

Received 29 March 2019 Accepted 3 June 2019

Edited by M. Weil, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Keywords: crystal structure; quasi two-dimensional structure; disorder; electric properties.

CCDC reference: 1920386

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



OPEN d ACCESS

2. Structural commentary

 $Rb_{0.21}(H_2O)_yWS_2$ crystallizes in the monoclinic $P2_1/m$ (No. 11) space group. The structure consists of one independent W site, two independent S sites and two independent Rb sites, all of them located on a mirror plane (Wyckoff position 2*e*). The crystal structure features ordered WS₂ layers separated by



Crystal structure and electrical resistance property of $Rb_{0.21}(H_2O)_yWS_2$

Yuanlv Mao,^a Yuqiang Fang,^a Dong Wang,^a Kejun Bu,^a Sishun Wang,^b Wei Zhao^a and Fuqiang Huang^a*

^aState Key Laboratory of High Performance Ceramics and Superfine Microstructure, Shanghai Institute of Ceramics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200050, People's Republic of China, and ^bSchool of Physical Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai 201210, People's Republic of China. *Correspondence e-mail: huangfq@mail.sic.ac.cn

 $Rb_{0.21}(H_2O)_yWS_2$, rubidium hydrate dithiotungstate, is a new quasi twodimensional sulfide. Its crystal structure consists of ordered WS₂ layers, separated by disordered Rb^+ ions and water molecules. All atomic sites are located on mirror planes. The WS₂ layers are composed of edge-sharing [WS₆] octahedra and extend parallel to (001). The presence of structural water was revealed by thermogravimetry, but the position and exact amount could not be determined in the present study. The temperature dependence of the electrical resistance indicates that $Rb_{0.21}(H_2O)_yWS_2$ is semiconducting between 80–300 K.

1. Chemical context

Typical two-dimensional structures of MS_2 compounds (M =transition metals of group IVB-VIB) facilitate the intercalation of various atoms, ions or organic molecules (Whittingham et al., 1978). For example, A_xMS_2 (A = alkali metal; M = Nb, Ta, Ti, V) compounds can be prepared in hightemperature solid-state reactions (800-1000 K). These compounds can react with water molecules to form ionic hydrates $A_{x}^{+}(H_{2}O)_{y}[MS_{2}]^{x-}$ (Omloo & Jellinek, 1970; Lerf & Schöllhorn, 1977; Lobert et al., 1992) that exhibit ion-exchange and solvent-exchange capacities. Some of the $A_{x}^{+}(H_{2}O)_{y}[MS_{2}]^{x-1}$ compounds show unusual superconducting properties (Schöllhorn & Weiss, 1974; Sernetz et al., 1974). Recently, by removing alkali ions from intercalated $A_{x}^{+}(H_{2}O)_{y}[MS_{2}]^{x-}$ (A = alkali metal) compounds, several metastable MS_2 (M = Mo, W) phases with new crystal structures and novel physical properties were reported (Fang et al., 2018, 2019). In order to identify the formation mechanism of metastable MS₂ from $A_{x}^{+}(H_{2}O)_{y}[MS_{2}]^{x-}$, it is necessary to uncover the role of alkali ions intercalated into the interlayers of MS_2 .

In this communication, we report the preparation of $Rb_{0.21}(H_2O)_yWS_2$, its crystal structure determination by single crystal X-ray diffraction, its thermal behaviour and its electrical resistance property.



Figure 1 Crystal structure of $Rb_{0,21}(H_2O)_yWS_2$ with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 30% probability level.

disordered Rb⁺ ions, and of water molecules. The latter could not be localized in the current study, hence v in $Rb_{0.21}(H_2O)_{\nu}WS_2$ remains undetermined (see *Experimental*, and discussion below). Compared with [WS₆]⁸⁻ trigonal prisms in 2H-WS₂ (Schutte et al., 1987), the WS₂ layer in Rb_{0.21}(H₂O)_yWS₂ is composed of edge-sharing [WS₆]^{8.21-} octahedra. The W–S bond lengths range from 2.403 (4) Å to 2.550 (5) Å, and thus the average W-S distance is larger than that in 2H-WS₂ [2.405 (5) Å: Schutte *et al.*, 1987]. The WS₂ layers extend parallel to (001) (Fig. 1). The shortest W-Wbond length of 2.7678 (15) Å is between pairs of W atoms aligned in the $[\overline{1}10]$ direction, much shorter than the W...W distance of 3.2524 (18) Å along [010]. Similar metal-metal separations also exist in some metastable MS_2 phases prepared by de-intercalating alkali ions from $A_x(H_2O)_yMS_2$ compounds (Yu et al., 2018; Shang et al., 2018). The Rb⁺ cations show a one-sided coordination to the S atoms of the adjacent layer. The Rb-S bonds range from 3.47 (7) Å to 3.64 (5) Å, comparable to the Rb-S bonds [3.344 (7)– 3.561 (1) Å in RbCr₅S₈ (Huster, 1978).

Similar to $K_x(H_2O)_yTaS_2$ and $K_x(H_2O)_yNbS_2$ (Graf *et al.*, 1977), it was impossible to determine the light O atoms of water molecules in the title compound from X-ray diffraction data at room temperature, as a result of diffuse electron density in the interlayer space. However, we could localize the positions of disordered Rb⁺ ions with large displacement parameters. Stacking disorder of the layers is common for layered dichalcogenides, which may contribute to the diffuse electron density. Large displacement parameters of exchangeable cations and water molecules were also reported for $A_x(H_2O)_yTaS_2$ and $A_x(H_2O)_yNbS_2$ (A = alkali metal) compounds (Röder *et al.*, 1979; Wein *et al.* 1986; Lobert *et al.*, 1992).



Temperature-dependence of the log(Resistance) for Rb_{0.21}(H₂O)_yWS₂.

3. Electrical resistance property

The electrical resistance of $Rb_{0.21}(H_2O)_yWS_2$ increases with the decrease of temperature (80–300 K) (Fig. 2), which is characteristic of a semiconductor.

4. Synthesis and crystallization

A rubidium dithiotungstate Rb_xWS₂ was synthesized in a solid-state reaction. The starting Rb₂S₂ powder was prepared in a reaction of stoichiometric amounts of Rb pieces and S powder in liquid NH₃. The obtained Rb₂S₂ powder, W powder and S powder were mixed in the molar ratio of 1:1:1 in a glove box filled with Ar. The mixture was ground carefully and loaded in a carbon-coated fused-silica tube. The tube was sealed under a $10^{-4}\ {\rm Torr}$ atmosphere and slowly heated to 1123 K at 5 K min⁻¹. After three days, the furnace was cooled down naturally to room temperature. Subsequent removal of the extra flux by washing with distilled water led to the isolation of crystals of $Rb_{0.21}(H_2O)_{\nu}WS_2$. The morphology and element composition were investigated by using an EDXSequipped Hitachi S-4800 scanning electronic microscope. In addition, the Rb/W ratio in the $Rb_{v}(H_{2}O)_{v}WS_{2}$ crystals was determined by ICP-OES. The SEM image and EDX spectrum of $Rb_{0.21}(H_2O)_yWS_2$ crystals are shown in Fig. 3. The ratio of



Figure 3 SEM image and EDXS spectrum of Rb_{0.21}(H₂O)_yWS₂.

research communications

Table 1 Results of ICP	-OES measurement of Rb _{0.21} (H ₂ O) _y WS ₂ .	
Flement	Weight $(9/)$	

Element	Weight (%)	atom (%)
W	67.6	36.77
Rb	6.6	7.72

Rb/W from the EDXS analysis is close to 0.21, which is consistent with the the diffraction data and results from ICP-OES measurements (Table 1). The experimental powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) pattern matches well with the simulated one (Fig. 4) by using the Rietveld refinement method (Rodríguez-Carvajal, 1993; $R_p = 9.9\%$, $R_{wp} = 12.6\%$ and $\chi^2 = 1.3$). In the TG–DTA analyses (Fig. 5), one obvious endothermic effect and concomitant mass loss were observed at 343 K, which is associated with water evaporation. In order to judge whether water molecules are surface-adsorbed water or structural water, the $Rb_{0.21}(H_2O)_vWS_2$ crystals were heated up to 373 K for further PXRD measurement. The sample was prepared in an Ar-protected glove box and sealed with vacuum tape. The (002) reflection clearly moved to higher diffraction angles, indicating the shrinkage of the unit cell due to loss of intercalated water (Fig. 6). However, it was impossible to accurately determine the water content by mass loss alone because of the interference of possible surface-adsorbed water.

5. Refinement details

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. The localization of ordered W and S sites of the WS_2 layers was unproblematic. The highest interlayer difference electron density peak was then treated as a single but partially occupied Rb site. No evidence of superstructure reflections in reciprocal space was found for the ordering of the Rb site. Then, the W, S sites and the underoccupied Rb site were refined with anisotropic displa-





Figure 5 TG–DTA analysis of Rb_{0.21}(H₂O)_vWS₂.

cement parameters. Because of very large anisotropic displacement parameters ($U^{11} = 0.59 \text{ Å}^2$) of the Rb site, splitting of this site was considered, resulting in a residual $R_1 = 0.051$. Modelling the O sites as being part of this disorder, or of remaining electron density peaks in the vicinity of the Rb sites was not successful, and therefore we did not include the apparently disordered water molecules in the final structure model. The remaining maximum and minimum electron densities are located 0.87 and 1.14 Å, respectively, from the W1 site.

Funding information

This work was supported financially by the National Key Research and Development Program (grant No. 2016YFB0901600), the National Science Foundation of China (grant No. 21871008), the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai (grant Nos. 16ZR1440500 and 16JC1401700), the Key Research Program of the Chinese Academy of



Power X-ray diffraction pattern of Rb_{0.21}(H₂O)_yWS₂ and Rb_{0.21}WS₂.

Sciences (grants Nos. QYZDJ-SSW-JSC013 and KGZD-EW-T06) and the CAS Center for Excellence in Superconducting Electronics.

References

- Brandenburg, K. (2004). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.
- Bruker (2015). APEX3, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Fang, Y. Q., Hu, X. Z., Zhao, W., Pan, J., Wang, D., Bu, K. J., Mao, Y. L., Chu, S. F., Liu, P., Zhai, T. Y. & Huang, F. Q. (2019). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 141, 790–793.
- Fang, Y. Q., Pan, J., He, J. Q., Luo, R. C., Wang, D., Che, X. L., Bu, K. J., Zhao, W., Liu, P., Mu, G., Zhang, H., Lin, T. Q. & Huang, F. Q. (2018). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 130, 1246–1249.
- Graf, H. A., Lerf, A. & Schöllhorn, R. (1977). J. Less-Common Met. 55, 213–220.
- Huster, J. (1978). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 447, 89-96.
- Lerf, A. & Schöllhorn, R. (1977). Inorg. Chem. 16, 2950–2956.
- Lobert, M., Müller-Warmuth, W., Katzke, H. & Schöllhorn, R. (1992). Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 96, 1564–1568.
- Omloo, W. P. & Jellinek, F. (1970). J. Less-Common Met. 20, 121-129.
- Röder, U., Müller–Warmuth, W. & Schöllhorn, R. (1979). J. Chem. Phys. 70, 2864–2870.
- Rodríguez-Carvajal, J. (1993). Physica B, 192, 55-69.
- Schöllhorn, R. & Weiss, A. (1974). J. Less-Common Met. 36, 229-236.
- Schutte, W. J., De Boer, J. L. & Jellinek, F. (1987). J. Solid State Chem. **70**, 207–209.
- Sernetz, F., Lerf, A. & Schöllhorn, R. (1974). Mater. Res. Bull. 9, 1597–1602.
- Shang, C., Fang, Y. Q., Zhang, Q., Wang, N. Z., Wang, Y. F., Liu, Z., Lei, B., Meng, F. B., Ma, L. K., Wu, T., Wang, Z. F., Zeng, C. G., Huang, F. Q., Sun, Z. & Chen, X. H. (2018). *Phys. Rev. B*, **98**, 184513–184523.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Wein, E., Müller-Warmuth, W. & Schoöllhorn, R. (1986). Ber. Bunsenges. Phys. Chem. 90, 158–162.

Table	2	
Experi	mental	details

$Rb_{0.21}(H_2O)_yWS_2$
277.23
Monoclinic, $P2_1/m$
298
5.703 (3), 3.2524 (18), 9.423 (5)
99.724 (16)
172.27 (16)
2
Μο Κα
39.25
$0.05 \times 0.03 \times 0.01$
Bruker APEXII CCD
Multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2015)
0.251, 0.674
1167, 352, 327
0.020
0.030
0.593
0.050, 0.124, 1.10
352
33
H-atom parameters not defined
2.45, -1.66

Computer programs: *APEX3* and *SAINT* (Bruker, 2015), *SHELXS* (Sheldrick, 2008), *SHELXL2014*/7 (Sheldrick, 2015), *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2004) and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.

- Whittingham, M. S. (1978). Prog. Solid State Chem. 12, 41-99.
- Yu, Y. F., Nam, G. H., He, Q. Y., Wu, X. J., Zhang, K., Yang, Z. Z., Chen, J. Z., Ma, Q. L., Zhao, M. T., Liu, Z. Q., Ran, F. R., Wang, X. Z., Li, H., Huang, X., Li, B., Xiong, Q. H., Zhang, Q., Liu, Z., Gu, L., Du, Y., Huang, W. & Zhang, H. (2018). *Nat. Chem.* **10**, 638– 643.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2019). E75, 976-979 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989019007941]

Crystal structure and electrical resistance property of Rb_{0.21}(H₂O)_yWS₂

Yuanlv Mao, Yuqiang Fang, Dong Wang, Kejun Bu, Sishun Wang, Wei Zhao and Fuqiang Huang

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX3* (Bruker, 2015); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2015); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2015); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014*/7 (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2004); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

rubidium hydrate dithiotungstate

Crystal data

Rb_{0.21}(H₂O)yWS₂ $M_r = 277.23$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/m$ a = 5.703 (3) Å b = 3.2524 (18) Å c = 9.423 (5) Å $\beta = 99.724$ (16)° V = 172.27 (16) Å³ Z = 2

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD
diffractometer
phi and ω scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 2015)
$T_{\min} = 0.251, \ T_{\max} = 0.674$
1167 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$ $wR(F^2) = 0.124$ S = 1.10352 reflections 33 parameters F(000) = 237 $D_x = 5.345 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 68 reflections $\theta = 3.9-23.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 39.25 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 KPlate, black $0.05 \times 0.03 \times 0.01 \text{ mm}$

352 independent reflections 327 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.030$ $\theta_{max} = 24.9^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 2.2^{\circ}$ $h = -6 \rightarrow 6$ $k = -3 \rightarrow 3$ $l = -11 \rightarrow 10$

0 restraints H-atom parameters not defined $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1024P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 2.45$ e Å⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -1.66$ e Å⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	X	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	Occ. (<1)
W1	0.19782 (11)	0.7500	1.00615 (8)	0.0371 (5)	
S2	0.3744 (9)	0.2500	0.8600 (6)	0.0376 (12)	
S3	0.1409 (10)	0.2500	1.1850 (6)	0.0401 (12)	
Rb4	0.21 (4)	-0.2500	0.534 (6)	0.14 (6)	0.14 (7)
Rb5	0.38 (2)	-0.2500	0.525 (8)	0.17 (2)	0.20 (6)

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
W1	0.0319 (7)	0.0374 (7)	0.0423 (7)	0.000	0.0073 (4)	0.000
S2	0.034 (2)	0.038 (3)	0.041 (3)	0.000	0.007 (2)	0.000
S3	0.041 (3)	0.039 (3)	0.041 (3)	0.000	0.008 (2)	0.000
Rb4	0.28 (14)	0.12 (4)	0.04 (2)	0.000	0.06 (4)	0.000
Rb5	0.18 (6)	0.24 (6)	0.08 (3)	0.000	0.01 (3)	0.000

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

W1—S3 ⁱ	2.403 (4)	Rb4—Rb5	0.99 (10)
W1—S3	2.403 (4)	Rb4—Rb4 ^x	2.9 (3)
W1—S3 ⁱⁱ	2.408 (5)	Rb4—Rb4 ^{xi}	2.9 (3)
W1—S2	2.454 (4)	Rb4—Rb5 ^{xii}	3.0 (3)
$W1$ — $S2^{i}$	2.454 (4)	Rb4—Rb5 ^{xiii}	3.0 (3)
W1—S2 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.550 (5)	Rb4—Rb4 ⁱ	3.252 (2)
W1—W1 ⁱⁱ	2.7678 (15)	Rb4—Rb4 ^v	3.2524 (19)
$W1$ — $W1^{iv}$	2.7678 (15)	Rb4—Rb5 ⁱ	3.40 (3)
S2—W1 ^v	2.454 (4)	Rb4—Rb5 ^v	3.40 (3)
S2—W1 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.550 (5)	Rb4—S2 ^v	3.47 (7)
S2—Rb4	3.47 (7)	Rb4—S3 ^{vii}	3.58 (11)
S2—Rb4 ⁱ	3.47 (7)	Rb5—Rb5 ^{xii}	2.23 (19)
S2—Rb5 ⁱ	3.56 (8)	Rb5—Rb5 ^{xiii}	2.23 (19)
S2—Rb5	3.56 (8)	Rb5—Rb4 ^{xii}	3.0 (3)
S3—W1 ^v	2.403 (4)	Rb5—Rb4 ^{xiii}	3.0 (3)
S3—W1 ⁱⁱ	2.408 (5)	Rb5—Rb5 ⁱ	3.2524 (18)
S3—Rb5 ^{vi}	3.53 (6)	Rb5—Rb5 ^v	3.2524 (18)
S3—Rb4 ^{vii}	3.58 (11)	Rb5—Rb4 ^v	3.40 (3)
S3—Rb4 ^{viii}	3.63 (4)	Rb5—Rb4 ⁱ	3.40 (3)
S3—Rb4 ^{ix}	3.63 (4)	Rb5—S3 ^{vi}	3.53 (6)
S3—Rb5 ^{viii}	3.64 (5)	Rb5—S2 ^v	3.56 (8)
S3—Rb5 ^{ix}	3.64 (5)		

S3 ⁱ —W1—S3	85.18 (18)	Rb5 ^{xii} —Rb4—Rb5 ⁱ	40 (3)
S3 ⁱ —W1—S3 ⁱⁱ	109.76 (14)	Rb5 ^{xiii} —Rb4—Rb5 ⁱ	106 (4)
S3—W1—S3 ⁱⁱ	109.76 (14)	Rb4 ⁱ —Rb4—Rb5 ⁱ	16.9 (16)
S3 ⁱ —W1—S2	163.5 (2)	Rb4 ^v —Rb4—Rb5 ⁱ	163.1 (16)
S3—W1—S2	93.55 (13)	Rb5—Rb4—Rb5 ^v	73.1 (16)
S3 ⁱⁱ —W1—S2	86.20 (17)	Rb4 ^x —Rb4—Rb5 ^v	71 (3)
$S3^{i}$ —W1— $S2^{i}$	93.55 (13)	Rb4 ^{xi} —Rb4—Rb5 ^v	140 (7)
S3—W1—S2 ⁱ	163.5 (2)	Rb5 ^{xii} —Rb4—Rb5 ^v	106 (4)
$S3^{ii}$ —W1— $S2^{i}$	86.20 (17)	Rb5 ^{xiii} —Rb4—Rb5 ^v	40 (3)
$S2-W1-S2^{i}$	82.99 (18)	Rb4 ⁱ —Rb4—Rb5 ^v	163.1 (16)
S3 ⁱ —W1—S2 ⁱⁱⁱ	83.36 (18)	Rb4 ^v —Rb4—Rb5 ^v	16.9 (16)
S3—W1—S2 ⁱⁱⁱ	83.36 (18)	Rb5 ⁱ —Rb4—Rb5 ^v	146 (3)
S3 ⁱⁱ —W1—S2 ⁱⁱⁱ	161.7 (2)	Rb5—Rb4—S2 ^v	87 (10)
S2—W1—S2 ⁱⁱⁱ	80.13 (16)	$Rb4^{x}$ — $Rb4$ — $S2^{v}$	91.3 (12)
S2 ⁱ —W1—S2 ⁱⁱⁱ	80.13 (16)	$Rb4^{xi}$ — $Rb4$ — $S2^{v}$	124 (4)
83 ⁱ —W1—W1 ⁱⁱ	102.78 (13)	Rb5 ^{xii} —Rb4—S2 ^v	108 (5)
S3—W1—W1 ⁱⁱ	54.97 (13)	Rb5 ^{xiii} —Rb4—S2 ^v	79 (2)
S3 ⁱⁱ —W1—W1 ⁱⁱ	54.79 (10)	$Rb4^{i}$ — $Rb4$ — $S2^{v}$	118.0 (6)
S2—W1—W1 ⁱⁱ	89.78 (11)	Rb4 ^v —Rb4—S2 ^v	62.0 (6)
S2 ⁱ —W1—W1 ⁱⁱ	140.78 (13)	Rb5 ⁱ —Rb4—S2 ^v	116 (4)
S2 ⁱⁱⁱ —W1—W1 ⁱⁱ	136.53 (8)	Rb5 ^v —Rb4—S2 ^v	62 (2)
83 ⁱ -W1-W1 ^{iv}	54.97 (13)	Rb5—Rb4—S2	87 (10)
S3—W1—W1 ^{iv}	102.78 (13)	Rb4 ^x —Rb4—S2	124 (4)
S3 ⁱⁱ —W1—W1 ^{iv}	54.79 (10)	Rb4 ^{xi} —Rb4—S2	91.3 (12)
S2-W1-W1 ^{iv}	140.78 (13)	Rb5 ^{xii} —Rb4—S2	79 (2)
$S2^{i}$ W1 W1 iv	89.78 (11)	Rb5 ^{xiii} —Rb4—S2	108 (5)
S2 ⁱⁱⁱ —W1—W1 ^{iv}	136.53 (8)	Rb4 ⁱ —Rb4—S2	62.0 (6)
$W1^{ii}$ — $W1$ — $W1^{iv}$	71.96 (5)	Rb4 ^v —Rb4—S2	118.0 (6)
W1 ^v —S2—W1	82.99 (18)	Rb5 ⁱ —Rb4—S2	62 (2)
W1 ^v —S2—W1 ⁱⁱⁱ	99.87 (16)	Rb5 ^v —Rb4—S2	116 (4)
W1-S2-W1 ⁱⁱⁱ	99.87 (16)	S2 ^v —Rb4—S2	56.0 (12)
W1 ^v —S2—Rb4	96.3 (15)	Rb5—Rb4—S3 ^{vii}	138 (10)
W1—S2—Rb4	137 (3)	Rb4 ^x —Rb4—S3 ^{vii}	68 (4)
W1 ⁱⁱⁱ —S2—Rb4	122 (3)	Rb4 ^{xi} —Rb4—S3 ^{vii}	68 (4)
$W1^v$ —S2—Rb4 ⁱ	137 (3)	Rb5 ^{xii} —Rb4—S3 ^{vii}	133.8 (12)
$W1$ — $S2$ — $Rb4^i$	96.3 (15)	Rb5 ^{xiii} —Rb4—S3 ^{vii}	133.8 (12)
$W1^{iii}$ —S2—Rb4 ⁱ	122 (3)	Rb4 ⁱ —Rb4—S3 ^{vii}	90.000 (2)
Rb4—S2—Rb4 ⁱ	56.0 (12)	Rb4 ^v —Rb4—S3 ^{vii}	90.000(1)
W1 ^v —S2—Rb5 ⁱ	150.1 (12)	Rb5 ⁱ —Rb4—S3 ^{vii}	102.5 (13)
W1—S2—Rb5 ⁱ	105.1 (13)	Rb5 ^v —Rb4—S3 ^{vii}	102.5 (12)
$W1^{iii}$ —S2—Rb5 ⁱ	106.8 (18)	S2 ^v —Rb4—S3 ^{vii}	56.3 (7)
Rb4—S2—Rb5 ⁱ	57.9 (7)	S2—Rb4—S3 ^{vii}	56.3 (7)
Rb4 ⁱ —S2—Rb5 ⁱ	16.1 (18)	Rb4—Rb5—Rb5 ^{xii}	132 (4)
W1 ^v —S2—Rb5	105.1 (13)	Rb4—Rb5—Rb5 ^{xiii}	132 (4)
W1—S2—Rb5	150.1 (12)	Rb5 ^{xii} —Rb5—Rb5 ^{xiii}	94 (10)
W1 ⁱⁱⁱ —S2—Rb5	106.8 (18)	Rb4—Rb5—Rb4 ^{xii}	146 (2)
Rb4—S2—Rb5	16.1 (18)	Rb5 ^{xii} —Rb5—Rb4 ^{xii}	14.3 (18)

Rb4 ⁱ —S2—Rb5	57.9 (7)	Rb5 ^{xiii} —Rb5—Rb4 ^{xii}	80 (8)
Rb5 ⁱ —S2—Rb5	54.4 (14)	Rb4—Rb5—Rb4 ^{xiii}	146 (2)
W1 ^v —S3—W1	85.18 (18)	Rb5 ^{xii} —Rb5—Rb4 ^{xiii}	80 (8)
W1 ^v —S3—W1 ⁱⁱ	70.24 (14)	Rb5 ^{xiii} —Rb5—Rb4 ^{xiii}	14.3 (18)
W1-S3-W1 ⁱⁱ	70.24 (14)	Rb4 ^{xii} —Rb5—Rb4 ^{xiii}	66 (7)
W1 ^v —S3—Rb5 ^{vi}	111.4 (15)	Rb4—Rb5—Rb5 ⁱ	90.00 (5)
W1—S3—Rb5 ^{vi}	111.4 (16)	Rb5 ^{xii} —Rb5—Rb5 ⁱ	43 (5)
W1 ⁱⁱ —S3—Rb5 ^{vi}	178 (2)	Rb5 ^{xiii} —Rb5—Rb5 ⁱ	137 (5)
W1 ^v —S3—Rb4 ^{vii}	132.7 (12)	Rb4 ^{xii} —Rb5—Rb5 ⁱ	57 (3)
W1—S3—Rb4 ^{vii}	132.7 (11)	Rb4 ^{xiii} —Rb5—Rb5 ⁱ	123 (3)
W1 ⁱⁱ —S3—Rb4 ^{vii}	94 (3)	Rb4—Rb5—Rb5 ^v	90.00 (10)
Rb5 ^{vi} —S3—Rb4 ^{vii}	83.2 (13)	Rb5 ^{xii} —Rb5—Rb5 ^v	137 (5)
$W1^{v}$ $S3$ $Rb4^{viii}$	159 (2)	Rb5 ^{xiii} —Rb5—Rb5 ^v	43 (5)
$W1 - S3 - Rb4^{viii}$	109(2)	$Rb4^{xii}$ Rb5 Rb5	123(3)
$W1^{ii}$ $S3$ $Rb4^{viii}$	109.0(0) 129(3)	$Rb4^{xiii}$ Rb5 Rb5	57 (3)
$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	49(5)	$Rb5^{i}$ Rb5 Rb5	180.00(7)
$Pb4^{vii}$ S3 $Pb4^{viii}$	47(5)	$Rb4$ $Rb5$ $Rb4^{v}$	73.1(17)
$W_{1v} = S_3 = R_0 + R_0$	$\frac{47}{5}$	$\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	153.1(17)
W1 = S3 = K04 W1 = S2 = Bh/ix	109.0(0)	R03 - R03 - R04 $Ph5^{xiii} Ph5 Ph4y$	133(3)
W1 = 55 = K04 $W1ii = S2 = Dh4ix$	139(2) 120(2)	RU3 - RU3 - RU4 RU4	140(2)
W $1^{}$ S $3^{}$ K $04^{}$	129 (5)	$R04^{m}$ R03 $R04^{h}$	140(3)
$RU3^{}S3^{}RU4^{}$	49 (3)	$R04^{mm}$ $R03$ $R04^{r}$	74 (4)
$RD4^{m}$ $S3$ $RD4^{m}$	47 (5)		103.1(10)
R04 ^{viii} —S3—R04 ^{ix}	53.5 (7)	KD3 ^v —KD3—KD4 ^v	16.9 (16)
WIV-S3-Rb5Viii	147.5 (16)	Rb4—Rb5—Rb4 ¹	73.1 (16)
W1—S3—Rb5 ^{vm}	103.9 (12)	Rb5 ^{xn} —Rb5—Rb4 ⁱ	60 (6)
W1 ⁿ —S3—Rb5 ^{vm}	142.2 (16)	Rb5 ^{xiii} —Rb5—Rb4 ⁱ	153 (5)
$Rb5^{v_1}$ —S3— $Rb5^{v_{11}}$	36 (3)	Rb4 ^{x11} —Rb5—Rb4 ¹	74 (4)
$Rb4^{vn}$ —S3— $Rb5^{vm}$	61 (4)	$Rb4^{xui}$ — $Rb5$ — $Rb4^{i}$	140 (3)
Rb4 ^{viii} —S3—Rb5 ^{viii}	15.7 (16)	Rb5 ⁱ —Rb5—Rb4 ⁱ	16.9 (16)
Rb4 ^{ix} —S3—Rb5 ^{viii}	55.8 (6)	Rb5 ^v —Rb5—Rb4 ⁱ	163.1 (16)
W1 ^v —S3—Rb5 ^{ix}	103.9 (12)	Rb4 ^v —Rb5—Rb4 ⁱ	146 (3)
W1—S3—Rb5 ^{ix}	147.5 (16)	Rb4—Rb5—S3 ^{vi}	125 (9)
W1 ⁱⁱ —S3—Rb5 ^{ix}	142.2 (16)	Rb5 ^{xii} —Rb5—S3 ^{vi}	75 (3)
Rb5 ^{vi} —S3—Rb5 ^{ix}	36 (3)	Rb5 ^{xiii} —Rb5—S3 ^{vi}	75 (3)
Rb4 ^{vii} —S3—Rb5 ^{ix}	61 (4)	Rb4 ^{xii} —Rb5—S3 ^{vi}	67 (4)
Rb4 ^{viii} —S3—Rb5 ^{ix}	55.8 (6)	Rb4 ^{xiii} —Rb5—S3 ^{vi}	67 (4)
Rb4 ^{ix} —S3—Rb5 ^{ix}	15.7 (16)	Rb5 ⁱ —Rb5—S3 ^{vi}	90.000 (5)
Rb5 ^{viii} —S3—Rb5 ^{ix}	53.0 (8)	Rb5 ^v —Rb5—S3 ^{vi}	90.000 (2)
Rb5—Rb4—Rb4 ^x	141 (2)	Rb4v—Rb5—S3vi	100 (3)
Rb5—Rb4—Rb4 ^{xi}	141 (2)	Rb4 ⁱ —Rb5—S3 ^{vi}	100 (3)
Rb4 ^x —Rb4—Rb4 ^{xi}	69 (9)	Rb4—Rb5—S2 ^v	77 (8)
Rb5—Rb4—Rb5 ^{xii}	34 (2)	Rb5 ^{xii} —Rb5—S2 ^v	128 (3)
Rb4 ^x —Rb4—Rb5 ^{xii}	157 (2)	Rb5 ^{xiii} —Rb5—S2 ^v	87 (3)
Rb4 ^{xi} —Rb4—Rb5 ^{xii}	107.5 (14)	Rb4 ^{xii} —Rb5—S2 ^v	123 (3)
Rb5—Rb4—Rb5 ^{xiii}	34 (2)	Rb4 ^{xiii} —Rb5—S2 ^v	92.3 (10)
Rb4 ^x —Rb4—Rb5 ^{xiii}	107.5 (13)	Rb5 ⁱ —Rb5—S2 ^v	117.2 (7)
Rb4 ^{xi} —Rb4—Rb5 ^{xiii}	157 (2)	Rb5 ^v —Rb5—S2 ^v	62.8 (7)
Rb5 ^{xii} —Rb4—Rb5 ^{xiii}	66 (7)	Rb4 ^v —Rb5—S2 ^v	59.7 (19)
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

DL5 DL4 DL4i	00,00,(5)		112 (2)
K03—K04—K04 ⁴	90.00 (5)	K04'K05S2'	112 (3)
$Rb4^{x}$ — $Rb4$ — $Rb4^{i}$	125 (5)	S3 ^{vi} —Rb5—S2 ^v	55.4 (8)
Rb4 ^{xi} —Rb4—Rb4 ⁱ	55 (5)	Rb4—Rb5—S2	77 (8)
Rb5 ^{xii} —Rb4—Rb4 ⁱ	57 (3)	Rb5 ^{xii} —Rb5—S2	87 (3)
Rb5 ^{xiii} —Rb4—Rb4 ⁱ	123 (3)	Rb5 ^{xiii} —Rb5—S2	128 (3)
Rb5—Rb4—Rb4 ^v	90.000 (19)	Rb4 ^{xii} —Rb5—S2	92.3 (10)
Rb4 ^x —Rb4—Rb4 ^v	55 (5)	Rb4 ^{xiii} —Rb5—S2	123 (3)
Rb4 ^{xi} —Rb4—Rb4 ^v	125 (5)	Rb5 ⁱ —Rb5—S2	62.8 (7)
Rb5 ^{xii} —Rb4—Rb4 ^v	123 (3)	Rb5 ^v —Rb5—S2	117.2 (7)
Rb5 ^{xiii} —Rb4—Rb4 ^v	57 (3)	Rb4 ^v —Rb5—S2	112 (3)
Rb4 ⁱ —Rb4—Rb4 ^v	180.00 (10)	Rb4 ⁱ —Rb5—S2	59.7 (19)
Rb5—Rb4—Rb5 ⁱ	73.1 (16)	S3 ^{vi} —Rb5—S2	55.4 (8)
Rb4 ^x —Rb4—Rb5 ⁱ	140 (7)	S2 ^v —Rb5—S2	54.4 (14)
Rb4 ^{xi} —Rb4—Rb5 ⁱ	71 (3)		

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y+1, z; (ii) -x, -y+1, -z+2; (iii) -x+1, -y+1, -z+2; (iv) -x, -y+2, -z+2; (v) x, y-1, z; (vi) -x+1, -y, -z+2; (vii) -x, -y, -z+2; (viii) x, y+1, z+1; (ix) x, y, z+1; (x) -x, -y-1, -z+1; (xi) -x, -y, -z+1; (xii) -x+1, -y, -z+1; (xiii) -x+1, -y-1, -z+1.