

Received 18 September 2018 Accepted 19 September 2018

Edited by P. McArdle, National University of Ireland, Ireland

**Keywords:** crystal structure; hydrogen bonding; salicylaldoxime; Hirshfeld surface analysis.

CCDC references: 1868656; 1868655; 1868655; 1868654

**Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





## Different classical hydrogen-bonding patterns in three salicylaldoxime derivatives, 2-HO-4- $XC_6H_3C$ =NOH (X = Me, OH and MeO)

# Ligia R. Gomes,<sup>a,b</sup> Marcus V. N. de Souza,<sup>c</sup> Cristiane F. Da Costa,<sup>c</sup> James L. Wardell<sup>c,d</sup> and John Nicolson Low<sup>d</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>REQUIMTE, Departamento de Química e Bioquímica, Faculdade de Ciências da Universidade do Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre, 687, P-4169-007, Porto, Portugal, <sup>b</sup>FP-ENAS-Faculdade de Ciências de Saúde, Escola Superior de Saúde da UFP, Universidade Fernando Pessoa, Rua Carlos da Maia, 296, P-4200-150 Porto, Portugal, <sup>c</sup>Instituto de Tecnologia em Fármacos e Farmanguinhos, Fundação Oswaldo Cruz, 21041-250 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil, and <sup>d</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Aberdeen, Meston Walk, Old Aberdeen, AB24 3UE, Scotland. \*Correspondence e-mail: jnlow111@gmail.com

The crystal structures of three salicyaldoxime compounds, namely 2-hydroxy-4methylbenzaldehyde oxime, C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>9</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>, 1, 2,4-dihydroxybenzaldehyde oxime,  $C_7H_7NO_3$ , 2, and 2-hydroxy-4-methoxybenzaldehyde oxime,  $C_8H_9NO_3$ , 3, are discussed. In each compound, the hydroxyl groups are essentially coplanar with their attached phenyl group. The interplanar angles between the C = N - Omoieties of the oxime unit and their attached phenyl rings are 0.08 (9), 1.08 (15) and  $6.65 (15)^{\circ}$  in 1, 2 and 3, respectively. In all three molecules, the 2-hydroxy group forms an intramolecular  $O-H \cdots N(\text{oxime})$  hydrogen bond. In compound (1), intermolecular  $O-H(oxime)\cdots O(hydroxyl)$  hydrogen bonds generate  $R_2^2(14)$  dimers, related by inversion centres. In compound 2, intermolecular  $O-H(\text{oxime})\cdots O(4-\text{hydroxy})$  hydrogen bonds generate C9 chains along the baxis direction, while  $O-H(4-hydroxyl)\cdots O(2-hydroxyl)$  interactions form zigzag C6 spiral chains along the c-axis direction, generated by a screw axis at 1, y, 1/4: the combination of the two chains provides a bimolecular sheet running parallel to the b axis, which lies between 0-1/2 c and 1/2-1 c. In compound 3, similar C9 chains, along the b-axis direction are generated by O- $H(\text{oxime})\cdots O(4\text{-methoxy})$  hydrogen bonds. Further weaker,  $C-H\cdots \pi$  (in 1),  $\pi$ - $\pi$  (in 2) and both C-H··· $\pi$  and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions (in 3) further cement the three-dimensional structures. Hirshfeld surface and fingerprint analyses are discussed.

### 1. Chemical context

Aldoximes, RCH=NOH, are found in many biologically active compounds (Abele *et al.*, 2008; Nikitjuka & Jirgensons 2014), having a diverse range of uses including as anti-tumor agents (Martínez-Pascual *et al.*, 2017; Qin *et al.*, 2017; Canario *et al.*, 2018; Huang *et al.*, 2018), acaricidal and insecticidal agents (Dai *et al.*, 2017), thymidine phosphorylase inhibitors (Zhao *et al.*, 2018), anti-microbial agents (Yadav *et al.*, 2017), bacteriocides (Kozlowska *et al.*, 2017), anti-inflammatory agents (Mohassab *et al.*, 2017) and in the treatment of nerve-gas poisoning (Lorke *et al.*, 2008; Voicu *et al.*, 2010; Katalinić *et al.*, 2017; Radić *et al.*, 2013). In the plant kingdom, oximes play a vital role in metabolism (Sørensen *et al.*, 2018). A specific interest in 2-hydroxbenzaldehyde derivatives has arisen regarding their use as ligands for metal complexation (Wood *et al.*, 2006, 2008*b*).



The compounds described herein are all salicylaldoxime derivatives (2-HO-4-*X*-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>-CH=NOH) with different substituents in the 4-position, namely a methyl group, a hydroxy group and a methoxy group, respectively, in compounds, **1**, **2** and **3**. A frequent finding for salicylaldoxime derivatives is the formation of inversion-related  $R_2^2(14)$  dimers, as concluded from a Cambridge Structural Database survey (CSD Version 5.39, May 2018 update; Groom *et al.*, 2016). While the structures of many salicylaldoxime derivatives have been reported, the structures of very few compounds with an additional substituent in the 4 position are known.

Compounds 1 and 3 have been shown to have significant activity against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* ATTC 27294. The full report will be published elsewhere (da Costa *et al.*, 2018).

### 2. Structural commentary

There are no unusual features in the molecular structures. Compound 1 (Fig. 1) crystallizes in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1/n$  with one molecule in the asymmetric unit. Compounds 2 and 3 crystallize in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1/c$  with one molecule in the asymmetric unit (Figs. 2 and 3), all having an oxime unit with an (*E*) geometry. Bond angles and bond lengths in the phenyl and oxime fragments are all in the expected ranges.

In compound **1**, the hydroxyl group is essentially coplanar with its attached phenyl group [displaced by 0.020 (1) Å], while the interplanar angle between the C=NO moiety of the oxime unit and the attached phenyl rings is 0.08 (9)°. In compound **2**, the hydroxyl groups lie essentially within the phenyl ring plane [O atoms deviate by -0.003 (1) and 0.006 (1) Å], while the interplanar angle between the C=NO moiety of the oxime unit and the attached phenyl rings is solve the the interplanar angle between the C=NO moiety of the oxime unit and the attached phenyl rings is



Figure 1 The molecular structure of compound 1, showing 80% displacement ellipsoids.

Table 1	
Hydrogen-bond geome	etry (Å, °) for <b>1</b> .

Cg is the centroid of the C1-C6 ring.

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$\begin{array}{c} 02 - H2 \cdots N12 \\ 013 - H13 \cdots O2^{i} \\ 013 - H13 \cdots O13^{ii} \\ C3 - H3 \cdots Cg^{iii} \\ C11 - H11 \cdots Cg^{iv} \end{array}$	0.879 (18) 0.857 (17) 0.857 (17) 0.95 0.95	1.814 (18) 2.019 (17) 2.611 (16) 2.71 2.73	2.6066 (10) 2.8132 (9) 2.8961 (14) 3.4577 (9) 3.4910 (9)	149.0 (15) 153.7 (15) 100.8 (12) 136 138

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1; (ii) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (iii)  $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (iv)  $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

1.08  $(15)^{\circ}$ . In compound **3**, the interplanar angle between the C—NO moiety of the oxime unit and the attached phenyl rings is 6.65  $(15)^{\circ}$ .

In all three molecules, an intramolecular  $O2-H2\cdots N12$  hydrogen bond (Tables 1–3) forms a pseudo six-membered ring.

### 3. Supramolecular features

### 3.1. Hydrogen Bonding

In the crystal of **1**, molecules are linked by  $O13-H13\cdots O2$  hydrogen bonds into inversion-related  $R_4^4(14)$  dimers (Table 1). As stated above, such dimers are the most frequently found arrangement for salicyldoxime derivatives. These  $R_2^2(14)$ , or  $R_4^4(10)$  (via the intramolecular hydrogen bond) dimers are linked into two-molecule-wide chains, propagating in the *a*-axis direction by pairs of O13-



Figure 2

The molecular structure of compound  $\mathbf{2}$ , showing 80% displacement ellipsoids.





## research communications

Table 2			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°) for

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$\begin{array}{c} O2-H2\cdots N12\\ O4-H4\cdots O2^{i}\\ O13-H13\cdots O4^{ii} \end{array}$	0.91 (3)	1.77 (3)	2.5899 (17)	150 (2)
	0.86 (2)	1.85 (2)	2.7062 (16)	174 (2)
	0.86 (3)	1.90 (3)	2.7583 (16)	171 (2)

2.

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 2,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii) x - 1, y - 1, z.

lable	3					
Hydro	gen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°)	for	3.

*Cg* is the centroid of the C1–C6 ring.

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
O2−H2···N12	0.92 (3)	1.81 (3)	2.6518 (19)	152 (2)
$O13-H13\cdots O41^{i}$	0.91 (3)	1.89 (3)	2.7829 (18)	169 (3)
$C141 - H14B \cdots O2^{ii}$	0.98	2.62	3.412 (2)	138
$C3-H3\cdots O2^{ii}$	0.95	2.70	3.570 (2)	154
$C11-H11\cdots Cg^{iii}$	0.95	2.89	3.4524 (6)	128

Symmetry codes: (i) x + 1, y, z; (ii) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1; (iii)  $-x + 1, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

H13···O13 hydrogen bonds, thereby creating  $R_2^2(4)$  rings, as shown in Fig. 4. The H13···O13 lengths in the O13– H13···O13<sup>ii</sup> hydrogen bond are rather long [2.611 (16) Å] with a small angle of 100.8 (12)°. However, such data fits well with published findings for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> rings: a recent CSD (Groom *et al.*, 2016) search revealed more than 500 entries for nonsolvated structures having centrosymmetric H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> rings with H–O–H angles of 120° or less and H···O distances up to the sum of the van der Waals contact radii, 2.72 Å, of oxygen and hydrogen atoms. The two-molecule-wide chains are further linked into a three-dimensional arrangement by C3– H3···Cg<sup>iii</sup> and C11–H11··· Cg<sup>iv</sup> interactions (Table 1). No  $\pi$ –  $\pi$  interactions can be identified.

Compound 2 with two hydroxyl groups, as well as the oxime moiety, produces a much more complex classical hydrogenbonding arrangement than the one found for compound 1. The



Figure 4 Part of a two-molecule-wide chain in 1 (symmetry codes as in Table 1).





Compound 2. Part of a C9 chain, propagating in the *b*-axis direction, formed by  $O13-H13\cdots O4$  hydrogen bonds.

bonding arrangement in **2** can be readily considered to be composed of two elements: a *C*9 chain, generated from O13— H13(oxime)···O4(4-hydroxy)<sup>ii</sup> hydrogen bonds, propagating in the direction of the *b* axis, see Fig. 5, and secondly a zigzag *C*6 spiral chain formed from O4—H4···O2<sup>i</sup> hydrogen bonds, see Fig. 6. The C6 and C9 chains combine to form a bimolecular sheet running parallel to the *b* axis which lies between  $0-\frac{1}{2}c$  and  $\frac{1}{2}-1c$ . These sheets are further linked by moderately strong  $\pi-\pi$  stacking interactions, involving all the phenyl rings in the sheet: the  $Cg \cdots Cg$  separation is 3.7242 (13) Å with a phenyl ring slippage of 1.586 Å. The lack of an  $R_2^2(14)$  dimer in **2** is apparent and results from the preferential interaction of the oxime group with the 4-hydroxyl group rather than with the 2-hydroxy group.

In compound 3, C9 chains are generated from O13– $H13\cdots O41(methoxy)^i$  hydrogen bonds, which propagate in the direction of the *b* axis, see Fig. 7. This chain is similar to that found in compound 2, but involving the methoxy oxygen



**Figure 6** Compound **2**, part of a spiral *C*6 chain formed from  $O4-H4\cdots O2$  hydrogen bonds



Figure 7

Compound 3, part of a C9 chain of molecules formed by  $O13-H13\cdots O41$  hydrogen bonds, propagating along the *a*-axis direction.

atom O41 involved instead of the hydroxy oxygen O4. Interestingly, the parameters of the two hydrogen bonds in the chains of compound 2 and 3 are very similar. The chains in compound **3** are linked into a two-dimensional array by C11-H11...Cg (Table 3) and  $\pi - \pi$  interactions. The centroidcentroid separation in the  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction is 3.7926 (12) Å with a phenyl ring slippage of 1.571 Å – again similar parameters are found in the interactions of compounds 2 and 3. The lack of an  $R_2^2(14)$  dimer results from the preferential interaction of the oxime group with the 4-methoxy group rather than with the 2-hydroxy group. The C141- $H14B \cdots O2^{ii}$  and  $C3 - H3 \cdots O2^{iii}$  hydrogen bonds link the molecules into centrosymmetric dimers across the centre of symmetry at  $(\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2})$ . The former hydrogen bond forms  $R_2^2(14)$ rings, and the latter  $R_2^2(8)$  rings. These link anti-parallel C9 chains, forming a corrugated ribbon which runs parallel to the a axis.

Table 4	
Percentages of atom-atom contacts for compounds 1-3.	

Compound	1	2	3
HH	127	36.0	41.5
H····O/O···H	21.4	33.8	27.9
$H \cdots C/C \cdots H$	29.1	10.0	15.5
$H{\cdots}N/N{\cdots}H$	5.4	2.9	4.1
$\mathbf{C} \cdots \mathbf{C}$	-	10.8	5.8
$O \cdots C/C \cdots O$	1.2	2.2	3.1
$N{\cdots}O/O{\cdots}N$	-	2.0	0.7
$N{\cdots}C/C{\cdots}N$	-	-	-
00	0.2	-	-

#### 3.2. Hirshfeld Surface Analyses

The Hirshfeld surfaces (Spackman & Jayatilaka, 2009) and two-dimensional fingerprint (FP) plots (Spackman & McKinnon, 2002) provide complementary information concerning the intermolecular interactions discussed above. The analyses were generated using *CrystalExplorer3.1* (Wolff *et al.*, 2012). The Hirshfeld surfaces mapped over  $d_{norm}$  for **1–3** are illustrated in Fig. 8. The intense red areas on the surfaces correspond to O···H close contacts. The less intense red spot on the surface of **1** relates to a O···O short contact. The fingerprint plots are shown in Fig. 9. The percentage contributions to the Hirshfeld surface of the various atom···atom contacts shown in Table 4 are derived from the fingerprint plots.



Views of the Hirshfeld surfaces mapped over  $d_{\text{norm}}$  for 1–3. In each case, the red areas relate to classical hydrogen bonds.



The FP plots for 1, 2 and 3. The pair of southwest spikes are due to the O···H /H···O close contacts. The highest intensity of pixels in the FP plot for 2 at  $d_c/d_i = 1.8$  Å includes C···C contacts.

### research communications

 Table 5

 Experimental details.

	1	2	3
Crystal data			
Chemical formula	$C_8H_0NO_2$	C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>7</sub> NO <sub>3</sub>	$C_8H_0NO_3$
$M_r$	151.16	153.14	167.16
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	100	100	100
a, b, c (Å)	6.5507 (2), 7.2523 (2), 15.5478 (4)	3.7241 (1), 8.6902 (2), 20.7570 (5)	9.3591 (13), 6.2634 (7), 13.6260 (2)
$\beta$ (°)	96.737 (3)	92.501 (2)	108.636 (16)
$V(\dot{A}^3)$	733.54 (4)	671.12 (3)	756.87 (15)
Z	4	4	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα	Μο Κα	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.10	0.12	0.11
Crystal size (mm)	$0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.02$	$0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05$	$0.15\times0.05\times0.01$
Data collection			
Diffractometer	Rigaku FRE+ AFC12 with HyPix 6000 detector	Rigaku FRE+ AFC12 with HyPix 6000 detector	Rigaku FRE+ AFC12 with HyPix 6000 detector
Absorption correction	Multi-scan ( <i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Rigaku OD, 2017)	Multi-scan ( <i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Rigaku OD, 2017)	Multi-scan ( <i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Rigaku OD, 2017)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.742, 1.000	0.654, 1.000	0.305, 1.000
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	16323, 1696, 1560	29482, 1537, 1482	5525, 1686, 1323
R <sub>int</sub>	0.024	0.039	0.060
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.649	0.649	0.648
Refinement			
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.032, 0.100, 1.08	0.040, 0.092, 0.86	0.049, 0.158, 1.01
No. of reflections	1696	1537	1686
No. of parameters	109	113	118
H-atom treatment	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max},  \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({ m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3})$	0.33, -0.20	0.38, -0.21	0.26, -0.29

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku OD, 2017), OSCAIL (McArdle et al., 2004), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a), ShelXle (Hübschle et al., 2011), SHELXL2017/1 (Sheldrick, 2015b), Mercury (Macrae et al., 2006) and PLATON (Spek, 2009).

There are some differences in the percentage of close contacts listed in Table 4 between the  $R_2^2(14)$  dimer formed by compound 1 and the molecular chains formed by compounds 2 and 3. Thus compound 1 exhibits the highest percentage of  $H \cdots C/C \cdots H$  close contacts, but no  $C \cdots C$  and  $N \cdots O/O \cdots N$  close contacts, unlike compounds 2 and 3, and is the only one of the three compounds to have any close  $O \cdots O$  contacts, albeit a very small percentage. It has to be said that the different substituents, especially the number of hydroxyl units, and other interactions, such as  $C-H \cdots \pi$  and  $\pi-\pi$  interactions, will have significant effects on the hydrogen-bonding.

### 4. Database survey

A survey of the Cambridge Structural Database (CSD Version 5.39, May 2018 update; Groom *et al.*, 2016) of the hydrogenbonding patterns of oximes confirmed the invariable occurrence for salicylaldoximes, R-CH=N-OH (where *R* is a 2-hydroxyphenyl derivative) of the formation of intramolecular  $O-H \cdots NO(\text{oxime})$  hydrogen bonds involving the *ortho* hydroxyl group. In addition, this hydroxyl group is also most frequently involved in intermolecular interactions producing inversion-related  $R_2^2(14)$  dimers (Smith *et al.*, 2003; Wood *et al.*, 2006, 2008*b*). Exceptions include MXSALO [R = 2-HO-5-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>, producing a *C*5 chain from O–H(oxime)  $\cdots O(2$ -hydroxyl) hydrogen bonds; Pfluger *et al.*,

1978], YUPSOT [R = 2-HO-5-<sup>*t*</sup>Bu-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>, producing a C5 chain from O-H(oxime)····O(2-hydroxyl) hydrogen bonds; White *et al.*, 2015*a*], YUPROS [R = 2-HO-3-Me-5-(piperin-1-yl-CH<sub>2</sub>)-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, producing a C9 chain from O-H(oxime)···· N(piperinyl) hydrogen bonds; White *et al.*, 2015*b*] and XUSPIL [R = 2-HO-3-(piperin-1-ylmethyl)-5-<sup>*t*</sup>Bu-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>2</sub>, producing a C9 chain from O-H(oxime)····N(piperinyl) hydrogen bonds; Forgan *et al.*, 2010].

The compounds 2-HO-3-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>CH=N-OH (ABULIT01-07; Forgan *et al.*, 2007; Wood *et al.*, 2008*a*) and 2-HO-3-EtOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>CH=N-OH (HAHGAA; Cai, 2011) both form  $R_2^2$ (14) dimers, in contrast to the chain forming 2-HO-4-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>CH=N-OH (this study) and 2-HO-5-MeOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>CH=N-OH (MXSALO; Pfluger *et al.*, 1978) and 2-HO-5-'BuOC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>3</sub>CH=N-OH (YUPSOT; White *et al.*, 2015*a*).

An earlier search (Low *et al.*, 2010) indicated that the most frequently found hydrogen-bonding arrangements for oximes without a 2-hydroxyphenyl group are inversion-related  $R_2^2(6)$  dimers and C3 chains.

### 5. Synthesis and crystallization

The title compounds were prepared from hydroxylamine and the corresponding benzaldehyde in methanol in the presence of potassium carbonate and were recrystallized from methanol. Compound **1**, m.p. 378–379 K. Compound **2**, m.p. 451–452 K. Compound **3**, m.p. 410–411 K.

### 6. Refinement details

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 5. All hydroxyl H atoms were refined isotropically. Those attached to C atoms were refined as riding atoms with C-H = 0.95-0.98 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2-1.5U_{iso}(C)$ .

### **Acknowledgements**

The authors thank the staff at the National Crystallographic Service, University of Southampton (Coles & Gale, 2012), for the data collection, help and advice.

### References

- Abele, E., Abele, R. & Lukevics, E. (2008). *Chem. Heterocycl. Cmpd*, **44**, 769–792.
- Cai, L.-F. (2011). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 226, 315-316.
- Canario, C., Silvestre, S., Falcao, A. & Alves, G. (2018). Curr. Med. Chem. 25, 660-686.
- Coles, S. J. & Gale, P. A. (2012). Chem. Sci. 3, 683-689.
- Costa, C. F. da, Lourenço, M. C. S., Coimbra, E. S., Carvalho, G. S., Wardell, J. L., Calixto, S. L., Granato, J. T. & de Souza, M. V. N. (2018). Unpublished observations.
- Dai, H., Chen, J., Li, G., Ge, S. S., Shi, Y. J., Fang, Y. & Ling, Y. (2017). Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 27, 950–953.
- Forgan, R. S., Davidson, J. E., Fabbiani, F. P. A., Galbraith, S. G., Henderson, D. K., Moggach, S. A., Parsons, S., Tasker, P. A. & White, F. J. (2010). *Dalton Trans.* **39**, 1763–1770.
- Forgan, R. S., Wood, P. A., Campbell, J., Henderson, D. K., McAllister, F. E., Parsons, S., Pidcock, E., Swart, R. M. & Tasker, P. A. (2007). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 4940–4942.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Huang, G., Zhao, H. R., Meng, Q. Q., Zhang, Q. J., Dong, J. Y., Zhu, B. Q. & Li, S. S. (2018). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 143, 166–181.
- Hübschle, C. B., Sheldrick, G. M. & Dittrich, B. (2011). J. Appl. Cryst. 44, 1281–1284.
- Katalinić, M., Zandona, A., Ramić, A., Zorbaz, T., Primožič, I. & Kovarik, Z. (2017). *Molecules*, **22**, No. 1234.
- Kozlowska, J., Potaniec, B., Zarowska, B. & Aniol, M. (2017). *Molecules*, 22 No. 1485.
- Lorke, D. E., Kalasz, H., Petroianu, G. A. & Tekes, K. (2008). Curr. Med. Chem. 15, 743–753.
- Low, J. N., Santos, L. M. N. B. F., Lima, C. F. R. A. C., Brandão, P. & Gomes, L. R. (2010). Eur. J. Chem. 1, 61–66.

- Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). J. Appl. Cryst. 39, 453–457.
- Martínez-Pascual, R., Meza-Reyes, S., Vega-Baez, J. L., Merino-Montiel, P., Padrón, J. M., Mendoza, Á. & Montiel-Smith, S. (2017). *Steroids*, **122**, 24–33.
- McArdle, P., Gilligan, K., Cunningham, D., Dark, R. & Mahon, M. (2004). CrystEngComm, 6, 303–309.
- Mohassab, M., Hassan, H. A., Abdelhamid, D., Abdel-Aziz, M., Dalby, K. N. & Kaoud, T. S. (2017). *Bioorg. Chem.* 75, 242–259.
- Nikitjuka, A. & Jirgensons, A. (2014). Chem. Heterocycl. C. 49, 1544– 1559.
- Pfluger, C. E., Pfluger, M. T. & Brackett, E. B. (1978). Acta Cryst. B34, 1017–1019.
- Qin, H. L., Leng, J., Youssif, B. G. M., Amjad, M. W., Raja, M. A. G., Hussain, M. A., Hussain, Z., Kazmi, S. N. & Bukhari, S. N. A. (2017). *Chem. Biol. Drug Des.* **90**, 443–449.
- Radić, Z., Dale, T., Kovarik, Z., Berend, S., Garcia, E., Zhang, L., Amitai, G., Green, C., Radić, B., Duggan, B. M., Ajami, D., Rebek, J. Jr & Taylor, P. (2013). *Biochem. J.* 450, 231–242.
- Rigaku OD (2017). CrysAlis PRO. Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, Yarnton, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015a). Acta Cryst. A71, 3-8.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015b). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Smith, A. G., Tasker, P. & White, D. J. (2003). Coord. Chem. Rev. 241, 61–85.
- Sørensen, M., Neilson, E. H. J. & Møller, B. L. (2018). *Mol. Plant.* 11, 95–117.
- Spackman, M. A. & Jayatilaka, D. (2009). CrystEngComm, 11, 19-32.
- Spackman, M. A. & McKinnon, J. J. (2002). CrystEngComm, 4, 378–392.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Voicu, V. A., Thiermann, H., Rădulescu, F. Ş., Mircioiu, C. & Miron, D. S. (2010). Basic Clin. Pharmacol. Toxicol. 106, 73–85.
- White, F., Forgan, R. & Tasker, P. (2015b). Private communication (refcode 1410307). CCDC, Cambridge, England.
- White, F., Gordon, R. & Tasker, P. (2015*a*). Private communication (refcode 1410312). CCDC, Cambridge, England.
- Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. I., McKinnon, J. J., Turner, M. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2012). *Crystal Explorer*. The University of Western Australia.
- Wood, P. A., Forgan, R. S., Henderson, D., Lennie, A. R., Parsons, S., Pidcock, E., Tasker, P. A. & Warren, J. E. (2008a). CrystEngComm, 10, 259–251.
- Wood, P. A., Forgan, R. S., Henderson, D., Parsons, S., Pidcock, E., Tasker, P. A. & Warren, J. E. (2006). Acta Cryst. B62, 1099– 1111.
- Wood, P. A., Forgan, R. S., Lennie, A. R., Parsons, S., Pidcock, E., Tasker, P. A. & Warren, J. E. (2008b). CrystEngComm, 10, 239– 251.
- Yadav, P., Lal, K., Rani, P., Mor, S., Kumar, A. & Kumar, A. (2017). *Med. Chem. Res.* 26, 1469–1480.
- Zhao, S. Y., Li, K., Jin, Y. & Lin, J. (2018). *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **144**, 41–51.

### Acta Cryst. (2018). E74, 1480-1485 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989018013361]

Different classical hydrogen-bonding patterns in three salicylaldoxime derivatives, 2-HO-4- $XC_6H_3C\&z$ -dbnd;NOH (X = Me, OH and MeO)

# Ligia R. Gomes, Marcus V. N. de Souza, Cristiane F. Da Costa, James L. Wardell and John Nicolson Low

### **Computing details**

For all structures, data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2017); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2017); data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2017); program(s) used to solve structure: *OSCAIL* (McArdle *et al.*, 2004), SHELXT (Sheldrick, 2015a). Program(s) used to refine structure: *OSCAIL* (McArdle *et al.*, 2004), *ShelXle* (Hübschle *et al.*, 2011), *SHELXL2017/1* (Sheldrick, 2015b) for (1), (2); *OSCAIL* (McArdle *et al.*, 2004), *ShelXle* (Hübschle *et al.*, 2011), *SHELXL2017/1* (Sheldrick, 2015b) for (3). For all structures, molecular graphics: *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: *OSCAIL* (McArdle *et al.*, 2004), *SHELXL2017/1* (Sheldrick, 2015b), *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

2-Hydroxy-4-methylbenzaldehyde oxime (1)

Crystal data C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>9</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>  $M_r = 151.16$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/n$  a = 6.5507 (2) Å b = 7.2523 (2) Å c = 15.5478 (4) Å  $\beta = 96.737$  (3)° V = 733.54 (4) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4

### Data collection

Rigaku FRE+ AFC12 with HyPix 6000 detector diffractometer
Radiation source: Rotating Anode, Rigaku FRE+
Confocal mirrors, VHF Varimax monochromator
Detector resolution: 10 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup> profile data from ω–scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysAlisPro ; Rigaku OD, 2017)

F(000) = 320  $D_x = 1.369 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71075 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 8222 reflections  $\theta = 3.1-31.9^{\circ}$   $\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 100 KPlate, brown  $0.25 \times 0.15 \times 0.02 \text{ mm}$ 

 $T_{\min} = 0.742, T_{\max} = 1.000$ 16323 measured reflections 1696 independent reflections 1560 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.024$  $\theta_{\max} = 27.5^{\circ}, \theta_{\min} = 2.6^{\circ}$  $h = -8 \rightarrow 8$  $k = -9 \rightarrow 9$  $l = -20 \rightarrow 20$ 

### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Hydrogen site location: mixed
Least-squares matrix: full	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$	and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.100$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0569P)^2 + 0.1857P]$
S = 1.08	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
1696 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
109 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.33 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.20 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
O2	0.62147 (10)	0.55213 (9)	0.38936 (4)	0.01715 (19)
H2	0.520 (3)	0.516 (2)	0.4176 (11)	0.046 (4)*
O13	0.11027 (10)	0.35295 (10)	0.46255 (4)	0.01893 (19)
H13	0.155 (2)	0.389 (2)	0.5139 (11)	0.041 (4)*
N12	0.27030 (11)	0.40720 (11)	0.41612 (5)	0.01501 (19)
C1	0.38496 (13)	0.41353 (12)	0.27677 (5)	0.0129 (2)
C2	0.57244 (13)	0.50209 (12)	0.30486 (5)	0.0131 (2)
C3	0.71163 (13)	0.54354 (12)	0.24671 (6)	0.0139 (2)
H3	0.837332	0.603208	0.266799	0.017*
C4	0.66904 (13)	0.49864 (12)	0.15934 (6)	0.0137 (2)
C5	0.48296 (14)	0.41041 (12)	0.13081 (6)	0.0144 (2)
Н5	0.451750	0.379046	0.071398	0.017*
C6	0.34460 (13)	0.36875 (12)	0.18861 (6)	0.0139 (2)
H6	0.219471	0.308503	0.168196	0.017*
C11	0.23470 (13)	0.36707 (12)	0.33550 (6)	0.0139 (2)
H11	0.110265	0.306989	0.313953	0.017*
C41	0.81701 (14)	0.54974 (13)	0.09625 (6)	0.0173 (2)
H41A	0.814596	0.454650	0.051360	0.026*
H41B	0.956069	0.559362	0.126945	0.026*
H41C	0.776779	0.668498	0.069346	0.026*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
02	0.0175 (3)	0.0221 (4)	0.0115 (3)	-0.0050 (3)	0.0003 (2)	-0.0029 (2)
013	0.0156 (3)	0.0260 (4)	0.0162 (3)	-0.0046 (3)	0.0059 (3)	-0.0030 (3)
N12	0.0137 (4)	0.0158 (4)	0.0162 (4)	-0.0005 (3)	0.0048 (3)	0.0001 (3)
C1	0.0133 (4)	0.0110 (4)	0.0141 (4)	0.0013 (3)	0.0009 (3)	0.0004 (3)
C2	0.0159 (4)	0.0114 (4)	0.0115 (4)	0.0016 (3)	-0.0009(3)	-0.0006 (3)
C3	0.0135 (4)	0.0124 (4)	0.0153 (4)	-0.0004 (3)	-0.0001 (3)	0.0000 (3)

C4	0.0152 (4)	0.0112 (4)	0.0147 (4)	0.0020 (3)	0.0016 (3)	0.0009 (3)
C5	0.0166 (4)	0.0137 (4)	0.0124 (4)	0.0015 (3)	-0.0009 (3)	-0.0008 (3)
C6	0.0133 (4)	0.0122 (4)	0.0153 (4)	0.0005 (3)	-0.0016 (3)	-0.0009 (3)
C11	0.0132 (4)	0.0118 (4)	0.0164 (4)	0.0007 (3)	0.0007 (3)	-0.0005 (3)
C41	0.0177 (4)	0.0192 (4)	0.0153 (4)	-0.0016 (3)	0.0029 (3)	0.0000 (3)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

O2—C2	1.3645 (10)	С3—Н3	0.9500
O2—H2	0.879 (18)	C4—C5	1.4018 (13)
O13—N12	1.3973 (9)	C4—C41	1.5041 (12)
O13—H13	0.857 (17)	C5—C6	1.3826 (12)
N12—C11	1.2812 (11)	С5—Н5	0.9500
C1—C6	1.4033 (12)	С6—Н6	0.9500
C1—C2	1.4091 (12)	C11—H11	0.9500
C1C11	1.4584 (12)	C41—H41A	0.9800
C2—C3	1.3902 (12)	C41—H41B	0.9800
C3—C4	1.3928 (12)	C41—H41C	0.9800
С2—О2—Н2	107.2 (11)	C6—C5—C4	120.40 (8)
N12—O13—H13	101.6 (11)	С6—С5—Н5	119.8
C11—N12—O13	112.33 (7)	C4—C5—H5	119.8
C6C1C2	117.75 (8)	C5—C6—C1	121.44 (8)
C6C1C11	119.63 (8)	С5—С6—Н6	119.3
C2C1C11	122.61 (8)	С1—С6—Н6	119.3
O2—C2—C3	118.06 (8)	N12—C11—C1	120.08 (8)
O2—C2—C1	121.18 (8)	N12-C11-H11	120.0
C3—C2—C1	120.75 (8)	C1—C11—H11	120.0
C2—C3—C4	120.80 (8)	C4—C41—H41A	109.5
С2—С3—Н3	119.6	C4—C41—H41B	109.5
С4—С3—Н3	119.6	H41A—C41—H41B	109.5
C3—C4—C5	118.86 (8)	C4—C41—H41C	109.5
C3—C4—C41	120.51 (8)	H41A—C41—H41C	109.5
C5—C4—C41	120.60 (8)	H41B—C41—H41C	109.5
C6—C1—C2—O2	179.14 (7)	C3—C4—C5—C6	-0.06 (13)
C11—C1—C2—O2	-1.14 (14)	C41—C4—C5—C6	-178.02 (8)
C6-C1-C2-C3	0.13 (13)	C4—C5—C6—C1	0.24 (14)
C11—C1—C2—C3	179.86 (8)	C2C1C5	-0.28 (13)
O2—C2—C3—C4	-178.99 (7)	C11—C1—C6—C5	179.99 (7)
C1—C2—C3—C4	0.04 (14)	O13—N12—C11—C1	179.95 (7)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.08 (13)	C6-C1-C11-N12	179.91 (8)
C2—C3—C4—C41	177.88 (7)	C2-C1-C11-N12	0.19 (14)

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Cg	is	the	cent	roid	of the	C1–C	6 ring.		

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
02—H2…N12	0.879 (18)	1.814 (18)	2.6066 (10)	149.0 (15)
O13—H13…O2 <sup>i</sup>	0.857 (17)	2.019 (17)	2.8132 (9)	153.7 (15)
O13—H13…O13 <sup>ii</sup>	0.857 (17)	2.611 (16)	2.8961 (14)	100.8 (12)
C3—H3···· <i>Cg</i> <sup>iii</sup>	0.95	2.71	3.4577 (9)	136
C11—H11···· $Cg^{iv}$	0.95	2.73	3.4910 (9)	138

F(000) = 320

 $\theta = 1.9 - 32.1^{\circ}$ 

 $\mu = 0.12 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

Block, colourless

 $0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$ 

T = 100 K

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.516 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$ 

Mo *K* $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71075$  Å

Cell parameters from 13388 reflections

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; (ii) -x, -y+1, -z+1; (iii) -x+3/2, y+1/2, -z+1/2; (iv) -x+1/2, y-1/2, -z+1/2.

### 2,4-Dihydroxybenzaldehyde oxime (2)

Crystal data

C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>7</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>  $M_r = 153.14$ Monoclinic, P2<sub>1</sub>/c a = 3.7241 (1) Å b = 8.6902 (2) Å c = 20.7570 (5) Å  $\beta = 92.501$  (2)° V = 671.12 (3) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4

### Data collection

Rigaku FRE+ AFC12 with HyPix 6000 detector diffractometer	$T_{\min} = 0.654, T_{\max} = 1.000$ 29482 measured reflections
Radiation source: Rotating Anode, Rigaku	1537 independent reflections
FRE+	1482 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Confocal mirrors, VHF Varimax	$R_{\rm int} = 0.039$
monochromator	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.0^{\circ}$
Detector resolution: 10 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>	$h = -4 \rightarrow 4$
profile data from $\omega$ -scans	$k = -11 \rightarrow 11$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$l = -26 \rightarrow 26$
(CrysAlisPro ; Rigaku OD, 2017)	

### Refinement

gen site location: mixed
is treated by a mixture of independent
constrained refinement
$\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0229P)^2 + 1.3357P]$
re $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
<sub>x</sub> < 0.001
= 0.38 e Å <sup>-3</sup>
$-0.21 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refined as a 2-component twin.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
02	0.6604 (3)	0.13314 (13)	0.28983 (5)	0.0175 (3)	
H2	0.568 (7)	0.056 (3)	0.3130 (12)	0.045 (7)*	
04	1.0469 (3)	0.64910 (12)	0.32704 (5)	0.0167 (3)	
H4	1.132 (6)	0.639 (3)	0.2893 (11)	0.031 (6)*	
013	0.2536 (3)	-0.13686 (13)	0.41952 (6)	0.0213 (3)	
H13	0.208 (7)	-0.200 (3)	0.3880 (12)	0.043 (7)*	
N12	0.3984 (4)	-0.01346 (15)	0.38573 (6)	0.0161 (3)	
C1	0.6052 (4)	0.24418 (16)	0.39524 (7)	0.0125 (3)	
C2	0.7061 (4)	0.25485 (16)	0.33098 (7)	0.0129 (3)	
C3	0.8530 (4)	0.38889 (17)	0.30720 (7)	0.0133 (3)	
H3	0.9193	0.3946	0.2636	0.016*	
C4	0.9020 (4)	0.51454 (17)	0.34786 (7)	0.0133 (3)	
C5	0.8047 (4)	0.50773 (17)	0.41191 (7)	0.0148 (3)	
Н5	0.8379	0.5943	0.4395	0.018*	
C6	0.6596 (4)	0.37332 (17)	0.43460 (7)	0.0141 (3)	
H6	0.5947	0.3683	0.4783	0.017*	
C11	0.4474 (4)	0.10553 (17)	0.42134 (7)	0.0144 (3)	
H11	0.3805	0.1034	0.4650	0.017*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
02	0.0273 (6)	0.0106 (5)	0.0150 (5)	-0.0041 (5)	0.0047 (4)	-0.0036 (4)
04	0.0249 (6)	0.0096 (5)	0.0161 (5)	-0.0052 (4)	0.0051 (4)	0.0002 (4)
013	0.0335 (7)	0.0110 (5)	0.0194 (6)	-0.0095 (5)	0.0025 (5)	0.0019 (4)
N12	0.0189 (6)	0.0103 (6)	0.0191 (6)	-0.0029 (5)	0.0010 (5)	0.0030 (5)
C1	0.0127 (7)	0.0096 (6)	0.0151 (7)	0.0003 (5)	0.0005 (5)	0.0003 (5)
C2	0.0142 (7)	0.0101 (6)	0.0143 (7)	0.0008 (5)	-0.0003 (5)	-0.0023 (5)
C3	0.0149 (7)	0.0123 (7)	0.0126 (6)	0.0003 (6)	0.0020 (5)	0.0000 (5)
C4	0.0135 (7)	0.0087 (6)	0.0176 (7)	-0.0005 (5)	0.0009 (5)	0.0020 (5)
C5	0.0180 (7)	0.0106 (7)	0.0158 (7)	-0.0010 (6)	0.0015 (6)	-0.0027 (5)
C6	0.0158 (7)	0.0132 (7)	0.0135 (7)	-0.0005 (6)	0.0021 (5)	-0.0010 (5)
C11	0.0158 (7)	0.0117 (7)	0.0156 (7)	-0.0003 (6)	0.0003 (5)	0.0019 (5)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

02—C2	1.3655 (17)	C1-C11	1.456 (2)	
O2—H2	0.91 (3)	C2—C3	1.387 (2)	
O4—C4	1.3660 (17)	C3—C4	1.387 (2)	
O4—H4	0.86 (2)	С3—Н3	0.9500	
O13—N12	1.4020 (16)	C4—C5	1.394 (2)	
O13—H13	0.86 (3)	C5—C6	1.378 (2)	
N12-C11	1.280 (2)	С5—Н5	0.9500	
C1—C6	1.398 (2)	С6—Н6	0.9500	
C1—C2	1.405 (2)	C11—H11	0.9500	

С2—О2—Н2	106.5 (16)	O4—C4—C3	121.64 (13)
C4—O4—H4	111.3 (15)	O4—C4—C5	117.43 (13)
N12—O13—H13	99.9 (16)	C3—C4—C5	120.93 (14)
C11—N12—O13	112.18 (13)	C6—C5—C4	118.93 (14)
C6—C1—C2	117.65 (13)	С6—С5—Н5	120.5
C6-C1-C11	119.85 (13)	C4—C5—H5	120.5
C2-C1-C11	122.50 (13)	C5—C6—C1	121.98 (14)
O2—C2—C3	117.93 (13)	С5—С6—Н6	119.0
O2—C2—C1	120.77 (13)	C1—C6—H6	119.0
C3—C2—C1	121.30 (13)	N12—C11—C1	120.25 (14)
C2—C3—C4	119.22 (13)	N12-C11-H11	119.9
С2—С3—Н3	120.4	C1-C11-H11	119.9
C4—C3—H3	120.4		
C6—C1—C2—O2	-179.97 (14)	O4—C4—C5—C6	-179.63 (14)
C11—C1—C2—O2	-0.2 (2)	C3—C4—C5—C6	0.3 (2)
C6—C1—C2—C3	-0.3 (2)	C4—C5—C6—C1	-0.4 (2)
C11—C1—C2—C3	179.41 (14)	C2-C1-C6-C5	0.4 (2)
O2—C2—C3—C4	179.88 (13)	C11—C1—C6—C5	-179.33 (14)
C1—C2—C3—C4	0.2 (2)	O13—N12—C11—C1	178.31 (12)
C2—C3—C4—O4	179.71 (14)	C6-C1-C11-N12	-179.63 (14)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.2 (2)	C2-C1-C11-N12	0.6 (2)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· $A$
O2—H2…N12	0.91 (3)	1.77 (3)	2.5899 (17)	150 (2)
O4—H4···O2 <sup>i</sup>	0.86 (2)	1.85 (2)	2.7062 (16)	174 (2)
O13—H13…O4 <sup>ii</sup>	0.86 (3)	1.90 (3)	2.7583 (16)	171 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+2, y+1/2, -z+1/2; (ii) x-1, y-1, z.

2-Hydroxy-4-methoxybenzaldehyde oxime (3)

Crystal data

 $C_{8}H_{9}NO_{3}$   $M_{r} = 167.16$ Monoclinic,  $P2_{1}/c$  a = 9.3591 (13) Å b = 6.2634 (7) Å c = 13.6260 (2) Å  $\beta = 108.636 (16)^{\circ}$   $V = 756.87 (15) \text{ Å}^{3}$  Z = 4

F(000) = 352  $D_x = 1.467 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71075 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 1379 reflections  $\theta = 3.3-30.2^{\circ}$   $\mu = 0.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 100 KPlate, colourless  $0.15 \times 0.05 \times 0.01 \text{ mm}$  Data collection

<ul> <li>Rigaku FRE+ AFC12 with HyPix 6000 detector diffractometer</li> <li>Radiation source: Rotating Anode, Rigaku FRE+</li> <li>Confocal mirrors, VHF Varimax monochromator</li> <li>Detector resolution: 10 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup></li> <li>profile data from ω–scans</li> <li>Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysAlisPro; Rigaku OD, 2017)</li> </ul>	$T_{\min} = 0.305, T_{\max} = 1.000$ 5525 measured reflections 1686 independent reflections 1323 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.060$ $\theta_{\max} = 27.4^{\circ}, \theta_{\min} = 2.3^{\circ}$ $h = -11 \rightarrow 12$ $k = -7 \rightarrow 7$ $l = -17 \rightarrow 17$
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	Hydrogen site location: mixed
Least-squares matrix: full	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.049$	and constrained refinement
$wR(F^2) = 0.158$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.1063P)^2]$
S = 1.00	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
1686 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
118 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.26 \text{ e A}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.29 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
02	0.63191 (14)	0.1847 (2)	0.42822 (9)	0.0193 (3)
H2	0.711 (3)	0.265 (5)	0.423 (2)	0.049 (7)*
O13	0.91351 (14)	0.6293 (2)	0.38674 (10)	0.0228 (4)
H13	0.981 (3)	0.524 (5)	0.388 (2)	0.062 (9)*
O41	0.10398 (13)	0.30632 (19)	0.36291 (8)	0.0182 (3)
N12	0.78766 (16)	0.5076 (2)	0.38782 (11)	0.0175 (4)
C1	0.52304 (18)	0.5260 (3)	0.36005 (11)	0.0145 (4)
C2	0.51014 (18)	0.3173 (3)	0.39480 (11)	0.0147 (4)
C3	0.37212 (18)	0.2374 (3)	0.39667 (12)	0.0158 (4)
H3	0.365066	0.097118	0.421199	0.019*
C4	0.24440 (19)	0.3667 (3)	0.36197 (12)	0.0150 (4)
C5	0.25337 (19)	0.5722 (3)	0.32479 (12)	0.0172 (4)
H5	0.165354	0.657670	0.299366	0.021*
C6	0.39104 (19)	0.6495 (3)	0.32543 (12)	0.0158 (4)
H6	0.397195	0.790761	0.301740	0.019*
C11	0.66646 (18)	0.6195 (3)	0.36181 (12)	0.0157 (4)
H11	0.670249	0.765245	0.343458	0.019*
C141	0.0866 (2)	0.0952 (3)	0.39792 (14)	0.0220 (4)
H14A	-0.018185	0.074061	0.395637	0.033*
H14B	0.153667	0.076825	0.469161	0.033*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(\hat{A}^2)$ 

H14C	0.112340	-0.	009659	0.352899	0.033*	
Atomic displacement parameters ( $Å^2$ )						
	$U^{11}$	U <sup>22</sup>	U <sup>33</sup>	$U^{12}$	<i>U</i> <sup>13</sup>	$U^{23}$
02	0.0191 (7)	0.0148 (6)	0.0240 (7)	0.0044 (5)	0.0068 (5)	0.0056 (5)
O13	0.0187 (6)	0.0164 (7)	0.0360 (8)	-0.0006 (5)	0.0127 (5)	0.0018 (5)
O41	0.0181 (6)	0.0152 (7)	0.0220 (6)	0.0002 (5)	0.0075 (5)	0.0021 (4)
N12	0.0179 (7)	0.0163 (8)	0.0200 (7)	-0.0027 (5)	0.0083 (6)	-0.0003 (5)
C1	0.0200 (8)	0.0122 (8)	0.0122 (8)	-0.0001 (6)	0.0062 (6)	-0.0011 (6)
C2	0.0175 (8)	0.0147 (8)	0.0121 (7)	0.0024 (6)	0.0051 (6)	-0.0005 (6)
C3	0.0216 (9)	0.0118 (8)	0.0149 (8)	0.0013 (6)	0.0073 (6)	0.0007 (6)
C4	0.0180 (8)	0.0155 (9)	0.0119 (7)	-0.0001 (6)	0.0054 (6)	-0.0024 (6)
C5	0.0204 (8)	0.0150 (8)	0.0160 (8)	0.0045 (6)	0.0055 (6)	0.0006 (6)
C6	0.0235 (9)	0.0106 (8)	0.0139 (8)	0.0017 (6)	0.0068 (6)	0.0008 (6)
C11	0.0204 (9)	0.0131 (8)	0.0143 (8)	0.0004 (6)	0.0065 (6)	-0.0004 (5)
C141	0.0225 (9)	0.0144 (9)	0.0290 (9)	-0.0018(7)	0.0082 (7)	0.0031 (7)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

02—C2	1.365 (2)	С3—С4	1.395 (2)
O2—H2	0.92 (3)	С3—Н3	0.9500
O13—N12	1.4067 (18)	C4—C5	1.396 (2)
O13—H13	0.91 (3)	C5—C6	1.374 (2)
O41—C4	1.371 (2)	С5—Н5	0.9500
O41—C141	1.432 (2)	С6—Н6	0.9500
N12-C11	1.283 (2)	C11—H11	0.9500
C1—C2	1.409 (2)	C141—H14A	0.9800
C1—C6	1.405 (2)	C141—H14B	0.9800
C1C11	1.458 (2)	C141—H14C	0.9800
C2—C3	1.393 (2)		
С2—О2—Н2	104.4 (17)	C6—C5—C4	119.18 (15)
N12-013-H13	100.6 (19)	C6—C5—H5	120.4
C4—O41—C141	117.95 (13)	C4—C5—H5	120.4
C11—N12—O13	111.75 (14)	C5-C6-C1	122.04 (15)
C2-C1-C6	117.58 (15)	С5—С6—Н6	119.0
C2-C1-C11	123.02 (15)	C1—C6—H6	119.0
C6-C1-C11	119.37 (15)	N12—C11—C1	120.87 (16)
O2—C2—C3	117.11 (15)	N12—C11—H11	119.6
O2—C2—C1	121.63 (15)	C1-C11-H11	119.6
C3—C2—C1	121.26 (15)	O41—C141—H14A	109.5
C4—C3—C2	118.99 (15)	O41—C141—H14B	109.5
С4—С3—Н3	120.5	H14A—C141—H14B	109.5
С2—С3—Н3	120.5	O41—C141—H14C	109.5
O41—C4—C3	123.83 (15)	H14A—C141—H14C	109.5
O41—C4—C5	115.24 (14)	H14B—C141—H14C	109.5
C3—C4—C5	120.92 (16)		

C6-C1-C2-O2	178.92 (13)	C2-C3-C4-C5	0.7 (2)
C11-C1-C2-O2	-3.1 (2)	O41-C4-C5-C6	177.11 (13)
C6-C1-C2-C3	-1.2 (2)	C3-C4-C5-C6	-1.9 (2)
C11-C1-C2-C3	176.75 (14)	C4-C5-C6-C1	1.6 (2)
O2-C2-C3-C4	-179.21 (13)	C2-C1-C6-C5	-0.1 (2)
C1-C2-C3-C4	0.9 (2)	C11-C1-C6-C5	-178.10 (14)
C141-O41-C4-C3	-2.5 (2)	O13-N12-C11-C1	-178.61 (13)
C141-O41-C4-C5	178.56 (14)	C2-C1-C11-N12	5.8 (2)
C141—O41—C4—C5	178.56 (14)	C2-C1-C11-N12	5.8 (2)
C2—C3—C4—O41	-178.25 (14)	C6-C1-C11-N12	-176.33 (14)

### Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Cg is the centroid of the C1–C6 ring.

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· $A$
O2—H2…N12	0.92 (3)	1.81 (3)	2.6518 (19)	152 (2)
O13—H13…O41 <sup>i</sup>	0.91 (3)	1.89 (3)	2.7829 (18)	169 (3)
C141—H14 <i>B</i> ···O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.98	2.62	3.412 (2)	138
C3—H3…O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.95	2.70	3.570 (2)	154
С11—Н11…Сд <sup>ііі</sup>	0.95	2.89	3.4524 (6)	128

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*+1, *y*, *z*; (ii) -*x*+1, -*y*, -*z*+1; (iii) -*x*+1, *y*+1/2, -*z*+1/2.