

Received 3 October 2019 Accepted 16 October 2019

Edited by M. Zeller, Purdue University, USA

**Keywords:** crystal structure; metal–organic framework; naphthalenedicarboxylic acid; *N*,*N*diethylformamide; zinc carboxylates.

CCDC reference: 1959604

**Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

# Crystal structure of a two-dimensional coordination polymer of formula [Zn(NDC)(DEF)] (H<sub>2</sub>NDC is naphthalene-2,6-dicarboxylic acid and DEF is *N*,*N*-diethylformamide)

#### Nathalie Saffon-Merceron, Alain Vigroux and Pascal Hoffmann\*

Université de Toulouse, UPS, Institut de Chimie de Toulouse, ICT FR 2599, 118 route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse, France. \*Correspondence e-mail: pascal.hoffmann@univ-tlse3.fr

A zinc metal–organic framework, namely poly[bis(N,N-diethylformamide)( $\mu_4$ -naphthalene-2,6-dicarboxylato)( $\mu_2$ -naphthalene-2,6-dicarboxylato)dizinc(II)], [Zn( $C_{12}H_6O_4$ )( $C_{15}H_{11}NO$ )]<sub>n</sub>, built from windmill-type secondary building units and forming zigzag shaped two-dimensional stacked layers, has been solvothermally synthesized from naphthalene-2,6-dicarboxylic acid and zinc(II) acetate as the metal source in N,N-diethylformamide containing small amounts of formic acid.

#### 1. Chemical context

In a preceding study, we showed how the presence of a small amount of added organic acids in the solvent N.N-diethylformamide (DEF), under solvothermal conditions, can be crucial in steering the production of new MOF (metal-organic framework) structures, as exemplified by the formation of two new zinc-terephthalate MOFs based on the trinuclear  $Zn_3(O_2CR)_6$  secondary building unit (SBU) and containing the formate anion, solvothermally obtained from the wellstudied MOF-5 system  $Zn/H_2BDC/DEF$  (H<sub>2</sub>BDC = benzene-1.4-dicarboxylic acid) in the presence of small amounts of added formic acid (Saffon-Merceron et al., 2015). Here, another ligand,  $NDC^{2-}$  (H<sub>2</sub>NDC = naphthalene-2,6-dicarboxylic acid) is considered to further study the influence of added formic acid in DEF in MOF synthesis. The NDC<sup>2-</sup> ligand has been widely used previously to prepare a number of MOFs (Gangu et al., 2017), including IRMOF-8 belonging to the isoreticular MOF series IRMOF-1-16, which have the







The structural model of the zinc windmill (or pw2) SBU found in MOF **1** (left) and of a typical zinc four-blade paddlewheel (pw4) cluster (right).

 Table 1

 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

Cg1 and Cg2 are the centroids of the C2–C5/C5<sup>vii</sup>/C6<sup>vii</sup> and C5/C6/C2<sup>vii</sup>–C5<sup>vii</sup> rings, respectively.

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$C4-H4\cdots O1^{iv}$	0.95	2.39	3.307 (4)	161
$C12-H12\cdots O3^{v}$	0.95	2.63	3.548 (4)	156
$C16-H16\cdots Cg1^{vi}$	0.95	2.99	3.520 (17)	114
$C16-H16\cdots Cg2^{vii}$	0.95	2.99	3.520 (17)	114

Symmetry codes: (iv) x - 1, y, z; (v) x + 1, y, z; (vi) x + 1, y + 1, z; (vii) -x, -y + 1, -z + 2.

same underlying topology as MOF-5 with oxygen-centred  $Zn_4O$  tetrahedra as nodes but linked by different organic molecules (Rosi *et al.*, 2003). As a control, we first successfully synthesized IRMOF-8, as already described, from H<sub>2</sub>NDC and  $Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  in DEF using a common solvothermal route (Rowsell *et al.*, 2004). Under the same experimental conditions but in DEF containing *ca* 1.8% added formic acid, an unidentified crystalline powder was obtained, seemingly in a pure phase, that did not correspond to any known NDC-based MOF. However, in the presence of zinc(II) acetate as the metal source instead of zinc(II) nitrate, we successfully isolated a new 2D coordination network,  $[Zn(NDC)(DEF)]_n$  (1), identified by satisfactory elemental analysis and single-crystal X-ray diffraction.

#### 2. Structural commentary

Complex 1 crystallizes in the triclinic  $P\overline{1}$  space group, with an asymmetric unit containing one  $Zn^{2+}$  cation, one fully deprotonated NDC<sup>2-</sup> ligand and a Zn-coordinated DEF molecule. Each Zn<sup>II</sup> ion is pentacoordinated by five O atoms

[Zn1–O1 = 2.543 (5) Å, Zn1–O2 = 1.949 (2) Å, Zn1–O3 = 2.026 (2) Å, Zn1–O4(DEF) = 1.979 (2) Å and Zn1–O5 = 1.980 (2) Å] from three individual NDC<sup>2–</sup> anions and one DEF molecule in a tetragonal pyramidal configuration. The SBU consists of doubly-bridged dinuclear units of Zn<sup>II</sup> atoms in a 'windmill' fashion (Fig. 1), with a Zn···Zn distance of 3.652 (1) Å, where each pair of Zn atoms is linked by two NDC<sup>2–</sup> anions and each Zn atom is linked by a further NDC<sup>2–</sup> anion and a DEF molecule (Fig. 2). The two carboxylate groups of the same NDC<sup>2–</sup> anion adopt either a  $\mu_1$ - $\eta^1$ : $\eta^1$  (O1 and O2) or a  $\mu_2$ - $\eta^1$ : $\eta^1$  (O3 and O5) coordination mode.



#### 3. Supramolecular features

The structure of **1** shows a three-dimensional (3D) supramolecular framework built of zigzag-shaped two-dimensional (2D) stacked layers. Neighbouring 2D layers are interconnected through nonclassical hydrogen-bonding interactions between carboxylate O atoms (O1 and O3) and  $\beta$ -H atoms of NDC<sup>2-</sup> ligands with COO···H-C<sub> $\beta$ </sub>-NDC distances



Figure 2

The molecular structure of MOF **1**, with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level, showing the labelling sheme and the disordered ethyl group of DEF. [Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y - 1, -z + 1; (ii) x + 1, y - 1, z; (iii) -x - 1, -y, -z + 2; (iv) x - 1, y, z + 1; (v) -x, -y, -z + 1.]





**Figure 4** View of the two-dimensional layers in MOF **1** stacked in a self-locking fashion yielding the three-dimensional supramolecular framework.

noteworthy that since 1 has been obtained in a DEF solution

containing small amounts of formic acid, formate ligands are

Figure 3

 $H_{centroid}$ - $\pi$  interaction found in MOF 1 with DEF H atoms (H16) located near the centroid of the NDC<sup>2-</sup> aromatic ring (all H atoms have been omitted for clarity, except for the DEF-H16 H atoms involved in the interactions).

of 3.307 (4) (O1–C4) and 3.548 (4) Å (O3–C12). Other interactions contributing to the stability of the framework involve  $H_{centroid}$ – $\pi$  interactions of H16–C16 (DEF hydrogens) and the centroids [ $Cg1^{iii}$  is the centroid of the C2–C5/ C5<sup>v</sup>/C6<sup>v</sup> ring and  $Cg2^{iv}$  is the centroid of the C5/C6/C2<sup>v</sup>–C5<sup>v</sup> ring; symmetry codes: (iii) x + 1, y + 1, z; (iv) –x, -y + 1, -z + 2; (v) –x - 1, -y, -z + 2] of the aromatic rings of the NDC<sup>2–</sup> ligands, with  $Cg \cdots$ H distances of 2.99 Å (Fig. 3 and Table 1). The layers are stacked in a self-locking fashion in a 3D supramolecular framework (Fig. 4), which has open channels with dimensions of approximately 7.85 × 12.55 Å<sup>2</sup> largely occupied by the Zn-coordinated DEF molecules (Fig. 5). It is

#### 4. Database survey

not present in the framework.

Naphthalene dicarboxylic acid derivatives (H<sub>2</sub>NDCs), including 1,4-, 1,8- and 2,6-NDC, have been, due to their stability, richness in coordination modes and structural rigidity, widely used as organic molecules in the synthesis of novel MOF structures with a variety of metal ions, such as Zn<sup>II</sup>, Cd<sup>II</sup>, Co<sup>II</sup>, Ni<sup>II</sup>, Mn<sup>II</sup> or Ag<sup>I</sup>. Among all the 2,6-NDC/Znbased MOFs, two are closely related to MOF **1**, *i.e.* a MOF of formula [Zn<sub>2</sub>(2,6-NDC)<sub>2</sub>(DMF)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>n</sub> (Yang *et al.*, 2013), in which the two carboxylate groups of all the NDC ligands have two different coordination modes ( $\mu_1$ - $\eta^1$ : $\eta^1$  and  $\mu_2$ - $\eta^1$ : $\eta^1$ ), and MOF-105 and its derivatives of generic formula [Zn<sub>2</sub>(2,6-NDC)<sub>2</sub>(DMF)<sub>2</sub>] (Eddaoudi *et al.*, 2002; Devi *et al.*, 2004;



View of the two-dimensional stacked layers in MOF 1 along the crystallographic (a) a, (b) b and (c) c axes.



Figure 6

PXRD patterns (a) simulated from the single-crystal data of 1 and (b) measured from a sample of 1 prepared from 2,6-H<sub>2</sub>NDC and Zn(OAc)<sub>2</sub> in DEF containing formic acid.

Shahangi Shirazi *et al.*, 2015; Yue *et al.*, 2015), in which all NDC-carboxylates have a  $\mu_2$ - $\eta^1$ : $\eta^1$  coordination mode, with a typical pw4 paddle-wheel structure motif,  $[M_2(\text{CO}_2)_4]$ . For MOF **1**, the two carboxylate groups of the same NDC<sup>2-</sup> ligand adopt either a  $\mu_1$ - $\eta^1$ : $\eta^1$  (O1 and O2) or a  $\mu_2$ - $\eta^1$ : $\eta^1$  (O3 and O5) coordination mode, giving an uncommon pw2 paddle-wheel ('windmill') structural feature,  $[M_2(\text{CO}_2)_2]$ .

#### 5. Synthesis and crystallization

MOF 1 was synthesized from naphthalene-2.6-dicarboxylic acid and zinc(II) acetate. 2,6-H<sub>2</sub>NDC (87.3 mg, 0.4 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) and Zn(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O (224 mg, mol, 2.5 equiv.) were dissolved in DEF (10 ml) containing formic acid (185 µl, 12 equiv.) and sealed in a glass vial. The vial was heated in an oven to 110 °C for 17 h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction was allowed to stand until colorless crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction formed. For further characterizations, the crystals were collected by filtration, washed with DEF several times, and dried at 373 K under vacuum. Elemental analysis (%) for  $C_{17}H_{17}NO_5Zn$  based on the formula [Zn(NDC)(DEF)] found (calculated): C 53.00 (53.63), H 4.47 (4.50), N 3.39 (3.68), Zn 17.51 (17.17). FT-IR (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2979, 2938, 1647, 1602, 1586, 1557, 1494, 1460, 1406, 1385, 1361, 1348. The identity of the as-synthesized bulk material was confirmed by comparing the powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) pattern with that simulated from the crystal structure (Fig. 6). After heating a sample of 1 at 463 K under vaccum for 8 h, coordinated DEF molecules were eliminated, as evidenced by FT-IR (loss of bands at 2979, 2938 and 1647  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ). Elemental analysis (%) for  $C_{12}H_6O_4Zn$  based on the formula [Zn(NDC)] found (calculated): C 48.85 (51.56), H 2.75 (2.16), N 0.22 (0.00), Zn 21.47 (23.39). It should be noted that after removal of DEF, MOF 1 lost its crystallinity, as evidenced by the PXRD pattern.

#### 6. Refinement

The ethyl groups of DEF were disordered over two positions, for which the occupancies were refined, converging to 0.51 and 0.49. The SAME, DELU and SIMU restraints were applied to model the disorder (Sheldrick, 2008). All H atoms were fixed geometrically and treated as riding, with C-H = 0.95

Experimental details.	
Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Z_{n}(C_{12}H_{\epsilon}O_{4})(C_{15}H_{11}NO)]$
M_	380.68
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic. $P\overline{1}$
Temperature (K)	193
a. b. c (Å)	7.9134 (5), 8.3006 (5), 12.6413 (8)
$\alpha, \beta, \nu$ (°)	97.873 (4), 91.620 (4), 91.991 (5)
$V(A^3)$	821.57 (9)
Z	2
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\mathrm{mm}^{-1})$	1.52
Crystal size (mm)	$0.10\times0.04\times0.04$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker SMART APEXII CCD area detector
Absorption correction	Multi-scan ( <i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker, 2008)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.863, 0.942
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	13141, 3336, 2436
R <sub>int</sub>	0.075
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} ( \text{\AA}^{-1} )$	0.625
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.042, 0.081, 1.00
No. of reflections	3336
No. of parameters	237
No. of restraints	41
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max},  \Delta \rho_{\rm min}  ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.33, -0.37

Computer programs: APEX2 (Bruker, 2008), SAINT (Bruker, 2008), SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2017 (Sheldrick, 2015), SHELXTL (Bruker, 2008) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

(aromatic), 0.98 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.99 (CH<sub>2</sub>) or 1.0 Å (CH), with  $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.5U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$  for methyl H atoms or  $1.2U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$  otherwise. Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2.

#### References

Table 2

- Bruker (2008). APEX2, SAINT, SADABS and SHELXTL. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Devi, R. N., Edgar, M., Gonzalez, J., Slawin, A. M. Z., Tunstall, D. P., Grewal, P., Cox, P. A. & Wright, P. A. (2004). J. Phys. Chem. B, 108, 535–543.
- Eddaoudi, M., Kim, J., Vodak, D., Sudik, A., Wachter, J., O'Keeffe, M. & Yaghi, O. M. (2002). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **99**, 4900–4904.
- Gangu, K. K., Maddila, S. & Jonnalagadda, S. B. (2017). *Inorg. Chim.* Acta, 466, 308–323.
- Rosi, N. L., Eckert, J., Eddaoudi, M., Vodak, D. T., Kim, J., O'Keeffe, M. & Yaghi, O. M. (2003). *Science*, **300**, 1127–1129.
- Rowsell, J. L. C., Millward, A. R., Park, K. S. & Yaghi, O. M. (2004). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 126, 5666–5667.
- Saffon-Merceron, N., Barthélémy, M.-C., Laurent, C., Fabing, I., Hoffmann, P. & Vigroux, A. (2015). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **426**, 15–19.
- Shahangi Shirazi, F. & Akhbari, K. (2015). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **436**, 1–6.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Yang, S. Y., Yuan, H. B., Xu, X. B. & Huang, R. B. (2013). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 403, 53–62.
- Yue, H., Shi, Z., Wang, Q., Du, T., Ding, Y., Zhang, J., Huo, N. & Yang, S. (2015). RSC Adv. 5, 75653–75658.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2019). E75, 1759-1762 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989019014142]

Crystal structure of a two-dimensional coordination polymer of formula [Zn(NDC)(DEF)] (H<sub>2</sub>NDC is naphthalene-2,6-dicarboxylic acid and DEF is *N*,*N*-diethylformamide)

# Nathalie Saffon-Merceron, Alain Vigroux and Pascal Hoffmann

## **Computing details**

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2008); cell refinement: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2008); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2008); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2017* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Bruker, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

 $Poly[bis(N, N-diethylformamide)(\mu_4-naphthalene-2, 6-dicarboxylato)(\mu_2-naphthalene-2, 6-dicarboxylato)dizinc(II)]$ 

Crystal data

 $[Zn(C_{12}H_6O_4)(C_{15}H_{11}NO)]$   $M_r = 380.68$ Triclinic,  $P\overline{1}$ Hall symbol: -P 1 a = 7.9134 (5) Å b = 8.3006 (5) Å c = 12.6413 (8) Å a = 97.873 (4)°  $\beta = 91.620$  (4)°  $\gamma = 91.991$  (5)° V = 821.57 (9) Å<sup>3</sup>

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEXII CCD area detector diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus selaed tube Detector resolution: 8.333 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup> phi and  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2008)  $T_{\min} = 0.863$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.942$ 

### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.042$  $wR(F^2) = 0.081$ S = 1.003336 reflections Z = 2 F(000) = 392  $D_x = 1.539 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 1701 reflections  $\theta = 2.5-21.5^{\circ}$   $\mu = 1.52 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 193 KBlock, colourless  $0.10 \times 0.04 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}$ 

13141 measured reflections 3336 independent reflections 2436 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.075$  $\theta_{max} = 26.4^\circ, \ \theta_{min} = 2.8^\circ$  $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$  $k = -10 \rightarrow 10$  $l = -15 \rightarrow 15$ 

237 parameters41 restraints0 constraintsPrimary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0318P)^2]$
map	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
Hydrogen site location: inferred from	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
neighbouring sites	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.33 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.37 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on  $F^2$ , conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > 2$ sigma( $F^2$ ) is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Z	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
Zn1	0.02528 (5)	0.13460 (5)	0.62683 (3)	0.02329 (12)	
01	-0.0138 (3)	0.0539 (3)	0.8118 (2)	0.0495 (7)	
O2	-0.1959 (3)	0.1010 (3)	0.68613 (17)	0.0311 (5)	
O3	0.0472 (2)	-0.1661 (2)	0.52376 (16)	0.0249 (5)	
O4	0.1080 (3)	0.3581 (3)	0.68413 (19)	0.0376 (6)	
05	0.2353 (2)	0.0121 (2)	0.60995 (16)	0.0267 (5)	
C1	-0.1604 (4)	0.0679 (4)	0.7800 (3)	0.0292 (8)	
C2	-0.3060 (4)	0.0478 (4)	0.8506 (2)	0.0229 (7)	
C3	-0.4729 (4)	0.0766 (4)	0.8157 (3)	0.0259(7)	
H3	-0.491459	0.106685	0.746526	0.031*	
C4	-0.6065 (4)	0.0621 (4)	0.8792 (2)	0.0253 (7)	
H4	-0.716770	0.083839	0.854527	0.030*	
C5	-0.5832 (3)	0.0151 (3)	0.9818 (2)	0.0204 (7)	
C6	-0.7197 (4)	-0.0032 (4)	1.0501 (2)	0.0247 (7)	
H6	-0.831308	0.016042	1.026474	0.030*	
C7	0.1971 (4)	-0.1257 (4)	0.5574 (2)	0.0232 (7)	
C8	0.3342 (4)	-0.2464 (4)	0.5386 (2)	0.0231 (7)	
C9	0.2888 (4)	-0.4062 (4)	0.5012 (2)	0.0266 (7)	
H9	0.173329	-0.437095	0.484564	0.032*	
C10	0.4136 (4)	-0.5243 (4)	0.4875 (2)	0.0241 (7)	
C11	0.5046 (4)	-0.1977 (4)	0.5621 (3)	0.0281 (8)	
H11	0.534020	-0.086805	0.587033	0.034*	
C12	0.6283 (4)	-0.3091 (4)	0.5492 (3)	0.0285 (8)	
H12	0.743193	-0.274861	0.564835	0.034*	
C13	0.2535 (5)	0.4026 (4)	0.7188 (3)	0.0369 (9)	
H13	0.341309	0.327989	0.705702	0.044*	
C14	0.1574 (6)	0.6627 (5)	0.7929 (4)	0.0628 (13)	
H14A	0.078313	0.652571	0.729974	0.075*	
H14B	0.208053	0.774681	0.803717	0.075*	
C15	0.0611 (7)	0.6341 (6)	0.8898 (4)	0.0970 (18)	
H15A	0.013080	0.522378	0.879973	0.145*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

# supporting information

H15B	-0.030364	0.710761	0.899858	0.145*		
H15C	0.137829	0.650364	0.952935	0.145*		
N1	0.2922 (4)	0.5454 (4)	0.7719 (2)	0.0448 (8)		
C16	0.4576 (17)	0.6215 (19)	0.815 (2)	0.061 (4)	0.516 (8)	
H16A	0.487379	0.713730	0.775639	0.074*	0.516 (8)	
H16B	0.447852	0.665014	0.890959	0.074*	0.516 (8)	
C17	0.5911 (12)	0.5053 (11)	0.8035 (8)	0.065 (3)	0.516 (8)	
H17A	0.563272	0.415235	0.843378	0.098*	0.516 (8)	
H17B	0.698301	0.559271	0.831750	0.098*	0.516 (8)	
H17C	0.601884	0.462995	0.727791	0.098*	0.516 (8)	
C16′	0.4774 (18)	0.575 (2)	0.8054 (19)	0.062 (4)	0.484 (8)	
H16C	0.544874	0.496333	0.760003	0.074*	0.484 (8)	
H16D	0.513676	0.685674	0.792220	0.074*	0.484 (8)	
C17′	0.5149 (13)	0.5600 (11)	0.9178 (7)	0.074 (3)	0.484 (8)	
H17D	0.426986	0.611745	0.962205	0.110*	0.484 (8)	
H17E	0.625043	0.613689	0.939854	0.110*	0.484 (8)	
H17F	0.517878	0.444637	0.926528	0.110*	0.484 (8)	

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	U <sup>22</sup>	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	U <sup>23</sup>
Zn1	0.0191 (2)	0.0234 (2)	0.0272 (2)	0.00401 (14)	0.00315 (15)	0.00116 (15)
01	0.0203 (14)	0.086 (2)	0.0477 (17)	0.0087 (13)	0.0085 (12)	0.0236 (15)
O2	0.0277 (13)	0.0404 (14)	0.0263 (13)	0.0025 (10)	0.0067 (11)	0.0068 (11)
03	0.0184 (12)	0.0277 (12)	0.0302 (13)	0.0040 (9)	0.0037 (10)	0.0087 (10)
04	0.0358 (15)	0.0268 (13)	0.0474 (16)	0.0019 (10)	0.0016 (12)	-0.0052 (11)
05	0.0258 (12)	0.0249 (13)	0.0286 (13)	0.0078 (9)	0.0039 (10)	-0.0010 (10)
C1	0.0237 (19)	0.0315 (19)	0.033 (2)	0.0043 (14)	0.0064 (16)	0.0037 (16)
C2	0.0208 (17)	0.0233 (17)	0.0232 (18)	0.0008 (13)	0.0028 (14)	-0.0022 (14)
C3	0.0250 (18)	0.0267 (18)	0.0270 (19)	0.0046 (14)	0.0008 (15)	0.0064 (15)
C4	0.0172 (17)	0.0308 (19)	0.0277 (19)	0.0021 (13)	-0.0044 (14)	0.0042 (15)
C5	0.0170 (16)	0.0211 (16)	0.0222 (17)	0.0014 (12)	-0.0003 (13)	0.0003 (13)
C6	0.0143 (16)	0.0288 (18)	0.0308 (19)	0.0031 (13)	-0.0004 (14)	0.0027 (15)
C7	0.0287 (19)	0.0256 (18)	0.0176 (17)	0.0068 (14)	0.0075 (14)	0.0079 (14)
C8	0.0220 (17)	0.0257 (18)	0.0224 (18)	0.0067 (13)	0.0039 (14)	0.0046 (14)
C9	0.0188 (17)	0.0334 (19)	0.0284 (19)	0.0058 (14)	0.0032 (14)	0.0050 (15)
C10	0.0215 (17)	0.0276 (18)	0.0241 (17)	0.0035 (13)	0.0036 (14)	0.0049 (14)
C11	0.0274 (19)	0.0260 (19)	0.031 (2)	0.0038 (14)	0.0026 (15)	0.0018 (15)
C12	0.0233 (18)	0.0271 (18)	0.034 (2)	-0.0003 (14)	0.0039 (15)	0.0011 (15)
C13	0.042 (2)	0.032 (2)	0.037 (2)	0.0006 (16)	-0.0029 (18)	0.0063 (17)
C14	0.089 (4)	0.027 (2)	0.067 (3)	-0.006(2)	0.010 (3)	-0.012 (2)
C15	0.111 (5)	0.084 (4)	0.087 (4)	-0.011 (3)	0.037 (4)	-0.023 (3)
N1	0.057 (2)	0.0383 (19)	0.0367 (19)	-0.0130 (16)	-0.0097 (16)	0.0030 (15)
C16	0.077 (6)	0.051 (8)	0.053 (6)	-0.018 (5)	-0.018 (5)	0.006 (6)
C17	0.063 (6)	0.069 (6)	0.066 (6)	-0.014 (4)	-0.011 (5)	0.022 (5)
C16′	0.076 (6)	0.052 (9)	0.055 (6)	-0.028 (6)	-0.023 (6)	0.013 (7)
C17′	0.100 (7)	0.061 (6)	0.059 (6)	-0.021 (5)	-0.016 (5)	0.015 (5)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Zn1—O2	1.949 (2)	C11—C12	1.368 (4)
Zn1—O4	1.979 (2)	C11—H11	0.9500
Zn1—O5	1.980 (2)	C12—H12	0.9500
Zn1—O3 <sup>i</sup>	2.026 (2)	C13—N1	1.302 (4)
Zn1—C1	2.571 (3)	C13—H13	0.9500
O1—C1	1.231 (4)	C14—N1	1.474 (5)
O2—C1	1.280 (4)	C14—C15	1.503 (6)
O3—C7	1.267 (3)	C14—H14A	0.9900
O4—C13	1.246 (4)	C14—H14B	0.9900
O5—C7	1.264 (4)	C15—H15A	0.9800
C1—C2	1.496 (4)	C15—H15B	0.9800
C2—C6 <sup>ii</sup>	1.368 (4)	C15—H15C	0.9800
C2—C3	1.419 (4)	N1—C16	1.488 (11)
C3—C4	1.358 (4)	N1—C16′	1.517 (11)
С3—Н3	0.9500	C16—C17	1.452 (17)
C4—C5	1.413 (4)	C16—H16A	0.9900
C4—H4	0.9500	C16—H16B	0.9900
C5—C6	1.420 (4)	C17—H17A	0.9800
C5—C5 <sup>ii</sup>	1.424 (5)	C17—H17B	0.9800
С6—Н6	0.9500	C17—H17C	0.9800
С7—С8	1.504 (4)	C16'—C17'	1.47 (2)
C8—C9	1.378 (4)	C16'—H16C	0.9900
C8—C11	1.406 (4)	C16'—H16D	0.9900
C9—C10	1.413 (4)	C17'—H17D	0.9800
С9—Н9	0.9500	С17'—Н17Е	0.9800
C10—C12 <sup>iii</sup>	1.422 (4)	C17'—H17F	0.9800
C10—C10 <sup>iii</sup>	1.426 (6)		
02-7n1-04	107 22 (9)	C8_C11_H11	110.8
02 - 2n1 - 04	136.08(9)	$C_{11} - C_{12} - C_{10}^{iii}$	119.8
02 - 2n1 - 05 04 - 7n1 - 05	103 46 (9)	C11 - C12 - H12	120.5 (5)
$0^{2}$ $-7n^{1}$ $-0^{3^{i}}$	99 73 (8)	$C10^{iii}$ $C12^{-H12}$	119.7
$02 - 2n1 - 03^{i}$	100 55 (9)	04-C13-N1	123.8 (3)
$05-7n1-03^{i}$	100.55(9) 104.71(8)	04 - C13 - H13	123.0 (5)
$\Omega^2 = 7n1 = C1$	28.93 (9)	N1_C13_H13	118.1
04— $7n1$ — $C1$	10044(10)	N1 - C14 - C15	111.5 (4)
05-Zn1-C1	115.09.(9)	N1—C14—H14A	109 3
$O3^{i}$ Zn1 C1	128 55 (9)	C15-C14-H14A	109.3
C1 - O2 - Zn1	103 60 (19)	N1—C14—H14B	109.3
$C7-O3-Zn1^{i}$	119.47 (19)	C15—C14—H14B	109.3
C13—O4—Zn1	127.3 (2)	H14A—C14—H14B	108.0
C7—O5—Zn1	107.70 (19)	C14—C15—H15A	109.5
01	122.1 (3)	C14—C15—H15B	109.5
01-C1-C2	121.0 (3)	H15A—C15—H15B	109.5
02-C1-C2	116.8 (3)	C14—C15—H15C	109.5
O1—C1—Zn1	74.8 (2)	H15A—C15—H15C	109.5
	× /		

O2—C1—Zn1	47.47 (15)	H15B—C15—H15C	109.5
C2—C1—Zn1	163.8 (2)	C13—N1—C14	118.8 (3)
C6 <sup>ii</sup> —C2—C3	119.1 (3)	C13—N1—C16	131.2 (8)
C6 <sup>ii</sup> —C2—C1	120.6 (3)	C14—N1—C16	110.0 (8)
C3—C2—C1	120.3 (3)	C13—N1—C16′	115.1 (9)
C4—C3—C2	121.2 (3)	C14—N1—C16′	126.1 (9)
С4—С3—Н3	119.4	C17—C16—N1	111.5 (12)
С2—С3—Н3	119.4	C17—C16—H16A	109.3
C3—C4—C5	120.7 (3)	N1—C16—H16A	109.3
C3—C4—H4	119.7	C17—C16—H16B	109.3
С5—С4—Н4	119.7	N1—C16—H16B	109.3
C4—C5—C6	122.4 (3)	H16A—C16—H16B	108.0
C4—C5—C5 <sup>ii</sup>	119.0 (3)	C16—C17—H17A	109.5
C6—C5—C5 <sup>ii</sup>	118.6 (3)	C16—C17—H17B	109.5
C2 <sup>ii</sup> —C6—C5	121.4 (3)	H17A—C17—H17B	109.5
С2 <sup>ії</sup> —С6—Н6	119.3	C16—C17—H17C	109.5
С5—С6—Н6	119.3	H17A—C17—H17C	109.5
O5—C7—O3	122.1 (3)	H17B—C17—H17C	109.5
O5—C7—C8	118.2 (3)	C17'—C16'—N1	114.1 (15)
O3—C7—C8	119.6 (3)	C17'—C16'—H16C	108.7
C9—C8—C11	120.8 (3)	N1—C16′—H16C	108.7
C9—C8—C7	118.7 (3)	C17'—C16'—H16D	108.7
C11—C8—C7	120.5 (3)	N1—C16′—H16D	108.7
C8—C9—C10	120.1 (3)	H16C—C16′—H16D	107.6
С8—С9—Н9	119.9	C16'—C17'—H17D	109.5
С10—С9—Н9	119.9	С16'—С17'—Н17Е	109.5
C9—C10—C12 <sup>iii</sup>	121.8 (3)	H17D—C17′—H17E	109.5
C9—C10—C10 <sup>iii</sup>	119.2 (4)	C16'—C17'—H17F	109.5
C12 <sup>iii</sup> —C10—C10 <sup>iii</sup>	118.9 (3)	H17D—C17′—H17F	109.5
C12—C11—C8	120.4 (3)	H17E—C17′—H17F	109.5
C12—C11—H11	119.8		

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*, -*y*, -*z*+1; (ii) -*x*-1, -*y*, -*z*+2; (iii) -*x*+1, -*y*-1, -*z*+1.

### *Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)*

Cg1 and Cg2 are the centroids of the C2–C5/C5<sup>ii</sup>/C6<sup>ii</sup> and C5/C6/C2<sup>ii</sup>–C5<sup>ii</sup> rings, respectively. [Symmetry code: (ii) -x-1, -y, -z+2.]

<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —Н	Н…А	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
C4—H4…O1 <sup>iv</sup>	0.95	2.39	3.307 (4)	161
С12—Н12…ОЗ <sup>v</sup>	0.95	2.63	3.548 (4)	156
C16—H16··· $Cg1^{vi}$	0.95	2.99	3.520 (17)	114
С16—Н16…Сg2 <sup>vii</sup>	0.95	2.99	3.520 (17)	114

Symmetry codes: (iv) *x*-1, *y*, *z*; (v) *x*+1, *y*, *z*; (vi) *x*+1, *y*+1, *z*; (vii) -*x*, -*y*+1, -*z*+2.