

Received 13 April 2020 Accepted 26 April 2020

Edited by A. M. Chippindale, University of Reading, England

**Keywords:** crystal structure; Lu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub>; lutetium aluminate monoclinic (LUAM); rare-earth aluminate; Lu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system; single-crystal X-ray diffraction.

CCDC reference: 1999289

**Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



## Crystal structure of lutetium aluminate (LUAM), Lu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub>

### **Rayko Simura\* and Hisanori Yamane**

Institute of Multidisciplinary Research for Advanced Materials, Tohoku, University, 2-1-1 Katahira, Aoba-ku, Sendai, 980-8577, Japan. \*Correspondence e-mail: ray@tohoku.ac.jp

The crystal structure of the title compound containing lutetium, the last element in the lanthanide series, was determined using a single crystal prepared by heating a pressed pellet of a 2:1 molar ratio mixture of Lu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> powders in an Ar atmosphere at 2173 K for 4 h. Lu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> is isostructural with Eu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> and composed of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> ditetrahedra and Lu-centered six- and sevenfold oxygen polyhedra. The unit-cell volume, 787.3 (3) Å<sup>3</sup>, is the smallest among the volumes of the rare-earth (*RE*) aluminates, *RE*<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub>. The crystal studied was refined as a two-component pseudo-merohedric twin.

### 1. Chemical context

In the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>-Lu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> system, where Lu has the largest atomic number among the rare-earth elements (RE), the following three phases have been reported: Lu<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub>, LuAlO<sub>3</sub>, and Lu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub>. These phases have been actively investigated as host materials, not only for phosphors (Ding et al., 2011; Xiang et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2018), but also for scintillators, owing to their large radiation absorption cross sections arising from the presence of Lu. Various scintillation properties of Ce- and Pr-doped Lu<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub> and LuAlO<sub>3</sub> crystals have been characterized (Wojtowicz, 1999; Nikl, 2000; Wojtowicz et al., 2006; Nikl et al., 2013), and the luminescence properties of Ce- and Pr-doped Lu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> evaluated (Lempicki et al., 1996; Zhang et al., 1997, Zhang et al., 1998; Drozdowski et al., 2005). The crystal structures of the lutetium aluminates Lu<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub> (Euler & Bruce, 1965) and LuAlO<sub>3</sub> (Dernier & Maines, 1971; Shishido et al., 1995) have been determined as garnet-type (LUAG) and perovskite-type (LUAP), respectively. However, to date, there have been no reports of the lattice constants of Lu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub>, although Shirvinskava & Popova (1977) treated it as isotypic with  $Y_4Al_2O_9$  and have reported the *d*-spacings and relative peak intensities in the powder X-ray diffraction pattern (PDF#00-033-0844).

Many  $REAl_2O_9$  compounds have been investigated in detail. After Warshaw & Roy (1959) first reported the existence of  $Y_4Al_2O_9$ , Reed & Chase (1962) determined the space group of this material as  $P2_1/c$  using X-ray Weissenberg and precession photography. Christensen & Hazell (1991) later determined the crystal structure of  $Y_4Al_2O_9$  using powder synchrotron X-ray and neutron diffraction. Brandle & Steinfink (1969) also prepared crystals of  $REAl_2O_9$  (RE = Sm, Gd, Eu, Dy, Ho) and determined the crystal structure of  $Eu_4Al_2O_9$ using X-ray diffraction. The lattice parameters of  $RE_4Al_2O_9$  have previously been reported for RE = Y (Lehmann *et al.*, 1987; Reed & Chase, 1962; Christensen & Hazell, 1991; Yamane *et al.*, 1995b; Talik *et al.*, 2016), La (Dohrup *et al.*, 1996), Pr (Dohrup *et al.*, 1996), Nd (Dohrup *et al.*, 1996), Sm (Brandle & Steinfink, 1969; Mizuno *et al.*, 1977*a*; Yamane *et al.*, 1995*a*), Eu (Brandle & Steinfink, 1969; Mizuno *et al.*, 1977*b*; Yamane *et al.*, 1995*a*), Gd (Brandle & Steinfink, 1969; Mizuno *et al.*, 1977*b*; Yamane *et al.*, 1995*a*; Dohrup *et al.*, 1996; Martín-Sedeño *et al.*, 2006), Tb (Jero & Kriven, 1988; Yamane *et al.*, 1995*a*; Dohrup *et al.*, 1996; Li *et al.*, 2009), Dy (Brandle & Steinfink, 1969; Mizuno *et al.*, 1978; Yamane *et al.*, 1995*a*), Ho (Brandle & Steinfink, 1969; Mizuno, 1979; Yamane *et al.*, 1995*a*), Er (Mizuno, 1979; Yamane *et al.*, 1995*a*), Tm (Yamane *et al.*, 1995*a*), and Yb (Mizuno & Noguchi, 1980; Yamane *et al.*, 1995*a*).

Wu & Pelton (1992) investigated the phase diagram of the  $Lu_2O_3$ -Al\_2O\_3 system and showed that  $Lu_4Al_2O_9$  melted congruently at 2313 K under an inert atmosphere. Petrosyan et al. (2006) studied the same system under a reducing atmosphere and reported that Lu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> could be formed by reaction of Lu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Lu<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub> at 1923 K, but decomposed into Lu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and a melt at 2273 K. Subsequently, Petrosyan et al. (2013) observed incongruent melting of Lu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> at 2123 K under an Ar / 2% H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere using differential thermal analysis (DTA). Klimm (2010) employed DTA to investigate  $LuAlO_3$  melting behavior in a 5 N pure Ar flow and concluded that the congruent and incongruent melting of LuAlO<sub>3</sub> depended on the atmospheric conditions. The author also concluded that the phase diagram at around Lu:Al = 1:1under an inert atmosphere, previously reported by Wu & Pelton (1992), is correct. Yamane et al. (1995a) reported that only a very small amount of Lu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> can be obtained by reactions in air at 1673–2073 K, even though  $RE_4Al_2O_9$  (RE = Y, Sm-Yb) can be synthesized under the same conditions.



Figure 1

Unit-cell volume of  $RE_4Al_2O_9$  versus effective ionic radius for the trivalent rare-earth anion ( $RE^{3+}$ ) with sixfold coordination.



Figure 2

The atomic arrangement of Lu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> depicted with displacement ellipsoids at the 99% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (i) 1 - x, -y, 1 - z; (ii) -x, -y, 1 - z; (iii) x - 1, y, z; (iv)  $1 + x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (v) x + 1, y, z; (vi)  $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (vi)  $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (vii)  $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (vii)  $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (vii)  $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (vii)  $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (vii)  $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (vii)  $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (vii)  $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (vii)  $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (vii) x + 1, y = 1.]

Following these reports, the present authors also attempted to synthesize  $Lu_4Al_2O_9$  by heating a 2:1 molar ratio powder mixture of  $Lu_2O_3$  and  $Al_2O_3$  at 2073 K for 2 h in air, but the sample obtained was a mixture of  $LuAlO_3$  and  $Lu_2O_3$  (see Fig. S1*a* in the supporting information). The method used to prepare the single crystals of  $Lu_4Al_2O_9$  used for the present diffraction study is described below.

### 2. Structural commentary

X-ray diffraction spots from the Lu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> single crystal were indexed on the basis of a monoclinic unit cell with lattice parameters: a = 7.236 (2) Å, b = 10.333 (2) Å, c = 11.096 (3) Å, and  $\beta = 108.38$  (2)°. As shown in Fig. 1, the unit-cell volume of Lu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> calculated from these parameters lies on the extrapolated line of  $RE_4Al_2O_9$  volumes plotted against the effective ionic radii for sixfold coordination of the trivalent rare-earth anions ( $RE^{3+}$ ) (Shannon, 1976). In other words, Lu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> containing Lu, which has the smallest effective ionic radius of the *RE* atoms, has the smallest unit-cell volume in the  $RE_4Al_2O_9$  series, in line with predictions arising from the lanthanide contraction.

The crystal structure of Lu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (space group  $P2_1/c$ ), determined using Eu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (Brandle & Steinfink, 1969) as the starting model, contains two crystallographically distinct Al sites, four Lu sites, and nine O sites. The two Al sites are tetrahedrally coordinated by oxygen atoms. The two Al tetrahedra are connected through a shared O5 atom, forming an Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> ditetrahedral oxy-aluminate group (Fig. 2). The Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> dimers lie parallel to the *a* axis, and are related by the *c* glide symmetry operation (Fig. 3). The average Al1–O and Al2–O distances in Lu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> are 1.744 and 1.756 Å, respectively, which are comparable to values found in Eu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (1.741 and 1.755 Å, Brandle & Steinfink, 1969) and Y<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (1.739 and 1.769 Å, Lehmann *et al.*, 1987). The bond-valence sums (BVS; Brown & Altermatt, 1985) calculated using the Al–O distances and bond-valence parameters recently reported by Gagne & Hawthorne (2015) ( $r_0$  =1.634 Å, b = 0.39) are 3.02 and 2.93 for Al1 and Al2, respectively. These BVS values are close to those expected for trivalent Al. The Al1–O5–Al2 angle of the Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>7</sub> dimer is 134.9 (3)°, which is smaller than the corresponding angles in Eu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (141.9°; Brandle & Steinfink, 1969) and Y<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (137.6°; Lehmann *et al.*, 1987).

Of the four crystallographically distinct Lu atoms, Lu1 and Lu3 are coordinated by seven oxygen atoms with five Lu–O distances in the range 2.219 (5)–2.344 (5) Å and two in the range 2.461 (6)–2.573 (6) Å. The remaining Lu atoms, Lu2 and Lu4, are coordinated by six oxygen atoms in distorted octahedra with Lu–O distances in the range 2.172 (6)–2.337 (6) Å.



Figure 3

The crystal structure of  $Lu_4Al_2O_9$  highlighting the  $Al_2O_7$  ditetrahedra viewed down the *b* axis (upper), and the  $Al_2O_7$  ditetrahedra and Lucentered polyhedra viewed down the *a* axis (lower).

The averages Lu—O distances for the six-fold coordinated Lu atoms in Lu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> are 2.250 and 2.260 Å for Lu2 and Lu4, respectively. These values are 0.02–0.10 Å shorter than those for the LuO<sub>6</sub> octahedra found in Lu<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>5</sub>O<sub>12</sub> (2.352 Å; Euler & Bruce, 1965) and LuAlO<sub>3</sub> (2.330 Å; Shishido *et al.*, 1995).

The average values for the Eu-O and Y-O distances in  $Eu_4Al_2O_9$  and  $Y_4Al_2O_9$  lie in the ranges 2.328–2.439 Å (Brandle & Steinfink, 1969) and 2.286–2.387 Å (Lehmann et al., 1987), respectively. The differences between the RE-Olengths in  $RE_4Al_2O_9$  when RE = Eu and Lu (0.07–0.09 Å), and when RE = Y and Lu (0.02–0.05 Å) correspond to the differences between  ${}^{VI}r_{Eu} - {}^{VI}r_{Lu}$  (0.086 Å) and  ${}^{VI}r_{Y} - {}^{VI}r_{Lu}$  (0.039 Å), where  ${}^{VI}r_{Eu}$ ,  ${}^{VI}r_{Lu}$ , and  ${}^{VI}r_{Lu}$  are the effective ionic radii in sixfold coordination of Lu<sup>3+</sup> (0.861 Å), Eu<sup>3+</sup> (0.947 Å), and Y<sup>3+</sup> (0.900 Å), respectively (Shannon, 1976). The BVS for Lu1, Lu2, Lu3, and Lu4, calculated using the bond-valence parameters ( $r_0 = 1.939$  Å, b = 0.403) of Gagné & Hawthorne (2015), are 2.766, 2.796, 2.642, and 2.714, respectively, which are smaller than the expected valence value of +3 for the Lu atoms. The polyhedral volumes of Lu1O<sub>7</sub> (18.18 Å<sup>3</sup>), Lu2O<sub>6</sub>  $(14.29 \text{ Å}^3)$ , Lu3O<sub>7</sub> (18.56 Å<sup>3</sup>), and Lu4O<sub>6</sub> (14.24 Å<sup>3</sup>) are 1.1– 1.7  $\text{\AA}^3$  and 0.5–0.8  $\text{\AA}^3$  smaller than those for Eu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (Eu1O<sub>7</sub>:19.85 Å<sup>3</sup>, Eu2O<sub>6</sub>:15.38 Å<sup>3</sup>, Eu3O<sub>7</sub>:20.14 Å<sup>3</sup>, and Eu4O<sub>6</sub>:15.71 Å<sup>3</sup>) and for Y<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (Y1O<sub>7</sub>:18.66 Å<sup>3</sup>, Y2O<sub>6</sub>: 14.77 Å<sup>3</sup>, Y3O<sub>7</sub>:19.33 Å<sup>3</sup>, and Y4O<sub>6</sub>:14.98 Å<sup>3</sup>), respectively. These differences in polyhedral volumes correlate with the differences in ionic radii of the lanthanides.

### 3. Synthesis and crystallization

The starting powders Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Sumitomo Chemicals, AKP20, 99.99%) and Lu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Nippon Yttrium, 99.999%) were mixed in a molar ratio of Lu:Al = 2:1, ground with ethanol in an agate mortar, and pressed into a pellet. The pellet was placed in a BN crucible with an inner diameter of 18 mm and a height of 20 mm. The BN crucible was covered with a BN lid, and heated in a chamber with a carbon heater (Shimadzu Mectem, Inc., VESTA). The pellet was heated slowly under vacuum  $(\sim 10^{-2} \text{ Pa})$  from room temperature to 1273 K. During the 5 min. hold at 1273 K, the chamber was filled with Ar (99.9995%) up to 0.15 MPa. The temperature was then raised to 2173 K at a heating rate of 300 Kh<sup>-1</sup>. After being held at 2173 K for 4 h, the sample was cooled to 1473 K at a rate of 20  $Kh^{-1}$ , and then to room temperature by shutting off the heater. A part of the obtained sample was pulverized in the agate mortar, and powder X-ray diffraction measurements (Bruker D2 Phaser, Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation) confirmed that the major crystalline phase present in the sample was  $Lu_4Al_2O_9$ , together with small amounts of LuAlO<sub>3</sub> and unreacted Lu<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (Fig. S1a). Colorless crystals of  $Lu_4Al_2O_9$  were selected for single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies.

### 4. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 1. The Eu atoms in the rare-earth metal sites in the structural model of  $Eu_4Al_2O_9$  (Brandle &

Table 1Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$Lu_4Al_2O_9$
$M_{\rm r}$	897.84
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	301
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	7.2360 (11), 10.3330 (19),
0 (0)	11.096 (3)
$\beta \left( \circ \right)_{2}$	108.381 (11)
$V(A^3)$	787.3 (3)
Ζ	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	49.97
Crystal size (mm)	$0.12 \times 0.05 \times 0.04$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 QUEST
Absorption correction	Multi-scan ( <i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker, 2016)
Tmin Tmax	0.451. 0.746
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	32672, 2795, 2719
$R_{\rm e}$	0.035
$(\sin \theta/\lambda)$ $(\dot{\Delta}^{-1})$	0.748
$(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{\rm max}(A)$	0.748
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.019, 0.043, 1.17
No. of reflections	2795
No. of parameters	138
$\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} \Delta \rho_{\text{min}} (e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	1.49, -1.81

Computer programs: APEX3 (Bruker, 2018), SAINT (Bruker, 2017), SHELXL2014/7 (Sheldrick, 2015), VESTA (Momma & Izumi, 2011) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

Steinfink, 1969) were replaced by Lu atoms to generate the initial model. Several iterations of refinement yielded an R value of 10.07% and a residual electron density of ~10 e Å<sup>-3</sup>. A subsequent refinement, performed by implementing the (100) twin plane observed in a study of Y<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub> (Yamane *et al.*, 1995*b*), yielded an  $R(F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2))$  value of 1.92% with an approximate volume ratio of 6:4 for the twin domains.

### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Ms Yuko Suzuki and Ms Mitsuyo Takaishi for their assistance with the high-temperature synthesis.

### **Funding information**

Funding for this research was provided by the Mitsubishi Chemical Group, Science and Technology Research Center, Inc. (a joint research with Tohoku University and the Mitsubishi Chemical Group, Science and Technology Research Center, Inc. J180002907).

#### References

- Brandle, C. D. & Steinfink, H. (1969). Inorg. Chem. 8, 1320-1324.
- Brown, I. D. & Altermatt, D. (1985). Acta Cryst. B41, 244-247.
- Bruker (2016). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2017). SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
  Bruker (2018). APEX3 . Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Christensen, A. N. & Hazell, R. G. (1991). Acta Chem. Scand. 45, 226–230.

- Dernier, P. D. & Maines, R. G. (1971). Mater. Res. Bull. 6, 433-439.
- Ding, L., Zhang, Q., Luo, J., Liu, W., Zhou, W. & Yin, S. (2011). J. Alloys Compd. 509, 10167–10171.
- Dohrup, J., Hoyvald, A., Mogensen, G., Jacobsen, C. J. H. & Villadsen, J. (1996). J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **79**, 2959–2960.
- Drozdowski, W., Lukasiewicz, T., Wojtowicz, A. J., Wisniewski, D. & Kisielewski, J. (2005). J. Cryst. Growth, 275, e709–e714.
- Euler, F. & Bruce, J. A. (1965). Acta Cryst. 19, 971-978.
- Gagné, O. C. & Hawthorne, F. C. (2015). Acta Cryst. B71, 562-578.
- Jero, P. D. & Kriven, W. M. (1988). J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 71, C454-C455.
- Klimm, D. (2010). J. Cryst. Growth, 312, 730-733.
- Lehmann, M. S., Christensen, A. N., Fjellvåg, H., Feidenhans'l, R. & Nielsen, M. (1987). J. Appl. Cryst. 20, 123–129.
- Lempicki, A., Brecher, C., Wisniewski, D., Zych, E. & Wojtowicz, A. J. (1996). *IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci.* 43, 1316–1320.
- Li, Y. Q., Hirosaki, N., Xie, R. J., Takeda, T., Lofland, S. E. & Ramanujachary, K. V. (2009). J. Alloys Compd. 484, 943–948.
- Martín-Sedeño, M. C., Marrero-López, D., Losilla, E. R., Bruque, S., Núñez, P. & Aranda, M. A. G. (2006). J. Solid State Chem. 179, 3445–3455.
- Mizuno, M. (1979). J. Ceram. Soc. Jpn, 87, 405-412.
- Mizuno, M. & Noguchi, T. (1980). J. Ceram. Soc. Jpn, 88, 322-327.
- Mizuno, M., Yamada, T. & Noguchi, T. (1977*a*). J. Ceram. Soc. Jpn, **85**, 374–379.
- Mizuno, M., Yamada, T. & Noguchi, T. (1977b). J. Ceram. Soc. Jpn, 85, 543–548.
- Mizuno, M., Yamada, T. & Noguchi, T. (1978). J. Ceram. Soc. Jpn, 86, 359–364.
- Momma, K. & Izumi, F. (2011). J. Appl. Cryst. 44, 1272-1276.
- Nikl, M. (2000). Phys. Status Solidi A, 178, 595-620.
- Nikl, M., Yoshikawa, A., Kamada, K., Nejezchleb, K., Stanek, C. R., Mares, J. A. & Blazek, K. (2013). Prog. Cryst. Growth Charact. Mater. 59, 47–72.
- Petrosyan, A. G., Popova, V. F., Gusarov, V. V., Shirinyan, G. O., Pedrini, C. & Lecoq, P. (2006). J. Cryst. Growth, 293, 74–77.
- Petrosyan, A. G., Popova, V. F., Ugolkov, V. L., Romanov, D. P. & Ovanesyan, K. L. (2013). J. Cryst. Growth, 377, 178–183.
- Reed, J. W. & Chase, A. B. (1962). Acta Cryst. 15, 812.
- Shannon, R. D. (1976). Acta Cryst. A32, 751-767.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Shirvinskaya, A. K. & Popova, V. F. (1977). *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **233**, 1110–1113.
- Shishido, T., Nojima, S., Tanaka, M., Horiuchi, H. & Fukuda, T. (1995). J. Alloys Compd. 227, 175–179.
- Talik, E., Guzik, A., Zajdel, P., Lipifska, L., Baran, M. & Szubka, M. (2016). Mater. Res. Bull. 83, 56–64.
- Wang, Z., Zou, J., Zhang, C., Yang, B., Shi, M., Li, Y., Zhou, H., Liu, Y., Li, M. & Liu, Z. (2018). J. Non-Cryst. Solids, 489, 57–63.
- Warshaw, I. & Roy, R. (1959). J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 42, 434-438.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Wojtowicz, A. J. (1999). Acta Phys. Pol. A, 95, 165-178.
- Wojtowicz, A. J., Drozdowski, W., Wisniewski, D., Lefaucheur, J.-L., Galazka, Z., Gou, Z., Lukasiewicz, T. & Kisielewski, J. (2006). Opt. Mater. 28, 85–93.
- Wu, P. & Pelton, A. D. (1992). J. Alloys Compd. 179, 259-287.
- Xiang, R., Liang, X., Li, P., Di, X. & Xiang, W. (2016). *Chem. Eng. J.* **306**, 858–865.
- Yamane, H., Ogawara, K., Omori, M. & Hirai, T. (1995a). J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 78, 2385–2390.
- Yamane, H., Omori, M. & Hirai, T. (1995b). J. Mater. Sci. Lett. 14, 561–563.
- Zhang, L., Madej, C., Pedrini, C., Dujardin, C., Kamenskikh, I., Belsky, A., Shaw, D. A., Mesnard, P. & Fouassier, C. (1998). J. Electrochem. Soc.: Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Luminescent materials 97, 342-351.
- Zhang, L., Madej, C., Pédrini, C., Moine, B., Dujardin, C., Petrosyan, A. & Belsky, A. N. (1997). *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **268**, 408–412.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2020). E76, 752-755 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989020005757]

## Crystal structure of lutetium aluminate (LUAM), Lu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub>

## Rayko Simura and Hisanori Yamane

## **Computing details**

Data collection: *APEX3* (Bruker, 2018); cell refinement: *APEX3* (Bruker, 2018); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2017); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014*/7 (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *VESTA* (Momma & Izumi, 2011); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

Lutetium aluminate

## Crystal data

Lu<sub>4</sub>Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>9</sub>  $M_r = 897.84$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$  a = 7.2360 (11) Å b = 10.3330 (19) Å c = 11.096 (3) Å  $\beta = 108.381$  (11)° V = 787.3 (3) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4

## Data collection

Bruker D8 QUEST	
diffractometer	
Detector resolution: 7.3910 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>	
$\omega$ and $\sigma$ cans	
Absorption correction: multi-scan	
(SADABS; Bruker, 2016)	
$T_{\min} = 0.451, \ T_{\max} = 0.746$	
32672 measured reflections	

## Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.019$  $wR(F^2) = 0.043$ S = 1.172795 reflections 138 parameters 0 restraints F(000) = 1528  $D_x = 7.575 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 1294 reflections  $\theta = 2.8-38.5^{\circ}$   $\mu = 49.97 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 301 KChip, colourless  $0.12 \times 0.05 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}$ 

2795 independent reflections 2719 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.035$  $\theta_{max} = 32.1^\circ, \ \theta_{min} = 2.8^\circ$  $h = -10 \rightarrow 10$  $k = -15 \rightarrow 15$  $l = -16 \rightarrow 16$ 

 $w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + 17.273P]$ where  $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$   $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$   $\Delta\rho_{max} = 1.49$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  $\Delta\rho_{min} = -1.81$  e Å<sup>-3</sup> Extinction correction: *SHELXL2014/7* (Sheldrick 2015b), Fc\*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^{2}\lambda^{3}/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4} Extinction coefficient: 0.00026 (2)

## Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refined as a two-component inversion twin

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Al1	0.2142 (4)	0.1742 (2)	0.1270 (2)	0.0058 (4)	
Al2	0.6551 (4)	0.1717 (2)	0.1108 (2)	0.0059 (4)	
Lu1	0.52225 (7)	0.11375 (3)	0.78409 (2)	0.00572 (6)	
Lu2	0.02236 (6)	0.10027 (3)	0.80405 (2)	0.00574 (6)	
Lu3	0.34172 (7)	0.12783 (3)	0.44005 (2)	0.00605 (6)	
Lu4	0.83940 (6)	0.12082 (3)	0.41774 (3)	0.00610(6)	
01	0.7934 (8)	0.2450 (6)	0.7469 (5)	0.0102 (11)	
O2	0.2314 (8)	0.2439 (5)	0.7699 (5)	0.0072 (11)	
03	0.2106 (13)	0.0095 (5)	0.1516 (5)	0.0102 (10)	
04	0.0720 (8)	0.2340 (6)	0.9813 (6)	0.0092 (11)	
05	0.4326 (10)	0.2381 (4)	0.1156 (5)	0.0085 (8)	
06	0.6371 (8)	0.2328 (5)	0.9599 (5)	0.0072 (11)	
07	0.6926 (13)	0.0084 (5)	0.1529 (5)	0.0111 (10)	
08	0.0764 (12)	-0.0082 (5)	0.3927 (5)	0.0072 (9)	
09	0.5643 (13)	0.0063 (5)	0.3906 (5)	0.0069 (9)	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
All	0.0071 (12)	0.0044 (8)	0.0067 (9)	0.0012 (8)	0.0032 (8)	0.0005 (7)
Al2	0.0074 (12)	0.0050 (8)	0.0055 (8)	0.0010 (8)	0.0021 (8)	0.0012 (7)
Lu1	0.00622 (14)	0.00463 (12)	0.00594 (10)	0.00019 (12)	0.00140 (14)	-0.00101 (8)
Lu2	0.00566 (13)	0.00433 (12)	0.00733 (10)	-0.00031 (13)	0.00218 (14)	-0.00058 (8)
Lu3	0.00647 (13)	0.00518 (11)	0.00625 (10)	0.00024 (13)	0.00165 (13)	0.00101 (9)
Lu4	0.00538 (14)	0.00435 (10)	0.00863 (10)	0.00048 (13)	0.00231 (15)	0.00137 (9)
O1	0.008 (3)	0.013 (3)	0.008 (2)	0.0039 (19)	0.0002 (18)	0.002 (2)
O2	0.009 (3)	0.006 (2)	0.007 (2)	0.0012 (18)	0.0030 (19)	0.0010 (17)
O3	0.011 (3)	0.006 (2)	0.015 (2)	0.000 (3)	0.007 (3)	0.0006 (17)
O4	0.009 (3)	0.007 (2)	0.009 (2)	0.0039 (18)	-0.0009 (18)	-0.0003 (19)
O5	0.008 (2)	0.0064 (18)	0.012 (2)	-0.003 (2)	0.004 (2)	-0.0003 (15)
06	0.008 (3)	0.007 (2)	0.007 (2)	0.0017 (18)	0.0018 (18)	0.0009 (17)
O7	0.013 (3)	0.008 (2)	0.016 (2)	0.003 (3)	0.009 (3)	0.0059 (18)
08	0.005 (3)	0.006 (2)	0.009 (2)	-0.003 (2)	0.000 (3)	-0.0002 (16)
09	0.010 (3)	0.0042 (19)	0.007 (2)	0.001 (3)	0.003 (3)	0.0001 (16)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Al1-03	1.724 (6)	Lu3—O5 <sup>iv</sup>	2.310 (5)
Al1—O4 <sup>i</sup>	1.733 (6)	Lu3—O6 <sup>ii</sup>	2.529 (5)
Al1—05	1.754 (7)	Lu3—O4 <sup>ii</sup>	2.573 (6)
Al1—O2 <sup>ii</sup>	1.767 (6)	Lu3—Al2 <sup>iv</sup>	3.211 (2)
Al1—Lu1 <sup>ii</sup>	3.219 (2)	Lu3—Al1 <sup>iv</sup>	3.247 (2)
Al1—Lu3 <sup>ii</sup>	3.247 (2)	Lu3—Lu3 <sup>iii</sup>	3.4748 (8)
Al1—Lu3	3.336 (2)	Lu3—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	3.4803 (7)
Al2—07	1.749 (6)	Lu4—O4 <sup>ix</sup>	2.198 (6)
Al2—O1 <sup>ii</sup>	1.753 (6)	Lu4—09	2.253 (8)
Al2-06 <sup>i</sup>	1.755 (6)	Lu4—O6 <sup>ii</sup>	2.255 (6)
Al2—05	1.767 (7)	Lu4—O8 <sup>v</sup>	2.257 (8)
Al2—Lu3 <sup>ii</sup>	3.211 (2)	Lu4—O1 <sup>ii</sup>	2.287 (6)
Al2—Lu1 <sup>ii</sup>	3.272 (2)	Lu4—O8 <sup>iii</sup>	2.311 (5)
Al2—Lu4	3.285 (2)	Lu4—Lu3 <sup>iii</sup>	3.4804 (7)
Lu1—O9 <sup>iii</sup>	2.219 (5)	Lu4—Lu4 <sup>x</sup>	3.5061 (8)
Lu1—06	2.233 (5)	Lu4—Lu2 <sup>ix</sup>	3.5641 (7)
Lu1—O3 <sup>iii</sup>	2.236 (8)	Lu4—Lu3 <sup>v</sup>	3.5652 (7)
Lu1—O7 <sup>iii</sup>	2.277 (8)	Lu4—Lu1 <sup>ii</sup>	3.5836 (7)
Lu1—O5 <sup>iv</sup>	2.344 (5)	O1—Al2 <sup>iv</sup>	1.753 (6)
Lu1—O2	2.461 (6)	O1—Lu2 <sup>v</sup>	2.172 (6)
Lu1-01	2.524 (6)	O1—Lu4 <sup>iv</sup>	2.287 (6)
Lu1—Al1 <sup>iv</sup>	3.219 (2)	O2—Al1 <sup>iv</sup>	1.767 (6)
Lu1—Al2 <sup>iv</sup>	3.272 (2)	O2—Lu3 <sup>iv</sup>	2.238 (5)
Lu1—Lu2 <sup>v</sup>	3.5579 (7)	O3—Lu2 <sup>vii</sup>	2.213 (8)
Lu1—Lu4 <sup>iv</sup>	3.5836 (7)	O3—Lu1 <sup>iii</sup>	2.236 (8)
Lu1—Lu3	3.6270 (9)	O4—Al1 <sup>xi</sup>	1.733 (6)
Lu2—O1 <sup>vi</sup>	2.172 (6)	O4—Lu4 <sup>viii</sup>	2.198 (6)
Lu2—O3 <sup>vii</sup>	2.213 (8)	O4—Lu3 <sup>iv</sup>	2.573 (6)
Lu2—O2	2.235 (6)	O5—Lu3 <sup>ii</sup>	2.310 (5)
Lu2—O7 <sup>iii</sup>	2.263 (8)	O5—Lu1 <sup>ii</sup>	2.344 (5)
Lu2—O8 <sup>vii</sup>	2.280 (5)	O6—Al2 <sup>xi</sup>	1.754 (6)
Lu2—04	2.337 (6)	O6—Lu4 <sup>iv</sup>	2.255 (6)
Lu2—Lu1 <sup>vi</sup>	3.5579 (7)	O6—Lu3 <sup>iv</sup>	2.529 (5)
Lu2—Lu4 <sup>viii</sup>	3.5641 (7)	O7—Lu2 <sup>iii</sup>	2.263 (8)
Lu2—Lu3 <sup>iv</sup>	3.6485 (7)	O7—Lu1 <sup>iii</sup>	2.277 (8)
Lu2—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	3.7187 (7)	O8—Lu4 <sup>vi</sup>	2.257 (8)
Lu2—Lu3 <sup>vii</sup>	3.9156 (7)	O8—Lu2 <sup>vii</sup>	2.280 (5)
Lu3—O2 <sup>ii</sup>	2.238 (5)	O8—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	2.311 (5)
Lu3—09	2.242 (8)	O9—Lu1 <sup>iii</sup>	2.219 (5)
Lu3—O9 <sup>iii</sup>	2.260 (5)	O9—Lu3 <sup>iii</sup>	2.260 (5)
Lu3—08	2.302 (7)		
O3—A11—O4 <sup>i</sup>	117.7 (3)	O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Lu3—O8	96.84 (19)
03—Al1—05	116.2 (4)	O9—Lu3—O8	102.35 (18)
O4 <sup>i</sup> —Al1—O5	94.7 (3)	O9 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu3—O8	80.0 (2)
O3—Al1—O2 <sup>ii</sup>	109.3 (3)	O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Lu3—O5 <sup>iv</sup>	106.69 (18)

$O4^{i}$ All $O2^{ii}$	121.3 (3)	$09$ —Lu3— $05^{iv}$	120.4 (2)
$05-411-02^{ii}$	94.3 (3)	$O^{jii}$ Lu3 $O^{jiv}$	74.68 (16)
$O3$ — $A11$ — $Lu1^{ii}$	128 9 (3)	08—I.u3—05 <sup>iv</sup>	123 6 (2)
$O4^{i}$ All $I$ $I$ $I$ $I$	111.6(2)	$02^{ii}$ I $u_{i}^{2}$ $06^{ii}$	78 65 (19)
$05$ — $A11$ — $I$ $\mu$ 1 <sup>ii</sup>	45 30 (17)	$09-1$ $u3-06^{ii}$	71.8 (2)
$\Omega^{2i}$ $\Lambda^{11}$ $\mu^{1i}$	49 24 (19)	$O^{\mu\mu}$ I $\mu^3$ $O^{\mu}$	104.6(2)
$O_2 = A_1 = Lu_1$	1381(3)	$O_{3} = Lu_{3} = O_{0}$	104.0(2) 1714(2)
$O_{1}^{i}$ All $L_{\mu}^{2i}$	520(2)	$O5^{iv}$ Lu <sup>3</sup> $O6^{ii}$	171.4(2)
$O_{1} = A_{11} = Lu_{3}$	32.0(2)	$O^{2ii}$ Lu3 $O^{4ii}$	74.48(10)
$O_{2ii}$ All Ly2ii	43.34(10) 108 5 (2)	$O_2 - Lu_3 - O_4$	176.2(2)
$U_2^{}$ AIILu $3^{}$	108.3(2)	$09-Lu3-04^{-1}$	1/0.2(2)
$Lu1^{$	08.24(5)	$09^{-1}$ Lu3 $-04^{-1}$	103.8(2)
O3—AII—Lu3	12.9 (2)	$08-Lu3-04^{ll}$	/5.8 (2)
04-AII-Lu3	155.5 (2)	$05^{n}$ Lu3 $-04^{n}$	63.1 (2)
US—AII—Lu3	99.75 (19)	$06^{\text{H}}$ Lu3- $04^{\text{H}}$	109.64 (15)
O2 <sup>n</sup> —All—Lu3	38.37 (18)	$O2^{n}$ —Lu3—Al2 <sup>iv</sup>	96.50 (15)
Lul <sup>n</sup> —All—Lu3	67.47 (5)	O9—Lu3—Al2 <sup>IV</sup>	94.44 (18)
Lu3 <sup>n</sup> —Al1—Lu3	135.61 (8)	O9 <sup>m</sup> —Lu3—Al2 <sup>w</sup>	86.21 (17)
O7—Al2—O1 <sup>ii</sup>	104.2 (3)	O8—Lu3—Al2 <sup>iv</sup>	155.68 (16)
$O7-Al2-O6^{i}$	124.1 (3)	O5 <sup>iv</sup> —Lu3—Al2 <sup>iv</sup>	32.39 (17)
$O1^{ii}$ —Al2— $O6^{i}$	119.7 (3)	O6 <sup>ii</sup> —Lu3—Al2 <sup>iv</sup>	32.95 (13)
O7—Al2—O5	115.6 (4)	O4 <sup>ii</sup> —Lu3—Al2 <sup>iv</sup>	88.36 (14)
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Al2—O5	93.5 (3)	O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Lu3—Al1 <sup>iv</sup>	93.90 (15)
O6 <sup>i</sup> —Al2—O5	95.4 (3)	O9—Lu3—Al1 <sup>iv</sup>	151.60 (17)
O7—Al2—Lu3 <sup>ii</sup>	143.2 (3)	O9 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu3—Al1 <sup>iv</sup>	85.82 (16)
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Al2—Lu3 <sup>ii</sup>	107.2 (2)	O8—Lu3—Al1 <sup>iv</sup>	98.38 (18)
O6 <sup>i</sup> —Al2—Lu3 <sup>ii</sup>	51.62 (19)	O5 <sup>iv</sup> —Lu3—Al1 <sup>iv</sup>	31.41 (17)
O5—Al2—Lu3 <sup>ii</sup>	44.47 (16)	O6 <sup>ii</sup> —Lu3—Al1 <sup>iv</sup>	89.32 (13)
O7—Al2—Lu1 <sup>ii</sup>	123.1 (2)	O4 <sup>ii</sup> —Lu3—Al1 <sup>iv</sup>	32.07 (14)
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Al2—Lu1 <sup>ii</sup>	49.8 (2)	Al2 <sup>iv</sup> —Lu3—Al1 <sup>iv</sup>	60.46 (5)
O6 <sup>i</sup> —Al2—Lu1 <sup>ii</sup>	111.7 (2)	O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Lu3—Al1	29.36 (15)
O5—Al2—Lu1 <sup>ii</sup>	43.89 (17)	O9—Lu3—Al1	79.05 (14)
Lu3 <sup>ii</sup> —Al2—Lu1 <sup>ii</sup>	68.03 (5)	O9 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu3—Al1	149.97 (14)
O7—Al2—Lu4	65.8 (2)	O8—Lu3—Al1	85.02 (13)
O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Al2—Lu4	41.4 (2)	O5 <sup>iv</sup> —Lu3—A11	134.72 (12)
O6 <sup>i</sup> —Al2—Lu4	158.5 (2)	O6 <sup>ii</sup> —Lu3—Al1	87.58 (13)
O5—A12—Lu4	96.12 (18)	O4 <sup>ii</sup> —Lu3—Al1	97.42 (14)
$Lu3^{ii}$ —A12—Lu4	134.03 (8)	$A12^{iv}$ —Lu3—A11	115.70(7)
$Lu1^{ii}$ —A12—Lu4	66.26 (5)	A11 <sup>iv</sup> —Lu3—A11	122.25 (5)
$09^{iii}$ Lu1-06	174.8 (3)	$\Omega^{2ii}$ $I_{u3}$ $I_{u3}^{iii}$	142.19 (14)
$09^{iii}$ Lu1 $-03^{iii}$	86 4 (2)	$09 - 1 u_3 - 1 u_3^{iii}$	39 67 (13)
06-110-03	89.4 (2)	$0^{3}$ $1^{3}$ $1^{3}$ $1^{3}$	39 30 (19)
$00^{iii}$ I II - $07^{iii}$	85 8 (2)	$08 - 1 u_3 - 1 u_3^{iii}$	91 43 (16)
$06-1 \text{ u}1-07^{\text{iii}}$	980(2)	$05^{iv}$ Lu3 Lu3 $103^{iii}$	98.91 (14)
$03^{iii}$ I u1 $-07^{iii}$	101 03 (18)	$O6^{ii}$ $I_{11}3$ $I_{11}3^{iii}$	87.86 (13)
$O_{iii} = I_{ii} = O_{iv}$	74 76 (17)	$O4^{ii}$ I u3 I u3 <sup>iii</sup>	143 06 (13)
$06-1$ $11-05^{iv}$	105 69 (19)	$A12^{iv} I I I 3 I I I 3^{iii}$	90.40 (5)
$O3^{iii}$ I $\mu$ I $-O5^{iv}$	128 4 (2)	$A11^{iv} I u3 I u3$	121 35 (4)
0.5 Eul $0.5$	120.7(2) 124 3 (2)		116 13 (4)
	147.3 (4)		110.15 (7)

O9 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu1—O2	104.5 (2)	O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Lu3—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	137.27 (15)
O6—Lu1—O2	80.21 (19)	O9—Lu3—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	95.71 (15)
O3 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu1—O2	165.4 (2)	O9 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu3—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	39.5 (2)
O7 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu1—O2	70.7 (2)	O8—Lu3—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	41.12 (12)
O5 <sup>iv</sup> —Lu1—O2	64.9 (2)	O5 <sup>iv</sup> —Lu3—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	96.21 (14)
O9 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu1—O1	100.2 (2)	O6 <sup>ii</sup> —Lu3—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	144.07 (12)
O6—Lu1—O1	75.68 (19)	O4 <sup>ii</sup> —Lu3—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	85.06 (13)
O3 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu1—O1	73.7 (2)	A12 <sup>iv</sup> —Lu3—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	120.37 (4)
O7 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu1—O1	171.6 (2)	Al1 <sup>iv</sup> —Lu3—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	87.25 (5)
$O5^{iv}$ —Lu1—O1	63.4 (2)	A11—Lu3—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	123.92 (4)
02—Lu1—01	112.92 (16)	Lu3 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu3—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	64.036 (14)
$O9^{iii}$ —Lu1—A11 <sup>iv</sup>	87.18 (17)	O4 <sup>ix</sup> —Lu4—O9	163.0 (2)
$O6-Lu1-Al1^{iv}$	95.83 (15)	$O4^{ix}$ Lu4— $O6^{ii}$	87.53 (18)
$O3^{iii}$ —Lu1—Al1 <sup>iv</sup>	160.44 (18)	09—Lu4—06 <sup>ii</sup>	77.1 (2)
$07^{iii}$ —Lu1—A11 <sup>iv</sup>	96.91 (18)	$O4^{ix}$ Lu4 $O8^{v}$	84.7 (2)
$O5^{iv}$ Lu1—Al1 <sup>iv</sup>	32.14 (17)	09—Lu4—08 <sup>v</sup>	110.30(17)
$\Omega_{2}$ $\mu_{1}$ $All^{iv}$	32.95 (13)	$O6^{ii}$ Lu4 $O8^{v}$	171.9 (2)
01—Lu1—Al1 <sup>iv</sup>	89 26 (14)	$O4^{ix}$ 1.14 $O1^{ii}$	75 4 (2)
$O9^{iii}$ _Lu1_A12 <sup>iv</sup>	85 38 (16)	$09-1.04-01^{ii}$	10845(19)
$06-1 \text{ u} 1-412^{\text{iv}}$	92 47 (15)	$06^{ii}$ I $14$ $01^{ii}$	80 3 (2)
$O3^{iii}$ Lu1 Al2 <sup>iv</sup>	100 91 (19)	$0.00^{v} - Lu4 - 01^{ii}$	100.0(2)
$07^{iii}$ I III Al2 <sup>iv</sup>	155 76 (18)	$\Omega 4^{ix}$ $I_{11}4 \Omega 8^{iii}$	95 5 (2)
$O_{5iv}$ Lu1 Al2iv	31 50 (17)	$09-1.04-08^{iii}$	79 9 (2)
$\Omega_{2}$ $\mu_{1}$ $\Lambda_{2}$	89 77 (14)	$06^{ii}$ Lu4 $08^{iii}$	98.7 (2)
01—Lu1—Al2 <sup>iv</sup>	32.02(14)	$08^{v}$ Lu4 $08^{iii}$	79.7 (3)
$A11^{iv} I u 1 - A12^{iv}$	60 13 (5)	$01^{ii}$ Lu4 $08^{iii}$	170.9(2)
$\Omega^{\text{gii}}$ $I_{\text{ul}}$ $I_{\text{ul}}$ $I_{\text{ul}}$	91 9 (2)	$O4^{ix}$ I $\mu4$ Al2	104.05(15)
$06-1 u 1-1 u 2^{v}$	82.89(14)	09-1.04-A12	83 86 (13)
$O_{3^{iii}}$ $U_{1} U_{1} U_{1}^{v}$	36 67 (18)	$06^{ii}$ I $14$ Al2	91 72 (14)
$0.05^{\text{iii}}$ $1.01^{\text{iii}}$ $1.02^{\text{v}}$	137 63 (18)	$O8^{v}$ I $u4$ A12	92 45 (14)
$O_{5^{iv}}$ [u] [u] $U_{2^{v}}$	95 47 (17)	$01^{ii}$ I $14$ Al2	30.48(15)
$\Omega_{2}$ $\mu_{1}$ $\mu_{2}$	$149\ 10\ (13)$	$O^{3ii}$ Lu4 Al2	$158\ 20\ (14)$
$01 - 1 u 1 - 1 u 2^{v}$	37 17 (13)	$\Omega^{4ix}$ I $\mu^4$ I $\mu^3^{iii}$	135.20(14) 135.90(15)
$\Delta 11^{iv} I_{11} I_{12} I_{12} I_{12}$	125 28 (5)	$09 I u 4 I u 3^{iii}$	39.61 (13)
$A12^{iv} I u 1 I U 2^{v}$	65 26 (5)	$O6^{ii}$ I $124$ I $123^{iii}$	92 25 (14)
$O_{iii} = I_{ii} 1 = I_{ii} 2^{iv}$	1392(2)	$O8^{v}$ I $u4$ I $u3^{iii}$	91.67 (16)
$06 - 1 u 1 - 1 u 4^{iv}$	37.22(2)	$01^{ii}$ I $14$ I $13^{iii}$	147.82(15)
$\Omega_{3}^{iii}$ I $\mu_{1}$ I $\mu_{4}^{iv}$	85 85 (17)	$01^{311} - 1^{311} - 1^{311} - 1^{311}$	40.93 (18)
03 - Lu1 - Lu4 $07^{iii} - Lu1 - Lu4^{iv}$	135.01(15)	$A12 - I u4 - I u3^{iii}$	120.03(4)
$O_{iv}$ $U_{iv}$ $U_{iv}$ $U_{iv}$	79.07 (14)	$\Omega 4^{ix}$ $U 4^{ix}$ $U 4^{ix}$	90.25(15)
$O_2  Lu_1  Lu_4$	91.80(13)	$Oq  Lud  Lud^{x}$	96.25 (15)
$O_2$ —Lu1—Lu4	30 37 (13)	$O_{2}$ $U_{4}$ $U_{4}$	30.21(13) 137 47 (14)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	<i>39.37</i> (13) 86.05 (5)	$O_{1}^{0} - Lu_{1}^{0} - Lu_{1}^{0}$	137.47(14)
$\Delta 12^{iv} I u I I J u I^{iv}$	57.05 (4)	$O1^{ii} I u4 I u4^{x}$	130 71 (15)
$I u 2^{v} I u 1 I I u 4^{iv}$	59.875 (1 <i>A</i> )	$O_{1} = -L_{u} + -L_{u} + \frac{L_{u}}{2}$	30 3 (2)
$O^{\mu}_{\mu} I \mu 1 \dots I \mu 3$	36 30 (13)	$\Delta 12 \underline{\qquad} I \underline{\qquad} J $	129.5(2)
$O_{1} = Lu_{1} = Lu_{3}$	1/3 07 (15)	$I u 2^{iii} I u A I u A^x$	129.73(4)
$O_{3^{\text{iii}}} I_{11} I_{12}$	110 32 (15)	$\Omega A^{ix} = L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u - L u -$	30 50 (15)
OS —LuI—LuS	110.52 (15)		57.57 (15)

O7 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu1—Lu3	106.94 (16)	O9—Lu4—Lu2 <sup>ix</sup>	142.06 (14)
O5 <sup>iv</sup> —Lu1—Lu3	38.47 (12)	$O6^{ii}$ —Lu4—Lu $2^{ix}$	82.47 (14)
O2—Lu1—Lu3	83.91 (13)	$O8^v$ —Lu4—Lu $2^{ix}$	93.01 (16)
O1—Lu1—Lu3	81.20 (13)	O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Lu4—Lu2 <sup>ix</sup>	35.84 (15)
All <sup>iv</sup> —Lu1—Lu3	56.26 (4)	O8 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu4—Lu2 <sup>ix</sup>	135.12 (17)
Al2 <sup>iv</sup> —Lu1—Lu3	55.19 (4)	Al2—Lu4—Lu2 <sup>ix</sup>	65.06 (4)
Lu2 <sup>v</sup> —Lu1—Lu3	95.095 (18)	Lu3 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu4—Lu2 <sup>ix</sup>	172.933 (13)
Lu4 <sup>iv</sup> —Lu1—Lu3	112.083 (15)	Lu4 <sup>x</sup> —Lu4—Lu2 <sup>ix</sup>	120.06 (2)
O1 <sup>vi</sup> —Lu2—O3 <sup>vii</sup>	81.6 (2)	O4 <sup>ix</sup> —Lu4—Lu3 <sup>v</sup>	45.83 (16)
O1 <sup>vi</sup> —Lu2—O2	89.32 (19)	O9—Lu4—Lu3 <sup>v</sup>	149.15 (16)
O3 <sup>vii</sup> —Lu2—O2	169.1 (2)	O6 <sup>ii</sup> —Lu4—Lu3 <sup>v</sup>	133.35 (14)
O1 <sup>vi</sup> —Lu2—O7 <sup>iii</sup>	164.5 (2)	O8 <sup>v</sup> —Lu4—Lu3 <sup>v</sup>	39.02 (17)
O3 <sup>vii</sup> —Lu2—O7 <sup>iii</sup>	113.97 (17)	O1 <sup>ii</sup> —Lu4—Lu3 <sup>v</sup>	85.63 (15)
O2—Lu2—O7 <sup>iii</sup>	75.2 (2)	O8 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu4—Lu3 <sup>v</sup>	88.7 (2)
O1 <sup>vi</sup> —Lu2—O8 <sup>vii</sup>	91.6 (2)	Al2—Lu4—Lu3 <sup>v</sup>	98.07 (5)
O3 <sup>vii</sup> —Lu2—O8 <sup>vii</sup>	88.2 (2)	Lu3 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu4—Lu3 <sup>v</sup>	120.326 (15)
O2—Lu2—O8 <sup>vii</sup>	98.0 (2)	Lu4 <sup>x</sup> —Lu4—Lu3 <sup>v</sup>	58.961 (16)
$O7^{iii}$ —Lu2— $O8^{vii}$	89.1 (3)	Lu2 <sup>ix</sup> —Lu4—Lu3 <sup>v</sup>	61.562 (13)
$01^{vi}$ —Lu2—O4	74.9 (2)	$O4^{ix}$ —Lu4—Lu1 <sup>ii</sup>	86.32 (15)
03 <sup>vii</sup> —Lu2—O4	92.4 (2)	09—Lu4—Lu1 <sup>ii</sup>	85.60 (15)
02—Lu2—O4	79.5 (2)	$O6^{ii}$ —Lu4—Lu1 <sup>ii</sup>	36.81 (14)
$O7^{iii}$ —Lu2—O4	103.2 (2)	$O8^v$ —Lu4—Lu1 <sup>ii</sup>	144.42 (14)
O8 <sup>vii</sup> —Lu2—O4	166.2 (2)	$O1^{ii}$ —Lu4—Lu $1^{ii}$	44.44 (15)
$O1^{vi}$ —Lu2—Lu $1^{vi}$	44.59 (16)	O8 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu4—Lu1 <sup>ii</sup>	135.46 (19)
$O3^{vii}$ —Lu2—Lu1 <sup>vi</sup>	37.11 (17)	Al2—Lu4—Lu1 <sup>ii</sup>	56.69 (4)
O2—Lu2—Lu1 <sup>vi</sup>	133.86 (14)	$Lu3^{iii}$ — $Lu4$ — $Lu1^{ii}$	117.97 (2)
$07^{iii}$ —Lu2—Lu1 <sup>vi</sup>	150.92 (17)	$Lu4^{x}$ — $Lu4$ — $Lu1^{ii}$	173.404 (16)
O8 <sup>vii</sup> —Lu2—Lu1 <sup>vi</sup>	87.2 (2)	$Lu2^{ix}$ — $Lu4$ — $Lu1^{ii}$	59.705 (14)
04—Lu2—Lu1 <sup>vi</sup>	84.98 (14)	$Lu3^{v}$ — $Lu4$ — $Lu1^{ii}$	121.266 (15)
$O1^{vi}$ _Lu2_Lu4 <sup>viii</sup>	38.06 (15)	$A12^{iv}$ $O1$ $Lu2^{v}$	139.9 (3)
$O3^{\text{vii}}$ _Lu2_Lu4 <sup>viii</sup>	86.66 (16)	$A12^{iv} - O1 - Lu4^{iv}$	108.1 (3)
$\Omega_{2}$ $\mu_{2}$ $\mu_{4}$	82.52 (14)	$Lu2^{v}$ O1 $Lu4^{iv}$	106.1(2)
$07^{iii}$ Lu2 Lu4 <sup>viii</sup>	137.89 (15)	$A12^{iv} - O1 - Lu1$	98.2 (3)
$O8^{\text{vii}}$ $I u2$ $I u4^{\text{viii}}$	129 58 (17)	$Lu2^{v} - O1 - Lu1$	98.2 (2)
$04$ —Lu2—Lu $4^{viii}$	36 84 (14)	$Lu4^{iv} - 01 - Lu1$	96.2(2)
$L_{11}^{vi}$ $L_{11}^{2}$ $L_{11}^{4viii}$	60 420 (13)	$A11^{iv} - O2 - Lu2$	127.6(3)
$O1^{vi}$ $U1^2$ $U1^{vi}$	85 18 (17)	$A11^{iv} - O2 - Lu2^{iv}$	127.0(3) 112.3(3)
$O_3^{\text{vii}}$ $I_1^{\text{u2}}$ $I_2^{\text{u3}}$	136.93 (14)	$I_{\mu}^{2} = 0^{2} = I_{\mu}^{3iv}$	109.3(2)
$\Omega_{2}^{2}$ $\mu_{2}^{2}$ $\mu_{3}^{iv}$	35 36 (14)	$A11^{iv} - O2 - Lu1$	97.8 (2)
$02^{iii}$ $102^{iii}$ $103^{iv}$	83.02 (17)	$I_{11} = 02 = L_{11}$	103.7(2)
$O_{\text{Vii}}$ $U_{\text{Vii}}$ $U_{\text{Vii}}$ $U_{\text{Vii}}$ $U_{\text{Vii}}$ $U_{\text{Vii}}$	133 14 (18)	$L_{u2} = 0.2 - L_{u1}$	103.7(2) 101.5(2)
$04 - 1 u^2 - 1 u^{3iv}$	44 56 (15)	$A11 - O3 - U12^{vii}$	101.3(2) 1263(5)
$I u 1^{vi} I u 2 I u 3^{iv}$	119 653 (15)	A11 - 03 - Lu2	120.9(5)
$L \mu \Delta^{\text{viii}} = L \mu 2 = L \mu 3^{\text{iv}}$	59 233 (14)	$I_{\mu}2^{\nu\mu}$ $O_{3}$ $I_{\mu}1^{\mu\mu}$	125.7(3)
$O1^{vi}$ I u2 I u1	128 85 (16)	$A11^{xi} - 04 - 1 \cdot 14^{viii}$	135.2(2)
$O_{3^{\text{vii}}}$ $U_{12}$ $U_{11}$	149 48 (17)	$A11 \stackrel{\text{i}}{=} 04 \stackrel{\text{I}}{=} 102$	117 6 (3)
$02 - I u^2 - I u^1$	40 30 (14)	$I u 4^{viii} - 04 - I u^2$	103.6(2)
02 - Lu2 - Lu1 $07^{iii}$ Lu2 Lu1	35 63 (17)	$\Delta 11^{xi}  \Box 4  \Box \mu 3^{iv}$	103.0(2)
U/ —Lu2—Lu1	55.05 (17)	AII — U4—LUJ	95.9 (5)

O8 <sup>vii</sup> —Lu2—Lu1	88.6 (2)	Lu4 <sup>viii</sup> —O4—Lu3 <sup>iv</sup>	96.4 (2)
O4—Lu2—Lu1	97.74 (14)	Lu2—O4—Lu3 <sup>iv</sup>	95.9 (2)
Lu1 <sup>vi</sup> —Lu2—Lu1	172.025 (12)	Al1	134.9 (3)
Lu4 <sup>viii</sup> —Lu2—Lu1	118.072 (14)	Al1—O5—Lu3 <sup>ii</sup>	105.3 (3)
Lu3 <sup>iv</sup> —Lu2—Lu1	59.438 (13)	Al2—O5—Lu3 <sup>ii</sup>	103.1 (3)
O1 <sup>vi</sup> —Lu2—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	124.70 (15)	Al1—O5—Lu1 <sup>ii</sup>	102.6 (3)
O3 <sup>vii</sup> —Lu2—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	102.42 (15)	Al2—O5—Lu1 <sup>ii</sup>	104.6 (3)
O2—Lu2—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	87.60 (14)	Lu3 <sup>ii</sup> —O5—Lu1 <sup>ii</sup>	102.39 (18)
O7 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu2—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	54.38 (15)	Al2 <sup>xi</sup> —O6—Lu1	122.0 (3)
O8 <sup>vii</sup> —Lu2—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	34.8 (2)	Al2 <sup>xi</sup> —O6—Lu4 <sup>iv</sup>	125.6 (3)
O4—Lu2—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	156.67 (14)	Lu1—O6—Lu4 <sup>iv</sup>	106.0 (2)
Lu1 <sup>vi</sup> —Lu2—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	117.607 (14)	Al2 <sup>xi</sup> —O6—Lu3 <sup>iv</sup>	95.4 (2)
Lu4 <sup>viii</sup> —Lu2—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	159.792 (10)	Lu1—O6—Lu3 <sup>iv</sup>	99.6 (2)
Lu3 <sup>iv</sup> —Lu2—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	118.642 (17)	Lu4 <sup>iv</sup> —O6—Lu3 <sup>iv</sup>	100.7 (2)
Lu1—Lu2—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	60.716 (12)	Al2—O7—Lu2 <sup>iii</sup>	126.1 (5)
O1 <sup>vi</sup> —Lu2—Lu3 <sup>vii</sup>	85.85 (16)	Al2—O7—Lu1 <sup>iii</sup>	123.6 (5)
O3 <sup>vii</sup> —Lu2—Lu3 <sup>vii</sup>	56.75 (14)	Lu2 <sup>iii</sup> —O7—Lu1 <sup>iii</sup>	109.0 (2)
O2—Lu2—Lu3 <sup>vii</sup>	128.77 (14)	Lu4 <sup>vi</sup> —O8—Lu2 <sup>vii</sup>	110.1 (3)
O7 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu2—Lu3 <sup>vii</sup>	102.54 (16)	Lu4 <sup>vi</sup> —O8—Lu3	102.9 (2)
O8 <sup>vii</sup> —Lu2—Lu3 <sup>vii</sup>	31.5 (2)	Lu2 <sup>vii</sup> —O8—Lu3	117.4 (3)
O4—Lu2—Lu3 <sup>vii</sup>	146.03 (14)	Lu4 <sup>vi</sup> —O8—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	100.3 (3)
Lu1 <sup>vi</sup> —Lu2—Lu3 <sup>vii</sup>	62.045 (13)	Lu2 <sup>vii</sup> —O8—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	125.0 (2)
Lu4 <sup>viii</sup> —Lu2—Lu3 <sup>vii</sup>	119.182 (17)	Lu3—O8—Lu4 <sup>iii</sup>	97.9 (2)
Lu3 <sup>iv</sup> —Lu2—Lu3 <sup>vii</sup>	161.782 (11)	Lu1 <sup>iii</sup> —O9—Lu3	120.0 (4)
Lu1—Lu2—Lu3 <sup>vii</sup>	116.038 (15)	Lu1 <sup>iii</sup> —O9—Lu4	113.8 (3)
Lu4 <sup>iii</sup> —Lu2—Lu3 <sup>vii</sup>	55.607 (13)	Lu3—O9—Lu4	110.2 (2)
O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Lu3—O9	102.6 (2)	Lu1 <sup>iii</sup> —O9—Lu3 <sup>iii</sup>	108.2 (2)
O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Lu3—O9 <sup>iii</sup>	176.7 (3)	Lu3—O9—Lu3 <sup>iii</sup>	101.0 (3)
O9—Lu3—O9 <sup>iii</sup>	79.0 (3)	Lu4—O9—Lu3 <sup>iii</sup>	100.9 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y, z-1; (ii) x, -y+1/2, z-1/2; (iii) -x+1, -y, -z+1; (iv) x, -y+1/2, z+1/2; (v) x+1, y, z; (vi) x-1, y, z; (vii) -x, -y, -z+1; (viii) x-1, -y+1/2, z+1/2; (ix) x+1, -y+1/2, z-1/2; (x) -x+2, -y, -z+1; (xi) x, y, z+1.