

Received 10 January 2020 Accepted 28 January 2020

Edited by A. J. Lough, University of Toronto, Canada

**Keywords:** crystal structure; iron(II) thiocyanate; solvate; discrete complex; hydrogen bonding.

CCDC reference: 1980369

**Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





# Crystal structure, synthesis and thermal properties of bis(4-benzoylpyridine- $\kappa N$ )bis(isothiocyanato- $\kappa N$ )bis(methanol- $\kappa N$ )iron(II)

#### Carsten Wellm\* and Christian Näther

Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Universität Kiel, Max-Eyth. Str. 2, 24118 Kiel, Germany. \*Correspondence e-mail: cwellm@ac.uni-kiel.de

In the crystal structure of the title compound,  $[Fe(NCS)_2(C_{12}H_9NO)_2(CH_4O)_2]$ , the Fe<sup>II</sup> cations are octahedrally coordinated by two N atoms of 4-benzoylpyridine ligands, two N atoms of two terminal isothiocyanate anions and two methanol molecules into discrete complexes that are located on centres of inversion. These complexes are linked *via* intermolecular O–H···O hydrogen bonds between the methanol O–H H atoms and the carbonyl O atoms of the 4-benzoylpyridine ligands, forming layers parallel to (101). Powder X-ray diffraction proved that a pure sample was obtained but that this compound is unstable and transforms into an unknown crystalline phase within several weeks. However, the solvent molecules can be removed by heating in a thermobalance, which for the aged sample as well as the title compound leads to the formation of a compound with the composition  $Fe(NCS)_2(4-benzoylpyridine)_2$ , which exhibits a powder pattern that is similar to that of  $Mn(NCS)_2(4-benzoyl$  $pyridine)_2$ .

#### 1. Chemical context

The synthesis of new coordination compounds is still an important topic in modern coordination chemistry. In most cases, such compounds are prepared in solution but there are alternative routes such as, for example, molecular milling or synthesis in molten flux (Braga et al., 2005, 2006; James et al., 2012; Höller et al., 2010; Schönfeld et al., 2012). In this context, thermal annealing is also of interest, especially for precursors that contain volatile ligands. This approach has been proven to be particularly useful for the synthesis of thiocyanate coordination polymers, in which the metal cations are linked by the anionic ligands, because such compounds are frequently difficult to prepare in solution because their counterparts with terminally N-bonded anionic ligands are usually more stable (Näther et al., 2013). This is one of the reasons why we became interested in this class of compounds several years ago. In most cases, our precursors consist of discrete complexes, in which the metal cations are octahedrally coordinated by two terminal N-bonded thiocyanate anions and four pyridinebased co-ligands. If the described compounds are heated, the co-ligands are removed in a stepwise manner, which enforces the formation of compounds with bridging anions because the octahedral coordination is usually retained (Näther et al., 2013). One additional advantage of this approach is the fact that frequently different polymorphs or isomers can be obtained, if compared to the synthesis from solution (Wöhlert et al., 2014; Werner et al., 2015; Neumann et al., 2018a), which

might be traced back to the fact that this anionic ligand exhibits a large structural variety (Mautner *et al.*, 2016*a*,*b*, 2018). Following this approach, in most cases compounds are obtained in which the metal cations are octahedrally coordinated by two N- and two S-bonding thiocyanate anions as well as two coligands, all of them in *trans*-positions, and are linked into chains by pairs of  $\mu$ -1,3-bridging anions (Neumann *et al.*, 2019; Rams *et al.*, 2020; Mautner *et al.*, 2018). However, in some cases a *cis-cis-trans* coordination is observed, which can lead to the formation of linear but also to corrugated chains (Jochim *et al.*, 2018; Neumann *et al.*, 2020).



This is the case for e.g.  $[M(NCS)_2(4-benzoylpyridine)_2]_n$  (M = Co, Ni), in which the two N and two S atoms of the bridging anionic ligands are in cis-positions, whereas the two apical 4benzoylpyridine ligands are trans-coordinating (Rams et al., 2017; Jochim et al., 2018). This is in contrast to the corresponding Cd compound, in which the Cd<sup>II</sup> cations shows the usual all-trans coordination (Neumann et al., 2018b). In this context, we became interested in the corresponding compounds based on Mn<sup>II</sup> and Fe<sup>II</sup>. However, the compounds with bridging anionic ligands are not available from solution and therefore, we tried to prepare them by thermal decomposition of discrete complexes with the composition  $M(NCS)_2(4$ -benzoylpyridine)<sub>4</sub> (M = Mn and Fe; Wellm *et al.*, 2018, 2019a). Unfortunately, the X-ray powder pattern of the residues are of low quality and don't seem to be isotypic to the Co<sup>II</sup>, Ni<sup>II</sup> or Cd<sup>II</sup> phases. Therefore, we looked for a precursor that consists of two different coligands, one of which is more volatile and we found that, with methanol as solvent, crystals with the composition Fe(NCS)<sub>2</sub>(4-benzoylpyridine)<sub>2</sub>-(CH<sub>3</sub>OH)<sub>2</sub> can be obtained. Comparison of the experimental XRPD patterns with that calculated from single-crystal data





Experimental (top) and calculated (bottom) powder pattern of the title compound.

proves that a pure phase has been obtained (Fig. 1). We also have found that on storage over weeks, the title compound transforms into a new crystalline phase that exhibits a powder pattern completely different from that of the title compound (see Figure S1 in the supporting information). Compared to the title compound, the CN stretching vibration of the thiocvanate anions is shifted from  $2050 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  to  $2074 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ , and from thermogravimetric measurements it is indicated that about half of the methanol molecules are removed (Figure S2). If the solvent is removed completely from this crystalline phase, the CN stretching vibration shifts to  $2084 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and a powder pattern is observed that cannot be indexed and that is different from those calculated for the known phases of  $[M(NCS)_2(4\text{-benzoylpyridine})_2]_n$  (M = Co, Ni, Cd; Figure S1). However, if the title compound is heated in a thermobalance. two mass losses are observed that are in reasonable agreement with that calculated for the removal of the methanol molecules in the first and the remaining 4-benzoylpyridine ligands in the second step (calculated: 10.6% and 60.5%). If the residue formed after methanol removal is investigated by XRPD, it is obvious that the same crystalline phase has been obtained that will form if the discrete complex Fe(NCS)<sub>2</sub>(4-benzoylpyridine)<sub>4</sub> is thermally decomposed (Figures S1 and S2; Wellm, & Näther, 2019a). There are some similarities to the pattern of the residue obtained by thermal decomposition of Mn(NCS)<sub>2</sub>(4-benzoylpyridine)<sub>4</sub> (Wellm & Näther, 2018), but it is different from those calculated for  $[M(NCS)_2(4-benzoy])$ pyridine)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>*n*</sub> (M =Co, Ni, Cd; Figure S1).

#### 2. Structural commentary

The asymmetric unit of the title compound  $[Fe(NCS)_2(C_{12}H_9NO)_2(MeOH)_2]$  consists of one terminal N-bonded thiocyanate anion, one O-bonded methanol and one N-bonded 4-benzoylpyridine ligand in general positions and

## research communications

Selected geometri	ic parameters (Å,	°).	
Fe1-N1 <sup>i</sup>	2.0823 (15)	Fe1-O1	2.1780 (12
Fe1-N1	2.0823 (15)	Fe1-N11 <sup>i</sup>	2.2270 (12
Fe1-O1 <sup>i</sup>	2.1780 (12)	Fe1-N11	2.2270 (12
N1 <sup>i</sup> -Fe1-N1	180.00 (8)	O1 <sup>i</sup> -Fe1-N11 <sup>i</sup>	88.57 (5)
N1 <sup>i</sup> -Fe1-O1 <sup>i</sup>	89.31 (6)	O1-Fe1-N11 <sup>i</sup>	91.43 (5)
N1-Fe1-O1 <sup>i</sup>	90.69 (6)	N1 <sup>i</sup> -Fe1-N11	90.13 (5)
N1 <sup>i</sup> -Fe1-O1	90.69 (6)	N1-Fe1-N11	89.87 (5)
N1-Fe1-O1	89.31 (6)	O1 <sup>i</sup> -Fe1-N11	91.43 (5)
$O1^{i}$ -Fe1-O1	180.00 (4)	O1-Fe1-N11	88.57 (5)
N1 <sup>i</sup> -Fe1-N11 <sup>i</sup>	89.87 (5)	N11 <sup>i</sup> -Fe1-N11	180.0
N1-Fe1-N11 <sup>i</sup>	90.13 (5)		

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z + 1.

one Fe<sup>II</sup> cation located on a centre of inversion (Fig. 2). The Fe<sup>II</sup> cation is octahedrally coordinated by two thiocyanate anions, two methanol and two 4-benzoylpyridine ligands, with each pair of the same ligand in the *trans*-position. The Fe-N bond length to the 4-benzoylpyridine ligand [2.2270 (12) Å] is longer than that to the thiocyanate anion [2.0823 (15) Å] (Table 1). From the bond angles around the metal centers as well as the value for the angle variance (0.93) and the quadratic elongation (1.002) calculated by a procedure published by Robinson et al. (1971), it is obvious that the octahedra are slightly distorted. The 4-benzovlpyridine ligands are not coplanar as demonstrated by the values of the dihedral angles between the pyridine ring (N11/C11-C15) and the carbonyl group (C13/C16/C17/O11) of  $47.9(1)^{\circ}$  and between the carbonyl group (C13/C16/ C17/O11) and the phenyl ring (C17-C22) of 16.6 (1)°.

#### 3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal of the title compound, the discrete complex molecules are linked by intermolecular  $O-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonds between the hydroxyl H atom of the methanol ligand and the carbonyl oxygen atom of a 4-benzoylpyridine ligand of a neighbouring complex (Table 2). Each of the complexes are linked to four symmetry-equivalent complexes into layers parallel to (101) (Fig. 3). Between these layers, no pronounced intermolecular interactions are observed (Fig. 4).



Figure 2

View of a discrete complex with atom labelling and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z + 1.

Table 2		
Hydrogen-bond geometry (	(Å, '	°).

		-		
$D - H \cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O1-H1\cdots O11^{ii}$	0.84	1.92	2.7574 (16)	174

Symmetry code: (ii)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

#### 4. Database survey

According to the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, version 5.40, updated Feb. 2019; Groom *et al.*, 2016), several compounds based on 4-benzoylpyridine and transition-metal thiocyanates have been reported. This includes one square-planar copper complex with the composition  $[Cu(NCS)_2(4-benzoylpyridine)_2]$  (Bai *et al.*, 2011) and the Zn complex  $[Zn(NCS)_2(4-benzoylpyridine)_2]$ , in which the Zn<sup>II</sup> cations are tetrahedrally coordinated (Neumann *et al.*, 2018*b*). In all of the remaining compounds the metal cations are octahedrally coordinated. Some of them are coordination polymers with the general composition  $[M(NCS)_2(4-benzoylpyridine)_2]_n$  (*M* = Cd<sup>II</sup>, Ni<sup>II</sup>, Co<sup>II</sup>), in which the metal centres are bridged by pairs of  $\mu$ -1,3-coordinating thiocyanate anions into chains (Neumann *et al.*, 2018*b*; Rams *et al.*, 2017; Jochim *et al.*, 2018). The remaining compounds are octahedrally coordinated









Crystal packing of the title compound viewed along the crystallographic *b* axis with intermolecular  $O-H\cdots O$  hydrogen bonding shown as dashed lines.

Experimental details.	
Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Fe(NCS)_2(C_{12}H_9NO)_2(CH_4O)_2]$
$M_{\rm r}$	602.50
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$
Temperature (K)	200
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	12.1111 (8), 7.2385 (3), 16.1716 (12)
$\beta$ (°)	94.730 (8)
$V(Å^3)$	1412.87 (15)
Z	2
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.72
Crystal size (mm)	$0.12 \times 0.08 \times 0.06$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	STOE IPDS1
Absorption correction	Numerical (X-SHAPE and X- RED32; Stoe & Cie, 2008)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.834, 0.973
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	19758, 3422, 3012
R <sub>int</sub>	0.038
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} ( \mathring{A}^{-1} )$	0.663
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.037, 0.104, 1.03
No. of reflections	3422
No. of parameters	179
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max},  \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.36, -0.51

Computer programs: X-AREA (Stoe & Cie, 2008), SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015), XP in SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008) and DIAMOND (Brandenburg, 1999) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

complexes with two terminal thiocyanate anions and either four 4-benzoylpyridine ligands or two 4-benzoylpyridine ligands and two additional solvate ligands (Drew *et al.*, 1985; Neumann *et al.*, 2018b; Soliman *et al.*, 2014; Suckert *et al.*, 2017*a,b*; Wellm & Näther, 2018, 2019*a,b,c*).

#### 5. Synthesis and crystallization

FeCl<sub>2</sub>·4 H<sub>2</sub>O and KSCN were purchased from Merck and 4-benzoylpyridine was purchased from Alfa Aesar.

#### Synthesis:

Table 2

Crystals of the title compound suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction were obtained by the reaction of 59.6 mg  $FeCl_2$ ·4H<sub>2</sub>O (0.3 mmol) and 58.3 mg of KSCN (0.6 mmol) with 27.5 mg of 4-benzoylpyridine (0.15 mmol) in methanol (1.5 mL) within a few days.

For the synthesis of larger amounts of a polycrystalline powder, 398 mg of  $FeCl_2 \cdot 4H_2O$  (2 mmol) and 396 mg of KSCN (4 mmol) were stirred in methanol (2 mL) for 16 h and the precipitating KCl was filtered off and washed two times with methanol (0.5 mL). 366 mg of (2 mmol) 4-benzoyl-pyridine were added and this reaction mixture was stirred for four days. The product was filtered off and directly analysed, because it proved to be unstable at room temperature if stored for a longer time.

#### **Experimental details:**

Differential thermoanalysis and thermogravimetry (DTA-TG) was performed in a dynamic nitrogen atmosphere in

Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> crucibles using an STA PT1600 thermobalance from Linseis. The XRPD measurements were performed using a Stoe Transmission Powder Diffraction System (STADI P) with Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation that was equipped with a linear positionsensitive MYTHEN detector from STOE & Cie. The IR data were measured using a Bruker Alpha-P ATR-IR Spectrometer.

#### 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. The C-H H atoms were positioned with idealized geometry and were refined with fixed isotropic displacement parameters  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.2 \ U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$  for aromatic and  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.5 \ U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$  for methyl H atoms using a riding model. The O-H H atom was located in a difference map, its bond length was set to an ideal value of 0.84 Å and finally, it was refined with  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.5 \ U_{\rm eq}({\rm O})$  using a riding model.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Professor Dr Wolfgang Bensch for access to his experimental facilities.

#### **Funding information**

This project was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Project No. NA 720/6–1) and the State of Schleswig-Holstein.

#### References

- Bai, Y., Zheng, G.-S., Dang, D.-B., Zheng, Y.-N. & Ma, P.-T. (2011). Spectrochim. Acta A, **79**, 1338–1344.
- Braga, D., Curzi, M., Grepioni, F. & Polito, M. (2005). Chem. Commun. pp. 2915–2917.
- Braga, D., Giaffreda, S. L., Grepioni, F., Pettersen, A., Maini, L., Curzi, M. & Polito, M. (2006). *Dalton Trans.* pp. 1249–1263.
- Brandenburg, K. (1999). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Drew, M. G. B., Gray, N. I., Cabral, M. F. & Cabral, J. O. (1985). Acta Cryst. C41, 1434–1437.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Höller, C. J., Mai, M., Feldmann, C. & Müller-Buschbaum, K. (2010). Dalton Trans. 39, 461–468.
- James, S. L., Adams, C. J., Bolm, C., Braga, D., Collier, P., Friščić, T., Grepioni, F., Harris, K. D. M., Hyett, G., Jones, W., Krebs, A., Mack, J., Maini, L., Orpen, A. G., Parkin, I. P., Shearouse, W. C., Steed, J. W. & Waddell, D. C. (2012). *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 41, 413–447.
- Jochim, A., Rams, M., Neumann, T., Wellm, C., Reinsch, H., Wójtowicz, G. M. & Näther, C. (2018). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 4779–4789.
- Mautner, F. A., Berger, C., Fischer, R. & Massoud, S. S. (2016a). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 448, 34–41.
- Mautner, F. A., Berger, C., Fischer, R. C. & Massoud, S. S. (2016b). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 439, 69–76.
- Mautner, F. A., Traber, M., Fischer, R. C., Torvisco, A., Reichmann, K., Speed, S., Vicente, R. & Massoud, S. S. (2018). *Polyhedron*, **154**, 436–442.
- Näther, C., Wöhlert, S., Boeckmann, J., Wriedt, M. & Jess, I. (2013). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 639, 2696–2714.

# research communications

- Neumann, T., Ceglarska, M., Germann, L. S., Rams, M., Dinnebier, R. E., Suckert, S., Jess, I. & Näther, C. (2018a). *Inorg. Chem.* 57, 3305–3314.
- Neumann, T., Gallo, G., Jess, I., Dinnebier, R. & Näther, C. (2020). CrystEngComm, 22, 184–194.
- Neumann, T., Jess, I., dos Santos Cunha, C., Terraschke, H. & Näther, C. (2018b). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 478, 15–24.
- Neumann, T., Rams, M., Tomkowicz, Z., Jess, I. & Näther, C. (2019). *Chem. Commun.* 55, 2652–2655.
- Rams, M., Jochim, A., Böhme, M., Lohmiller, T., Ceglarska, M., Rams, M. M., Schnegg, A. & Plass, W. (2020). *Chem. Eur. J.* https:// doi.org/10.1002/chem.201903924
- Rams, M., Tomkowicz, Z., Böhme, M., Plass, W., Suckert, S., Werner, J., Jess, I. & Näther, C. (2017). *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **19**, 3232– 3243.
- Robinson, K., Gibbs, G. V. & Ribbe, P. H. (1971). Science, **172**, 567–570.
- Schönfeld, F., Meyer, L. V., Winter, F., Niehaus, O., Rodewald, U. C., Pöttgen, R. & Müller-Buschbaum, K. (2012). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 638, 2062–2068.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Soliman, S. M., Elzawy, Z. B., Abu-Youssef, M. A. M., Albering, J., Gatterer, K., Öhrström, L. & Kettle, S. F. A. (2014). Acta Cryst. B70, 115–125.
- Stoe & Cie (2008). X-AREA, X-RED32 and X-SHAPE. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Suckert, S., Werner, J., Jess, I. & Näther, C. (2017a). Acta Cryst. E73, 365–368.
- Suckert, S., Werner, J., Jess, I. & Näther, C. (2017b). Acta Cryst. E73, 616–619.
- Wellm, C. & Näther, C. (2018). Acta Cryst. E74, 1899-1902.
- Wellm, C. & Näther, C. (2019a). Acta Cryst. E75, 917-920.
- Wellm, C. & Näther, C. (2019b). Acta Cryst. E75, 299-303.
- Wellm, C. & Näther, C. (2019c). Acta Cryst. E75, 1685-1688.
- Werner, J., Runčevski, T., Dinnebier, R., Ebbinghaus, S. G., Suckert, S. & Näther, C. (2015). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 3236–3245.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920–925.
- Wöhlert, S., Runčevski, T., Dinnebier, R. E., Ebbinghaus, S. G. & Näther, C. (2014). Cryst. Growth Des. 14, 1902–1913.

# supporting information

#### Acta Cryst. (2020). E76, 276-280 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989020001152]

# Crystal structure, synthesis and thermal properties of bis(4-benzoylpyridine- $\kappa N$ )bis(isothiocyanato- $\kappa N$ )bis(methanol- $\kappa N$ )iron(II)

## Carsten Wellm and Christian Näther

#### **Computing details**

Data collection: *X-AREA* (Stoe & Cie, 2008); cell refinement: *X-AREA* (Stoe & Cie, 2008); data reduction: *X-AREA* (Stoe & Cie, 2008); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *XP* in *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008) and *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 1999); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

Bis(4-benzoylpyridine-κN)bis(isothiocyanato-κN)bis(methanol-κN)iron(II)

#### Crystal data $[Fe(NCS)_2(C_{12}H_9NO)_2(CH_4O)_2]$ F(000) = 624 $M_r = 602.50$ $D_{\rm x} = 1.416 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ Mo *K* $\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å a = 12.1111 (8) Å Cell parameters from 19758 reflections b = 7.2385(3) Å $\theta = 2.5 - 28.1^{\circ}$ c = 16.1716 (12) Å $\mu = 0.72 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ $\beta = 94.730 \ (8)^{\circ}$ T = 200 K $V = 1412.87 (15) Å^3$ Block, light yellow $0.12 \times 0.08 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}$ Z = 2Data collection STOE IPDS-1 3422 independent reflections diffractometer 3012 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ Phi scans $R_{\rm int} = 0.038$ Absorption correction: numerical $\theta_{\rm max} = 28.1^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 2.5^{\circ}$ (X-SHAPE and X-RED32; Stoe & Cie, 2008) $h = -16 \rightarrow 16$ $T_{\rm min} = 0.834, \ T_{\rm max} = 0.973$ $k = -9 \rightarrow 9$ 19758 measured reflections $l = -21 \rightarrow 21$ Refinement Refinement on $F^2$ H-atom parameters constrained Least-squares matrix: full $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0694P)^2 + 0.430P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$ $wR(F^2) = 0.104$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.36 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ S = 1.03 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.51 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 3422 reflections 179 parameters Extinction correction: SHELXL, $Fc^* = kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$ 0 restraints Hydrogen site location: mixed Extinction coefficient: 0.033 (3)

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Fe1	0.0000	0.0000	0.5000	0.02289 (12)
N1	-0.11410 (12)	0.1948 (2)	0.45147 (10)	0.0371 (3)
C1	-0.19503 (13)	0.2661 (2)	0.42451 (10)	0.0281 (3)
S1	-0.30854 (4)	0.36594 (7)	0.38796 (3)	0.04332 (15)
N11	0.13430 (11)	0.14836 (18)	0.44116 (8)	0.0258 (3)
C11	0.21746 (13)	0.0529 (2)	0.41200 (10)	0.0277 (3)
H11	0.2228	-0.0756	0.4236	0.033*
C12	0.29604 (13)	0.1328 (2)	0.36572 (9)	0.0270 (3)
H12	0.3521	0.0594	0.3442	0.032*
C13	0.29163 (12)	0.3217 (2)	0.35128 (9)	0.0248 (3)
C14	0.20752 (13)	0.4230 (2)	0.38307 (10)	0.0298 (3)
H14	0.2031	0.5529	0.3752	0.036*
C15	0.13013 (13)	0.3312 (2)	0.42649 (10)	0.0301 (3)
H15	0.0715	0.4006	0.4468	0.036*
C16	0.37576 (13)	0.4045 (2)	0.29890 (9)	0.0270 (3)
C17	0.43593 (12)	0.5737 (2)	0.32626 (10)	0.0264 (3)
C18	0.49691 (14)	0.6691 (2)	0.27018 (11)	0.0346 (4)
H18	0.4953	0.6297	0.2141	0.042*
C19	0.55965 (16)	0.8209 (3)	0.29656 (14)	0.0433 (4)
H19	0.6001	0.8871	0.2583	0.052*
C20	0.56366 (16)	0.8766 (3)	0.37870 (15)	0.0450 (5)
H20	0.6074	0.9802	0.3967	0.054*
C21	0.50409 (16)	0.7819 (3)	0.43474 (13)	0.0399 (4)
H21	0.5075	0.8202	0.4911	0.048*
C22	0.43953 (13)	0.6315 (2)	0.40878 (10)	0.0310 (3)
H22	0.3978	0.5679	0.4471	0.037*
011	0.39260 (11)	0.32281 (19)	0.23480 (7)	0.0377 (3)
C23	0.1420 (2)	0.2043 (4)	0.64942 (14)	0.0593 (6)
H23A	0.1367	0.2868	0.6970	0.089*
H23B	0.1741	0.0861	0.6686	0.089*
H23C	0.1892	0.2611	0.6101	0.089*
01	0.03337 (11)	0.1736 (2)	0.60921 (7)	0.0384 (3)
H1	-0.0132	0.1745	0.6449	0.058*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	U <sup>23</sup>
Fel N1	0.01880 (17)	0.02661 (18)	0.02419 (17)	0.00042 (10)	0.00730 (11)	0.00354 (10)

# supporting information

C1	0.0294 (7)	0.0260 (7)	0.0300 (7)	-0.0012 (6)	0.0080 (6)	0.0049 (6)
S1	0.0333 (2)	0.0431 (3)	0.0525 (3)	0.00961 (18)	-0.00278 (19)	0.0089 (2)
N11	0.0226 (6)	0.0289 (6)	0.0271 (6)	-0.0030 (5)	0.0089 (5)	0.0019 (5)
C11	0.0258 (7)	0.0269 (7)	0.0316 (7)	-0.0003 (6)	0.0096 (6)	0.0031 (6)
C12	0.0232 (7)	0.0290 (7)	0.0301 (7)	0.0008 (6)	0.0104 (6)	0.0018 (6)
C13	0.0222 (7)	0.0290 (7)	0.0238 (6)	-0.0045 (6)	0.0054 (5)	0.0017 (5)
C14	0.0286 (8)	0.0247 (7)	0.0375 (8)	-0.0009 (6)	0.0104 (6)	0.0014 (6)
C15	0.0259 (7)	0.0277 (7)	0.0382 (8)	-0.0002 (6)	0.0129 (6)	-0.0008 (6)
C16	0.0239 (7)	0.0313 (7)	0.0264 (7)	-0.0013 (6)	0.0063 (5)	0.0059 (6)
C17	0.0199 (6)	0.0281 (7)	0.0313 (7)	0.0001 (6)	0.0034 (5)	0.0058 (6)
C18	0.0310 (8)	0.0350 (8)	0.0388 (8)	-0.0036 (7)	0.0082 (7)	0.0086 (7)
C19	0.0333 (9)	0.0351 (9)	0.0625 (12)	-0.0087 (7)	0.0109 (8)	0.0111 (8)
C20	0.0318 (9)	0.0300 (8)	0.0730 (14)	-0.0049 (7)	0.0034 (9)	-0.0040 (8)
C21	0.0357 (9)	0.0342 (9)	0.0494 (10)	0.0004 (7)	0.0008 (8)	-0.0081 (8)
C22	0.0274 (7)	0.0316 (8)	0.0341 (8)	-0.0002 (6)	0.0035 (6)	0.0004 (6)
011	0.0429 (7)	0.0434 (7)	0.0288 (6)	-0.0114 (6)	0.0157 (5)	-0.0027 (5)
C23	0.0489 (12)	0.0856 (17)	0.0439 (11)	-0.0272 (12)	0.0077 (9)	-0.0189 (11)
01	0.0357 (6)	0.0517 (8)	0.0293 (6)	-0.0068 (6)	0.0123 (5)	-0.0080(5)

## Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Fe1—N1 <sup>i</sup>	2.0823 (15)	C16—O11	1.225 (2)	
Fe1—N1	2.0823 (15)	C16—C17	1.474 (2)	
Fe1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	2.1780 (12)	C17—C22	1.396 (2)	
Fe1—O1	2.1780 (12)	C17—C18	1.398 (2)	
Fe1—N11 <sup>i</sup>	2.2270 (12)	C18—C19	1.383 (3)	
Fe1—N11	2.2270 (12)	C18—H18	0.9500	
N1—C1	1.160 (2)	C19—C20	1.385 (3)	
C1—S1	1.6209 (17)	C19—H19	0.9500	
N11-C11	1.339 (2)	C20—C21	1.386 (3)	
N11-C15	1.345 (2)	C20—H20	0.9500	
C11—C12	1.385 (2)	C21—C22	1.385 (2)	
C11—H11	0.9500	C21—H21	0.9500	
C12—C13	1.388 (2)	C22—H22	0.9500	
С12—Н12	0.9500	C23—O1	1.436 (3)	
C13—C14	1.388 (2)	C23—H23A	0.9800	
C13—C16	1.502 (2)	C23—H23B	0.9800	
C14—C15	1.386 (2)	C23—H23C	0.9800	
C14—H14	0.9500	O1—H1	0.8401	
С15—Н15	0.9500			
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Fe1—N1	180.00 (8)	N11—C15—H15	118.5	
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Fe1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	89.31 (6)	C14—C15—H15	118.5	
N1—Fe1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	90.69 (6)	O11—C16—C17	122.83 (14)	
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Fe1—O1	90.69 (6)	O11—C16—C13	116.92 (14)	
N1—Fe1—O1	89.31 (6)	C17—C16—C13	120.23 (13)	
Ol <sup>i</sup> —Fel—Ol	180.00 (4)	C22—C17—C18	119.69 (15)	
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Fe1—N11 <sup>i</sup>	89.87 (5)	C22—C17—C16	120.78 (14)	

N1—Fe1—N11 <sup>i</sup>	90.13 (5)	C18—C17—C16	119.34 (15)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Fe1—N11 <sup>i</sup>	88.57 (5)	C19—C18—C17	119.90 (17)
O1—Fe1—N11 <sup>i</sup>	91.43 (5)	C19—C18—H18	120.1
N1 <sup>i</sup> —Fe1—N11	90.13 (5)	C17—C18—H18	120.1
N1—Fe1—N11	89.87 (5)	C18—C19—C20	120.13 (17)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Fe1—N11	91.43 (5)	C18—C19—H19	119.9
O1—Fe1—N11	88.57 (5)	С20—С19—Н19	119.9
N11 <sup>i</sup> —Fe1—N11	180.0	C19—C20—C21	120.28 (17)
C1—N1—Fe1	163.04 (14)	С19—С20—Н20	119.9
N1-C1-S1	179.32 (16)	С21—С20—Н20	119.9
C11—N11—C15	117.66 (13)	C22—C21—C20	120.13 (18)
C11—N11—Fe1	119.92 (10)	C22—C21—H21	119.9
C15—N11—Fe1	122.13 (10)	C20—C21—H21	119.9
N11—C11—C12	123.04 (15)	C21—C22—C17	119.86 (16)
N11—C11—H11	118.5	C21—C22—H22	120.1
C12—C11—H11	118.5	С17—С22—Н22	120.1
C11—C12—C13	118.94 (14)	O1—C23—H23A	109.5
C11—C12—H12	120.5	O1—C23—H23B	109.5
C13—C12—H12	120.5	H23A—C23—H23B	109.5
C12—C13—C14	118.52 (13)	O1—C23—H23C	109.5
C12—C13—C16	117.99 (14)	H23A—C23—H23C	109.5
C14—C13—C16	123.44 (14)	H23B—C23—H23C	109.5
C15—C14—C13	118.83 (15)	C23—O1—Fe1	123.95 (12)
C15—C14—H14	120.6	C23—O1—H1	109.2
C13—C14—H14	120.6	Fe1—O1—H1	118.2
N11—C15—C14	122.95 (14)		

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z+1.

### Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
01—H1…O11 <sup>ii</sup>	0.84	1.92	2.7574 (16)	174

Symmetry code: (ii) x-1/2, -y+1/2, z+1/2.