research communications



Received 20 February 2020 Accepted 4 May 2020

Edited by L. Fabian, University of East Anglia, England

Keywords: crystal structure; solvate; pharmaceuticals; hydrogen bonding; isostructural.

CCDC reference: 2000973

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

Febuxostat ethanol monosolvate

Thomas Gelbrich,^a* Volker Kahlenberg,^b Verena Adamer,^c Sven Nerdinger^c and Ulrich J. Griesser^a

^aUniversity of Innsbruck, Institute of Pharmacy, Innrain 52, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria, ^bUniversity of Innsbruck, Institute of Mineralogy and Petrography, Innrain 52, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria, and ^cSandoz GmbH, Biochemiestrasse 10, 6250 Kundl, Austria. *Correspondence e-mail: thomas.gelbrich@uibk.ac.at

The title compound, 2-(3-cyano-4-isobutoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-1,3-thiazole-5carboxylic acid ethanol monosolvate, $C_{16}H_{16}N_2O_3S \cdot C_2H_6O$, (I), displays intermolecular $O-H \cdot \cdot \cdot O$ and $O-H \cdot \cdot \cdot N$ bonds in which the carboxyl group of the febuxostat molecule and the hydroxyl group of the ethanol molecule serve as hydrogen-bond donor sites. These interactions result in a helical hydrogenbonded chain structure. The title structure is isostructural with a previously reported methanol analogue.

1. Chemical context

Febuxostat is a novel, small-molecule, non-purine-selective inhibitor of xanthine oxidase developed for the treatment of chronic gout and hyperuricemia, *via* oral administration (Pascual *et al.*, 2009; Gray & Walters-Smith, 2011; Kataoka *et al.*, 2015). This drug is currently marketed by Takeda Pharmaceuticals Inc. under the trade name Uloric. Matsumoto *et al.* (1999) disclosed the existence of five solid forms of febuxostat, *i.e.* of the anhydrous forms *A*, *B* and *C*, a methanol solvate *D* and a hemihydrate *G*. The crystal structures of two polymorphs were reported by Maddileti *et al.* (2013) and Yadav *et al.* (2017). Additionally, solvate structures containing the febuxostat molecule and methanol (Jiang *et al.*, 2011), acetic acid (Wu *et al.*, 2015) or pyrdine (Zhu *et al.*, 2009) have been described.



The current study was carried out as part of an investigation with the aim of establishing a modified synthetic route for febuxostat (Lutra *et al.*, 2012), avoiding harsh conditions, toxic reagents to form the thioamide and the highly toxic cyanides. One of the key aspects of the novel route of synthesis was the introduction of a modified version of the Duff reaction (Duff & Bills, 1932, 1934) in the first step, which finally resulted in improved overall yields compared to the original synthesis by Hasegawa (1998).







Figure 1 Asymmetric unit of (I) with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level and hydrogen atoms drawn as spheres of arbitrary size.

2. Structural commentary

The febuxostat molecule (Fig. 1) is essentially planar. This is illustrated by the fact that the mean plane defined by all its non-H atoms, except for C22 of the isobutyl group, results in a root-mean-square deviation for the 21 fitted atoms of only 0.0890 Å. Atom C22 is located at a distance of 1.498 (3) Å from this mean plane. All bond lengths and angles are in good agreement with the geometrical characteristics of previously determined febuxostat structures (see below). The relative mutual orientation of the CN substituent at the phenyl ring and the Me group at the thiazole ring is characterized by the torsion angle S1-C2-C6-C7 of -6.5 (3)°. This torsion is also defined as τ in the Scheme. The isobutoxy group adopts the expected extended chain geometry with C9-O18-C19-C20 = 175.3 (2)° and O18-C19-C20-C21 = 170.7 (2)°.

3. Supramolecular features

The carboxyl group of the febuxostat molecule is linked to the OH group of an EtOH molecule *via* an O23– H23···N3($-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$) interaction. The hydroxy group of the solvent additionally serves as a hydrogen-bond donor group for an O14–H14···O23(x - 1, y, z) bond to a second febuxostat molecule (see Table 1). Together, these two interactions result in a hydrogen-bonded chain composed of alternating febuxostat and ethanol molecules that displays a 2₁ symmetry and propagates parallel to the *b* axis (Fig. 2). The same hydrogen-bonded structure is also present in the



Figure 2 Hydrogen-bonded layer structure of (I), viewed along the *a* axis.

Table 1	
Hydrogen-bond geomet	try (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$\begin{array}{c} O23 - H23 \cdots N3^{i} \\ O14 - H14 \cdots O23^{ii} \end{array}$	0.83 (2) 0.84 (2)	2.07 (2) 1.80 (2)	2.878 (3) 2.631 (3)	162 (4) 170 (4)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, -z + 1; (ii) x - 1, y, z.

analogous MeOH solvate of febuxostat, first reported (at 296 K) by Jiang *et al.* (2011) and redetermined by us at 173 K as part of this study (Gelbrich *et al.*, 2020*a*). Indeed, a comparison with the program *XPac* (Gelbrich & Hursthouse, 2005) reveals that the EtOH and MeOH solvates are isostructural. The comparison of corresponding geometrical parameters generated from the complete set of 22 non-H atomic positions in the febuxostat molecule resulted in a dissimilarity index (Gelbrich *et al.*, 2012) of x = 3.3, which indicates a high agreement of the febuxostat packing in the EtOH and MeOH solvates.

4. Database survey

Table 2 displays those entries in the Cambridge Structural Database (version 5.41, November 2019; Groom *et al.*, 2016) that relate to crystal structures containing the febuxostat molecule. The febuxostat geometries in most of these structures are in good agreement with the parameters of (I), *i.e.* the torsion τ (see Scheme) typically adopts a value close to 0°. However, an opposite geometry with τ values close to 180° has been reported for the polymorphs Q and H1, a co-crystal with 4-aminobenzoic acid and a 2-(pyridin-2-ylamino)pyridinium salt.

5. Synthesis and crystallization

5.1. Synthesis

The preparation of febuxostat was carried out according to the scheme in Fig. 3 in a modified procedure based on the original synthesis by Hasegawa (1998).

5.1.1. Ethyl 2-(3-formyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)-4-methyl-5-thiazolecarboxylate (3). Ethyl 2-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-4-methyl-5thiazole carboxylate (2, 10.0 g) and hexamethylenetetramine (5.86 g) were added to trifluoroacetic acid (100 ml). The reaction mixture was heated to reflux under stirring for 40 h,



Synthetic scheme for the preparation of febuxostat (1).

research communications

Table 2

Conformation of febuxostat molecules in polymorphs and multi-component structures, indicated by the torsion angle τ .

Form	CSD	au (°)	Ref.
Polymorph Q	HIQQAB	-174.1	Maddileti et al. (2013)
Polymorph H1	HIQQAB02	177.9	Yadav et al. (2017)
		-1.2	
MeOH solvate (173 K)	CCDC 1981184	5.6	Gelbrich et al. (2020a)
MeOH solvate (296 K)	UREQOY	5.0	Jiang <i>et al.</i> (2011)
EtOH solvate (I)	-	4.5	This study
Acetic acid solvate (173 K)	CCDC 1981185	-2.8	Gelbrich et al. (2020b)
Acetic acid solvate (296 K)	XULRUT	-3.2	Wu et al. (2015)
Pyridine solvate	PUHGUV	2.7	Zhu et al. (2009)
Acetamide co-crystal	HIQQEF	-6.9	Maddileti et al. (2013)
Nicotinamide co-crystal	HIQQIJ	0.7	Maddileti et al. (2013)
4-Aminobenzoic acid co-crystal	HIQQOP	-176.9	Maddileti et al. (2013)
Urea co-crystal	HIQQUV	4.4	Maddileti et al. (2013)
Isonicotinamide co-crystal	OYADAV	-3.8	Kang et al. (2017)
2-Methyl-1H-imidazole salt	FAMQIW	-19.4	Zhang & Zhang (2017)
		13.4	
Imidazole salt monohydrate	KIPMAA	-5.7	Gao et al. (2019)
2-(Pyridin-2-ylamino)pyridinium salt	FAMQOC	-174.5	Zhang & Zhang (2017)

and trifluoroacetic acid was distilled out. The obtained residue was cooled to 298 K, water (200 ml) was added slowly, and the slurry was stirred for 4 h. After filtration, the product was washed and dried under vacuum to give 9.60 g of **3**.

5.1.2. Ethyl 2-(3-formyl-4-isobutoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-5thiazolecarboxylate (4). Ethyl 2-(3-formyl-4-hydroxyphenyl)-4-methyl-5-thiazolecarboxylate (3, 350 g), potassium carbonate (332 g) and isobutyl bromide (330 g) were added to DMF (1.75 1). The reaction mixture was heated to 383 ± 3 K and stirred for 4 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to 298 K, and water (0.50 l) was added slowly. The slurry was stirred for 2 h. After filtration, the product was washed and dried under vacuum to give 389 g of **4**. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃), 400 MHz): δ = 1.079–1.101 (*d*, 6H), 1.366–1.413 (*t*, 3H), 2.185–2.230 (*m*, 1H), 2.769 (*s*, 3H), 3.914–3.935 (*d*, 2H), 4.316–4.387 (*q*, 2H), 7.045– 7.074 (*d*, 1H), 8.188–8.225 (*dd*, 1H), 8.353–8.361 (*d*, 1H).

5.1.3. Ethyl 2-(3-cyano-4-isobutoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-5thiazolecarboxylate (5). Ethyl 2-(3-formyl-4-isobutoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-5-thiazolecarboxylate (**4**, 350 g), sodium formate (123 g) and hydroxylamine hydrochloride (84 g) were successively added to formic acid (1.4 l). The reaction mixture was heated to reflux and stirred for 5 h to complete the reaction. The reaction solution was cooled to 298 K, and water (2.8 l) was slowly added. After stirring for approximately 1 h, the slurry was filtered, the product was washed with water and dried under vacuum to give 321 g of **5**. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃), 400 MHz): δ = 1.053–1.104 (*d*, 6H), 1.368–1.463 (*t*, 3H), 2.164– 2.225 (*m*, 1H), 2.768 (*s*, 3H), 3.890–3.911 (*d*, 2H), 4.324–4.395 (*q*, 2H), 6.998–7.027 (*d*, 1H), 8 8.188–8.225 (*dd*, 1H), 8.353– 8.361 (*d*, 1H).

5.1.4. 2-(3-Cyano-4-isobutoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-5-thiazole carboxylic acid (1). Ethyl 2-(3-cyano-4-isobutoxyphenyl)-4-methyl-5-thiazolecarboxylate (5, 250 g) and potassium carbonate (200 g) were successively added to a mixture of MeOH (7.5 l) and water (250 ml). To complete the reaction, the solution was heated to reflux for 3 h under stirring. The clear solution was cooled, and vacuum was applied to distil out the solvent below 313 K. Water (5 l) was added to the residue.

After stirring, EtOAc (2.5 l) was added. The solution was stirred, and the layers were separated. The pH of the aqueous solution was adjusted to 2.5 ± 0.2 by adding diluted hydrochloric acid solution at 313 K. After stirring for 1 h, the slurry was filtered, and the product was washed with water and dried under vacuum to give 215 g of **1**.

5.2. Crystallization

Febuxostat (1 g) was dissolved in ethanol (10 ml), which yielded a clear solution upon heating to 338 K. After filtration, the solution was allowed to cool to room temperature, and the subsequent crystallization resulted in febuxostat ethanol solvate.

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. All H atoms were identified in difference maps. Methyl H atoms were idealized and included as rigid groups allowed to rotate but not tip (C-H = 0.98 Å), and their U_{iso} parameters were set to $1.5U_{eq}(C)$ of the parent carbon atom. H atoms bonded to secondary CH₂ (C-H = 0.99 Å) or tertiary CH (C-H = 0.99 Å) carbon atoms and H atoms bonded to C atoms in aromatic rings (C-H = 0.95 Å) were positioned geometrically and refined with U_{iso} set to $1.2U_{eq}(C)$ of the parent carbon atom. H atoms in OH groups were identified in difference maps, refined with a distance restraint [O-H = 0.84 (2) Å] and a free U_{iso} parameter. Two outliers ($\overline{474}$) and ($\overline{2,16}$,2) were omitted in the final cycles of refinement.

References

Duff, J. C. & Bills, E. J. (1932). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 1987-1988.

Duff, J. C. & Bills, E. J. (1934). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 1305-1308.

Gelbrich, T. & Hursthouse, M. B. (2005). CrystEngComm, 7, 324-336.

Gao, L., Zhang, X.-R., Chen, Y.-F., Liao, Z.-L., Wang, Y.-Q. & Zou, X.-Y. (2019). J. Mol. Struct. 1176, 633–640.

Table 3 Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{16}H_{16}N_2O_3S \cdot C_2H_6O$
$M_{ m r}$	362.43
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1$
Temperature (K)	173
a, b, c (Å)	4.7274 (2), 17.7820 (5), 10.7340 (4)
β (°)	98.994 (4)
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	891.23 (6)
Ζ	2
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	0.21
Crystal size (mm)	$0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.36$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Rigaku Oxford Diffraction
A har matic a second is a	Acanour, Ruby, Gemini ultra
Absorption correction	OD 2015)
T T	07(0, 1,000
I_{\min}, I_{\max}	0.760, 1.000
No. of measured, independent and	6054, 3070, 2917
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	0.028
K_{int}	0.028
$(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm A}^{-1})$	0.641
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = wR(F^2)$ S	0.030 0.077 1.04
No of reflections	3070
No. of parameters	238
No. of restraints	3
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of
	independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} (e \text{\AA}^{-3})$	0.260.17
Absolute structure	Flack x determined using 1046
	quotients $[(I^+)-(I^-)]/[(I^+)+(I^-)]$
	(Parsons <i>et al.</i> , 2013).
Absolute structure parameter	-0.02(4)

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku OD, 2015), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2014/6 (Sheldrick, 2015b), XP in SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008), Mercury (Macrae et al., 2020), PLATON (Spek, 2020) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

Gelbrich, T., Kahlenberg, V., Adamer, V. & Griesser, U. J. (2020a). Private Communication (CCDC reference 1981184). CCDC, Cambridge, England.

research communications

Gelbrich, T., Kahlenberg, V., Adamer, V. & Griesser, U. J. (2020b).
Private Communication (CCDC reference 1981185). CCDC,
Cambridge, England.
Gelbrich, T., Threlfall, T. L. & Hursthouse, M. B. (2012).
CrystEngComm, 14, 5454–5464.
Gray, C. L. & Walters-Smith, N. E. (2011). Am. J. Health Syst. Pharm.
68 , 389–398.
Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta
<i>Cryst.</i> B 72 , 171–179.
Hasegawa, M. (1998). Heterocycles, 47, 857-864.
Jiang, QY., Qian, JJ., Gu, JM., Tang, GP. & Hu, XR. (2011).
Acta Cryst. E67, 01232.
Kang, Y., Gu, J. & Hu, X. (2017). J. Mol. Struct. 1130, 480-486.
Kataoka, H., Yang, K. & Rock, K. L. (2015). Eur. J. Pharmacol. 746,
174–179.
Lutra, P., Khan, R., Salunkhe, D. & Nasir, A. (2012). Int. Patent Appl.
WO 2012131590 (A1).
Macrae, C. F., Sovago, I., Cottrell, S. J., Galek, P. T. A., McCabe, P.,
Pidcock, E., Platings, M., Shields, G. P., Stevens, J. S., Towler, M. &
Wood, P. A. (2020). J. Appl. Cryst. 53, 226-235.
Maddileti, D., Jayabun, S. K. & Nangia, A. (2013). Cryst. Growth Des.
13 , 3188–3196.
Matsumoto, K., Watanabe, K., Hiramatsu, T. & Kitamura, M. (1999).
Int. Patent Appl. WO 1999065885 (A1).
Parsons, S., Flack, H. D. & Wagner, T. (2013). Acta Cryst. B69, 249-
259.
Pascual, E., Sivera, F., Yasothan, U. & Kirkpatrick, P. (2009). Nat. Rev.
Drug Discov. 8, 191–192.
Rigaku OD (2015). CrysAlis PRO. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo,
Japan.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
Spek, A. L. (2020). Acta Cryst. E76, 1-11.
Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.

- Wu, M., Hu, X.-R., Gu, J.-M. & Tang, G.-P. (2015). Acta Cryst. E71, 0295-0296.
- Yadav, J. A., Khomane, K. S., Modi, S. R., Ugale, B., Yadav, R. N., Nagaraja, C. M., Kumar, N. & Bansal, A. K. (2017). Mol. Pharm. 14, 866-874.
- Zhang, X.-R. & Zhang, L. (2017). J. Mol. Struct. 1137, 328-334.
- Zhu, X., Wang, Y. & Lu, T. (2009). Acta Cryst. E65, o2603.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2020). E76, 816-819 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989020006076]

Febuxostat ethanol monosolvate

Thomas Gelbrich, Volker Kahlenberg, Verena Adamer, Sven Nerdinger and Ulrich J. Griesser

Computing details

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2015); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2015); data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2015); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014/6* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *XP* in *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008) and *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2020); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON* (Spek, 2020) and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

2-[3-Cyano-4-(2-methylpropoxy)phenyl]-4-methyl-1,3-thiazole-5-carboxylic acid ethanol monosolvate

Crystal data

 $C_{16}H_{16}N_2O_3S \cdot C_2H_6O$ $M_r = 362.43$ Monoclinic, $P2_1$ a = 4.7274 (2) Å b = 17.7820 (5) Å c = 10.7340 (4) Å $\beta = 98.994$ (4)° V = 891.23 (6) Å³ Z = 2

Data collection

Rigaku Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur, Ruby, Gemini ultra diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed X-ray tube, Enhance (Mo) X-ray Source Graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 10.3575 pixels mm⁻¹ ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan CrysAlisPro (Rigaku OD, 2015)

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$ $wR(F^2) = 0.077$ S = 1.043070 reflections 238 parameters 3 restraints F(000) = 384 $D_x = 1.351 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 2681 reflections $\theta = 2.3-28.6^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.21 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 173 KPrism, colourless $0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.36 \text{ mm}$

 $T_{\min} = 0.760, T_{\max} = 1.000$ 6054 measured reflections 3070 independent reflections 2917 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.028$ $\theta_{\max} = 27.1^{\circ}, \theta_{\min} = 1.9^{\circ}$ $h = -5 \rightarrow 6$ $k = -17 \rightarrow 22$ $l = -12 \rightarrow 13$

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: mixed H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0439P)^2 + 0.0696P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$	Absolute structure: Flack x determined using
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.26 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$	1046 quotients $[(I^+)-(I^-)]/[(I^+)+(I^-)]$ (Parsons et
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.17 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$	<i>al.</i> , 2013).
	Absolute structure parameter: -0.02 (4)

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
S1	0.32087 (12)	0.17683 (3)	0.31163 (5)	0.02221 (15)	
C2	0.3894 (5)	0.08540 (14)	0.3610(2)	0.0195 (5)	
N3	0.2673 (4)	0.06576 (12)	0.45794 (18)	0.0199 (4)	
C4	0.1127 (5)	0.12385 (14)	0.4979 (2)	0.0210 (5)	
C5	0.1165 (5)	0.18918 (14)	0.4308 (2)	0.0209 (5)	
C6	0.5737 (5)	0.03525 (14)	0.3007 (2)	0.0188 (5)	
C7	0.6801 (5)	0.05673 (15)	0.1922 (2)	0.0211 (5)	
H7	0.6309	0.1045	0.1555	0.025*	
C8	0.8571 (5)	0.00904 (14)	0.1371 (2)	0.0208 (5)	
C9	0.9280 (5)	-0.06253 (15)	0.1882 (2)	0.0207 (5)	
C10	0.8252 (5)	-0.08344 (15)	0.2980 (2)	0.0231 (5)	
H10	0.8757	-0.1309	0.3357	0.028*	
C11	0.6499 (5)	-0.03524 (14)	0.3523 (2)	0.0225 (5)	
H11	0.5797	-0.0505	0.4266	0.027*	
C12	-0.0406 (5)	0.11107 (16)	0.6073 (2)	0.0269 (6)	
H12A	0.0985	0.1093	0.6852	0.040*	
H12B	-0.1448	0.0633	0.5962	0.040*	
H12C	-0.1761	0.1522	0.6125	0.040*	
C13	-0.0224 (5)	0.26111 (15)	0.4499 (2)	0.0234 (5)	
O14	0.0142 (5)	0.31184 (12)	0.36340 (19)	0.0339 (5)	
H14	-0.062 (8)	0.3537 (16)	0.375 (4)	0.072 (13)*	
015	-0.1558 (4)	0.27251 (11)	0.53494 (18)	0.0345 (5)	
C16	0.9755 (6)	0.03373 (15)	0.0278 (2)	0.0259 (6)	
N17	1.0707 (5)	0.05481 (15)	-0.0569 (2)	0.0387 (6)	
O18	1.0943 (4)	-0.10584 (10)	0.12590 (16)	0.0248 (4)	
C19	1.1767 (5)	-0.17866 (15)	0.1797 (2)	0.0227 (5)	
H19A	1.0042	-0.2076	0.1919	0.027*	
H19B	1.2988	-0.1724	0.2627	0.027*	
C20	1.3396 (5)	-0.22023 (14)	0.0906 (2)	0.0236 (5)	
H20	1.4987	-0.1875	0.0709	0.028*	
C21	1.4684 (6)	-0.29130 (17)	0.1579 (3)	0.0327 (6)	
H21A	1.5817	-0.2775	0.2390	0.049*	
H21B	1.5919	-0.3164	0.1054	0.049*	
H21C	1.3139	-0.3254	0.1722	0.049*	
C22	1.1480(7)	-0.24066 (17)	-0.0320(3)	0.0336 (6)	

supporting information

H22A	1.0040	-0.2773	-0.0148	0.050*	
H22B	1.2643	-0.2625	-0.0907	0.050*	
H22C	1.0519	-0.1953	-0.0694	0.050*	
O23	0.7299 (4)	0.43799 (11)	0.37730 (18)	0.0347 (5)	
H23	0.741 (8)	0.4681 (18)	0.437 (3)	0.053 (11)*	
C24	0.4973 (6)	0.45692 (16)	0.2805 (3)	0.0347 (7)	
H24A	0.5205	0.5091	0.2515	0.042*	
H24B	0.3143	0.4538	0.3142	0.042*	
C25	0.4914 (7)	0.40395 (19)	0.1727 (3)	0.0440 (8)	
H25A	0.4835	0.3521	0.2031	0.066*	
H25B	0.6647	0.4107	0.1343	0.066*	
H25C	0.3222	0.4141	0.1097	0.066*	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

U^{13} 0.0077 (2) -0.0003 (10) 0.0028 (8) -0.0002 (10) 0.0034 (9) 0.0014 (9) 0.0018 (10) 0.0025 (10) 0.0040 (10) 0.0046 (10) 0.0045 (10)	$\begin{array}{c} U^{23} \\ \hline 0.0010 (3) \\ -0.0001 (10) \\ -0.0008 (8) \\ -0.0031 (10) \\ -0.0034 (10) \\ -0.0033 (9) \\ 0.0006 (10) \\ -0.0008 (10) \\ -0.0033 (10) \\ 0.0029 (10) \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} 0.0077 (2) \\ -0.0003 (10) \\ 0.0028 (8) \\ -0.0002 (10) \\ 0.0034 (9) \\ 0.0014 (9) \\ 0.0018 (10) \\ 0.0025 (10) \\ 0.0040 (10) \\ 0.0046 (10) \\ 0.0045 (10) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0010 \ (3) \\ -0.0001 \ (10) \\ -0.0008 \ (8) \\ -0.0031 \ (10) \\ -0.0034 \ (10) \\ -0.0033 \ (9) \\ 0.0006 \ (10) \\ -0.0008 \ (10) \\ -0.0033 \ (10) \\ 0.0029 \ (10) \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} -0.0003 (10) \\ 0.0028 (8) \\ -0.0002 (10) \\ 0.0034 (9) \\ 0.0014 (9) \\ 0.0018 (10) \\ 0.0025 (10) \\ 0.0040 (10) \\ 0.0046 (10) \\ 0.0045 (10) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0001 \ (10) \\ -0.0008 \ (8) \\ -0.0031 \ (10) \\ -0.0034 \ (10) \\ -0.0033 \ (9) \\ 0.0006 \ (10) \\ -0.0008 \ (10) \\ -0.0033 \ (10) \\ 0.0029 \ (10) \end{array}$
$\begin{array}{c} 0.0028 \ (8) \\ -0.0002 \ (10) \\ 0.0034 \ (9) \\ 0.0014 \ (9) \\ 0.0018 \ (10) \\ 0.0025 \ (10) \\ 0.0040 \ (10) \\ 0.0046 \ (10) \\ 0.0045 \ (10) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0008 \ (8) \\ -0.0031 \ (10) \\ -0.0034 \ (10) \\ -0.0033 \ (9) \\ 0.0006 \ (10) \\ -0.0008 \ (10) \\ -0.0033 \ (10) \\ 0.0029 \ (10) \end{array}$
-0.0002 (10) 0.0034 (9) 0.0014 (9) 0.0018 (10) 0.0025 (10) 0.0040 (10) 0.0046 (10)	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0031 \ (10) \\ -0.0034 \ (10) \\ -0.0033 \ (9) \\ 0.0006 \ (10) \\ -0.0008 \ (10) \\ -0.0033 \ (10) \\ 0.0029 \ (10) \end{array}$
0.0034 (9) 0.0014 (9) 0.0018 (10) 0.0025 (10) 0.0040 (10) 0.0046 (10)	$\begin{array}{c} -0.0034 \ (10) \\ -0.0033 \ (9) \\ 0.0006 \ (10) \\ -0.0008 \ (10) \\ -0.0033 \ (10) \\ 0.0029 \ (10) \end{array}$
0.0014 (9) 0.0018 (10) 0.0025 (10) 0.0040 (10) 0.0046 (10)	-0.0033 (9) 0.0006 (10) -0.0008 (10) -0.0033 (10) 0.0029 (10)
0.0018 (10) 0.0025 (10) 0.0040 (10) 0.0046 (10)	0.0006 (10) -0.0008 (10) -0.0033 (10) 0.0029 (10)
0.0025 (10) 0.0040 (10) 0.0046 (10) 0.0045 (10)	-0.0008 (10) -0.0033 (10) 0.0029 (10)
0.0040 (10) 0.0046 (10) 0.0045 (10)	-0.0033 (10) 0.0029 (10)
0.0046 (10)	0.0029 (10)
0.0045 (10)	
0.0043(10)	-0.0006(10)
0.0095 (11)	-0.0001 (11)
0.0006 (11)	-0.0046 (10)
0.0189 (9)	0.0045 (9)
0.0191 (9)	-0.0043 (8)
0.0072 (12)	-0.0009 (11)
0.0210 (12)	0.0081 (12)
0.0105 (8)	0.0031 (7)
0.0068 (10)	0.0024 (10)
0.0108 (11)	0.0018 (10)
0.0146 (13)	0.0072 (13)
0.0099 (12)	-0.0043 (12)
0.0025 (9)	-0.0071 (9)
0.0078 (13)	-0.0036 (12)
0.0020 (15)	-0.0067 (14)
	0.0045 (10) 0.0095 (11) 0.0006 (11) 0.0189 (9) 0.0191 (9) 0.0072 (12) 0.0210 (12) 0.0105 (8) 0.0068 (10) 0.0108 (11) 0.0146 (13) 0.0099 (12) 0.0025 (9) 0.0078 (13) 0.0020 (15)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

S1—C2	1.725 (3)	O14—H14	0.84 (2)	
S1—C5	1.733 (2)	C16—N17	1.139 (3)	
C2—N3	1.313 (3)	O18—C19	1.446 (3)	
C2—C6	1.466 (3)	C19—C20	1.511 (3)	

supporting information

N3—C4	1.372 (3)	C19—H19A	0.9900
C4—C5	1.369 (4)	C19—H19B	0.9900
C4—C12	1.491 (3)	C20—C22	1.520 (4)
C5—C13	1.467 (4)	C20—C21	1.534 (4)
C6—C7	1.393 (3)	C20—H20	1.0000
C6-C11	1.395 (3)	C21—H21A	0.9800
С7—С8	1.387 (3)	C21—H21B	0.9800
С7—Н7	0.9500	C21—H21C	0.9800
C8—C9	1.406 (4)	C22—H22A	0.9800
C8—C16	1.444 (4)	C22—H22B	0.9800
C9-018	1.350 (3)	C22—H22C	0.9800
C9-C10	1.394(3)	023 - C24	1430(4)
C10-C11	1.391(3) 1 383(4)	023—H23	0.83(2)
C10—H10	0.9500	C_{24} C_{25} C_{25}	1.489(4)
C11—H11	0.9500	C24—H24A	0.9900
C12—H12A	0.9500	C24 H24R C24—H24B	0.9900
C12 H12R	0.9800	$C_{24} = H_{24} D$	0.9900
C12—I112B	0.9800	C25_H25R	0.9800
C12—III2C	1.205(3)	C25_H25C	0.9800
C13 - 013	1.205(3)	025-11250	0.9800
013-014	1.323 (3)		
C2—S1—C5	89.55 (12)	N17—C16—C8	178.3 (3)
N3—C2—C6	123.6 (2)	C9—O18—C19	117.03 (18)
N3—C2—S1	114.17 (18)	O18—C19—C20	108.51 (19)
C6-C2-S1	122.25 (18)	O18—C19—H19A	110.0
C2—N3—C4	111.7 (2)	C20—C19—H19A	110.0
C5—C4—N3	115.0 (2)	O18—C19—H19B	110.0
C5—C4—C12	126.3 (2)	C20—C19—H19B	110.0
N3—C4—C12	118.7 (2)	H19A—C19—H19B	108.4
C4—C5—C13	128.6 (2)	C19—C20—C22	111.8 (2)
C4—C5—S1	109.62 (19)	C19—C20—C21	108.0 (2)
C13—C5—S1	121.80 (19)	C22—C20—C21	110.5 (2)
C7—C6—C11	118.3 (2)	C19—C20—H20	108.9
C7—C6—C2	121.3(2)	C22—C20—H20	108.9
$C_{11} - C_{6} - C_{2}$	120.4(2)	C21—C20—H20	108.9
C8-C7-C6	120.7(2)	C20—C21—H21A	109.5
С8—С7—Н7	119.7	C20—C21—H21B	109.5
С6—С7—Н7	119.7	$H_{21A} - C_{21} - H_{21B}$	109.5
C7 - C8 - C9	120.6(2)	C20—C21—H21C	109.5
C7 - C8 - C16	120.0(2) 119.8(2)	$H_{21A} - C_{21} - H_{21C}$	109.5
C9-C8-C16	119.6(2)	H21B-C21-H21C	109.5
018 - C9 - C10	125.0(2)	C20—C22—H22A	109.5
018 - C9 - C8	125.0(2) 116.4(2)	C20 C22 H22R	109.5
C10 - C9 - C8	118.6 (2)	H22A-C22-H22B	109.5
$C_{11} - C_{10} - C_{9}$	120.1(2)	C20-C22-H22C	109.5
C11—C10—H10	119.9	$H_{22}A - C_{22} - H_{22}C$	109.5
C9_C10_H10	119.0	H22R - C22 - H22C	109.5
C10-C11-C6	121 6 (2)	C24_023_H23	111 (3)
	121.0 (2)	027 $025 - 1125$	111 (5)

C10—C11—H11	119.2	Q23—C24—C25	109.5 (2)
C6—C11—H11	119.2	O23—C24—H24A	109.8
C4—C12—H12A	109.5	C25—C24—H24A	109.8
C4—C12—H12B	109.5	O23—C24—H24B	109.8
H12A—C12—H12B	109.5	C25—C24—H24B	109.8
C4—C12—H12C	109.5	H24A—C24—H24B	108.2
H12A—C12—H12C	109.5	С24—С25—Н25А	109.5
H12B—C12—H12C	109.5	С24—С25—Н25В	109.5
O15—C13—O14	123.9 (2)	H25A—C25—H25B	109.5
O15—C13—C5	123.5 (2)	С24—С25—Н25С	109.5
O14—C13—C5	112.7 (2)	H25A—C25—H25C	109.5
C13—O14—H14	113 (3)	H25B—C25—H25C	109.5

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
023—H23…N3 ⁱ	0.83 (2)	2.07 (2)	2.878 (3)	162 (4)
O14—H14…O23 ⁱⁱ	0.84 (2)	1.80 (2)	2.631 (3)	170 (4)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+1; (ii) x-1, y, z.