017). *Crystal Explorer 17.5*. The University of Western Australia.
- Venkatraman, R., Sitole, L. & Fronczek, F. R. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, m199.
- Veranitisagul, C., Wattanathana, W., Kaewvilai, A., Duangthongyou, T., Laobuthee, A. & Koonsaeng, N. (2012). Acta Cryst. E68, 01826.
- Wattanathana, W., Nootsuwan, N., Veranitisagul, C., Koonsaeng, N., Suramitr, S. & Laobuthee, A. (2016). J. Mol. Struct. 1109, 201–208.
- Wattanathana, W., Veranitisagul, C., Kaewvilai, A., Laobuthee, A. & Koonsaeng, N. (2012). Acta Cryst. E68, o3050.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2020). E76, 1027-1032 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989020007197]

Crystal structure, Hirshfeld surface analysis and computational study of a rhodamine B-salicylaldehyde Schiff base derivative

Songwut Suramitr, Jitpinan Teanwarawat, Nuttapong Ithiapa, Worawat Wattanathana and Anwaraporn Suramitr

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX3* (Bruker, 2018); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2018); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2018); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009), *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2020); software used to prepare material for publication: *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009).

3',6'-Bis(diethylamino)-2-[(2-hydroxybenzylidene)amino]spiro[isoindoline-1,9'-xanthen]-3-one

Crystal data

 $C_{35}H_{36}N_4O_3$ $M_r = 560.68$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ a = 9.3903 (7) Å b = 26.8178 (19) Å c = 11.5639 (8) Å $\beta = 101.635$ (2)° V = 2852.3 (4) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Bruker APEXIII CCD diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2016) $T_{\min} = 0.673$, $T_{\max} = 0.754$ 64500 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$ $wR(F^2) = 0.099$ S = 1.075508 reflections 387 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: dual F(000) = 1192 $D_x = 1.306 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 1.54178 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 9681 reflections $\theta = 3.9-72.1^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.67 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 KBlock, clear light violet $0.20 \times 0.20 \times 0.20 \text{ mm}$

5508 independent reflections 5462 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.030$ $\theta_{max} = 72.2^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 5.1^{\circ}$ $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$ $k = -33 \rightarrow 31$ $l = -14 \rightarrow 14$

Hydrogen site location: mixed H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0366P)^2 + 1.6105P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.26 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.25 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Z	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
01	0.63421 (9)	0.53998 (3)	0.51482 (7)	0.01990 (19)	
02	0.35333 (10)	0.71057 (3)	0.15605 (8)	0.0258 (2)	
03	0.85258 (11)	0.67163 (4)	0.47128 (9)	0.0341 (2)	
N3	0.47258 (11)	0.64737 (4)	0.27590 (9)	0.0182 (2)	
N2	0.78424 (11)	0.43998 (4)	0.22361 (9)	0.0203 (2)	
N4	0.61281 (11)	0.66475 (4)	0.30950 (9)	0.0197 (2)	
N1	0.54337 (12)	0.63079 (4)	0.84325 (9)	0.0228 (2)	
C27	0.22734 (13)	0.63956 (4)	0.22148 (10)	0.0181 (2)	
C12	0.52489 (12)	0.55798 (4)	0.31027 (10)	0.0163 (2)	
C9	0.56210 (12)	0.58015 (4)	0.55053 (10)	0.0167 (2)	
C22	0.27539 (12)	0.59856 (4)	0.29156 (10)	0.0165 (2)	
C8	0.46927 (12)	0.61076 (4)	0.47253 (10)	0.0165 (2)	
C13	0.61868 (12)	0.53104 (4)	0.39588 (10)	0.0163 (2)	
C17	0.70486 (13)	0.49250 (4)	0.36853 (10)	0.0174 (2)	
H17	0.767903	0.475358	0.430372	0.021*	
C28	0.35267 (13)	0.67139 (4)	0.21032 (10)	0.0185 (2)	
C16	0.70031 (12)	0.47851 (4)	0.25124 (10)	0.0173 (2)	
C10	0.58838 (13)	0.58696 (5)	0.67180 (10)	0.0188 (2)	
H10	0.652938	0.565077	0.721574	0.023*	
C11	0.43818 (12)	0.60188 (4)	0.34033 (10)	0.0165 (2)	
C7	0.40438 (13)	0.64983 (5)	0.52325 (11)	0.0199 (3)	
H7	0.340634	0.671699	0.472730	0.024*	
C5	0.52106 (13)	0.62564 (5)	0.72189 (11)	0.0190 (2)	
C6	0.42897 (13)	0.65798 (5)	0.64345 (11)	0.0212 (3)	
H6	0.383917	0.685408	0.673746	0.025*	
C24	0.03086 (13)	0.57033 (5)	0.26884 (11)	0.0219 (3)	
H24	-0.038019	0.546594	0.284338	0.026*	
C23	0.17839 (13)	0.56257 (5)	0.31441 (10)	0.0190 (2)	
H23	0.211332	0.533686	0.359496	0.023*	
C15	0.60465 (13)	0.50567 (5)	0.16302 (11)	0.0207 (3)	
H15	0.598389	0.497589	0.082170	0.025*	
C26	0.08054 (14)	0.64726 (5)	0.17477 (11)	0.0219 (3)	
H26	0.048406	0.675417	0.126699	0.026*	
C14	0.52070 (13)	0.54367 (5)	0.19346 (11)	0.0203 (3)	
H14	0.457022	0.560945	0.132251	0.024*	
C25	-0.01736 (13)	0.61228 (5)	0.20097 (11)	0.0231 (3)	
H25	-0.118581	0.617015	0.172246	0.028*	
C29	0.66197 (14)	0.69802 (5)	0.24752 (11)	0.0226 (3)	
H29	0.603743	0.709238	0.175314	0.027*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\mathring{A}^2)

C21	0.88015 (14)	0.41198 (5)	0.31614 (11)	0.0228 (3)
H21A	0.901201	0.379277	0.283556	0.027*
H21B	0.827910	0.405544	0.380933	0.027*
C30	0.80710 (15)	0.71842 (5)	0.28840 (12)	0.0249 (3)
C4	0.67041 (14)	0.60808 (5)	0.91783 (11)	0.0250 (3)
H4A	0.670275	0.571911	0.900719	0.030*
H4B	0.663083	0.612091	1.001535	0.030*
C19	0.78644 (15)	0.42836 (5)	0.10088 (11)	0.0230 (3)
H19A	0.685046	0.427015	0.055715	0.028*
H19B	0.829533	0.394843	0.097343	0.028*
C2	0.46026 (14)	0.66609 (5)	0.89867 (11)	0.0236 (3)
H2A	0.454398	0.653305	0.977887	0.028*
H2B	0.359964	0.667543	0.851350	0.028*
C35	0.89543 (15)	0.70507 (5)	0.39724 (13)	0.0284 (3)
C3	0.81405 (15)	0.63038 (6)	0.90053 (12)	0.0310 (3)
H3A	0.820426	0.627884	0.817176	0.046*
H3B	0.894997	0.612070	0.948926	0.046*
H3C	0.819047	0.665505	0.924369	0.046*
C18	0.87120 (16)	0.46553 (5)	0.04151 (12)	0.0287 (3)
H18A	0.866719	0.455502	-0.040637	0.043*
H18B	0.972824	0.466195	0.083581	0.043*
H18C	0.828601	0.498805	0.043386	0.043*
C20	1.02371 (15)	0.43748 (5)	0.36756 (13)	0.0291 (3)
H20A	1.077556	0.443530	0.304608	0.044*
H20B	1.081375	0.416020	0.428003	0.044*
H20C	1.004643	0.469316	0.403179	0.044*
C1	0.52129 (17)	0.71867 (5)	0.91209 (13)	0.0319 (3)
H1A	0.517760	0.733206	0.833812	0.048*
H1B	0.622293	0.717668	0.955531	0.048*
H1C	0.463219	0.739067	0.955591	0.048*
C31	0.85986 (17)	0.75357 (5)	0.21765 (14)	0.0332 (3)
H31	0.800983	0.763165	0.144281	0.040*
C32	0.99603 (19)	0.77443 (6)	0.25302 (17)	0.0412 (4)
H32	1.031113	0.797800	0.203696	0.049*
C34	1.03266 (16)	0.72693 (6)	0.43299 (16)	0.0377 (4)
H34	1.092100	0.718219	0.506806	0.045*
C33	1.08133 (17)	0.76114 (6)	0.36078 (17)	0.0430 (4)
H33	1.174654	0.775796	0.385279	0.052*
Н3	0.752 (3)	0.6591 (9)	0.431 (2)	0.069 (7)*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
01	0.0224 (4)	0.0236 (4)	0.0129 (4)	0.0070 (3)	0.0017 (3)	-0.0005 (3)
O2	0.0281 (5)	0.0213 (5)	0.0272 (5)	0.0023 (4)	0.0035 (4)	0.0077 (4)
O3	0.0246 (5)	0.0390 (6)	0.0363 (6)	-0.0069 (4)	0.0001 (4)	0.0078 (4)
N3	0.0162 (5)	0.0190 (5)	0.0188 (5)	-0.0012 (4)	0.0022 (4)	0.0035 (4)
N2	0.0205 (5)	0.0219 (5)	0.0190 (5)	0.0026 (4)	0.0049 (4)	-0.0014 (4)

Acta Cryst. (2020). E76, 1027-1032

supporting information

NI4	0.0160(5)	0.0107 (5)	0.0222 (5)	0.0016(4)	0.0062(4)	0.0010(4)
IN4 N1	0.0109(3)	0.0197(3)	0.0235(3)	-0.0010(4)	0.0002(4)	-0.0019(4)
NI C27	0.0207 (5)	0.0305 (6)	0.01/1(5)	0.0025(4)	0.0034 (4)	-0.0042(4)
C27	0.0193 (6)	0.0197 (6)	0.0148 (5)	0.0016 (5)	0.0025 (4)	-0.0018(4)
C12	0.0140 (5)	0.0188 (6)	0.0162 (5)	-0.0012 (4)	0.0028 (4)	0.0014 (4)
C9	0.0137 (5)	0.0185 (6)	0.0180 (6)	-0.0007 (4)	0.0036 (4)	-0.0005 (4)
C22	0.0154 (6)	0.0204 (6)	0.0134 (5)	0.0012 (4)	0.0023 (4)	-0.0022 (4)
C8	0.0134 (5)	0.0195 (6)	0.0164 (6)	-0.0024 (4)	0.0024 (4)	0.0003 (4)
C13	0.0154 (5)	0.0200 (6)	0.0134 (5)	-0.0023 (4)	0.0030 (4)	0.0003 (4)
C17	0.0155 (5)	0.0206 (6)	0.0157 (5)	0.0006 (4)	0.0020 (4)	0.0026 (4)
C28	0.0211 (6)	0.0188 (6)	0.0155 (5)	0.0021 (5)	0.0031 (4)	-0.0002 (4)
C16	0.0152 (5)	0.0185 (6)	0.0190 (6)	-0.0023 (4)	0.0053 (4)	-0.0004 (4)
C10	0.0162 (5)	0.0230 (6)	0.0164 (6)	0.0005 (5)	0.0014 (4)	0.0006 (5)
C11	0.0151 (5)	0.0177 (6)	0.0163 (6)	-0.0009 (4)	0.0022 (4)	0.0028 (4)
C7	0.0173 (6)	0.0210 (6)	0.0206 (6)	0.0011 (5)	0.0017 (5)	0.0008 (5)
C5	0.0152 (5)	0.0238 (6)	0.0178 (6)	-0.0035 (5)	0.0030 (4)	-0.0027 (5)
C6	0.0187 (6)	0.0220 (6)	0.0230 (6)	0.0005 (5)	0.0049 (5)	-0.0042 (5)
C24	0.0182 (6)	0.0286 (7)	0.0190 (6)	-0.0046 (5)	0.0043 (5)	-0.0040 (5)
C23	0.0190 (6)	0.0223 (6)	0.0155 (5)	-0.0009 (5)	0.0031 (4)	0.0000 (4)
C15	0.0204 (6)	0.0270 (6)	0.0146 (6)	0.0005 (5)	0.0030 (5)	-0.0011 (5)
C26	0.0207 (6)	0.0237 (6)	0.0193 (6)	0.0055 (5)	-0.0010 (5)	-0.0020 (5)
C14	0.0184 (6)	0.0260 (6)	0.0152 (6)	0.0022 (5)	0.0004 (4)	0.0030 (5)
C25	0.0154 (6)	0.0310 (7)	0.0211 (6)	0.0033 (5)	-0.0005 (5)	-0.0059 (5)
C29	0.0251 (6)	0.0210 (6)	0.0233 (6)	0.0005 (5)	0.0089 (5)	0.0002 (5)
C21	0.0251 (6)	0.0182 (6)	0.0251 (6)	0.0039 (5)	0.0051 (5)	0.0008 (5)
C30	0.0247 (6)	0.0198 (6)	0.0337 (7)	-0.0014 (5)	0.0140 (5)	-0.0049 (5)
C4	0.0279 (7)	0.0312 (7)	0.0150 (6)	0.0024 (5)	0.0024 (5)	-0.0011 (5)
C19	0.0266 (6)	0.0218 (6)	0.0216 (6)	0.0006 (5)	0.0070 (5)	-0.0046 (5)
C2	0.0243 (6)	0.0268 (7)	0.0209 (6)	-0.0003 (5)	0.0077 (5)	-0.0025 (5)
C35	0.0245 (7)	0.0244 (7)	0.0389 (8)	-0.0034 (5)	0.0127 (6)	-0.0033 (6)
C3	0.0224 (7)	0.0430 (8)	0.0256 (7)	0.0025 (6)	0.0001 (5)	-0.0057 (6)
C18	0.0343 (7)	0.0289 (7)	0.0266 (7)	0.0014 (6)	0.0148 (6)	-0.0016 (5)
C20	0.0221 (7)	0.0307 (7)	0.0331 (7)	0.0046 (5)	0.0025 (5)	0.0019 (6)
C1	0.0384 (8)	0.0276 (7)	0.0301 (7)	-0.0034 (6)	0.0077 (6)	-0.0012 (6)
C31	0.0397 (8)	0.0232 (7)	0.0420 (8)	-0.0045 (6)	0.0206 (7)	-0.0024 (6)
C32	0.0438 (9)	0.0266 (7)	0.0615 (11)	-0.0123 (7)	0.0304 (8)	-0.0067 (7)
C34	0.0244 (7)	0.0379 (8)	0.0513 (9)	-0.0051 (6)	0.0086 (6)	-0.0074 (7)
C33	0.0283 (8)	0.0346 (8)	0.0708 (12)	-0.0142 (6)	0.0214 (8)	-0.0154 (8)
					. /	

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01—C9	1.3792 (14)	C15—C14	1.3768 (18)	
O1—C13	1.3747 (14)	C26—H26	0.9500	
O2—C28	1.2246 (15)	C26—C25	1.3890 (19)	
O3—C35	1.3558 (17)	C14—H14	0.9500	
О3—Н3	1.02 (3)	C25—H25	0.9500	
N3—N4	1.3769 (14)	C29—H29	0.9500	
N3—C28	1.3833 (15)	C29—C30	1.4561 (19)	
N3—C11	1.4982 (14)	C21—H21A	0.9900	

N2 C16	1 2759 (16)	C21 U21D	0.0000
N2 C21	1.5756 (10)	C21—H21B	0.9900
N2	1.4599 (10)	$C_{21} = C_{20}$	1.3210 (19)
N2	1.4572 (16)	$C_{30} = C_{33}$	1.407 (2)
N4—C29	1.28/0 (17)	C30—C31	1.4025 (19)
NI—C5	1.3834 (16)	C4—H4A	0.9900
N1—C4	1.4569 (17)	C4—H4B	0.9900
N1—C2	1.4551 (16)	C4—C3	1.525 (2)
C27—C22	1.3864 (17)	C19—H19A	0.9900
C27—C28	1.4803 (17)	C19—H19B	0.9900
C27—C26	1.3907 (17)	C19—C18	1.5227 (18)
C12—C13	1.3879 (16)	C2—H2A	0.9900
C12—C11	1.5113 (16)	C2—H2B	0.9900
C12—C14	1.3970 (17)	C2—C1	1.5183 (19)
С9—С8	1.3892 (17)	C35—C34	1.400 (2)
C9—C10	1.3861 (17)	С3—НЗА	0.9800
C22—C11	1.5215 (16)	С3—Н3В	0.9800
C22—C23	1.3885 (17)	С3—НЗС	0.9800
C8—C11	1.5163 (16)	C18—H18A	0.9800
C8—C7	1.3990 (17)	C18—H18B	0.9800
C13 - C17	1 3878 (17)	C18 - H18C	0.9800
C17—H17	0.9500	C20—H20A	0.9800
C17 - C16	1 3997 (17)	C_{20} H20R	0.9800
$C_{16} = C_{15}$	1.377(17) 1.4172(17)	C_{20} H_{20C}	0.9800
C10_H10	0.0500	C_{20} H1A	0.9800
C10—H10	0.9300		0.9800
C10—C5	1.3990 (17)	CI-HIB	0.9800
C/—H/	0.9500	CI-HIC	0.9800
C/C6	1.3798 (18)	C31—H31	0.9500
C5—C6	1.4165 (18)	C31—C32	1.380 (2)
С6—Н6	0.9500	C32—H32	0.9500
C24—H24	0.9500	C32—C33	1.385 (3)
C24—C23	1.3949 (17)	C34—H34	0.9500
C24—C25	1.3941 (19)	C34—C33	1.379 (2)
С23—Н23	0.9500	С33—Н33	0.9500
C15—H15	0.9500		
С13—О1—С9	118.45 (9)	С24—С25—Н25	119.5
С35—О3—Н3	107.4 (13)	C26—C25—C24	120.91 (11)
N4—N3—C28	128.56 (10)	C26—C25—H25	119.5
N4—N3—C11	115.17 (9)	N4—C29—H29	120.1
C28—N3—C11	114.74 (9)	N4—C29—C30	119.81 (12)
C16—N2—C21	120.92 (10)	C30—C29—H29	120.1
C16—N2—C19	120.53 (10)	N2—C21—H21A	108.5
C19 - N2 - C21	118.42 (10)	N2-C21-H21B	108.5
C29—N4—N3	120 51 (11)	$N_2 - C_2 - C_2 0$	114 97 (11)
C_5 —N1—C4	119.81 (10)	$H_{21} = C_{21} = H_{21} = H$	107.5
C_5 N1- C_2	121 79 (11)	C_{20} C_{21} H_{21A}	108.5
C_2 N1 C_2	117 32 (10)	C_{20} C_{21} H_{21R}	108.5
$C_2 = 111 = C_4$	117.32(10) 100.72(10)	$C_{20} = C_{21} = 1121D$	100.5
	107.12 (10)	033 - 030 - 027	122.03 (12)

C	121(7(12))	C_{21} C_{20} C_{20}	110.74(12)
$C_{22} - C_{27} - C_{26}$	121.67 (12)	$C_{31} = C_{30} = C_{29}$	118.74 (13)
$C_{26} = C_{27} = C_{28}$	128.59 (11)	C31—C30—C35	118.62 (13)
C13—C12—C11	122.36 (10)	NI—C4—H4A	108.9
C13—C12—C14	115.90 (11)	N1—C4—H4B	108.9
C14—C12—C11	121.66 (10)	N1—C4—C3	113.50 (11)
O1—C9—C8	123.28 (10)	H4A—C4—H4B	107.7
O1—C9—C10	114.06 (10)	C3—C4—H4A	108.9
C10—C9—C8	122.65 (11)	C3—C4—H4B	108.9
C27—C22—C11	110.76 (10)	N2—C19—H19A	108.7
C27—C22—C23	120.92 (11)	N2-C19-H19B	108.7
C23—C22—C11	128.22 (11)	N2-C19-C18	114.24 (11)
C9—C8—C11	122.00 (11)	H19A—C19—H19B	107.6
C9—C8—C7	116.08 (11)	С18—С19—Н19А	108.7
C7—C8—C11	121.92 (10)	C18—C19—H19B	108.7
01—C13—C12	123.16 (11)	N1—C2—H2A	108.5
01 - C13 - C17	114 14 (10)	N1—C2—H2B	108.5
C_{12} C_{13} C_{17}	122 71 (11)	N1 - C2 - C1	115.10(11)
$C_{12} = C_{13} = C_{17}$	110.5	$H_{2A} = C_2 + H_{2B}$	107.5
$C_{13} = C_{17} = C_{16}$	119.5	$112A - C_2 - 112B$	107.5
$C_{15} = C_{17} = C_{10}$	121.01 (11)	C1 - C2 - H2R	108.5
C10-C1/-H1/	119.3	CI = C2 = H2B	108.3
02—C28—N3	126.36 (12)	03 - 03 - 030	122.46 (12)
02 - 028 - 027	128.73 (11)	03 - 035 - 034	117.59 (14)
N3—C28—C27	104.91 (10)	C34—C35—C30	119.94 (14)
N2—C16—C17	121.29 (11)	C4—C3—H3A	109.5
N2—C16—C15	121.91 (11)	C4—C3—H3B	109.5
C17—C16—C15	116.80 (11)	C4—C3—H3C	109.5
C9—C10—H10	119.6	НЗА—СЗ—НЗВ	109.5
C9—C10—C5	120.88 (11)	НЗА—СЗ—НЗС	109.5
C5—C10—H10	119.6	НЗВ—СЗ—НЗС	109.5
N3—C11—C12	109.86 (9)	C19—C18—H18A	109.5
N3—C11—C22	99.47 (9)	C19—C18—H18B	109.5
N3—C11—C8	110.89 (9)	C19—C18—H18C	109.5
C12—C11—C22	114.63 (10)	H18A—C18—H18B	109.5
C12—C11—C8	110.56 (9)	H18A—C18—H18C	109.5
C8-C11-C22	110.96 (9)	H18B—C18—H18C	109.5
C8—C7—H7	118.6	C21—C20—H20A	109.5
C6-C7-C8	122.85 (11)	$C_{21} = C_{20} = H_{20B}$	109.5
C6 C7 H7	118.6	C_{21} C_{20} H_{20C}	109.5
$C_0 - C_7 - H_7$	120.35 (11)	H_{20}^{-11}	109.5
N1_C5_C6	120.33(11) 122.44(11)	$H_{20A} = C_{20} = H_{20C}$	109.5
	122.44 (11)	$H_{20}A = C_{20} = H_{20}C$	109.5
C10 - C5 - C6	117.20 (11)	$H_{20}B = C_{20} = H_{20}C$	109.5
C/C6C5	120.31 (11)	C2—CI—HIA	109.5
С/—Сб—Нб	119.8	C2—C1—HIB	109.5
С5—С6—Н6	119.8	C2—C1—H1C	109.5
C23—C24—H24	119.4	H1A—C1—H1B	109.5
C25—C24—H24	119.4	H1A—C1—H1C	109.5
C25—C24—C23	121.21 (12)	H1B—C1—H1C	109.5
C22—C23—C24	117.65 (11)	C30—C31—H31	119.5

С22—С23—Н23	121.2	C32—C31—C30	120.98 (16)
C24—C23—H23	121.2	C32—C31—H31	119.5
C16—C15—H15	119.7	С31—С32—Н32	120.1
C14—C15—C16	120.59 (11)	C31—C32—C33	119.70 (15)
C14—C15—H15	119.7	С33—С32—Н32	120.1
С27—С26—Н26	121.2	С35—С34—Н34	120.1
C25—C26—C27	117.57 (12)	C33—C34—C35	119.83 (16)
C25—C26—H26	121.2	C33—C34—H34	120.1
C12—C14—H14	118.5	С32—С33—Н33	119.5
C15-C14-C12	122.99 (11)	C_{34} C_{33} C_{32}	120.91 (14)
C15 - C14 - H14	118 5	C34—C33—H33	119.5
	110.0		119.0
O1—C9—C8—C11	0.75 (17)	C10—C9—C8—C11	-178.13 (11)
O1—C9—C8—C7	179.83 (10)	C10—C9—C8—C7	0.94 (17)
O1—C9—C10—C5	-178.87 (10)	C10—C5—C6—C7	2.22 (18)
O1—C13—C17—C16	179.34 (10)	C11—N3—N4—C29	168.12 (11)
O3—C35—C34—C33	179.94 (14)	C11—N3—C28—O2	175.66 (11)
N3—N4—C29—C30	175.92 (11)	C11—N3—C28—C27	-4.11 (13)
N2-C16-C15-C14	179.21 (11)	C11—C12—C13—O1	3.95 (18)
N4—N3—C28—O2	10.6 (2)	C11—C12—C13—C17	-176.23(11)
N4—N3—C28—C27	-169.16(11)	C11—C12—C14—C15	176.21 (11)
N4—N3—C11—C12	-66.11 (12)	$C_{11} - C_{22} - C_{23} - C_{24}$	173.34 (11)
N4—N3—C11—C22	173.25 (9)	$C_{11} - C_{8} - C_{7} - C_{6}$	178.70 (11)
N4—N3—C11—C8	56 40 (13)	C7—C8—C11—N3	56 81 (14)
N4-C29-C30-C35	-3.20(19)	C7-C8-C11-C12	178 91 (10)
N4 - C29 - C30 - C31	178 18 (12)	C7 - C8 - C11 - C22	-52.75(15)
N1 - C5 - C6 - C7	-17672(12)	$C_{2} = C_{2} = C_{2} = C_{2}$	65 09 (16)
C_{27} C_{22} C_{11} N3	-5.88(12)	C_{5} N1 C_{7} C_{1}	-86.26(15)
C_{27} C_{22} C_{11} C_{12}	-122.98(11)	C_{23} C_{22} C_{11} N_{3}	177.66 (11)
$C_{27} = C_{22} = C_{11} = C_{12}$	110.92 (11)	C_{23} C_{22} C_{11} C_{12}	60 56 (16)
$C_{27} = C_{22} = C_{11} = C_{0}$	-2.80(17)	$C_{23} = C_{22} = C_{11} = C_{12}$	-65.54(15)
$C_{27} = C_{22} = C_{23} = C_{24}$	-1.80(17)	$C_{23} = C_{22} = C_{11} = C_{8}$	1.20(10)
$C_{2}^{-} = C_{2}^{-} = C_{2$	-0.50(18)	$C_{25} = C_{24} = C_{25} = C_{20}$	-17451(11)
$C_{12} = C_{13} = C_{17} = C_{10}$	-5.36(16)	$C_{20} = C_{27} = C_{22} = C_{11}$	174.31(11) 2.25(18)
$C_{9} = 01 = C_{13} = C_{12}$	174.80(10)	$C_{20} = C_{27} = C_{22} = C_{23}$	2.23(18)
$C_{9} = C_{1} = C_{13} = C_{17}$	-124.17(12)	$C_{20} = C_{27} = C_{28} = O_{2}$	1.4(2) 178 25 (12)
$C_{9} = C_{8} = C_{11} = C_{12}$	-124.17(12)	$C_{20} = C_{27} = C_{28} = N_3$	170.55(12)
$C_{9} = C_{8} = C_{11} = C_{12}$	-2.00(13)	C14 - C12 - C13 - O1	-1/9.10(11)
$C_{9} = C_{8} = C_{11} = C_{22}$	120.28(12)	C14 - C12 - C13 - C17	0.0/(1/)
$C_{9} = C_{8} = C_{7} = C_{8}$	-0.38(18)	C14 - C12 - C11 - N3	-54.17 (14)
C9—C10—C5—N1	1//.28 (11)	C14-C12-C11-C22	56.81 (15)
C9—C10—C5—C6	-1.68 (17)		-176.88(10)
$C_{22} = C_{27} = C_{28} = O_{2}$	-179.82 (12)	C25—C24—C23—C22	1.12 (18)
C22—C27—C28—N3	-0.05 (13)	C29—C30—C35—O3	1.0 (2)
C22—C27—C26—C25	0.11 (18)	C29—C30—C35—C34	-178.41 (13)
C8—C9—C10—C5	0.11 (18)	C29—C30—C31—C32	179.28 (13)
C8—C7—C6—C5	-1.23 (19)	C21—N2—C16—C17	0.60 (17)
C13—O1—C9—C8	2.99 (16)	C21—N2—C16—C15	-178.94 (11)
C13—O1—C9—C10	-178.03(10)	C21—N2—C19—C18	-102.27 (13)

C13—C12—C11—N3	122.54 (12)	C30—C35—C34—C33	-0.6 (2)
C13—C12—C11—C22	-126.47 (12)	C30—C31—C32—C33	-1.0 (2)
C13—C12—C11—C8	-0.16 (15)	C4—N1—C5—C10	20 76 (18)
C13—C12—C14—C15	-0.71 (18)	C4—N1—C5—C6	-160.34 (12)
C13—C17—C16—N2	-179.25 (11)	C4—N1—C2—C1	81.84 (15)
C13—C17—C16—C15	0.31 (17)	C19—N2—C16—C17	-175.33 (11)
C17—C16—C15—C14	-0.34 (18)	C19—N2—C16—C15	5.13 (17)
C28—N3—N4—C29	-26.88 (18)	C19—N2—C21—C20	96.50 (13)
C28—N3—C11—C12	126.76 (11)	C2—N1—C5—C10	-171.43 (11)
C28—N3—C11—C22	6.13 (12)	C2—N1—C5—C6	7.48 (18)
C28—N3—C11—C22	-110 73 (11)	C2 N1 C4 C3	-103.26 (13)
C28—C27—C22—C11	4.02 (13)	$\begin{array}{c} C_{2} = N_{1} = C_{4} = C_{3} \\ C_{3} = C_{3} = C_{3} = C_{3} \\ C_{3} = C_{3} = C_{3} = C_{3} \\ C_{3} = C_{3} = C_{3} \\ C_{3} = C_{3} = C_{3} \\ C_{3} \\ C_{3} = C_{3} \\ C_{3} = C_{3} \\ C_{3} \\ C_{3} = C_{3} \\ C_{3} \\$	0.6 (2)
C28—C27—C22—C23	-179.22 (10)		0.2 (2)
C_{28} C_{27} C_{26} C_{25} C_{16} N_{2} C_{21} C_{20} C_{16} N_{2} C_{19} C_{18} C_{16} C_{15} C_{14} C_{12}	-178.12 (12) -79.52 (15) 73.76 (15) 0.57 (19)	C31—C30—C35—C3 C31—C30—C35—C34 C31—C32—C33—C34	1/9.64 (12) 0.2 (2) 0.6 (2)
C16-C15-C14-C12	0.57 (19)		0.0 (_)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Cg is the centroid of the C22–C27 ring.

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H…A	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· A
C31—H31…O3 ⁱ	0.95	2.77	3.4737 (19)	131
O3—H3…N4	1.02 (3)	1.72 (2)	2.6276 (15)	145 (2)
C18—H18 A ··· Cg^{ii}	0.98	2.88	3.8191 (15)	161

Symmetry codes: (i) x, -y+3/2, z-1/2; (ii) -x+1, -y+1, -z.