

Received 22 April 2019 Accepted 14 August 2019

Edited by M. Nieger, University of Helsinki, Finland

Keywords: crystal structure; polymethines; carbanion; hepta-2,4,6-triene-1,1,7,7-tetra-carbonitrile; stacking; weak interactions.

CCDC reference: 1947007

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



OPEN d ACCESS



Crystal structure of tetramethylammonium 1,1,7,7tetracyanohepta-2,4,6-trienide

Georgii Bogdanov,^a* John P Tillotson,^b Jenna Bustos,^a Marina Fonari^a and Tatiana V. Timofeeva^a

^aDepartment of Chemistry, New Mexico Highlands University, Las Vegas, New Mexico, 87701, USA, and ^bSchool of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia, 30332, USA. *Correspondence e-mail: bogdgv@gmail.com

The title compound, $C_4H_{12}N^+ \cdot C_{11}H_5N_4^-$, contains one tetramethylammonium cation and one 1,1,7,7-tetracyanohepta-2,4,6-trienide anion in the asymmetric unit. The anion is in an all-*trans* conjugated C==C bonds conformation. Two terminal C(CN)₂ dinitrile moieties are slightly twisted from the polymethine main chain to which they are attached [C(CN)₂/C₅ dihedral angles = 6.1 (2) and 7.1 (1)°]. The C-C bond distances along the heptadienyl chain vary in the narrow range 1.382 (2)–1.394 (2) Å, thus indicating the significant degree of conjugation. In the crystal, the anions are linked into zigzag chains along the [101] direction by C-H···N(nitrile) short contacts. The antiparallel chains stack along the [110] direction with alternating separations between the neighboring anions in stacks of 3.291 and 3.504 Å. The C-H···N short contacts and stacking interactions combine to link the anions into layers parallel to the (101) plane and separated by columns of tetramethylammonium cations.

1. Chemical context

Polymethines, being fully conjugated hydrocarbons, represent the simplest 'molecular wires' with potential uses in organic electronic applications thanks to their easily tuned band gaps, and their wide range of absorption covering the visible spectrum (Etemad & Heeger, 1982; Meisner *et al.*, 2012; Jayamurugan *et al.*, 2014). Crystallographic data for polymethines are rather scare because of their instability and low solubility (Chetkina & Bel'skii, 2002; Meisner *et al.*, 2012; Tsuji & Hoffmann, 2016). A successful strategy to increase the chemical stability with respect to oxidative decomposition has been reported (Meisner *et al.*, 2012) that includes the decoration of polyenes with cyano groups and which resulted in the synthesis of a library of odd-numbered members from three to thirteen linear conjugated olefins and the determination of their crystal structures.



2. Structural commentary

The title compound (Fig. 1) crystallizes with one cation and one anion per asymmetric unit, both entities residing in

 $D = H \dots A$



Figure 1

The formula unit of the title compound with the atom labelling. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Only the major components of the disordered methyl groups in the cation are shown.

general positions. The trimethylammonium cation has a common tetrahedral geometry (2460 hits for this cation in CSD version 5.40, last update November 2018; Groom et al., 2016), with three of the four methyl groups being disordered (see Refinement). In the linear anion, the bond lengths vary in the narrow range 1.382 (2)-1.394 (2) Å, thus indicating a significant degree of conjugation along the hydrocarbon chain. Such a structural and electronic configuration in which the difference in bond lengths along the conjugated backbone approaches zero is known as a cyanine-like structure (Marder et al., 1994). The anion is slightly distorted from a planar arrangement as shown by the r.m.s. deviation of 0.098 Å for non-hydrogen atoms from the least-square plane calculated through the entire carbanion. The dihedral angles between the perfectly planar terminal dicyano-groups, C(CN)₂ and the linear C4-C8 central fragment in the anion are 6.1 (2) and 7.1 (1) $^{\circ}$. The bond lengths and angles and the overall conformation of the anion are close to those reported for the same

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geo	metry (Å, °).	
$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$

	2		B 11	2	
$C4 - H4 \cdots N3^{i}$ $C12 - H12A \cdots N2^{ii}$	0.95 0.98	2.58 2.51	3.4819 (18) 3.373 (2)	159 147	

Symmetry codes: (i) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$.

anion in N-(7-(dimethylamino)hepta-2,4,6-trienylidene)-N,Ndimethylammonium 1,1,7,7-tetracyanohepta-2,4,6-trienide (NEQHOH; Reck & Dahne, 2006), and for its dicyano derivative, 1,1,2,6,7,7-hexacyanoheptatrienide in the ammonium salt (Edmonds *et al.*, 1970).

3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, anions related by the twofold screw axis are linked by C4—H4···N3ⁱ short contacts (Table 1), forming zigzag chains along the [101] direction in which adjacent molecules have a nearly orthogonal arrangement, as indicated by the dihedral angle between their skeletons of 87.62°. The antiparallel chains stack along the [110] direction with alternating separations between neighboring anions in the stacks of 3.291 and 3.504 Å. The C—H···N short contacts (Table 1) and stacking interactions of 3.291 and 3.504 Å combine to form layers of anions parallel to the (101) plane and separated by columns of tetramethylammonium cations (Fig. 2). A similar arrangement with separation of the anionic and cationic regions was noted in the crystal structure of tetramethylammonium 1,1,2,4,5,5-hexacyanopentadienide (HXCPEN; Sass & Nichols, 1974).





Figure 2

The crystal packing in the title compound showing (a) supramolecular anionic chains with $C-H \cdot \cdot \cdot N$ interactions packed in a layer parallel to the ($\overline{101}$) plane and (b) the packing. The minor disorder component is omitted for clarity.

research communications





4. Database survey

The Cambridge Structural Database (CSD version 5.40, last update November 18, Groom *et al.*, 2016) provides very scare solid-state structural information on linear oligoenes, a search for linear tetracyanoheptatrienide analogues of the title compound yielding only two structures: ammonium 1,1,2,6,7,7hexacyanoheptatrienide (AHCNPI; Edmonds *et al.*, 1970) and *N*-(7-(dimethylamino)hepta-2,4,6-trienylidene)-*N*,*N*-di-

methylammonium 1,1,7,7-tetracyanohepta-2,4,6-trienide (NEQHOH; Reck & Dahne, 2006). The reported roomtemperature data revealed the similar values for the bond lengths along the heptatrienide backbone, which are in the range 1.378–1.390 Å in AHCNPI (Fig. 3) and 1.368 (5)– 1.389 (5) Å in NEQHOH (Fig. 4). For the two nearest homologues of the title compound with six and eight carbon atoms in the main chains, seven hits (DBPHCN and PHXTCN; Noerenberg *et al.*, 1977; QAGXUU, QAGYAB, QAGYEF, QAGYIJ and QAGYOP; Jayamurugan *et al.*, 2014) and one (QAGXOO, Jayamurugan *et al.*, 2014) hit were found in the CSD, all of which represent individual molecules decorated by either phenyl or nitrile substituents.

5. Synthesis and crystallization

The synthesis is shown in Fig. 5.

N-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)pyridinium chloride. Pyridine (4.00 mL, 49.4 mmol) was added to a solution of 2,4-dinitrochlorobenzene (10.00 g, 49.37 mmol) in dry acetone (4 mL). The resulting mixture was brought to reflux for 1 h before being cooled to room temperature. The crude product was collected by filtration and recrystallized from ethanol to give *N*-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)pyridinium chloride (11.26 g, 91%), m.p. 462–463 K. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.38 (*d*, *J* = 5.3 Hz, 2H), 9.12 (*d*, *J* = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 8.99 (*dd*, *J* = 8.2, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 8.95 (*t*, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.44 (*m*, 3H).

Tetramethylammonium (2E,4E)-1,1,7,7-tetracyanohepta-2,4,6-trien-1-ide. Malononitrile (0.42 g, 6.3 mmol) was added to a refluxing solution of fresh sodium ethoxide, prepared by adding sodium metal (0.20 g, 8.7 mmol) to absolute ethanol (5 mL). To this solution, was added a solution of N-(2,4-dinitrophenyl)pyridinium chloride (0.71 g, 2.5 mmol) in ethanol (2 mL), and the reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 1 h before being cooled to room temperature and stirred for a further hour. A solution of tetramethylammonium bromide (0.39 g, 2.5 mmol) in water (10 mL) was added to the reaction. After about an hour, the deep-red mixture was extracted with dichloromethane $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$, dried over magnesium sulfate, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The deep-violet residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 10% acetone in CHCl2). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.06 (d, J = 12.9 Hz, 2H), 6.95 (t, J = 12.9 Hz, 1H), 6.06 (t, J = 12.8 Hz, 2H), 3.68 (s, 12H).

Crystallization. Crystals of the title compound were grown over a period of 2–4 weeks by the vapour-diffusion method using dichloromethane as the solvent and hexane as the non-solvent.

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. C-bound H atoms were fixed geometrically (C-H = 0.95–0.98 Å) and refined using a riding model, with $U_{iso}(H)$ set to $1.2U_{eq}(C)$ for aromatic and $1.5U_{eq}(C-methyl)$. To obtain an idealized geometry of the cation, 1,2 and 1,3 restraints for C-N mean bond distances and C-N-C bond angles were used. In the tetramethyl ammonium cation, three methyl groups are each disordered over two positions about the N5–C12 axis and were refined with partial occupancies of 0.66 (1) and 0.34 (1). The positions



Table 2Experimental details.

Crystal data Chemical formula $C_4H_{12}N^+ \cdot C_{11}H_5N_4^-$ 267.33 М., Crystal system, space group Monoclinic, P21/n Temperature (K) 150 10.6043 (5), 9.4168 (4), 16.4423 (7) *a*, *b*, *c* (Å) 107.8856 (17) β (°) $V(Å^3)$ 1562.55 (12) Z 4 Radiation type Μο Κα μ (mm⁻¹) 0.07 Crystal size (mm) $0.35 \times 0.21 \times 0.15$ Data collection Bruker APEXII CCD Diffractometer Absorption correction Multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2016) 0.654. 0.747 T_{\min}, T_{\max} No. of measured, independent and 64536, 6914, 3657 observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections 0.097 R_{int} $(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$ 0.810 Refinement $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$ 0.067, 0.221, 1.03 No. of reflections 6914 No. of parameters 179 No. of restraints 51 H-atom treatment H-atom parameters constrained $\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$ 0.50, -0.51

Computer programs: APEX3 (Bruker, 2018), SAINT (Bruker, 2016), SHELXT2017 (Sheldrick, 2015a), SHELXL2018 (Sheldrick, 2015b) and OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009).

of all disordered atoms were refined in an isotropic approximation.

Funding information

Funding for this research was provided by: National Science Foundation (grant No. DMR-1523611).

References

Bruker (2016). SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA. Bruker (2018). APEX3. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA. Chetkina, L. A. & Bel'skii, V. K. (2002). Sov. Phys. Crystallogr. 47, 581-602. Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339-341. Edmonds, J., Herdklotz, J. K. & Sass, R. L. (1970). Acta Cryst. B26, 1355-1362. Etemad, S. & Heeger, A. J. (1982). Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem. 33, 443-469. Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171-179. Jayamurugan, G., Finke, A. D., Gisselbrecht, J.-P., Boudon, C., Schweizer, W. B. & Diederich, F. (2014). J. Org. Chem. 79, 426-431. Marder, S. R., Gorman, C. B., Meyers, F., Perry, J. W., Bourhill, G., Brédas, J.-L. & Pierce, B. M. (1994). Science, 265, 632-635. Meisner, J. S., Sedbrook, D. F., Krikorian, M., Chen, J., Sattler, A., Carnes, M. E., Murray, C. B., Steigerwald, M. & Nuckolls, C. (2012). Chem. Sci. 3, 1007-1014. Noerenberg, H., Kratzin, H., Boldt, P. & Sheldrick, W. S. (1977). Chem. Ber. 110, 1284-1293. Reck, G. & Dahne, L. (2006). Private Communication (refcode NEQHOH). CCDC, Cambridge, England. Sass, R. L. & Nichols, T. D. (1974). Z. Kristallogr. Cryst. Mater. 140, 1-9. Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8. Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8. Tsuji, Y. & Hoffmann, R. (2016). Chem. Eur. J. 22, 4878-4888.

Acta Cryst. (2019). E75, 1344-1347 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989019011411]

Crystal structure of tetramethylammonium 1,1,7,7-tetracyanohepta-2,4,6-trienide

Georgii Bogdanov, John P Tillotson, Jenna Bustos, Marina Fonari and Tatiana V. Timofeeva

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX3* (Bruker, 2018); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2016); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2016); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXT2017 (Sheldrick, 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2018* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009).

Tetramethylammonium 1,1,7,7-tetracyanohepta-2,4,6-trienide

Crystal data	
C ₄ H ₁₂ N ⁺ ·C ₁₁ H ₅ N ₄ ⁻ $M_r = 267.33$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ a = 10.6043 (5) Å b = 9.4168 (4) Å c = 16.4423 (7) Å $\beta = 107.8856$ (17)°	F(000) = 568 $D_x = 1.136 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation, \lambda = 0.71073 \mathbf{A} Cell parameters from 6241 reflections $\theta = 2.6-25.6^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.07 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 150 K
V = 1562.55 (12) Å ³	Block, light blue
Z = 4	$0.35 \times 0.21 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer Radiation source: sealed X-ray tube φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2016) $T_{min} = 0.654, T_{max} = 0.747$ 64536 measured reflections	6914 independent reflections 3657 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.097$ $\theta_{max} = 35.2^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.5^{\circ}$ $h = -17 \rightarrow 17$ $k = -15 \rightarrow 15$ $l = -26 \rightarrow 26$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.067$ $wR(F^2) = 0.221$ S = 1.02 6914 reflections 179 parameters 51 perturbet	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0941P)^2 + 0.374P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
51 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$ $\Delta \alpha = 0.50 \text{ p} ^{\Delta-3}$
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.51 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
N1	0.54341 (16)	0.24960 (18)	0.22446 (9)	0.0608 (4)	
N2	0.36705 (18)	0.37537 (19)	-0.04834 (9)	0.0631 (4)	
N3	-0.17214 (16)	0.90748 (17)	-0.16118 (8)	0.0548 (4)	
N4	-0.29656 (17)	1.11815 (18)	0.04221 (10)	0.0617 (4)	
C1	0.46618 (16)	0.31235 (16)	0.17174 (9)	0.0439 (3)	
C2	0.37143 (14)	0.39111 (14)	0.10849 (8)	0.0375 (3)	
C3	0.37173 (16)	0.37974 (16)	0.02231 (9)	0.0433 (3)	
C4	0.28203 (14)	0.48084 (14)	0.12984 (8)	0.0360 (3)	
H4	0.286927	0.486600	0.188411	0.043*	
C5	0.18676 (15)	0.56214 (15)	0.07251 (8)	0.0391 (3)	
H5	0.178726	0.553107	0.013587	0.047*	
C6	0.10204 (14)	0.65596 (15)	0.09478 (8)	0.0374 (3)	
H6	0.107502	0.663852	0.153364	0.045*	
C7	0.00981 (15)	0.73879 (15)	0.03587 (8)	0.0385 (3)	
H7	0.001958	0.726481	-0.022824	0.046*	
C8	-0.07162 (13)	0.83809 (14)	0.05642 (8)	0.0356 (3)	
H8	-0.068286	0.846208	0.114637	0.043*	
С9	-0.15824 (14)	0.92700 (15)	-0.00276 (8)	0.0363 (3)	
C10	-0.16767 (14)	0.91795 (16)	-0.09079 (9)	0.0400 (3)	
C11	-0.23544 (16)	1.03238 (17)	0.02185 (9)	0.0432 (3)	
N5	0.93087 (11)	0.33415 (12)	0.26390 (7)	0.0352 (2)	
C12	0.90376 (19)	0.17881 (17)	0.25738 (11)	0.0514 (4)	
H12A	0.924319	0.138185	0.314851	0.077*	
H12B	0.810076	0.162583	0.226183	0.077*	
H12C	0.959035	0.133384	0.226798	0.077*	
C13	0.8536 (4)	0.4046 (3)	0.18099 (17)	0.0490 (7)*	0.663 (9)
H13A	0.871124	0.507013	0.184931	0.073*	0.663 (9)
H13B	0.881000	0.364754	0.133987	0.073*	0.663 (9)
H13C	0.758711	0.387889	0.170296	0.073*	0.663 (9)
C14	0.8909 (4)	0.4015 (3)	0.3353 (2)	0.0435 (7)*	0.663 (9)
H14A	0.910320	0.503380	0.337393	0.065*	0.663 (9)
H14B	0.795749	0.387336	0.325245	0.065*	0.663 (9)
H14C	0.940554	0.357500	0.389733	0.065*	0.663 (9)
C15	1.0755 (3)	0.3641 (3)	0.2819 (2)	0.0496 (8)*	0.663 (9)
H15A	1.090359	0.466948	0.285821	0.074*	0.663 (9)
H15B	1.125448	0.319406	0.336004	0.074*	0.663 (9)
H15C	1.105413	0.325613	0.235551	0.074*	0.663 (9)
C13A	1.0743 (7)	0.3428 (10)	0.3146 (7)	0.088 (3)*	0.337 (9)
H13D	1.088217	0.297754	0.370414	0.132*	0.337 (9)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\mathring{A}^2)

H13E	1.127343	0.293692	0.283871	0.132*	0.337 (9)
H13F	1.101335	0.442639	0.322782	0.132*	0.337 (9)
C14A	0.9016 (9)	0.3989 (6)	0.1798 (3)	0.0563 (16)*	0.337 (9)
H14D	0.920339	0.500938	0.186074	0.084*	0.337 (9)
H14E	0.956852	0.355127	0.148616	0.084*	0.337 (9)
H14F	0.807893	0.384326	0.148001	0.084*	0.337 (9)
C15A	0.8467 (7)	0.3965 (5)	0.3131 (4)	0.0457 (14)*	0.337 (9)
H15D	0.868380	0.351102	0.369345	0.069*	0.337 (9)
H15E	0.863637	0.498739	0.320288	0.069*	0.337 (9)
H15F	0.753021	0.380433	0.281860	0.069*	0.337 (9)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
N1	0.0710 (10)	0.0648 (10)	0.0455 (8)	0.0213 (8)	0.0162 (7)	0.0058 (7)
N2	0.0830 (11)	0.0716 (10)	0.0389 (7)	0.0087 (9)	0.0247 (7)	-0.0069 (7)
N3	0.0652 (9)	0.0656 (9)	0.0338 (6)	0.0110 (7)	0.0155 (6)	0.0044 (6)
N4	0.0747 (10)	0.0625 (10)	0.0571 (9)	0.0175 (8)	0.0339 (8)	0.0059 (7)
C1	0.0545 (9)	0.0407 (8)	0.0379 (7)	0.0050 (6)	0.0166 (6)	-0.0010 (6)
C2	0.0480 (7)	0.0346 (6)	0.0301 (6)	-0.0007 (5)	0.0121 (5)	-0.0019 (5)
C3	0.0543 (8)	0.0401 (7)	0.0368 (7)	0.0020 (6)	0.0160 (6)	-0.0050 (6)
C4	0.0469 (7)	0.0354 (6)	0.0264 (5)	-0.0043 (5)	0.0123 (5)	-0.0018 (5)
C5	0.0501 (8)	0.0398 (7)	0.0273 (6)	0.0012 (6)	0.0120 (5)	-0.0001 (5)
C6	0.0453 (7)	0.0372 (7)	0.0303 (6)	-0.0028 (5)	0.0125 (5)	0.0000 (5)
C7	0.0468 (7)	0.0407 (7)	0.0286 (6)	0.0004 (6)	0.0128 (5)	-0.0003(5)
C8	0.0412 (7)	0.0390 (7)	0.0278 (6)	-0.0043 (5)	0.0125 (5)	0.0010 (5)
C9	0.0402 (7)	0.0416 (7)	0.0292 (6)	-0.0010 (5)	0.0138 (5)	0.0011 (5)
C10	0.0414 (7)	0.0454 (8)	0.0335 (6)	0.0037 (6)	0.0118 (5)	0.0038 (5)
C11	0.0491 (8)	0.0486 (8)	0.0353 (7)	0.0024 (6)	0.0179 (6)	0.0043 (6)
N5	0.0400 (6)	0.0364 (6)	0.0307 (5)	-0.0003 (4)	0.0133 (4)	0.0001 (4)
C12	0.0688 (11)	0.0371 (8)	0.0521 (9)	-0.0016 (7)	0.0243 (8)	0.0000 (6)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

N1—C1	1.156 (2)	N5-C14A	1.455 (5)	
N2—C3	1.1481 (19)	N5—C15A	1.496 (5)	
N3—C10	1.1480 (18)	C12—H12A	0.9800	
N4—C11	1.148 (2)	C12—H12B	0.9800	
C1—C2	1.414 (2)	C12—H12C	0.9800	
C2—C3	1.4220 (19)	C13—H13A	0.9800	
C2—C4	1.3929 (19)	C13—H13B	0.9800	
C4—H4	0.9500	C13—H13C	0.9800	
C4—C5	1.382 (2)	C14—H14A	0.9800	
С5—Н5	0.9500	C14—H14B	0.9800	
C5—C6	1.387 (2)	C14—H14C	0.9800	
С6—Н6	0.9500	C15—H15A	0.9800	
C6—C7	1.386 (2)	C15—H15B	0.9800	
С7—Н7	0.9500	C15—H15C	0.9800	

C7—C8	1.3832 (19)	C13A—H13D	0.9800
С8—Н8	0.9500	C13A—H13E	0.9800
C8—C9	1.3938 (19)	C13A—H13F	0.9800
C9—C10	1.4225 (18)	C14A—H14D	0.9800
C9—C11	1.422 (2)	C14A—H14E	0.9800
N5-C12	1.4883(19)	C14A—H14F	0.9800
N5-C13	1.511 (3)	C15A—H15D	0.9800
N5-C14	1.511(3) 1 505 (3)	C15A—H15F	0.9800
N5-C15	1.505(3) 1.497(3)	C15A—H15E	0.9800
N5 C13A	1.497(3) 1 405 (7)		0.9800
NJ-CIJA	1.495 (7)		
N1—C1—C2	178.84 (17)	H12A—C12—H12B	109.5
C1—C2—C3	118.35 (13)	H12A—C12—H12C	109.5
C4—C2—C1	121.18 (12)	H12B—C12—H12C	109.5
C4-C2-C3	120.45 (13)	N5-C13-H13A	109.5
N2-C3-C2	176.64 (18)	N5-C13-H13B	109.5
$C^2 - C^4 - H^4$	117.4	N5-C13-H13C	109.5
$C_{5} - C_{4} - C_{2}^{2}$	125.15(12)	$H_{13}A - C_{13} - H_{13}B$	109.5
C5-C4-H4	117.4	H13A—C13—H13C	109.5
C4—C5—H5	117.6	H13B-C13-H13C	109.5
C4 - C5 - C6	124 74 (12)	N5-C14-H14A	109.5
C6-C5-H5	117.6	N5-C14-H14B	109.5
C_{5}	117.0	N5— $C14$ — $H14C$	109.5
$C_{7}^{}C_{6}^{}C_{5}^{}$	123 32 (12)	$H_{14} - C_{14} - H_{14}B$	109.5
C7 C6 H6	118 3	$H_{14A} = C_{14} = H_{14C}$	109.5
$C_{1} = C_{0} = H_{1}$	117.7	H_{14} H	109.5
$C_{0} C_{7} C_{6}$	117.7	$\frac{1140}{15} + \frac{1140}{15}$	109.5
C_{8} C_{7} H_{7}	124.09 (12)	N5 C15 H15R	109.5
$C_{2} = C_{2} = H_{2}$	117.7	N5 C15 H15C	109.5
C^{-}	117.9 127.23(12)	H15A C15 H15P	109.5
$C_1 = C_2 = C_3$	124.23 (12)	H15A C15 H15C	109.5
C_{9} C_{0} C_{10}	11/.9	H15A - C15 - H15C	109.5
$C_{8} = C_{9} = C_{10}$	119.98(12) 122.28(12)	$\begin{array}{c} \Pi I J D \longrightarrow \\ \Pi I J D \square I D \square \\ \Pi I J D \square \\ \Pi I J$	109.5
C_{0}	122.28 (12)	N_{5} C_{12A} H_{12E}	109.3
C11 - C9 - C10	117.09(13)	N_{3} $-C_{13}A$ $-\Pi_{13}E$	109.3
$N_{3} = C_{10} = C_{9}$	177.85(10)	N_{3} $-C_{13}A$ $-\Pi_{13}F$	109.3
N4 - C11 - C9	1/9.3(2)	HI3D—CI3A—HI3E	109.5
C12—N5— $C13$	109.12 (15)	HI3D—CI3A—HI3F	109.5
C12—N5— $C14$	112.07 (14)	HI3E—CI3A—HI3F	109.5
C12—N5— $C15$	111.28 (15)	N5-C14A-H14D	109.5
C12—N5—C13A	103.6 (4)	N5—C14A—H14E	109.5
C12—N5—C15A	106.9 (2)	N5—C14A—H14F	109.5
C14—N5—C13	108.31 (17)	HI4D—CI4A—HI4E	109.5
C15—N5—C13	109.50 (18)	H14D—C14A—H14F	109.5
C15—N5—C14	106.48 (17)	H14E—C14A—H14F	109.5
C13A—N5—C15A	110.5 (4)	N5—C15A—H15D	109.5
C14A—N5—C12	111.3 (3)	N5—C15A—H15E	109.5
C14A—N5—C13A	113.0 (4)	N5—C15A—H15F	109.5
C14A—N5—C15A	111.2 (3)	H15D—C15A—H15E	109.5

N5—C12—H12A N5—C12—H12B N5—C12—H12C	109.5 109.5 109.5	H15D—C15A—H15F H15E—C15A—H15F	109.5 109.5
C1—C2—C4—C5	179.67 (14)	C5—C6—C7—C8	-176.78 (14)
C2—C4—C5—C6	-176.99 (14)	C6—C7—C8—C9	175.87 (14)
C3—C2—C4—C5	1.4 (2)	C7—C8—C9—C10	0.6 (2)
C4—C5—C6—C7	178.25 (14)	C7—C8—C9—C11	-176.86 (14)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	Н…А	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
C4—H4···N3 ⁱ	0.95	2.58	3.4819 (18)	159
C12—H12 A ···N2 ⁱⁱ	0.98	2.51	3.373 (2)	147

Symmetry codes: (i) x+1/2, -y+3/2, z+1/2; (ii) x+1/2, -y+1/2, z+1/2.