

Received 7 June 2019 Accepted 2 July 2019

Edited by J. Jasinski, Keene State College, USA

Keywords: crystal structure; diazo; phenanthroline; superconjugated ligand.

CCDC reference: 1937969

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

(*E*)-6,6'-(Diazene-1,2-diyl)bis(1,10-phenanthrolin-5-ol) trichloromethane disolvate: a superconjugated ligand

Muhib Ahmed,^a Michael Devereux,^b Vickie McKee,^{c,d} Malachy McCann^a and A. Denise Rooney^a*

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Maynooth University, Co. Kildare, Ireland, ^bThe Centre for Biomimetic & Therapeutic Research, Focas Research Institute, Technological University Dublin, City Campus, Camden Row, Dublin 8, Ireland, ^cDepartment of Physics, Chemistry and Pharmacy, University of Southern Denmark, Canpusvej 55, 5230 Odense M, Denmark, and ^dSchool of Chemical Sciences, Dublin City University, Glasnevin, Dublin 9, Ireland. *Correspondence e-mail: denise.rooney@mu.ie

Phenanthroline ligands are important metal-binding molecules which have been extensively researched for applications in both material science and medicinal chemistry. Azobenzene and its derivatives have received significant attention because of their ability to be reversibly switched between the *E* and *Z* forms and so could have applications in optical memory and logic devices or as molecular machines. Herein we report the formation and crystal structure of a highly unusual novel diazo-diphenanthroline compound, $C_{24}H_{14}N_6O_2 \cdot 2CHCl_3$.

1. Chemical context

The chemical versatility of 1,10-phenanthroline (phen), its substituted derivatives and corresponding metal complexes (Bencini & Lippolis, 2010) is exemplified by their uses as organic light-emitting diodes (OLED)/electroluminescent display and solid-state lighting materials (Li *et al.*, 2009), fluorescence molecular probes and imaging agents (Haraga *et al.*, 2018), ion sensors (Zheng *et al.*, 2012), solar energy converters (Freitag *et al.*, 2016), anti-cancer and antimicrobial cytotoxins (McCann *et al.*, 2012), DNA/RNA binding/cleavage (Kellett *et al.*, 2011), enzyme inhibitors (Zhu *et al.*, 2015), biomimetics (Casey *et al.*, 1994) and catalysts (Lu *et al.*, 2015). Given the resourcefulness of phenanthrolines, there is a continuing demand for new molecules containing this structural motif.







Herein, we detail the preparation and structural characterization of the purple diazo-diphenanthroline compound, (E)-6,6'-(diazene-1,2-diyl)bis(1,10-phenanthrolin-5-ol) (1), which was isolated in low yield from the reaction between 1,10-phenanthroline-5,6-dione (phendione) and isonicotinic acid hydrazide (isoniazid). Compound 1 crystallizes with two trichloromethane solvate molecules (1.2CHCl₃). The fully conjugated bis-phenanthroline molecule 1 is expected to offer exciting new physical and chemical properties as a stand-alone

Table 1	
Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).	

$\overline{D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A}$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$\begin{array}{ccc} O1 - H1A \cdots N2^{i} \\ C21 & H21 \cdots N1 \end{array}$	0.88 (3)	1.74 (3) 2 36	2.540 (3)	149 (3) 143
$C21 - H21 \cdots N3$	1.00	2.33	3.136 (4)	137

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1.

organic molecule, and it will also birth a plethora of interesting metal coordination complexes as a consequence of the dual N, N'-1,10-phenanthroline chelating moieties situated on the opposite ends of the molecule.

2. Structural commentary

Compound 1.2CHCl₃ crystallizes with two molecules of trichloromethane solvate per diazo-diphenanthroline (Fig. 1). The molecule lies on a centre of symmetry and is essentially planar, the r.m.s. deviation from the plane of the atoms in the ring system is 0.259 Å. The molecules lie parallel to the (5 7 15) or $(5\overline{7}14)$ planes. There is a hydrogen bond between the alcohol and the diazo linker $[O1 \cdots N2 = 2.540 (3) \text{ Å}$ under symmetry operation -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 1, Table 1] and the trichloromethane molecule is oriented by a bifurcated C-H····N interaction with the phenanthroline moiety [3.219 (3) and 3.136 (4) Å to N1 and N3, respectively]. The carbon atom of the trichloromethane molecule (C21) is 1.045 (3) Å from the mean plane of 1.2CHCl₃. There are 40 examples in the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, Version 5.40, update of May 2019; Groom et al., 2016) showing similar interactions between trichloromethane and 1,10-phenanthroline derivatives and the geometry for 1.2CHCl₃ is typical of the group [mean Cl₃CH····N = 3.18(5) Å].

The bond lengths indicate some delocalization through the central part of the molecule. The C6–O1and C5–N2 bonds are short [1.318 (3) and 1.376 (3) Å, respectively] and the N=N bond, at 1.316 (4) Å, is significantly longer than in most free diazo molecules [mean of 1.24 (4) Å for 2730 CSD entries].



Figure 1

Perspective view of 1-2CHCl₃ showing the labelling scheme for the asymmetric unit with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radius and C-H···N hydrogen bonds are indicated by dashed red lines.





Unit-cell packing diagram viewed parallel to the plane of 1.2CHCl₃. Hydrogen atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted for clarity.

3. Supramolecular features

Fig. 2 shows the unit-cell packing, the molecules lie parallel to the (5 7 15) or (5 7 14) planes with a mean interplanar distance of 3.228 (2) Å (under 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z) and the axis of the stack runs parallel to the *a* axis. The shortest ring centroidcentroid distance is 3.5154 (15) Å between the C₆ rings; however, there is a more direct overlap between the diazo group and an imine group in the next layer (*ca* 3.246 Å between the mid-point of the N=N bond and the mid-point of the C11=N3 bond under symmetry operation 1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z) (Fig. 3). The most notable interactions between stacks are type $1 R - Cl \cdots Cl - R$ packing interactions (Mukherjee *et al.*, 2014; Cavallo *et al.*, 2016), the shortest $Cl \cdots Cl$ distance being 3.5353 (11) Å for $Cl1 \cdots Cl3$ under symmetry operation -1 + x, *y*, *z*.

4. Database survey

There are three published examples of molecules containing the 2,2'-dihydroxyazobenzene core (Bougueria *et al.*, 2014; Evangelio *et al.*, 2008; Schilde *et al.*, 1994) and all of these are significantly less delocalized than 1.2CHCl₃.

5. Spectroscopy studies of 1 in solution

Compound **1** has a very low solubility in all organic solvents investigated (CH₃Cl, CH₂Cl₂, DMSO, CH₃CN and alcohols).





Principal intermolecular interactions in 1.2CHCl₃. Purple spheres represent ring centroids and orange spheres show bond mid-points; distances in Å.

research communications



Figure 4

UV/vis spectra of 1-2CHCl₃ in (---) CHCl₃, (----) ethanol, (---) CH₂Cl₂, and (....) methanol. The absorbance axis is $\times 10$ for the CHCl₃ and CH₂Cl₂ solutions.

UV/vis spectra of 1.2CHCl₃ recorded in ethanol, methanol, CHCl₃ and CH₂Cl₂ are given in Fig. 4. Compound 1 exhibits significant solvatochromism showing a broad band in CHCl₃ and CH_2Cl_2 with λ_{max} at 543 nm. This band undergoes a bathochromic shift and separates into two bands in the alcohols with λ_{max} values at 643 nm and 600 nm in ethanol and at 636 nm and 591 nm in methanol. No accurate measurement of the extinction coefficient could be made as 1 was not fully soluble in the solvents and precipitation from the solvent occurred upon standing. The solubility of 1 was so low that only a very poorly resolved ¹H NMR of the compound was obtained in d^4 -methanol showing a series of peaks in the region expected for the phenanthroline H-atom signals and no definitive assignments of the peaks could be made. Interestingly, 1 was more soluble in strongly acidic solutions due to the protonation of one or more of the N atoms. Compound 1 dissolved in CF₃COOD to form a bright-red solution. Six signals are observed in the ¹H NMR spectrum in the region associated with the phenanthroline peaks. This finding is consistent with a compound which has a centre of symmetry, as found for the crystal structure, and suggests that at room temperature 1 remains in the *E* form in this solvent.

6. Synthesis and crystallization

The mechanism to form **1** from the reaction mixture is unclear; however, the formation of isonicotinic acid N'-(pyridine-4carbonyl)-hydrazide (**2**) from the mixture is an indication that radical chemistry is occurring. Isoniazid is well known to react to form radical species and these radicals are important in its role as an anti-tuberculosis drug (Timmins *et al.* 2006). Significantly one LC–MS study has shown that isoniazid will photo-degrade to form **2** (Fig. 5) and radical intermediates are proposed in its formation (Bhutani, 2007). Attempts were made to try to favour the formation of **1** using UV irradiation and the radical initiators azobisisobutyronitrile and 2,2'azobis(2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride but these were unsuccessful. However, although the reaction to form **1** was low yielding, attempts to make **1** by reaction of 6-amino-1,10phenanthrolin-5-ol and 6-nitroso-1,10-phenananthrolin-5-ol using known conditions to form diazo compounds (Zhao *et al.* 2011) did not form the desired product. Studies are ongoing to improve the yield of the reaction to form **1**.

Phendione (0.210 g, 1.000 mmol) was added to solution of isoniazid (0.137 g, 1.000 mmol) in EtOH (25 cm^3) . p-Tolouenesulfonic acid (10%, 0.02 g) was added and the solution refluxed for 6 h. The resulting suspension was filtered whilst hot and the filtrate allowed to stand in the dark overnight. Precipitated yellow (Z)-N'-(6-oxo-1,10-phenanthrolin-5(6H) vlidene)isonicotinohydrazide (0.263 g, 0.799 mmol, 80%) was filtered off and the bright-orange filtrate was concentrated to $ca \ 10 \ \text{cm}^3$ using rotary evaporation and then allowed to stand in the dark. Over a period of four weeks, the bright-orange filtrate changed to a dark-green suspension. This mixture was heated to reflux and filtered whilst hot to give a green filtrate (see below) and a dark-purple powder. The powder was dissolved in CHCl₃ and allowed to crystallize over several days to produce dark-purple crystals of (E)-6,6'-(diazene-1,2-diyl)bis(1,10-phenanthrolin-5-ol) trichloromethane disolvate (1·2CHCl₃) (0.026 g, 0.039 mmol, 6.2% based on isoniazid starting material). Upon leaving the above green filtrate to evaporate further white isonicotinic acid N'-(pyridine-4-carbonyl)-hydrazide (compound 2) precipitated. The supernatent was decanted off and the solid dissolved in hot acetone. This colourless solution was evaporated to dryness on a rotary evaporator to give 2 (0.015 g, 0.062 mmol, 12.4% based on isoniazid starting material).

Compound 1: IR (ATR, cm⁻¹) (1.2CHCl₃): 3065, 2108, 1583, 1568, 1500, 1472, 1415, 1338, 1284, 1227, 1162, 1027, 795, 739, 717. ¹H NMR (protonated-1) (CF₃COOD, 500 MHz): δ 9.60 (*d*, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), PhenH 9.56 (*d*, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H, PhenH), 9.47 (*d*, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 2H, PhenH), 9.37 (*d*, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 2H, PhenH), 8.57 (*dd*, *J* = 8.5, 5.0 Hz, 2H, PhenH), 8.38 (*dd*, *J* = 8.5, 5.0 Hz, 2H, PhenH), 4.12 (*s*, 2H, OH). Elemental analysis calculated for 1·2CHCl₃ (C₂₆H₁₆Cl₆N₆O₂, 657.15 g mol⁻¹): C 47.52, H 2.45, N 12.79%; found: C 47.73, H 2.52, N 12.77%.

Compound **2** has been previously reported (Quiroga *et al.*, 2008; Bhutani *et al.*, 2007) and the characterization data given are consistent with the data recorded in the present study.

Compound **2**: IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 3435, 3210, 3045, 1682, 1642, 1546, 1489, 1406, 1299, 838, 751. ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, 500 MHz): δ 8.80 (*d*, *J* = 5 Hz, 2H), 7.42 (*d*, *J* = 5 Hz, 2H). ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 125 MHz): δ 165.6 (C=O), 150.0 (PyrC), 140.5 (PyrC), 121.8 (PyrC). MS: Calculated *m*/*z* for C₁₂H₁₀N₄O₂: (*M* + H)⁺ 243.0877; found: (*M* + H)⁺ 243.0882; difference (ppm): 2.15.



Figure 5 Chemical structure of 2

7. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. The C-bound H atoms were included in calculated positions and treated as riding, with C-H = 0.95-1.00 Å and $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$ for methyl H atoms or $1.2U_{eq}(C)$ otherwise. The H atom (H1A) bonded to oxygen was located in a difference-Fourier map and its coordinates were refined with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O)$.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Maynooth University for a John and Pat Hume Scholarship for MA.

Funding information

Funding for this research was provided by: National University of Ireland, Maynooth Hume Scholarship (scholarship to Muhib Ahmed).

References

- Bencini, A. & Lippolis, V. (2010). Coord. Chem. Rev. 254, 2096-2180.
- Bhutani, H., Singh, S., Vir, S., Bhutani, K. K., Kumar, R., Chakraborti, A. K. & Jindal, K. C. (2007). *J. Pharm. Biomed. Anal.* 43, 1213– 1220.
- Bougueria, H., Mili, A., Benosmane, A., el Kader Bouchoul, A. & Bouaoud, S. (2014). Acta Cryst. E70, o225.
- Bruker (2010). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Casey, M. T., McCann, M., Devereux, M., Curran, M., Cardin, C., Convery, M., Quillet, V. & Harding, C. (1994). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 2643–2645.
- Cavallo, G., Metrangolo, P., Milani, R., Pilati, T., Priimagi, A., Resnati, G. & Terraneo, G. (2016). *Chem. Rev.* **116**, 2478–2601.
- Evangelio, E., Saiz-Poseu, J., Maspoch, D., Wurst, K., Busque, F. & Ruiz-Molina, D. (2008). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **14**, 2278–2285.
- Freitag, M., Giordano, F., Yang, W., Pazoki, M., Hao, Y., Zietz, B., Grätzel, M., Hagfeldt, A. & Boschloo, G. (2016). *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **120**, 9595–9603.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Haraga, T., Ouchi, K., Sato, Y., Hoshino, H., Tanaka, R., Fujihara, T., Kurokawa, H., Shibukawa, M., Ishimori, K. I., Kameo, Y. & Saito, S. (2018). Anal. Chim. Acta, 1032, 188–196.
- Kellett, A., O'Connor, M., McCann, M., McNamara, M., Lynch, P., Rosair, G., McKee, V., Creaven, B., Walsh, M., McClean, S., Foltyn, A., O'Shea, D., Howe, O. & Devereux, M. (2011). *Dalton Trans.* 40, 1024–1027.
- Li, Y.-J., Sasabe, H., Su, S.-J., Tanaka, D., Takeda, T., Pu, Y.-J. & Kido, J. (2009). *Chem. Lett.* **38**, 712–713.
- Lu, Y., Wang, X., Wang, M., Kong, L. & Zhao, J. (2015). *Electrochim.* Acta, 180, 86–95.

Table 2	
Experimental	details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{24}H_{14}N_6O_2 \cdot 2CHCl_3$
M _r	657.15
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	150
a, b, c (Å)	5.9406 (7), 18.856 (2), 12.2375 (16)
β (°)	96.863 (4)
$V(Å^3)$	1361.0 (3)
Z	2
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	0.67
Crystal size (mm)	$0.43\times0.05\times0.04$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker-Nonius X8 APEXII CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2012)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.657, 0.745
No. of measured, independent and	18529, 2732, 2068
observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	
R _{int}	0.045
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.620
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.044, 0.111, 1.03
No. of reflections	2732
No. of parameters	184
H-atom treatment	Heteroxyz
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.87, -0.59

Computer programs: *APEX2* and *SAINT* (Bruker, 2010), *SHELXT* (Sheldrick 2015*a*), *SHELXL2018* (Sheldrick, 2015*b*), *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2008) and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). J. Appl. Cryst. 41, 466–470.
- McCann, M., Santos, A., da Silva, B., Romanos, M., Pyrrho, A., Devereux, M., Kavanagh, K., Fichtner, I. & Kellett, A. (2012). *Toxicol. Res.* 1, 47–54.
- Mukherjee, A., Tothadi, S. & Desiraju, G. R. (2014). Acc. Chem. Res. 47, 2514–2524.
- Quiroga, J., Portilla, J., Abonía, R., Insuasty, B., Nogueras, M. & Cobo, J. (2008). *Tetrahedron Lett.* 49, 5943–5945.
- Schilde, U., Hefele, H., Ludwig, E. & Uhlemann, E. (1994). Cryst. Res. Technol. 29, 393–396.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2012). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Timmins, G. S. & Deretic, V. (2006). Mol. Microbiol. 62, 1220-1227.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Zhao, R., Tan, C., Xie, Y., Gao, C., Liu, H. & Jiang, Y. (2011). *Tetrahedron Lett.* **52**, 3805–3809.
- Zheng, Y., Tan, C., Drummen, G. P. & Wang, Q. (2012). Spectrochim. Acta A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 96, 387–394.
- Zhu, Y., Liao, Y., Zhang, L. & Bai, X. (2015). PCT Int. Appl. WO 2015154716 A1.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2019). E75, 1224-1227 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S205698901900954X]

(*E*)-6,6'-(Diazene-1,2-diyl)bis(1,10-phenanthrolin-5-ol) trichloromethane disolvate: a superconjugated ligand

Muhib Ahmed, Michael Devereux, Vickie McKee, Malachy McCann and A. Denise Rooney

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2010); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2010); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2010); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXT (Sheldrick 2015a); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2018* (Sheldrick, 2015b); molecular graphics: *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

F(000) = 664

 $\theta = 2.7 - 26.1^{\circ}$

 $\mu = 0.67 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 150 K

Lath, purple

 $0.43 \times 0.05 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}$

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.604 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$

Mo *K* α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 5155 reflections

(E)-6,6'-(Diazene-1,2-diyl)bis(1,10-phenanthrolin-5-ol) trichloromethane disolvate

Crystal data

 $C_{24}H_{14}N_6O_2 \cdot 2CHCl_3$ $M_r = 657.15$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ a = 5.9406 (7) Å b = 18.856 (2) Å c = 12.2375 (16) Å $\beta = 96.863$ (4)° V = 1361.0 (3) Å³ Z = 2

Data collection

Bruker–Nonius X8 APEXII CCD	18529 measured reflections
diffractometer	2732 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed-tube	2068 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Detector resolution: 9.1 pixels mm ⁻¹	$R_{\rm int} = 0.045$
thin–slice ω and φ scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.2^\circ, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 2.7^\circ$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$h = -5 \rightarrow 7$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 2012)	$k = -23 \rightarrow 23$
$T_{\min} = 0.657, \ T_{\max} = 0.745$	$l = -15 \longrightarrow 14$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2
Least-squares matrix: full
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.044$
$wR(F^2) = 0.111$
S = 1.02
2732 reflections
184 parameters
0 restraints
Primary atom site location: dual

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: mixed Heteroxyz $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0444P)^2 + 1.8407P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.87$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.59$ e Å⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
N1	0.3174 (4)	0.63628 (11)	0.66898 (18)	0.0216 (5)
C1	0.3648 (5)	0.69741 (14)	0.6234 (2)	0.0240 (6)
H1	0.269904	0.736919	0.632910	0.029*
C2	0.5456 (5)	0.70706 (14)	0.5623 (2)	0.0255 (6)
H2	0.572645	0.752054	0.531450	0.031*
C3	0.6846 (4)	0.65044 (14)	0.5473 (2)	0.0219 (6)
Н3	0.810516	0.656051	0.507047	0.026*
C4	0.6383 (4)	0.58421 (13)	0.5923 (2)	0.0174 (5)
C5	0.7702 (4)	0.52078 (13)	0.5757 (2)	0.0177 (5)
N2	0.9396 (3)	0.52817 (11)	0.50912 (17)	0.0195 (5)
C6	0.7175 (4)	0.45623 (14)	0.6231 (2)	0.0195 (5)
01	0.8303 (3)	0.39726 (10)	0.61010 (16)	0.0246 (4)
H1A	0.937 (6)	0.4088 (17)	0.569 (3)	0.037*
C7	0.5313 (4)	0.45226 (13)	0.6889 (2)	0.0191 (5)
C8	0.4726 (5)	0.38783 (14)	0.7366 (2)	0.0239 (6)
H8	0.554738	0.345644	0.726050	0.029*
C9	0.2948 (5)	0.38714 (15)	0.7985 (2)	0.0274 (6)
H9	0.251833	0.344474	0.831710	0.033*
C10	0.1781 (5)	0.45015 (15)	0.8118 (2)	0.0253 (6)
H10	0.057433	0.449191	0.856178	0.030*
N3	0.2257 (4)	0.51143 (12)	0.76615 (18)	0.0224 (5)
C11	0.4006 (4)	0.51294 (13)	0.7050 (2)	0.0182 (5)
C12	0.4522 (4)	0.58002 (13)	0.6541 (2)	0.0185 (5)
C21	0.0382 (5)	0.64497 (15)	0.8784 (2)	0.0271 (6)
H21	0.087592	0.621547	0.811921	0.032*
Cl1	-0.23375 (13)	0.61485 (6)	0.89683 (7)	0.0493 (3)
Cl2	0.03861 (18)	0.73803 (4)	0.85952 (7)	0.0508 (3)
C13	0.23081 (12)	0.62261 (4)	0.99506 (6)	0.0333 (2)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\mathring{A}^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
N1	0.0234 (11)	0.0211 (12)	0.0208 (12)	0.0047 (9)	0.0050 (9)	-0.0007 (9)
C1	0.0290 (14)	0.0208 (15)	0.0229 (14)	0.0070 (11)	0.0065 (11)	-0.0003 (11)
C2	0.0330 (15)	0.0159 (13)	0.0279 (15)	0.0000 (11)	0.0054 (12)	0.0012 (11)
C3	0.0231 (13)	0.0216 (14)	0.0219 (14)	-0.0011 (11)	0.0064 (11)	-0.0016 (11)
C4	0.0192 (13)	0.0169 (13)	0.0160 (12)	0.0001 (10)	0.0008 (10)	-0.0016 (10)
C5	0.0158 (12)	0.0196 (13)	0.0169 (13)	0.0011 (10)	-0.0011 (10)	-0.0021 (10)
N2	0.0180 (11)	0.0213 (11)	0.0193 (11)	0.0023 (8)	0.0020 (9)	-0.0036 (9)

supporting information

C6	0.0192 (13)	0.0203 (14)	0.0180 (13)	0.0023 (10)	-0.0011 (10)	-0.0020 (10)
01	0.0258 (10)	0.0197 (10)	0.0293 (11)	0.0053 (8)	0.0072 (8)	0.0007 (8)
C7	0.0212 (13)	0.0203 (13)	0.0151 (13)	0.0003 (10)	-0.0007 (10)	0.0007 (10)
C8	0.0277 (14)	0.0199 (14)	0.0236 (14)	0.0023 (11)	0.0004 (11)	0.0027 (11)
C9	0.0319 (15)	0.0274 (15)	0.0230 (14)	-0.0046 (12)	0.0036 (12)	0.0063 (12)
C10	0.0244 (14)	0.0290 (15)	0.0236 (14)	-0.0027 (11)	0.0077 (11)	0.0032 (12)
N3	0.0235 (11)	0.0250 (12)	0.0190 (11)	-0.0009 (9)	0.0039 (9)	0.0005 (9)
C11	0.0207 (13)	0.0198 (13)	0.0141 (12)	0.0010 (10)	0.0016 (10)	-0.0020 (10)
C12	0.0199 (13)	0.0196 (13)	0.0156 (13)	0.0008 (10)	0.0008 (10)	-0.0015 (10)
C21	0.0290 (15)	0.0290 (16)	0.0242 (15)	0.0014 (12)	0.0077 (12)	-0.0021 (12)
Cl1	0.0258 (4)	0.0875 (7)	0.0346 (5)	-0.0121 (4)	0.0037 (3)	-0.0095 (4)
Cl2	0.0818 (7)	0.0295 (4)	0.0438 (5)	0.0156 (4)	0.0196 (5)	0.0009 (4)
C13	0.0273 (4)	0.0379 (4)	0.0339 (4)	-0.0051 (3)	0.0008 (3)	0.0002 (3)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

N1—C1	1.326 (3)	O1—H1A	0.88 (3)
N1-C12	1.355 (3)	C7—C11	1.409 (4)
C1—C2	1.392 (4)	C7—C8	1.409 (4)
C1—H1	0.9500	C8—C9	1.371 (4)
C2—C3	1.375 (4)	C8—H8	0.9500
С2—Н2	0.9500	C9—C10	1.395 (4)
C3—C4	1.405 (4)	С9—Н9	0.9500
С3—Н3	0.9500	C10—N3	1.328 (3)
C4—C12	1.414 (3)	C10—H10	0.9500
C4—C5	1.457 (3)	N3—C11	1.352 (3)
C5—N2	1.376 (3)	C11—C12	1.459 (4)
С5—С6	1.400 (4)	C21—C11	1.752 (3)
N2—N2 ⁱ	1.316 (4)	C21—Cl2	1.770 (3)
C6—O1	1.318 (3)	C21—Cl3	1.771 (3)
C6—C7	1.446 (4)	C21—H21	1.0000
C1—N1—C12	117.7 (2)	C8—C7—C6	121.2 (2)
N1—C1—C2	123.8 (2)	C9—C8—C7	118.8 (2)
N1—C1—H1	118.1	C9—C8—H8	120.6
C2—C1—H1	118.1	C7—C8—H8	120.6
C3—C2—C1	118.9 (3)	C8—C9—C10	118.9 (3)
С3—С2—Н2	120.5	С8—С9—Н9	120.6
C1—C2—H2	120.5	С10—С9—Н9	120.6
C2—C3—C4	119.3 (2)	N3—C10—C9	123.9 (2)
С2—С3—Н3	120.3	N3—C10—H10	118.0
С4—С3—Н3	120.3	C9—C10—H10	118.0
C3—C4—C12	117.4 (2)	C10—N3—C11	117.9 (2)
C3—C4—C5	122.8 (2)	N3—C11—C7	122.2 (2)
C12—C4—C5	119.8 (2)	N3—C11—C12	118.0 (2)
N2—C5—C6	123.3 (2)	C7—C11—C12	119.8 (2)
N2-C5-C4	116.3 (2)	N1—C12—C4	122.7 (2)
C6—C5—C4	120.4 (2)	N1-C12-C11	117.6 (2)

supporting information

N2 ⁱ —N2—C5	118.1 (3)	C4—C12—C11	119.6 (2)
O1—C6—C5	122.8 (2)	Cl1—C21—Cl2	110.73 (16)
O1—C6—C7	117.2 (2)	Cl1—C21—Cl3	109.64 (15)
C5—C6—C7	120.0 (2)	Cl2—C21—Cl3	109.29 (16)
C6—O1—H1A	106 (2)	Cl1—C21—H21	109.1
C11—C7—C8	118.3 (2)	Cl2—C21—H21	109.1
C11—C7—C6	120.4 (2)	Cl3—C21—H21	109.1

Symmetry code: (i) -x+2, -y+1, -z+1.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
O1—H1A···N2 ⁱ	0.88 (3)	1.74 (3)	2.540 (3)	149 (3)
C21—H21…N1	1.00	2.36	3.219 (3)	143
C21—H21···N3	1.00	2.33	3.136 (4)	137

Symmetry code: (i) -x+2, -y+1, -z+1.