



Crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis of (2*E*)-1-(3-bromophenyl)-3-(4-fluorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one

Zeliha Atioğlu,^a S. Bindya,^b Mehmet Akkurt^{c*} and C. S. Chidan Kumar^d

Received 17 December 2018

Accepted 28 December 2018

Edited by D.-J. Xu, Zhejiang University (Yuquan Campus), China

Keywords: crystal structure; 3-bromophenyl ring; 4-fluorophenyl ring; a prop-2-en-1-one spacer; Hirshfeld surface analysis.

CCDC reference: 1036740

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

^aIlke Education and Health Foundation, Cappadocia University, Cappadocia Vocational College, The Medical Imaging Techniques Program, 50420 Mustafapaşa, Ürgüp, Nevşehir, Turkey, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Sri Jayachamarajendra College of Engineering, JSS Science & Technology University, Mysore 570006, Karnataka, India, ^cDepartment of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey, and ^dDepartment of Engineering Chemistry, Vidya Vikas Institute of Engineering & Technology, Visvesvaraya Technological University, Alanahalli, Mysuru 570028, Karnataka, India. *Correspondence e-mail: akkurt@erciyes.edu.tr

In the title compound, C₁₅H₁₀BrFO, the molecular structure consists of a 3-bromophenyl ring and a 4-fluorophenyl ring linked *via* a prop-2-en-1-one spacer. The 3-bromophenyl and 4-fluorophenyl rings make a dihedral angle of 48.90 (15)°. The molecule has an *E* configuration about the C=C bond and the carbonyl group is *syn* with respect to the C=C bond. In the crystal, molecules are linked by C—H···π interactions between the bromophenyl and fluoro-phenyl rings of molecules, resulting in a two-dimensional layered structure parallel to the *ab* plane. The molecular packing is stabilized by weak Br···H and F···H contacts, one of which is on the one side of each layer, and the second is on the other. The intermolecular interactions in the crystal packing were further analysed using Hirshfeld surface analysis, which indicates that the most significant contacts are Cl···H/H···Cl (20.8%), followed by C···H/H···C (31.1%), H···H (21.7%), Br···H/H···Br (14.2%), F···H/H···F (9.8%), O···H/H···O (9.7%).

1. Chemical context

An aromatic ketone and an enone that forms the central core for a variety of important biological compounds, which are known collectively as chalcones or chalconoids. Chalcones are 1,3-diphenyl-2-propene-1-one, in which two aromatic rings are linked by a three carbon α,β -unsaturated carbonyl system. The α,β -unsaturated ketone group in chalcones is responsible for their enzyme inhibitory activity including xanthine oxidase, aldose reductase, soluble epoxide hydrolase, protein tyrosine kinase, quinonone reductase and mono amine oxidase (Amita *et al.*, 2014). Chalcones are abundant in nature starting from ferns to higher plants and a number of them are polyhydroxylated in the aryl rings. They are considered to be precursors of flavonoids and isoflavonoids. Chalcones possess conjugated double bonds and a completely delocalized π -electron system on both benzene rings. Molecules that possess such a system have relatively low redox potentials and have a greater probability of undergoing electron-transfer reactions. Crystal structures have been reported for 3-(3-bromophenyl)-1-(4-bromophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one (Teh *et al.*, 2006), 3-(3-bromophenyl)-1-(2-naphthyl)prop-2-en-1-one (Moorthi *et al.*, 2007), (*E*)-1-(3-bromophenyl)-3-(4-ethoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one (Fun *et al.*, 2008), (*E*)-3-(biphenyl-4-yl)-1-(3-bromophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one (Dutkiewicz *et al.*, 2009), (2*E*)-1-(3-




Table 1


Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

Cg_1 and Cg_2 are the centroids of the 3-bromophenyl (C1–C6) and 4-fluorophenyl (C10–C15) rings, respectively.

$D-H\cdots A$	$D-H$	$H\cdots A$	$D\cdots A$	$D-H\cdots A$
$C2-H2A\cdots Cg_2^i$	0.93	2.93	3.571 (4)	127
$C5-H5A\cdots Cg_2^{ii}$	0.93	2.98	3.642 (3)	129
$C14-H14A\cdots Cg_1^{iii}$	0.93	2.90	3.590 (3)	132


Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$; (ii) $-x+2, -y, -z+1$; (iii) $-x+1, -y, -z+1$.

bromophenyl)-3-(6-methoxy-2-naphthyl)prop-2-en-1-one (Harrison *et al.*, 2010), (*2E*)-1-(3-bromophenyl)-3-(4,5-dimethoxy-2-nitrophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one (Jasinski *et al.*, 2010), (*E*)-1-(3-bromophenyl)-3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one (Escobar *et al.*, 2012), (*E*)-1-(3-bromophenyl)-3-(4-nitrophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one (Harini *et al.*, 2017) and (*E*)-1-(3-bromophenyl)-3-(3-fluorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one (Rajendraprasad *et al.*, 2017). We herewith report the crystal and molecular structure of the title compound.



2. Structural commentary

As shown in Fig. 1, the title compound is constructed from two aromatic rings (3-bromophenyl and 4-fluorophenyl rings), which are linked by a $C=C(O)-C$ enone bridge. Probably as a result of the steric repulsion between the fluoride and bromine atoms of adjacent molecules, the $C5-C6-C7-O1$ and $O1-C7-C8-C9$ torsion angles about the enone bridge are 25.1 (4) and 14.0 (5)°, respectively. Hence, the dihedral angle between the 3-bromophenyl ring and the 4-fluorophenyl ring increases to 48.90 (15)°. The molecular conformation of the title compound is stabilized by intramolecular $C-H\cdots Cl$ contacts (Table 1), producing $S(6)$ and


Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, showing the atom labelling and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.

Table 2

Summary of short interatomic contacts (Å) in the title compound.


Contact	Distance	Symmetry operation
$Br1\cdots Br1$	3.7222 (6)	$3-x, 1-y, -z$
$H3A\cdots Br1$	3.19	$2-x, 1-y, -z$
$H12A\cdots F1$	2.66	$1-x, -y, 2-z$
$H1A\cdots O1$	2.82	$-1+x, y, z$
$H11A\cdots C3$	3.01	$2-x, 1-y, 1-z$
$H14A\cdots C6$	2.95	$1-x, -y, 1-z$
$H2A\cdots C10$	2.89	$1-x, 1-y, 1-z$
$H5A\cdots C15$	3.00	$2-x, -y, 1-z$

$S(5)$ ring motifs. The bond lengths and angles are comparable with those found in the related compounds (*2E*)-3-(3-chlorophenyl)-1-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one (Sheshadri *et al.*, 2018a), (*2E*)-3-(3-bromo-4-fluorophenyl)-1-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one (Sheshadri *et al.*, 2018b), (*E*)-3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-1-(1-hydroxynaphthalen-2-yl)prop-2-en-1-one (Ezhilarasi *et al.*, 2015), (*E*)-1-(3-bromophenyl)-3-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one (Escobar *et al.*, 2012) and (*E*)-3-(2-bromophenyl)-1-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one (Li *et al.*, 2012).

3. Supramolecular features and Hirshfeld surface analysis

In the crystal, molecules are linked by $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions between the bromophenyl and fluorophenyl rings of molecules, resulting in a two-dimensional layered structure parallel to the ab plane (Table 1; Fig. 2). The molecular packing is stabilized by weak $Br\cdots H$ and $\cdots H$ contacts, one of which is on the one side of a layer, and the second is on the other. A summary of the short contacts is given in Table 2.

Hirshfeld surfaces and fingerprint plots were generated for the title compound using *CrystalExplorer* (McKinnon *et al.*, 2007). Hirshfeld surfaces enable the visualization of intermolecular interactions by different colours and colour inten-


Figure 2

A view of the $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions in the title compound.

Table 3

Percentage contributions of interatomic contacts to the Hirshfeld surface for the compound.

Contact	Percentage contribution
C...H/H...C	31.1
H...H	21.7
Br...H/H...Br	14.2
F...H/H...F	9.8
O...H/H...O	9.7
C...C	3.4
Br...F/F...Br	3.1
F...C/C...F	1.8
Br...C/C...Br	1.5
C...O/O...C	1.5
F...F	1.3
Br...Br	0.9

sity, representing short or long contacts and indicating the relative strength of the interactions.

The function d_{norm} is a ratio enclosing the distances of any surface point to the nearest interior (d_i) and exterior (d_e) atom and the van der Waals radii of the atoms (Hirshfeld, 1977; Soman *et al.*, 2014). The function d_{norm} will be equal to zero when intermolecular distances are close to van der Waals contacts. They are indicated by a white colour on the Hirshfeld surface, while contacts longer than the sum of van der Waals radii with positive d_{norm} values are coloured in blue. The surface plot for d_{norm} (Fig. 3) was generated using a high standard surface resolution over a colour scale of -0.0186 to 1.3784 a.u.

The overall two-dimensional fingerprint plot for the title compound and those delineated into C...H/H...C, H...H, Br...H/H...Br, F...H/H...F and O...H/H...O contacts are illustrated in Fig. 4. The percentage contributions of the various interatomic contacts to the Hirshfeld surfaces are given in Table 3. The presence of C—H... π interactions in the crystal is indicated by the pair of characteristic wings in the fingerprint plot delineated into C...H/H...C contacts (31.1% contribution to the Hirshfeld surface). The C...H/H...C interactions are represented by the spikes at the bottom right and left ($d_e + d_i \approx 2.75$ Å). H...H contacts are disfavoured when the number of H atoms on the molecular surface is large.




Figure 3

A view of the Hirshfeld surface of the title compound mapped over d_{norm} , using a fixed colour scale of -0.0186 (red) to 1.3784 (blue) a.u.




Figure 4

The two-dimensional fingerprint plots of the title compound.

The Br...H/H...Br and F...H/H...F contacts (Fig. 4) in the structure with 14.2 and 9.8% contributions, respectively, to the Hirshfeld surface are viewed as pairs of spikes with the tips at $d_e + d_i \approx 3.05$ and 2.45 Å, respectively.

4. Synthesis and crystallization

The title compound was synthesized as per the procedure reported earlier (Kumar *et al.*, 2013a,b). 1-(3-Bromophenyl)ethanone (0.01 mol) and 4-fluorobenzaldehyde (0.01 mol) were dissolved in 30 ml methanol. A catalytic amount of NaOH was added to the solution dropwise under vigorous stirring. The reaction mixture was stirred for about 4 h at room temperature. The formed crude products were filtered, washed successively with distilled water and recrystallized from methanol to obtain the title chalcone. The melting point (338–

342 K) was determined using a Stuart Scientific (UK) apparatus.

5. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 4. H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using riding model, with C–H = 0.93 Å and $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$. Owing to poor agreement between observed and calculated intensities, thirteen outliers ($\bar{1}15$, 131 , $\bar{3}26$, 043 , 254 , $\bar{1}23$, $\bar{2}28$, 150 , 253 , $\bar{1}11$, $\bar{3}25$, 543 , 623) were omitted in the final cycles of refinement.

Acknowledgements

The authors extend their appreciation to the Vidya Vikas Research & Development Centre for the facilities and encouragement.

References

- Amita, S. R., Lalitha, S. & Srinivasan, K. K. (2014). *Research Journal of Chemical Sciences*, **4**, 56–59.
- Bruker (2007). *APEX2*, *SAINT* and *SADABS*. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Dutkiewicz, G., Chidan Kumar, C. S., Yathirajan, H. S., Narayana, B. & Kubicki, M. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **E65**, o2856–o2857.
- Escobar, C. A., Trujillo, A., Howard, J. A. K. & Fuentealba, M. (2012). *Acta Cryst.* **E68**, o887.
- Ezhilarasi, K. S., Reuben Jonathan, D., Vasanthi, R., Revathi, B. K. & Usha, G. (2015). *Acta Cryst.* **E71**, o371–o372.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). *J. Appl. Cryst.* **45**, 849–854.
- Fun, H.-K., Chantrapromma, S., Patil, P. S. & Dharmaparakash, S. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **E64**, o1356–o1357.
- Harini, K. S., Quah, C. K., Chidan Kumar, C. S., Chandrāju, S., Lokanath, N. K., Naveen, S. & Warad, I. (2017). *IUCrData*, **2**, x170287.
- Harrison, W. T. A., Mayekar, A. N., Yathirajan, H. S. & Narayana, B. (2010). *Acta Cryst.* **E66**, o2552.
- Hirshfeld, F. L. (1977). *Theor. Chim. Acta*, **44**, 129–138.
- Jasinski, J. P., Butcher, R. J., Chidan Kumar, C. S., Yathirajan, H. S. & Mayekar, A. N. (2010). *Acta Cryst.* **E66**, o2936–o2937.
- Kumar, C. S. C., Loh, W. S., Ooi, C. W., Quah, C. K. & Fun, H. K. (2013a). *Molecules*, **18**, 11996–12011.
- Kumar, C. S. C., Loh, W. S., Ooi, C. W., Quah, C. K. & Fun, H. K. (2013b). *Molecules*, **18**, 12707–12724.
- Li, Z., Wang, Y., Peng, K., Chen, L. & Chu, S. (2012). *Acta Cryst.* **E68**, o776.
- McKinnon, J. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2007). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 3814–3816.

Table 4
Experimental details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	C ₁₅ H ₁₀ BrFO
M_r	305.14
Crystal system, space group	Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$
Temperature (K)	294
a, b, c (Å)	5.9255 (6), 7.5867 (8), 14.1427 (15)
α, β, γ (°)	89.774 (2), 82.671 (2), 87.712 (2)
V (Å ³)	630.09 (11)
Z	2
Radiation type	Mo $K\alpha$
μ (mm ⁻¹)	3.26
Crystal size (mm)	0.30 × 0.28 × 0.26
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker APEXII CCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker, 2007)
T_{min} , T_{max}	0.398, 0.431
No. of measured, independent and observed [$I > 2\sigma(I)$] reflections	9618, 2442, 2101
R_{int}	0.023
$(\sin \theta/\lambda)_{\text{max}}$ (Å ⁻¹)	0.617
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)]$, $wR(F^2)$, S	0.038, 0.105, 1.10
No. of reflections	2442
No. of parameters	163
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}}$, $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}}$ (e Å ⁻³)	0.76, -0.40

Computer programs: *APEX2* and *SAINT* (Bruker, 2007), *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008), *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015), *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 2012) and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

- Moorthi, S. S., Chinnakali, K., Nanjundan, S., Radhakrishnanan, S. & Fun, H.-K. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* **E63**, o692–o694.
- Rajendraprasad, S., Chidan Kumar, C. S., Quah, C. K., Chandrāju, S., Lokanath, N. K., Naveen, S. & Warad, I. (2017). *IUCrData*, **2**, x170379.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). *Acta Cryst.* **C71**, 3–8.
- Sheshadri, S. N., Atioğlu, Z., Akkurt, M., Chidan Kumar, C. S., Quah, C. K., Siddarāju, B. P. & Veeraiah, M. K. (2018a). *Acta Cryst.* **E74**, 935–938.
- Sheshadri, S. N., Atioğlu, Z., Akkurt, M., Veeraiah, M. K., Quah, C. K., Chidan Kumar, C. S. & Siddarāju, B. P. (2018b). *Acta Cryst.* **E74**, 1063–1066.
- Soman, R., Sujatha, S. & Arunkumar, C. (2014). *J. Fluor. Chem.* **163**, 16–22.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). *Acta Cryst.* **D65**, 148–155.
- Teh, J. B.-J., Patil, P. S., Fun, H.-K., Razak, I. A. & Dharmaparakash, S. M. (2006). *Acta Cryst.* **E62**, o2399–o2400.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2019). E75, 146-149 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989018018418]

Crystal structure and Hirshfeld surface analysis of (2*E*)-1-(3-bromophenyl)-3-(4-fluorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one

Zeliha Atiöglu, S. Bindya, Mehmet Akkurt and C. S. Chidan Kumar

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: *SAINTE* (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: *SAINTE* (Bruker, 2007); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 2012); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

(2*E*)-1-(3-Bromophenyl)-3-(4-fluorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one

Crystal data

C₁₅H₁₀BrFO

$M_r = 305.14$

Triclinic, $P\bar{1}$

$a = 5.9255$ (6) Å

$b = 7.5867$ (8) Å

$c = 14.1427$ (15) Å

$\alpha = 89.774$ (2)°

$\beta = 82.671$ (2)°

$\gamma = 87.712$ (2)°

$V = 630.09$ (11) Å³

$Z = 2$

$F(000) = 304$

$D_x = 1.608$ Mg m⁻³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 4763 reflections

$\theta = 3.6$ – 27.3 °

$\mu = 3.26$ mm⁻¹

$T = 294$ K

Block, colourless

$0.30 \times 0.28 \times 0.26$ mm

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD

diffractometer

φ and ω scans

Absorption correction: multi-scan

(SADABS; Bruker, 2007)

$T_{\min} = 0.398$, $T_{\max} = 0.431$

9618 measured reflections

2442 independent reflections

2101 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\text{int}} = 0.023$

$\theta_{\max} = 26.0$ °, $\theta_{\min} = 1.5$ °

$h = -7 \rightarrow 7$

$k = -7 \rightarrow 9$

$l = -17 \rightarrow 17$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2

Least-squares matrix: full

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$

$wR(F^2) = 0.105$

$S = 1.10$

2442 reflections

163 parameters

0 restraints

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0481P)^2 + 0.4984P]$

where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\max} = 0.001$

$\Delta\rho_{\max} = 0.76$ e Å⁻³

$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.40$ e Å⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
Br1	1.29286 (6)	0.37541 (6)	0.08193 (2)	0.06864 (18)
F1	0.2394 (4)	−0.0245 (4)	0.91227 (16)	0.0855 (7)
O1	1.2246 (4)	0.2758 (4)	0.46700 (17)	0.0609 (6)
C1	0.7494 (5)	0.4234 (4)	0.3499 (2)	0.0485 (7)
H1A	0.639101	0.432526	0.402871	0.058*
C2	0.7020 (5)	0.4863 (5)	0.2621 (3)	0.0553 (8)
H2A	0.560236	0.540073	0.257032	0.066*
C3	0.8602 (5)	0.4709 (4)	0.1826 (2)	0.0530 (8)
H3A	0.825976	0.511138	0.123703	0.064*
C4	1.0720 (5)	0.3940 (4)	0.1920 (2)	0.0440 (6)
C5	1.1280 (5)	0.3320 (4)	0.2783 (2)	0.0408 (6)
H5A	1.271938	0.281871	0.283008	0.049*
C6	0.9640 (5)	0.3463 (4)	0.3583 (2)	0.0401 (6)
C7	1.0259 (5)	0.2821 (4)	0.4522 (2)	0.0453 (7)
C8	0.8399 (5)	0.2254 (4)	0.5237 (2)	0.0491 (7)
H8A	0.697720	0.207874	0.504874	0.059*
C9	0.8697 (5)	0.1987 (4)	0.6141 (2)	0.0437 (6)
H9A	1.012966	0.220989	0.630447	0.052*
C10	0.7013 (5)	0.1380 (4)	0.6910 (2)	0.0402 (6)
C11	0.7434 (5)	0.1542 (4)	0.7850 (2)	0.0479 (7)
H11A	0.878507	0.202032	0.797670	0.058*
C12	0.5888 (6)	0.1008 (5)	0.8598 (2)	0.0585 (8)
H12A	0.617731	0.112494	0.922560	0.070*
C13	0.3923 (6)	0.0304 (5)	0.8394 (2)	0.0550 (8)
C14	0.3445 (5)	0.0080 (4)	0.7482 (2)	0.0500 (7)
H14A	0.211180	−0.044035	0.736707	0.060*
C15	0.4981 (5)	0.0640 (4)	0.6738 (2)	0.0456 (7)
H15A	0.466180	0.052442	0.611505	0.055*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Br1	0.0651 (3)	0.0932 (3)	0.0443 (2)	0.00443 (19)	0.00298 (15)	0.01381 (18)
F1	0.0780 (15)	0.117 (2)	0.0573 (13)	−0.0210 (14)	0.0140 (11)	0.0172 (13)
O1	0.0431 (12)	0.0916 (19)	0.0486 (13)	−0.0062 (12)	−0.0076 (9)	0.0087 (12)
C1	0.0376 (14)	0.0507 (18)	0.0559 (18)	−0.0022 (13)	−0.0009 (13)	−0.0040 (14)
C2	0.0405 (15)	0.0551 (19)	0.072 (2)	0.0047 (14)	−0.0157 (15)	0.0050 (16)
C3	0.0502 (17)	0.0548 (19)	0.0564 (19)	−0.0041 (14)	−0.0162 (14)	0.0139 (15)
C4	0.0426 (14)	0.0470 (16)	0.0416 (15)	−0.0025 (13)	−0.0023 (12)	0.0043 (12)

C5	0.0359 (13)	0.0421 (15)	0.0444 (15)	-0.0014 (12)	-0.0049 (11)	0.0028 (12)
C6	0.0368 (13)	0.0397 (15)	0.0442 (15)	-0.0062 (11)	-0.0052 (11)	-0.0003 (12)
C7	0.0435 (15)	0.0490 (17)	0.0440 (15)	-0.0073 (13)	-0.0059 (12)	-0.0013 (13)
C8	0.0437 (15)	0.0570 (19)	0.0474 (17)	-0.0093 (14)	-0.0067 (13)	0.0011 (14)
C9	0.0398 (14)	0.0441 (16)	0.0468 (16)	-0.0008 (12)	-0.0048 (12)	0.0003 (13)
C10	0.0418 (14)	0.0342 (14)	0.0445 (15)	0.0027 (11)	-0.0062 (12)	0.0009 (12)
C11	0.0460 (16)	0.0496 (17)	0.0495 (17)	-0.0009 (13)	-0.0117 (13)	0.0032 (13)
C12	0.065 (2)	0.071 (2)	0.0397 (16)	-0.0030 (17)	-0.0085 (14)	0.0045 (15)
C13	0.0530 (18)	0.060 (2)	0.0490 (18)	0.0006 (15)	0.0050 (14)	0.0107 (15)
C14	0.0425 (15)	0.0476 (17)	0.0597 (19)	-0.0055 (13)	-0.0049 (13)	0.0022 (14)
C15	0.0478 (16)	0.0470 (17)	0.0424 (15)	-0.0023 (13)	-0.0071 (12)	-0.0008 (13)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Br1—C4	1.903 (3)	C8—C9	1.327 (4)
F1—C13	1.358 (4)	C8—H8A	0.9300
O1—C7	1.221 (4)	C9—C10	1.465 (4)
C1—C2	1.387 (5)	C9—H9A	0.9300
C1—C6	1.397 (4)	C10—C11	1.390 (4)
C1—H1A	0.9300	C10—C15	1.398 (4)
C2—C3	1.371 (5)	C11—C12	1.379 (5)
C2—H2A	0.9300	C11—H11A	0.9300
C3—C4	1.384 (4)	C12—C13	1.364 (5)
C3—H3A	0.9300	C12—H12A	0.9300
C4—C5	1.382 (4)	C13—C14	1.368 (5)
C5—C6	1.396 (4)	C14—C15	1.378 (4)
C5—H5A	0.9300	C14—H14A	0.9300
C6—C7	1.496 (4)	C15—H15A	0.9300
C7—C8	1.474 (4)		
C2—C1—C6	119.6 (3)	C7—C8—H8A	119.1
C2—C1—H1A	120.2	C8—C9—C10	127.1 (3)
C6—C1—H1A	120.2	C8—C9—H9A	116.5
C3—C2—C1	121.2 (3)	C10—C9—H9A	116.5
C3—C2—H2A	119.4	C11—C10—C15	118.2 (3)
C1—C2—H2A	119.4	C11—C10—C9	119.2 (3)
C2—C3—C4	118.6 (3)	C15—C10—C9	122.5 (3)
C2—C3—H3A	120.7	C12—C11—C10	121.3 (3)
C4—C3—H3A	120.7	C12—C11—H11A	119.3
C5—C4—C3	122.2 (3)	C10—C11—H11A	119.3
C5—C4—Br1	119.3 (2)	C13—C12—C11	118.3 (3)
C3—C4—Br1	118.5 (2)	C13—C12—H12A	120.9
C4—C5—C6	118.6 (3)	C11—C12—H12A	120.9
C4—C5—H5A	120.7	F1—C13—C12	119.0 (3)
C6—C5—H5A	120.7	F1—C13—C14	118.2 (3)
C5—C6—C1	119.8 (3)	C12—C13—C14	122.8 (3)
C5—C6—C7	118.6 (3)	C13—C14—C15	118.6 (3)
C1—C6—C7	121.5 (3)	C13—C14—H14A	120.7

O1—C7—C8	122.3 (3)	C15—C14—H14A	120.7
O1—C7—C6	120.2 (3)	C14—C15—C10	120.7 (3)
C8—C7—C6	117.5 (2)	C14—C15—H15A	119.6
C9—C8—C7	121.9 (3)	C10—C15—H15A	119.6
C9—C8—H8A	119.1		
C6—C1—C2—C3	1.5 (5)	C6—C7—C8—C9	-166.9 (3)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-1.4 (5)	C7—C8—C9—C10	-178.2 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C5	0.3 (5)	C8—C9—C10—C11	-165.8 (3)
C2—C3—C4—Br1	-179.2 (3)	C8—C9—C10—C15	14.1 (5)
C3—C4—C5—C6	0.7 (5)	C15—C10—C11—C12	-0.7 (5)
Br1—C4—C5—C6	-179.9 (2)	C9—C10—C11—C12	179.2 (3)
C4—C5—C6—C1	-0.6 (4)	C10—C11—C12—C13	0.3 (5)
C4—C5—C6—C7	-179.0 (3)	C11—C12—C13—F1	179.3 (3)
C2—C1—C6—C5	-0.5 (4)	C11—C12—C13—C14	1.2 (6)
C2—C1—C6—C7	177.9 (3)	F1—C13—C14—C15	179.7 (3)
C5—C6—C7—O1	25.1 (4)	C12—C13—C14—C15	-2.1 (5)
C1—C6—C7—O1	-153.3 (3)	C13—C14—C15—C10	1.7 (5)
C5—C6—C7—C8	-154.0 (3)	C11—C10—C15—C14	-0.3 (5)
C1—C6—C7—C8	27.6 (4)	C9—C10—C15—C14	179.8 (3)
O1—C7—C8—C9	14.0 (5)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (\AA , $^\circ$)

*Cg*1 and *Cg*2 are the centroids of the 3-bromophenyl (C1–C6) and 4-fluorophenyl (C10–C15) rings, respectively.

<i>D</i> —H... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> ... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H... <i>A</i>
C9—H9 <i>A</i> ...O1	0.93	2.53	2.840 (4)	100
C2—H2 <i>A</i> ... <i>Cg</i> 2 ⁱ	0.93	2.93	3.571 (4)	127
C5—H5 <i>A</i> ... <i>Cg</i> 2 ⁱⁱ	0.93	2.98	3.642 (3)	129
C14—H14 <i>A</i> ... <i>Cg</i> 1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.93	2.90	3.590 (3)	132

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$; (ii) $-x+2, -y, -z+1$; (iii) $-x+1, -y, -z+1$.