



Received 27 February 2020 Accepted 30 March 2020

Edited by W. T. A. Harrison, University of Aberdeen, Scotland

**Keywords:** oxychloride; rare-earth oxyhalide; powder diffraction.

CCDC reference: 1993793

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

# Dehydration synthesis and crystal structure of terbium oxychloride, TbOCl

### Saehwa Chong,\* Brian J. Riley and Zayne J. Nelson

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA 99352, USA. \*Correspondence e-mail: saehwa.chong@pnnl.gov

Terbium oxychloride, TbOCl, was synthesized *via* the simple heat-treatment of TbCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O and its structure was determined by refinement against X-ray powder diffraction data. TbOCl crystallizes with the matlockite (PbFCl) structure in the tetragonal space group *P*4/*nmm* and is composed of alternating (001) layers of (TbO)<sub>n</sub> and n Cl<sup>-</sup>. The unit-cell parameters, unit-cell volume, and density were compared to the literature data of other isostructural rare-earth oxychlorides in the same space group and showed good agreement when compared to the calculated trendlines.

### 1. Chemical context

Rare-earth oxychlorides, REOCl, are promising materials for various applications including use as catalysts, sensors, and phosphors (Podkolzin et al., 2007; Au et al., 1997; Peringer et al., 2009; Marsal et al., 2005,; Kim et al., 2019; Berdowski et al., 1984; Imanaka et al., 2001a,b; Okamoto et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2014). LaOCl is a stable catalyst for converting methane to methyl chloride (Podkolzin et al., 2007) and can be used as a sensor material to detect CO<sub>2</sub> and Cl<sub>2</sub> gases (Marsal et al., 2005; Imanaka et al., 2001b). The EuOCl catalyst showed high efficiency in converting ethylene to vinyl chloride (Scharfe et al., 2016). The luminescent properties of REOX (RE = La, Eu; X = F, Cl, Br, I) can be controlled to emit a wide range of visible light from blue to red by changing the crystal symmetries and compositions (Kim et al., 2014, 2019). As part of our studies in this area, we now describe the dehydration synthesis and structure of the title compound.

### 2. Structural commentary

The structural parameters of *REOCl* (*RE* = La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho) in the literature and current study are summarized in Table 1. All these *REOCl* compounds crystallize in the matlockite (PbFCl; Bannister, 1934) structure within the tetragonal *P4/nmm* space group. The crystal structure of TbOCl contains alternating (001) layers of (TbO)<sub>n</sub> and n Cl<sup>-</sup> (Fig. 1*a*). The Tb cation is coordinated by five chloride ions and four oxygen atoms, forming a mono-capped TbO<sub>4</sub>Cl<sub>5</sub> square antiprism (Fig. 1*b* and 1*c*). The *RE*-Cl and *RE*-O bond lengths in the *REOCl* compounds are provided in Table 1. With larger *RE* cations in the structures, the *RE*-Cl and *RE*-O bond lengths increase (Fig. 2).

The shortest Cl···Cl separation in TbOCl is 3.271 (4) Å, which compares with the van der Waals diameter of a Cl<sup>-</sup> ion of about 3.62 Å. The Cl···Cl distances of other *RE*OCl compounds are also short, ranging from 3.24 to 3.46 Å on









going from Ho<sup>3+</sup> to La<sup>3+</sup>. With non-bonded vectors shorter than the van der Waals separation, strong interactions between atoms are expected in the structure (Maslen *et al.*, 1996). Templeton & Dauben (1953) mention the presence of weaker anion–anion repulsion between Cl atoms in *REOCl* structures. The structural parameters of TbOCl were compared with the trendlines calculated using the values from Table 1 (Fig. 3). The unit-cell parameters and volumes increase linearly with the larger *RE* cations (Shannon, 1976) whereas the densities decrease non-linearly, fitting well to a 2nd order polynomial trend.

### 3. Synthesis and crystallization

The title compound was synthesized by a simple heat treatment of  $TbCl_3 \cdot 6H_2O$  (Alfa Aesar, 99.99%). About 0.5 g of TbCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O was placed in an alumina crucible, heated to  $400^{\circ}$ C at 5°C min<sup>-1</sup>, held for 8 h, and then cooled to room temperature at 5°C min<sup>-1</sup>. This synthesis method was used in our previous study (Riley *et al.*, 2018). The resulting product was a light-brown powder, which was ground in a mortar and pestle for X-ray powder diffraction analysis.

### 4. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. The unit-cell parameters were obtained using *TOPAS* (version 4.2; Bruker, 2009) by refining the GdOCl pattern (ICSD 77820) with geometrical and chemical resemblance as a starting model. The Rietveld refinement was performed using *JANA2006* (Petříček *et al.*, 2014) with the obtained unit-cell parameters as initial values.





The RE-Cl and RE-O bond lengths in the REOCl compounds listed in Table 1 as a function of RE crystal radius (coordination = 9) according to Shannon (1976). Where multiple values were available, averages and standard deviations are included for the datapoints. For (a), 1-nd and 4-nd denote 1 and 4 neighbor distances, respectively

### Table 1

#### Structural parameters of REOCl compounds.

All compounds crystallize in the P4/nmm space group. For the RE-Cl bond lengths, the first value refers to one neighboring Cl atom, and the second number refers to four neighboring Cl atoms. Densities are calculated from crystallographic data.

RE	a (Å)	с (Å)	V (Å <sup>3</sup> )	Density (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	RE−O (Å)	<i>RE</i> -Cl (Å)	Cl···Cl (Å)	Cl···O (Å)	00 (Å)	ICSD/PDF
	2.002	6.602	100.1	7.100	0.047	2.04.2.05	2.24	2.10	0.750	
HO	3.893	6.602	100.1	7.182	2.247	3.04, 3.05	3.24	3.12	2.753	761/1 (Templeton & Dauben, 1953)
Dy	3.91	6.62	101.2	7.023						00–047-1725 (Kirik et al., 1996)
Tb	3.9269	6.648	102.5	6.815						00–048-1648 (Kirik et al., 1996)
Tb	3.9279	6.6556	102.7	6.804	2.2649	3.064, 3.082	3.271	3.151	2.7774	Current study
Gd	3.9495	6.6708	104.1	6.661	2.2839	3.036, 3.098	3.267	3.176	2.7927	59232 (Meyer & Schleid, 1986)
Gd	3.9698	6.7008	105.6	6.564	2.28	3.212, 3.071	3.428	3.089	2.8071	77820 (Hölsä et al., 1996)
Eu	3.9646	6.695	105.2	6.42	2.286	3.08, 3.11	3.3	3.17	2.8034	28529 (Bärnighausen et al., 1965)
Eu	3.9668	6.6955	105.4	6.412	2.2901	3.062, 3.1103	3.289	3.183	2.80492	54682 (Schnick, 2004)
Sm	3.982	6.721	106.6	6.289	2.296	3.09, 3.12	3.31	3.19	2.8157	26581 (Templeton & Dauben, 1953)
Nd	4.04	6.77	110.5	5.882	2.359	3.114, 3.11	3.428	3.165	2.86	31665 (Zachariasen, 1949)
Nd	4.0249	6.7837	109.9	5.914	2.3362	3.082, 3.141	3.343	3.221	2.84603	59231 (Meyer & Schleid, 1986)
Pr	4.053	6.799	111.7	5.723	2.3674	3.128, 3.116	3.441	3.178	2.866	31664 (Zachariasen, 1949)
Ce	4.0866	6.8538	114.5	5.558	2.3687	3.1190, 3.1846	3.3942	3.2572	2.8897	412069 (Schnick, 2004)
Ce	4.0785	6.8346	113.7	5.596	2.36413	3.103, 3.180	3.38	3.254	2.88393	72154 (Wołcyrz & Kepinski, 1992)
La	4.109	6.865	115.9	5.454	2.39	3.14, 3.18	3.45	3.24	2.9055	24611 (Sillen & Nylander, 1941)
La	4.117	6.881	116.6	5.42	2.3866	3.126, 3.2046	3.416	3.2751	2.9112	40297 (Brixner & Moore, 1983)
La	4.1351	6.904	118.1	5.355	2.395	3.165, 3.209	3.457	3.268	2.92397	77815 (Hölsä et al., 1996)
La	4.1162	6.8746	116.5	5.428	2.3832	3.138, 3.201	3.425	3.265	2.9106	84330 (Hölsä et al., 1997)
La	4.12	6.882	116.8	5.412						00-008-0477 (Swanson et al., 1957)



Figure 3

### Crystal radius for RE, r (Å)

(a, b) Unit-cell parameters (a and c, respectively), (c) unit-cell volumes, and calculated unit-cell densities as a function of the crystal radius of the *RE* (coordination = 9) according to Shannon (1976) compared to literature values provided in Table 1.

### research communications



Figure 4

Measured, calculated, and difference XRD patterns of TbOCl.

A pseudo-Voigt function with other peak-shape parameters were used to fit peaks, and the background was modeled with a Chebychev polynomial. The plot of the Rietveld refinement result is shown in Fig. 4. The final refinement converged at  $R_{wp} = 3.22\%$ .

#### Acknowledgements

The Pacific Northwest National Laboratory is operated by Battelle under Contract Number DE-AC05–76RL01830.

#### References

- Au, C. T., He, H., Lai, S. Y. & Ng, C. F. (1997). Appl. Catal. Gen. 159, 133–145.
- Bannister, F. A. (1934). Miner. Mag. j. Miner. Soc. 23, 587-597.
- Bärnighausen, H., Brauer, G. & Schultz, N. (1965). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 338, 250–265.
- Berdowski, P. A. M., van Herk, J., Jansen, L. & Blasse, G. (1984). *Phys. Status Solidi B*, **125**, 387–391.
- Brixner, L. H. & Moore, E. P. (1983). Acta Cryst. C39, 1316.
- Bruker (2009). TOPAS. Bruker AXS, Karlsruhe, Germany.
- Hölsä, J., Lastusaari, M. & Valkonen, J. (1997). J. Alloys Compd, 262, 299–304.
- Hölsä, J., Säilynoja, E., Koski, K., Rahiala, H. & Valkonen, J. (1996). Powder Diffr. 11, 129–133.
- Imanaka, N., Okamoto, K. & Adachi, G. (2001*a*). *Chem. Lett.* **30**, 130–131.
- Imanaka, N., Okamoto, K. & Adachi, G. (2001b). Electrochem. Commun. 3, 49–51.
- Kienle, M. & Jacob, M. (2003). XRD Commander. Bruker AXS GmbH, Karlsruhe, Germany.
- Kim, D., Jeong, J. R., Jang, Y., Bae, J.-S., Chung, I., Liang, R., Seo, D.-K., Kim, S.-J. & Park, J.-C. (2019). *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 21, 1737–1749.
- Kim, D., Park, S., Kim, S., Kang, S.-G. & Park, J.-C. (2014). Inorg. Chem. 53, 11966–11973.
- Kirik, S., Yakimov, I., Blochin, A. & Soloyov, L. (1996). ICDD Grantin-Aid, Institute of Chemistry, Krasnoyarsk, Russia.
- Marsal, A., Centeno, M. A., Odriozola, J. A., Cornet, A. & Morante, J. R. (2005). Sens. Actuators B Chem. 108, 484–489.

Table	2	
Experi	mental	details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	TbOCl
M <sub>r</sub>	210.4
Crystal system, space group	Tetragonal, P4/nmm
Temperature (K)	293
a, c (Å)	3.9279 (2), 6.6556 (5)
$V(Å^3)$	102.68 (1)
Z	2
Radiation type	Cu $K\alpha$ , $\lambda = 1.54188$ Å
Specimen shape, size (mm)	Cylinder, $25 \times 25$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 Advance
Specimen mounting	Packed powder pellet
Data collection mode	Reflection
Scan method	Step
2θ values (°)	$\begin{aligned} 2\theta_{\min} &= 5,  2\theta_{\max} = 68.977, \\ 2\theta_{\text{step}} &= 0.019 \end{aligned}$
Refinement	
R factors and goodness of fit	$R_{\rm p} = 0.020, R_{\rm wp} = 0.032, R_{\rm exp} = 0.009, R(F) = 0.033, \chi^2 = 13.690$
No. of parameters	17

Computer programs: XRD Commander (Kienle & Jacob, 2003), TOPAS (Bruker, 2009), SUPERFLIP (Palatinus & Chapuis, 2007), JANA2006 (Petříček et al., 2014), VESTA (Momma & Izumi, 2011) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

- Maslen, E. N., Streltsov, V. A., Streltsova, N. R. & Ishizawa, N. (1996). Acta Cryst. B52, 576–579.
- Meyer, G. & Schleid, T. (1986). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 533, 181– 185.
- Momma, K. & Izumi, F. (2011). J. Appl. Cryst. 44, 1272-1276.
- Okamoto, K., Imanaka, N. & Adachi, G. (2002). Solid State Ionics, 154–155, 577–580.
- Palatinus, L. & Chapuis, G. (2007). J. Appl. Cryst. 40, 786-790.
- Peringer, E., Salzinger, M., Hutt, M., Lemonidou, A. A. & Lercher, J. A. (2009). *Top. Catal.* **52**, 1220–1231.
- Petříček, V., Dusek, M. & Palatinus, L. (2014). Z. Kristallogr. 229, 345–352.
- Podkolzin, S. G., Stangland, E. E., Jones, M. E., Peringer, E. & Lercher, J. A. (2007). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 129, 2569–2576.
- Riley, B. J., Pierce, D. A., Crum, J. V., Williams, B. D., Snyder, M. M. V. & Peterson, J. A. (2018). *Prog. Nucl. Energy*, **104**, 102–108.
- Scharfe, M., Lira-Parada, P. A., Amrute, A. P., Mitchell, S. & Pérez-Ramírez, J. (2016). J. Catal. 344, 524–534.
- Schnick, W. (2004). Private Communication.
- Shannon, R. D. (1976). Acta Cryst. A32, 751-767.
- Sillen, L. G. & Nylander, A. L. (1941). Svensk Kemisk Tidskrift, 53, 367–372.
- Swanson, H. E., Gilfrich, N. T. & Cook, M. I. (1957). *Circ. Bur. Stand.* pp. 539.
- Templeton, D. H. & Dauben, C. H. (1953). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 75, 6069–6070.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Wołcyrz, M. & Kepinski, L. (1992). J. Solid State Chem. 99, 409–413. Zachariasen, W. H. (1949). Acta Cryst. 2, 388–390.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2020). E76, 621-624 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989020004387]

### Dehydration synthesis and crystal structure of terbium oxychloride, TbOCl

### Saehwa Chong, Brian J. Riley and Zayne J. Nelson

### **Computing details**

Data collection: *XRD Commander* (Kienle & Jacob, 2003); cell refinement: *TOPAS* (Bruker, 2009); program(s) used to solve structure: SUPERFLIP (Palatinus & Chapuis, 2007); program(s) used to refine structure: JANA2006 (Petříček *et al.*, 2014); molecular graphics: *VESTA* (Momma & Izumi, 2011); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

**Terbium oxychloride** 

Crystal data	
TbOCl	Z = 2
$M_r = 210.4$	$D_{\rm x} = 6.804 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$
Tetragonal, P4/nmm	Cu K $\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 1.54188$ Å
a = 3.9279 (2)  Å	T = 293  K
c = 6.6556(5) Å	light brown
V = 102.68 (1) Å <sup>3</sup>	cylinder, $25 \times 25$ mm
Data collection	
Bruker D8 Advance	Data collection mode: reflection
diffractometer	Scan method: step
Radiation source: sealed X-ray tube	$2\theta_{\min} = 5^{\circ}, 2\theta_{\max} = 68.977^{\circ}, 2\theta_{\text{step}} = 0.019^{\circ}$
Specimen mounting: packed powder pellet	
Refinement	
$R_{\rm p} = 0.020$	17 parameters
$R_{\rm wp} = 0.032$	Weighting scheme based on measured s.u.'s
$R_{\rm exp} = 0.009$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.030$
R(F) = 0.033	Background function: 8 Chebyshev polynoms
3292 data points	Preferred orientation correction: March &
Profile function: Pseudo-Voigt	Dollase

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Tb1	0.5	0	0.3305 (2)	0.002	
C11	0	0.5	0.1298 (9)	0.002	
01	1	0	0.5	0.002	

## supporting information

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$	
Tb1	0.002	0.002	0.002	0	0	0	
Cl1	0.002	0.002	0.002	0	0	0	
01	0.002	0.002	0.002	0	0	0	
Geometric	parameters (Å, °)						
Tb1—Tb1 <sup>i</sup>		3.5784 (13)	Tb1—O1 <sup>v</sup>		·	2.2649 (7)	
Tb1—Tb1	ii	3.5784 (13)	Tb1—O1			2.2649 (7)	
Tb1—Tb1	iii	3.5784 (13)	Tb1—O1 <sup>vi</sup>			2.2649 (7)	
Tb1—Tb1	iv	3.5784 (13)	Tb1—O1 <sup>vii</sup>		2	2.2649 (7)	
Tb1 <sup>i</sup> —Tb1	—Tb1 <sup>ii</sup>	66.57 (2)	Tb1 <sup>iii</sup>	—Tb1—O1 <sup>vii</sup>	(	99.31 (4)	
Tb1 <sup>i</sup> —Tb1	—Tb1 <sup>iii</sup>	66.57 (2)	Tb1 <sup>iv</sup> —Tb1—O1 <sup>v</sup>		9	99.31 (4)	
Tb1 <sup>i</sup> —Tb1—Tb1 <sup>iv</sup>		101.82 (4)	Tb1 <sup>iv</sup> —Tb1—O1			37.817 (14)	
Tb1 <sup>i</sup> —Tb1—O1 <sup>v</sup>		37.817 (14)	$Tb1^{iv}$ — $Tb1$ — $O1^{vi}$		9	99.31 (4)	
Tb1 <sup>i</sup> —Tb1	01	99.31 (4)	Tb1 <sup>iv</sup> —Tb1—O1 <sup>vii</sup>		-	37.817 (14)	
Tb1 <sup>i</sup> —Tb1	—O1 <sup>vi</sup>	37.817 (14)	O1 <sup>v</sup> —Tb1—O1			120.25 (6)	
Tb1 <sup>i</sup> —Tb1—O1 <sup>vii</sup>		99.31 (4)	O1 <sup>v</sup> —Tb1—O1 <sup>vi</sup>		,	75.63 (3)	
Tb1 <sup>ii</sup> —Tb1—Tb1 <sup>iii</sup>		101.82 (4)	O1 <sup>v</sup> —Tb1—O1 <sup>vii</sup>		,	75.63 (3)	
Tb1 <sup>ii</sup> —Tb1—Tb1 <sup>iv</sup>		66.57 (2)	O1—Tb1—O1 <sup>vi</sup>		,	75.63 (3)	
Tb1 <sup>ii</sup> —Tb1—O1 <sup>v</sup>		37.817 (14)	O1—Tb1—O1 <sup>vii</sup>		75.63 (3)		
Tb1 <sup>ii</sup> —Tb1—O1		99.31 (4)	O1 <sup>vi</sup> —Tb1—O1 <sup>vii</sup>		120.25 (6)		
Tb1 <sup>ii</sup> —Tb1—O1 <sup>vi</sup>		99.31 (4)	Tb1—O1—Tb1 <sup>viii</sup>			120.25 (4)	
Tb1 <sup>ii</sup> —Tb1—O1 <sup>vii</sup>		37.817 (14)	Tb1—O1—Tb1 <sup>iii</sup>			104.37 (2)	
Tb1 <sup>iii</sup> —Tb1—Tb1 <sup>iv</sup>		66.57 (2)	Tb1—O1—Tb1 <sup>iv</sup>			104.37 (2)	
Tb1 <sup>iii</sup> —Tb1—O1 <sup>v</sup>		99.31 (4)	Tb1 <sup>viii</sup> —O1—Tb1 <sup>iii</sup>			104.37 (2)	
Tb1 <sup>iii</sup> —Tb1—O1		37.817 (14)	Tb1 <sup>viii</sup> —O1—Tb1 <sup>iv</sup>			104.37 (2)	
Tb1 <sup>iii</sup> —Tb	1—01 <sup>vi</sup>	37.817 (14)	Tb1 <sup>iii</sup>	—O1—Tb1 <sup>iv</sup>		120.25 (4)	

### Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+1/2, *y*-1/2, -*z*+1; (ii) -*x*+1/2, *y*+1/2, -*z*+1; (iii) -*x*+3/2, *y*-1/2, -*z*+1; (iv) -*x*+3/2, *y*+1/2, -*z*+1; (v) *x*-1, *y*, *z*; (vi) -*y*+1/2, *x*-3/2, *z*; (vii) -*y*+1/2, *x*-1/2, *z*; (viii) *x*+1, *y*, *z*.