



ISSN 2056-9890

Received 16 January 2019 Accepted 8 March 2019

Edited by M. Weil, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

**Keywords:** crystal structure; powder X-ray diffraction; strontium perchlorate anhydrate; isotypism.

CCDC reference: 1901870

**Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



OPEN d ACCESS

# Crystal structure of strontium perchlorate anhydrate, Sr(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, from laboratory powder X-ray diffraction data

#### Jooeun Hyoung, Hyeon Woo Lee, So Jin Kim, Hong Rim Shin and Seung-Tae Hong\*

Daegu Gyeongbuk Institute of Science and Technology (DGIST), Daegu 42988, Republic of Korea. \*Correspondence e-mail: st.hong@dgist.ac.kr

The crystal structure of strontium perchlorate anhydrate,  $Sr(ClO_4)_2$ , was determined and refined from laboratory powder X-ray diffraction data. The material was obtained by dehydration of  $Sr(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$  at 523 K for two weeks. It crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *Pbca* and is isotypic with  $Ca(AlD_4)_2$  and  $Ca(ClO_4)_2$ . The asymmetric unit contains one Sr, two Cl and eight O sites, all on general positions (Wyckoff position 8c). The crystal structure consists of  $Sr^{2+}$  cations and isolated  $ClO_4^-$  tetrahedra. The  $Sr^{2+}$  cation is coordinated by eight O atoms from eight  $ClO_4^-$  tetrahedra. The validity of the crystal structure model for  $Sr(ClO_4)_2$  anhydrate was confirmed by the bond valence method.

#### 1. Chemical context

The alkaline earth metal ions ( $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Ca^{2+}$ ,  $Sr^{2+}$  and  $Ba^{2+}$ ) have received attention as ion carriers for next-generation batteries (Wang et al., 2013), and their perchlorates are used as inorganic salts of conventional nonaqueous electrolytes for electrochemical cells in Mg- and Ca-ion batteries (Whittingham et al., 2018; Tchitchekova et al., 2017; Padigi et al., 2015). It is crucial to obtain anhydrous salts to achieve high electrochemical cell performance since hydrated salts can cause unwanted side reactions as a result of increased water content in the nonaqueous electrolyte. Strontium perchlorate is highly hygroscopic and exists in several hydrated forms. So far,  $Sr(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$ ,  $Sr(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 4H_2O$  and  $Sr(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 9H_2O$  have been identified by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (Hennings et al., 2014). However, the crystal structure of the anhydrous phase has not been reported to date because of the difficulty in growing single crystals. Previously, we have determined the structures of anhydrous magnesium, barium and calcium perchlorate from laboratory powder X-ray diffraction data (Lim et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2015, 2018). Using the same techniques for the Sr salt, we were able to determine and refine the crystal structure of strontium perchlorate anhydrate.

#### 2. Structural commentary

The crystal structure of anhydrous strontium perchlorate,  $Sr(ClO_4)_2$ , is isotypic with  $Ca(AlD_4)_2$  (Sato *et al.*, 2009) and  $Ca(ClO_4)_2$  (Lee *et al.*, 2018). Compared with  $Ca(ClO_4)_2$ , the unit-cell parameters *a*, *b* and *c* of  $Sr(ClO_4)_2$  are increased by 3.0, 2.9 and 3.4%, respectively, because  $Sr^{2+}$  (1.26 Å for eight-coordination) has a larger ionic radius than  $Ca^{2+}$  (1.12 Å for eight-coordination; Shannon, 1976).

# research communications



Figure 1

The local environment of the  $Sr^{2+}$  cation (yellow sphere) surrounded by  $ClO_4^-$  tetrahedra (purple). Symmetry codes refer to Table 1.

There are one Sr, two Cl and eight O sites in the asymmetric unit, all on general positions 8c. The crystal structure (Fig. 1) is composed of  $Sr^{2+}$  cations and isolated  $ClO_4^-$  tetrahedra. The isolated  $ClO_4^-$  tetrahedra are slightly distorted and exhibit a range of 105.4 (7)–113.5 (7)° for the O–Cl–O angles. The local environment around the  $Sr^{2+}$  cation is presented in Fig. 2. It is coordinated by eight O atoms from eight  $ClO_4^-$  tetrahedra, with an average Sr–O distance of 2.582 Å (Table 1). The latter is intermediate between those of Ca–O (2.476 Å; Lee *et al.*, 2018) and Ba–O (2.989 Å; Lee *et al.*, 2015) polyhedra, and in good agreement with the sum of the ionic radii of the respective alkaline earth metal and oxygen ions (Shannon, 1976).

Empirical bond valence sums (BVSs) can be used to check structure models (Brown, 2002). In this regard, the BVSs for the ions in the crystal structure of  $Sr(ClO_4)_2$  were calculated with the program *Valence* (Brown & Altermatt, 1985; Brese & O'Keeffe, 1991; Hormillosa *et al.*, 1993). The expected charges of the ions match well with the obtained BVS values (given in valence units), thus confirming the validity of the crystal structure: Sr 2.18, Cl1 6.99, Cl2 6.96, O1 1.91, O2 2.08, O3 2.06, O4 2.03, O5 1.96, O6 2.02, O7 2.03 and O8 2.04.

### 3. Synthesis and crystallization

Anhydrous strontium perchlorate was obtained by dehydration of  $Sr(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 3H_2O$  (98%, Alfa Aesar). The hydrated  $Sr(ClO_4)_2$  powder was ground thoroughly in an agate mortar and added to a glass bottle. The bottle was placed in an oven at 523 K for two weeks under atmospheric conditions and then transferred to a glove-box under an argon atmosphere. For the powder X-ray diffraction measurements, anhydrous  $Sr(ClO_4)_2$ was again ground in an agate mortar and placed in a tightly sealed dome-type X-ray sample holder commercially available

Table 1		
Selected	bond lengths (Å).	

Sr1-O1 <sup>i</sup>	2.512 (5)	Cl1-O5	1.436 (5)
Sr1-O2 <sup>ii</sup>	2.591 (5)	Cl1-O6	1.436 (5)
Sr1-O3 <sup>iii</sup>	2.546 (5)	Cl1-O7	1.426 (5)
Sr1-O4 <sup>iv</sup>	2.622 (5)	Cl1-O8	1.423 (5)
$Sr1-O5^{v}$	2.650 (5)	Cl2-O1	1.469 (5)
Sr1-O6 <sup>ii</sup>	2.540 (5)	Cl2-O2	1.414 (5)
Sr1-O7 <sup>vi</sup>	2.590 (5)	Cl2-O3	1.425 (5)
Sr1-08	2.604 (8)	Cl2-O4	1.422 (5)
Symmetry codes: (i) $x + \frac{1}{2}, y, -z + \frac{1}{2};$ (iv)	$ \begin{array}{l} x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1; \\ -x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + 1, z - \frac{1}{2}; \end{array} $	(ii) $-x + 1, -x + 1,$	-y + 1, -z + 1; (iii) $y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2};$ (vi)
$x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$			

from Bruker. The dome was double-sealed with vacuum grease to prevent hydration during measurement.

#### 4. Refinement details

Details of the crystal data collection and structure refinement are summarized in Table 2. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) data for anhydrous  $Sr(ClO_4)_2$  were collected from a Bragg– Brentano diffractometer (PANalytical Empyrean) using





Table	2	
Experi	mental	details

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$Sr(ClO_4)_2$
$M_{ m r}$	286.52
Crystal system, space group	Orthorhombic, Pbca
Temperature (K)	298
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	14.18206 (10), 9.78934 (11), 9.37624 (10)
$V(Å^3)$	1301.73 (2)
Z	8
Radiation type	Cu $K\alpha_1$ , $\lambda = 1.5405$ Å
Specimen shape, size (mm)	Flat sheet, $24.9 \times 24.9$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	PANalytical Empyrean
Specimen mounting	Packed powder
Data collection mode	Reflection
Scan method	Step
$2\theta$ values (°)	$2\theta_{\min} = 10.009, 2\theta_{\max} = 129.991,$ $2\theta_{step} = 0.013$
Refinement	
<i>R</i> factors and goodness of fit	$R_{\rm p} = 0.086, R_{\rm wp} = 0.125, R_{\rm exp} = 0.096, R(F^2) = 0.14871, \chi^2 = 1.716$
No. of parameters	40
No. of restraints	23

Computer programs: X'Pert Data Collector (PANalytical, 2011), GSAS (Larson & Von Dreele, 2000), X'Pert HighScore Plus (PANalytical, 2011), SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008), CRYSTALS (Betteridge et al., 2003) and VESTA (Momma & Izumi, 2011).

Cu  $K\alpha_1$  radiation, a focusing primary Ge(111) monochromator ( $\lambda = 1.5406$  Å) and a position-sensitive PIXcel 3D  $2\times 2$  detector. The angular range was  $10 \le 2\theta \le 130^\circ$ , with a step of 0.0131° and a total measurement time of 8 h at room temperature. The PXRD pattern was indexed using the *TREOR90* algorithm (Werner, 1990) run in *CRYSFIRE* (Shirley, 2002) through the positions of 23 reflections, resulting in an orthorhombic unit cell. Systematic reflection conditions suggested the space group *Pbca*. The crystal structure was



Figure 3

PXRD Rietveld refinement profiles for anhydrous  $Sr(ClO_4)_2$  measured at ambient temperature. Black dots mark experimental data, the solid red line represents the calculated profile and the solid green line is the background. The bottom trace presents the difference curve (blue) and the ticks denote the expected Bragg reflection positions (magenta).

determined by a combination of the powder profile refinement program GSAS (Larson & Von Dreele, 2000) and the singlecrystal structure refinement program CRYSTALS (Betteridge et al., 2003). For a three-dimensional view of the Fourier electron-density maps, MCE was applied (Rohlícek & Husák, 2007). Initially, a structural model with only one dummy atom at an arbitrary position in the unit cell was used. Structure factors were extracted from the powder data and then direct methods were applied to calculate the initial solution of the crystal structure using SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008) run in CRYSTALS, which yielded the Sr site as a starting atomic position. The initial dummy atom model was then replaced with the partial model, and this data was adopted for a Le Bail fit in GSAS. Improved structure factors were then extracted, which were used for the refinement in CRYSTALS. Such processes were iterated until a complete and satisfactory structural model was obtained. Finally, Rietveld refinement in GSAS was employed to complete the structure model, resulting in reasonable isotropic displacement parameters and agreement indices. For the final Rietveld refinement with GSAS, the Sr-O and Cl-O bond lengths were restrained with a tolerance value of 2% with respect to the distances determined from CRYSTALS, which matched reasonably well with the radii sums of Shannon (1976). The final Rietveld plot is displayed in Fig. 3.

#### **Funding information**

Funding for this research was provided by: the Creative Materials Discovery Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning (2015M3D1A1069707).

#### References

- Betteridge, P. W., Carruthers, J. R., Cooper, R. I., Prout, K. & Watkin, D. J. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. **36**, 1487.
- Brese, N. E. & O'Keeffe, M. (1991). Acta Cryst. B47, 192-197.
- Brown, I. D. (2002). In *The Chemical Bond in Inorganic Chemistry*. Oxford University Press.
- Brown, I. D. & Altermatt, D. (1985). Acta Cryst. B41, 244-247.
- Hennings, E., Schmidt, H. & Voigt, W. (2014). Acta Cryst. E70, 510-514.
- Hormillosa, C., Healy, S., Stephen, T. & Brown, I. D. (1993). Bond Valence Calculator. Version 2.0. McMaster University, Canada. http://www.CCP14.ac.uk/solution/bond\_valence/.
- Larson, A. C. & Von Dreele, R. B. (2000). General Structure Analysis System (GSAS). Report LAUR 86-748. Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico, USA.
- Lee, D., Bu, H., Kim, D., Hyoung, J. & Hong, S.-T. (2018). Acta Cryst. E74, 514–517.
- Lee, J. H., Kang, J. H., Lim, S.-C. & Hong, S.-T. (2015). Acta Cryst. E71, 588–591.

Lim, H.-K., Choi, Y. S. & Hong, S.-T. (2011). Acta Cryst. C67, i36–i38. Momma, K. & Izumi, F. (2011). J. Appl. Cryst. 44, 1272–1276.

- Padigi, P., Goncher, G., Evans, D. & Solanki, R. (2015). J. Power Sources, 273, 460–464.
- PANalytical (2011). X'Pert Data Collector and X'Pert HighScore Plus. PANalytical BV, Almelo, The Netherlands.

Rohlícek, J. & Husák, M. (2007). J. Appl. Cryst. 40, 600-601.

Sato, T., Sørby, M. H., Ikeda, K., Sato, S., Hauback, B. C. & Orimo, S. (2009). J. Alloys Compd. 487, 472–478.

Shannon, R. D. (1976). Acta Cryst. A32, 751-767.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Shirley, R. (2002). The Crysfire 2002 System for Automatic Powder Indexing: User's Manual. Guildford, UK: The Lattice Press.
- Tchitchekova, D. S., Monti, D., Johansson, P., Bardé, F., Randon-Vitanova, A., Palacín, M. R. & Pnrouch, A. (2017). *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **164**, A1384–A1392.
- Wang, R. Y., Wessells, C. D., Huggins, R. A. & Cui, Y. (2013). Nano Lett. 13, 5748–5752.
- Werner, P. E. (1990). TREOR90. University of? Stockholm, Sweden. Whittingham, M. S., Siu, C. & Ding, J. (2018). Acc. Chem. Res. 51, 258–264.

# supporting information

# Acta Cryst. (2019). E75, 447-450 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989019003335]

# Crystal structure of strontium perchlorate anhydrate, Sr(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, from laboratory powder X-ray diffraction data

# Jooeun Hyoung, Hyeon Woo Lee, So Jin Kim, Hong Rim Shin and Seung-Tae Hong

# **Computing details**

Data collection: *X'Pert Data Collector* (PANalytical, 2011); cell refinement: *GSAS* (Larson & Von Dreele, 2000); data reduction: *X'Pert HighScore Plus* (PANalytical, 2011); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008) and *CRYSTALS* (Betteridge *et al.*, 2003); program(s) used to refine structure: *GSAS* (Larson & Von Dreele, 2000); molecular graphics: *VESTA* (Momma & Izumi, 2011); software used to prepare material for publication: *GSAS* (Larson & Von Dreele, 2000).

## Strontium perchlorate anhydrate

## Crystal data

Sr(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>  $M_r = 286.52$ Orthorhombic, *Pbca* Hall symbol: -P\_2ac\_2ab a = 14.18206 (10) Å b = 9.78934 (11) Å c = 9.37624 (10) Å $V = 1301.73 (2) \text{ Å}^3$ 

## Data collection PANalytical Empyrean diffractometer Radiation source: sealed X-ray tube, PANalytical Cu Ceramic X-ray tube

Z = 8 F(000) = 1088.0  $D_x = 2.925 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Cu K $\alpha_1$  radiation,  $\lambda = 1.5405 \text{ Å}$  T = 298 Kwhite flat\_sheet, 24.9 × 24.9 mm Specimen preparation: Prepared at 298 K

Specimen mounting: packed powder Data collection mode: reflection Scan method: step  $2\theta_{\min} = 10.009^{\circ}, 2\theta_{\max} = 129.991^{\circ}, 2\theta_{step} = 0.013^{\circ}$  Refinement

Least-squares matrix: full  $R_p = 0.086$   $R_{wp} = 0.125$   $R_{exp} = 0.096$   $R(F^2) = 0.14871$ 9139 data points Profile function: CW Profile function number 4 with 18 terms Pseudovoigt profile coefficients as parameterized in P. Thompson, D.E. Cox & J.B. Hastings (1987). J. Appl. Cryst., 20, 79-83. Asymmetry correction of L.W. Finger, D.E. Cox & A. P. Jephcoat (1994). J. Appl. Cryst., 27, 892-900. Microstrain broadening by P.W. Stephens, (1999). J. Appl. Cryst., 32, 281-289. #1(GU) = 0.000 #2(GV) = 0.000 #3(GW) = 0.000 #4(GP) = 9.252 #5(LX) $= 0.900 \ \#6(\text{ptec}) = 0.00 \ \#7(\text{trns}) = 0.00 \ \#8(\text{shft})$  $= -3.8100 \ \#9(sfec) = 0.00 \ \#10(S/L) = 0.0208$ #11(H/L) = 0.0005 #12(eta) = 0.7500 #13(S400)) = 0.0E + 00 # 14(S040) = 7.8E - 04 # 15(S004) =1.5E-04 # 16(S220) = 3.7E-04 # 17(S202) =6.1E-04 #18(S022) = -1.1E-03 Peak tails are ignored where the intensity is below 0.0010 times the peak Aniso. broadening axis 0.0 0.0 1.0 40 parameters 23 restraints  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.05$ Background function: GSAS Background function number 1 with 34 terms. Shifted Chebyshev function of 1st kind 1: 118.082 2: -166.900 3: 123.865 4: -59.7925 5: 10.6865 6: 21.3336 7: -31.0975 8: 23.2200 9: -6.96687 10: -9.51726 11: 20.8794 12: -23.8022 13: 18.4347 14: -9.14997 15: -1.10995 16: 9.35323 17: -13.3633 18: 13.2873 19: -9.61569 20: 4.08246 21: 1.61524 22: -5.79316 23: 6.77390 24: -5.01271 25: 2.27833 26: 0.646733 27: -2.78842 28: 3.78393 29: -3.23100 30: 2.18997 31: -0.908158 32: -0.401332 33: 0.778547 34: -0.792308Preferred orientation correction: March-Dollase AXIS 1 Ratio= 0.79858 h= 1.000 k= 0.000 l= 0.000 Prefered orientation correction range: Min= 0.71363, Max= 1.96360

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Sr1	0.60125 (9)	0.46444 (17)	0.2136 (2)	0.0206 (3)*	
Cl1	0.4370 (3)	0.2794 (4)	0.4905 (4)	0.0254 (3)*	
C12	0.1592 (2)	0.3965 (4)	0.6866 (4)	0.0275 (3)*	
01	0.1833 (5)	0.2549 (6)	0.7237 (14)	0.0271 (14)*	
O2	0.2200 (4)	0.4878 (11)	0.7587 (12)	0.0335 (14)*	
03	0.1636 (7)	0.4175 (13)	0.5363 (6)	0.0364 (14)*	
04	0.0665 (4)	0.4250 (10)	0.7362 (11)	0.0225 (14)*	
05	0.3759 (6)	0.2145 (7)	0.3888 (11)	0.0356 (14)*	
06	0.3829 (6)	0.3692 (11)	0.5799 (10)	0.0361 (14)*	

# supporting information

O7	0.4728 (6)	0.1764 (11)	0.5835 (12)	0.0315 (14)*
08	0.5132 (6)	0.3514 (12)	0.4267 (12)	0.0305 (14)*

*Geometric parameters (Å, °)* 

Geometric purumeters (A, )					
Sr1—Cl1	3.931 (4)	Cl2—Sr1 <sup>ix</sup>	3.945 (3)		
Sr1—Cl1 <sup>i</sup>	3.937 (4)	Cl2—Sr1 <sup>ii</sup>	3.778 (3)		
Sr1—Cl1 <sup>ii</sup>	3.779 (4)	Cl2—Sr1 <sup>x</sup>	3.746 (4)		
Sr1—Cl1 <sup>iii</sup>	3.669 (4)	Cl2—Sr1 <sup>xi</sup>	3.898 (4)		
Sr1—Cl2 <sup>iv</sup>	3.945 (3)	Cl2—O1	1.469 (5)		
Sr1—Cl2 <sup>ii</sup>	3.778 (3)	Cl2—O2	1.414 (5)		
Sr1—Cl2 <sup>v</sup>	3.746 (4)	Cl2—O3	1.425 (5)		
Sr1—Cl2 <sup>vi</sup>	3.898 (4)	Cl2—O4	1.422 (5)		
Sr1—O1 <sup>v</sup>	2.512 (5)	O1—Sr1 <sup>x</sup>	2.512 (5)		
Sr1—O2 <sup>ii</sup>	2.591 (5)	O1—Cl2	1.469 (5)		
Sr1—O3 <sup>vi</sup>	2.546 (5)	O2—Sr1 <sup>ii</sup>	2.591 (5)		
$Sr1-04^{iv}$	2.622 (5)	O2—C12	1.414 (5)		
Sr1—O5 <sup>iii</sup>	2.650 (5)	O3—Sr1 <sup>xi</sup>	2.546 (5)		
Sr1—O6 <sup>ii</sup>	2.540 (5)	O3—C12	1.425 (5)		
Sr1—O7 <sup>i</sup>	2.590 (5)	O4—Sr1 <sup>ix</sup>	2.622 (5)		
Sr1—O8	2.604 (8)	O4—Cl2	1.422 (5)		
Cl1—Sr1	3.931 (4)	O5—Sr1 <sup>viii</sup>	2.650 (5)		
Cl1—Sr1 <sup>vii</sup>	3.937 (4)	O5—Cl1	1.436 (5)		
Cl1—Sr1 <sup>ii</sup>	3.779 (4)	O6—Sr1 <sup>ii</sup>	2.540 (5)		
Cl1—Sr1 <sup>viii</sup>	3.669 (4)	O6—C11	1.436 (5)		
Cl1—O5	1.436 (5)	O7—Sr1 <sup>vii</sup>	2.590 (5)		
Cl1—O6	1.436 (5)	O7—C11	1.426 (5)		
Cl1—07	1.426 (5)	O8—Sr1	2.604 (8)		
Cl1—08	1.423 (5)	O8—C11	1.423 (5)		
O1 <sup>v</sup> —Sr1—O2 <sup>ii</sup>	71.2 (3)	O5 <sup>iii</sup> —Sr1—O8	136.8 (4)		
$O1^{v}$ — $Sr1$ — $O3^{vi}$	84.3 (4)	$O6^{ii}$ —Sr1— $O7^{i}$	139.7 (3)		
$O1^v$ — $Sr1$ — $O4^{iv}$	138.9 (3)	O6 <sup>ii</sup> —Sr1—O8	74.3 (4)		
O1 <sup>v</sup> —Sr1—O5 <sup>iii</sup>	144.9 (3)	O7 <sup>i</sup> —Sr1—O8	78.3 (4)		
$O1^v$ — $Sr1$ — $O6^{ii}$	109.2 (4)	O5—Cl1—O6	109.7 (5)		
$O1^v$ — $Sr1$ — $O7^i$	88.9 (4)	O5—Cl1—O7	108.0 (7)		
O1 <sup>v</sup> —Sr1—O8	71.3 (4)	O5—Cl1—O8	113.5 (7)		
$O2^{ii}$ —Sr1—O3 <sup>vi</sup>	77.6 (3)	O6—Cl1—O7	105.4 (7)		
$O2^{ii}$ —Sr1—O4 <sup>iv</sup>	143.6 (3)	O6—C11—O8	110.3 (7)		
O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Sr1—O5 <sup>iii</sup>	75.5 (3)	O7—Cl1—O8	109.7 (7)		
$O2^{ii}$ —Sr1—O6 <sup>ii</sup>	73.8 (3)	O1—Cl2—O2	110.0 (7)		
$O2^{ii}$ —Sr1—O7 <sup>i</sup>	146.3 (3)	O1—Cl2—O3	111.1 (7)		
O2 <sup>ii</sup> —Sr1—O8	118.0 (4)	O1—Cl2—O4	108.8 (6)		
$O3^{vi}$ — $Sr1$ — $O4^{iv}$	117.7 (3)	O2—Cl2—O3	110.7 (8)		
O3 <sup>vi</sup> —Sr1—O5 <sup>iii</sup>	77.9 (3)	O2—Cl2—O4	106.4 (6)		
$O3^{vi}$ —Sr1— $O6^{ii}$	141.9 (4)	O3—Cl2—O4	109.6 (6)		
$O3^{vi}$ —Sr1—O7 <sup>i</sup>	73.4 (3)	Sr1 <sup>x</sup> —O1—Cl2	139.0 (5)		
O3 <sup>vi</sup> —Sr1—O8	142.8 (4)	Sr1 <sup>ii</sup> —O2—Cl2	139.4 (5)		

# supporting information

O4 <sup>iv</sup> —Sr1—O5 <sup>iii</sup>	76.1 (3)	Sr1 <sup>xi</sup> —O3—Cl2	157.0 (6)
$O4^{iv}$ —Sr1—O6 <sup>ii</sup>	75.8 (3)	Sr1 <sup>ix</sup> —O4—Cl2	153.3 (6)
$O4^{iv}$ —Sr1—O7 <sup>i</sup>	67.6 (3)	Sr1 <sup>viii</sup> —O5—Cl1	125.1 (4)
O4 <sup>iv</sup> —Sr1—O8	71.2 (4)	Sr1 <sup>ii</sup> —O6—Cl1	142.2 (5)
O5 <sup>iii</sup> —Sr1—O6 <sup>ii</sup>	70.9 (4)	Sr1 <sup>vii</sup> —O7—Cl1	156.2 (7)
$O5^{iii}$ —Sr1—O7 <sup>i</sup>	114.04 (3)	Sr1-08-Cl1	153.9 (7)

Symmetry codes: (i) x, -y+1/2, z-1/2; (ii) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; (iii) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+1/2; (iv) -x+1/2, -y+1, z-1/2; (v) x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1; (vi) x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1/2; (vi) x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1/2; (vi) x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1/2; (vi) x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1/2; (vi) x+1/2, -z+1/2; (vi)