

Received 26 July 2018 Accepted 30 July 2018

Edited by M. Zeller, Purdue University, USA

**Keywords:** crystal structure; NiFe hydrogenase; enzyme model; bioinorganic; sulfur ligand.

CCDC reference: 1859284

**Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



OPEN d ACCESS

# A new structural model for NiFe hydrogenases: an unsaturated analogue of a classic hydrogenase model leads to more enzyme-like Ni—Fe distance and interplanar fold

## Daniel J. Harrison,<sup>a</sup> Alan J. Lough<sup>b</sup> and Ulrich Fekl<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemical and Physical Sciences, University of Toronto Mississauga, 3359 Mississauga Rd, Mississauga, Ontario, L5L 1C6, Canada, and <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, 80 St. George Street, Toronto, Ontario, M5S 3H6, Canada. \*Correspondence e-mail: ulrich.fekl@utoronto.ca

The complex cation in the title compound,  $(\operatorname{carbonyl-}1\kappa C)(1\eta^5-\operatorname{pentamethyl-}$  $evclopentadienvl)(\mu$ -2.3,9,10-tetramethyl-1.4,8,11-tetrathiaundeca-2,9-diene-1,11-diido- $1\kappa^2 S, S''': 2\kappa^4 S, S', S'', S'''$ )ironnickel(*Fe*-*Ni*) hexafluorophosphate, [Fe- $Ni(C_{10}H_{15})(C_{11}H_{18}S_4)(CO)]PF_6$  or  $[Ni(L')FeCp^*(CO)]PF_6$ , is composed of the nickel complex fragment [Ni(L')] coordinated as a metalloligand (using S<sup>1</sup> and  $S^4$ ) to the [FeCp\*(CO)]<sup>+</sup> fragment, where  $(L')^{2-}$  is [S-C(Me)=C(Me)-S- $(CH_2)_3 - S - C(Me) = C(Me) - S^{2-}$  and where  $Cp^{*-}$  is cyclo- $C_5(Me)_5^{-}$  (pentamethylcyclopentadienyl). The ratio of hexafluorophosphate anion per complex cation is 1:1. The structure at 150 K has orthorhombic (*Pbcn*) symmetry. The atoms of the complex cation are located on general positions (multiplicity = 8), whereas there are two independent hexafluorophosphate anions, each located on a twofold axis (Wyckoff position 4c; multiplicity = 4). The structure of the new dimetallic cation  $[Ni(L')FeCp^*(CO)]^+$  can be described as containing a three-legged piano-stool environment for iron [Cp\*Fe(CO)'S<sub>2</sub>'] and an approximately square-planar 'S<sub>4</sub>' environment for Ni. The NiS<sub>2</sub>Fe diamondshaped substructure is notably folded at the S-S hinge: the angle between the NiS<sub>2</sub> plane and the FeS<sub>2</sub> plane normals is 64.85 (6) $^{\circ}$ . Largely because of this fold, the nickel-iron distance is relatively short, at 2.9195 (8) Å. The structural data for the complex cation, which contains a new unsaturated ' $S_4$ ' ligand (two C=C double bonds), provide an interesting comparison with the known NiFe hydrogenase models containing a saturated 'S<sub>4</sub>'-ligand analogue having the same number of carbon atoms in the ligand backbone, namely with the structures of  $[Ni(L)FeCp(CO)]^+$  (as the  $PF_6^-$  salt,  $CH_2Cl_2$  solvate) and  $[Ni(L)FeCp^*(CO)]^+$  (as the  $PF_6^-$  salt), where  $(L)^{2-}$  is  $[S-CH_2-CH_2-S (CH_2)_3 - S - CH_2 - CH_2 - S]^{2-}$  and  $Cp^-$  is cyclopentadienyl. The saturated analogues  $[Ni(L)FeCp(CO)]^+$  and  $[Ni(L)FeCp^*(CO)]^+$  have similar Ni-Fe distances: 3.1727 (6), 3.1529 (7) Å (two independent molecules in the unit cell) and 3.111 (5) Å, respectively, for the two complexes, whereas  $[Ni(L')FeCp^*(CO)]^+$  described here stands out with a much shorter Ni-Fe distance [2.9196 (8) Å]. Also, [Ni(L)FeCp(CO)]<sup>+</sup> and [Ni(L)FeCp\*(CO)]<sup>+</sup> show interplanar fold angles that are similar between the two: 39.56 (5), 41.99 (5) (independent molecules in the unit cell) and  $47.22(9)^{\circ}$ , respectively, whereas  $[Ni(L')FeCp^*(CO)]^+$  possesses a much more pronounced fold [64.85 (6)°]. Given that larger fold angles and shorter Ni-Fe distances are considered to be structurally closer to the enzyme, unsaturation in an 'S<sub>4</sub>'-ligand of the type (S –  $C_2-S-C_3-S-C_2-S)^{2-}$  seems to increase structural resemblance to the enzyme for structural models of the type  $[Ni('S_4')FeCp^R(CO)]^+$   $(Cp^R = Cp or$ Cp\*).

#### 1. Chemical context

Since the discovery and structural elucidation of nickel-iron hydrogenases, synthetic chemists have worked towards closer





Figure 1

Structure of the NiFe hydrogenase active site (left) and general model of the type  $[Ni(`S_4')Fe(Cp^R)(CO)]^+$  (right; 'S<sub>4</sub>' = synthetic tetrasulfur donor ligand).

and closer structural models for the NiFe hydrogen-splitting active site (Lubitz et al., 2014). This active site contains two terminal sulfur donors and two bridging sulfur donors coordinated to nickel, as well as a pseudo-octahedal coordination sphere around iron, which is completed by cyano and carbonyl ligands (Fig. 1, left). Several closely related models of the active site have been prepared by combining an Ni('S<sub>4</sub>') fragment (' $S_4$ ' = dianionic tetradentate sulfur ligand) with an  $[FeCp^{R}(CO)]^{+}$  fragment ( $Cp^{R} = Cp, C_{5}H_{5}$  or  $Cp^{*}, C_{5}Me_{5}$ ), as illustrated in Fig. 1 (right) (Canaguier et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2005). These complexes have an overall mono-cationic charge, consistent with formal Ni<sup>II</sup> and Fe<sup>II</sup> oxidations states. The first 'S<sub>4</sub>' ligand used in this capacity featured a saturated two-three-two carbon linker, in  $L^{2-}$  =  $[S-CH_2-CH_2-S-(CH_2)_3-S-CH_2-CH_2-S]^{2-}$  (Fig. 2, left) (Yang et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2005).



Figure 2

 $(S_4)$  ligands used for the structurally characterized NiFe hydrogenase models of the type  $[Ni((S_4))Fe(Cp^R)(CO)]^+$ .

Here, we present a new  $[Ni(`S_4`)FeCp^R(CO)]^+$  model based on an analogous but unsaturated  $`S_4`$  ligand, namely  $L'^{2-} = [S-C(Me)=C(Me)-S-(CH_2)_3-S-C(Me)=C(Me)-S]^{2-}$ (Fig. 2, middle), and assess the structural consequences of incorporating the unsaturated ligand. For comparison, we will also discuss a literature  $[Ni(`S_4`)Fe(Cp^R)(CO)]^+$  complex in which the  $`S_4`$  ligand has a four-carbon linker in the remote portion of the backbone  $(L''^{2-}, Fig. 2, right)$  (Canaguier *et al.*, 2010).

#### 2. Structural commentary

 $[Ni(L')FeCp^*(CO)]^+$  was obtained as solvent-free crystals containing the PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> counter-ion. A drawing showing both cation and anion in this salt is shown below (see Supramolecular features), and the intramolecular structural features of the cation are discussed first. The structure of  $[Ni(L')FeCp^*(CO)]^+$  is shown in Fig. 3. It contains a threelegged piano stool environment for iron and an approximately square-planar 'S<sub>4</sub>' environment for Ni (sum of bond angles around Ni1 = 359.83°). Selected metal-ligand distances are Ni1-S1 = 2.1616(11), Ni1-S2 = 2.1530(12), Ni1-S3 =2.1507 (11), Ni1-S4 = 2.1563 (12) Å, and Fe1-S1 = 2.3309(12), Fe1-S4 = 2.3602(12), Fe1-C11 = 1.768(5), Fe1-C1 = 2.080(4), Fe1-C2 = 2.107(4), Fe1-C3 =2.126 (4), Fe1-C4 = 2.138 (4), Fe1-C5 = 2.098 (4) Å. The intermetallic (Ni1-Fe1) distance is relatively short, i.e. 2.9195 (8) Å. The NiS<sub>2</sub>Fe diamond is markedly folded at the S-S hinge: the angle between the NiS<sub>2</sub> plane and the FeS<sub>2</sub> plane normals (dihedral angle;  $180^{\circ}$  – hinge angle) is 64.85 (6)°, and this fold largely accounts for the short nickeliron distance.

In the following discussion, we compare the structural features obtained with the unsaturated ligand  $L'^{2-}$  with those



(30% Displacement ellipsoid probability) drawing for  $[Ni(L')FeCp*(CO)]^+,$ observed as in the structure of  $[Ni(L')FeCp*(CO)][PF_6]$ . Generated using ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 2012).

## research communications

of literature complexes using the saturated ligand  $L^{2-}$ . The structures of  $[Ni(L)FeCp(CO)]^+$ , as the  $PF_6^-$  salt/  $CH_2Cl_2$ solvate (Zhu et al., 2005), and  $[Ni(L)FeCp^*(CO)]^+$ , as the PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> salt (Yang et al., 2015), are known. Both saturated analogues  $[Ni(L)FeCp(CO)]^+$  and  $[Ni(L)FeCp^*(CO)]^+$  show Ni-Fe distances that are similar for the two, 3.1727 (6)/ 3.1529 (7) Å (two independent molecules in the unit cell) and 3.111 (5) Å, respectively, for the two complexes. The  $[Ni(L')FeCp^*(CO)]^+$  complex, on the other hand, has a much shorter Ni-Fe distance [2.9195 (8), see above]. Also,  $[Ni(L)FeCp(CO)]^+$  and  $[Ni(L)FeCp^*(CO)]^+$  show interplanar fold angles that are similar for the two,  $39.56(5)/41.99(5)^{\circ}$ (two independent molecules in the unit cell) and  $47.22 (9)^{\circ}$ , respectively, while  $[Ni(L')FeCp^*(CO)]^+$  has a much larger fold angle of 64.85 (6) $^{\circ}$  (see above). The large fold angle and short Ni-Fe distance observed in the complex with the unsaturated ligand L' match the structure of the enzymatic active site more closely than the angles/distances of the complexes containing the saturated ligand L. For eight structurally characterized enzymes, the dihedral angles range from 59 to 99° and the Ni-Fe distances range from 2.53 to 2.97 Å (one outlier being desulfovibrio fructosovorans with 46° and 3.23 Å; Zhu et al., 2005). We have thus provided evidence that unsaturation in an  $(S_4)$ -ligand of the type  $(S_2 - S_2 - S_2 - S_2 - S_2)^{2-}$  can increase structural resemblance to the enzyme in models of the type  $[Ni(S_4)FeCp^R(CO)]^+$ . Structural similarity to the enzyme in models was, in alternative approaches, also favoured when additional donor atoms were incorporated into the ligand chain (such as 'S<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>') or where two bidentate chelate ligands were used instead of one large 'S<sub>4</sub>' ligand. (Zhu et al., 2005) Within the context of linear 'S<sub>4</sub>' ligands, an  $[Ni(L'')FeCp^{*}(CO)]^{+}$  model with four carbon atoms, instead of three, in the remote portion of the backbone (see  $L''^{2-}$  in Fig. 2, right) provided an Ni–Fe distance and fold angle very similar to those of the L' analogue, of 2.9611 (8) Å and 62.48 (4)°, respectively (Canaguier et al., 2010). In terms of activity,  $[Ni(L'')FeCp^*(CO)]^+$  was shown to be active as a hydrogen-production catalyst (Canaguier et al., 2010), which suggests that the  $[Ni(L')Cp^*(CO)]^+$  complex, with the unsaturated ' $S_4$ ' ligand L', might warrant deeper investigation. We conclude that the introduction of unsaturation in the  $S_4$ ligand led to a better structural model relative to the unsaturated ligand, highlighting a new variant of the classic  $[Ni('S_4')FeCp^R(CO)]^+$ -type hydrogenase model.

### 3. Supramolecular features

The structure results from packing of discrete cations  $[Ni(L')FeCp^*(CO)]^+$  with hexafluorophosphate anions, without solvent molecules and without any solvent-accessible void. The ratio of hexafluorophosphate anion per complex cation is 1:1. The atoms of the complex cation are situated on general positions (multiplicity = 8), whereas there are two independent hexafluorophosphate anions, each situated on a twofold axis (Wyckoff position 4*c* in *Pbcn*; multiplicity = 4). A picture of the packing is shown in Fig. 4 (top, 30% probability ellipsoids), along with labeling of all non-H atoms in the unit

cell (bottom). There are no classical hydrogen bonds but there are C-H···F hydrogen bonds to hexafluorophosphate (C6– H6B···F4 = 2.55 Å; C15–H15B···F3<sup>i</sup> = 2.55 Å; C21– H21C···F4<sup>ii</sup> = 2.48 Å; C22–H22C···F1<sup>iii</sup> = 2.52 Å) and a C– H···O short contact (C14–H14A···O1 = 2.41 Å) [symmetry codes: (i) -x + 2, y,  $-z + \frac{3}{2}$ ; (ii) -x + 1, y,  $-z + \frac{3}{2}$ ; (iii)  $-x + \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ , z].

### 4. Database survey

The Cambridge Crystallographic Database (version 5.39 including updates up to February 2018; Groom et al., 2016) was surveyed. A search was performed aimed at finding Ni<sub>1</sub>Fe<sub>1</sub> complexes that contain at least one (possibly substituted) cyclopentadienyl unit, at least one carbonyl (CO) coordinated to iron, and a nickel center bonded to at least four sulfurs. The substructure that was used for the search contained a cyclo-C<sub>5</sub> unit (any type of bond allowed), a nickel atom bonded to four sulfur atoms (any type of bond allowed), as well as an Fe-C-O unit (any type of bond for Fe-C and for C-O). Out of the six hits, RULQEV, RULQOF and RULQUL are trimetallic (instead of dimetallic) complexes (and also do not contain a cyclopentadienyl but rather a saturated five-membered ring within a polycyclic structure). Since they are not very close analogues of  $[Ni(L')FeCp^*(CO)]^+$ , they are not discussed further. LAZVUE (Zhu et al., 2005) contains  $[Ni(L)FeCp(CO)]^+$  (as the  $PF_6^-$  salt,  $CH_2Cl_2$  solvate), MUDXOA (Yang et al., 2015) contains [Ni(L)FeCp\*(CO)]<sup>+</sup> (as the  $PF_6^-$  salt), and SUWWAJ (Canaguier *et al.*, 2010) contains  $[Ni(L'')FeCp^*(CO)]^+$  (as the BF<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup> salt, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solvate). These three complex cations are discussed in detail above.

## 5. Synthesis and crystallization

The syntheses were performed in dried solvents under an inert atmosphere (nitrogen or argon; vacuum) using standard glovebox (MBraun) and Schlenk techniques. Deuterated NMR solvents were from Cambridge Isotopes. [Cp\*Fe(CO)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>2</sub> was acquired from Alfa Aesar. All other chemicals were obtained from Sigma–Aldrich. Photolysis was performed using a 160 W mercury vapour lamp (model: Westron Mega-Ray Self-Ballasted Zoologist).

 $Ni(S_2C_2Me_2)_2$ : This precursor for the nickel part of the complex was prepared as described in the literature (Schrauzer & Mayweg, 1965).

Ni(*L'*): Ni(*L'*), *i.e.* Ni(S–C(Me)=C(Me)–S–(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>– S–C(Me)=C(Me)–S) was prepared by alkylation of Na<sub>2</sub>[Ni(S<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>Me<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] using 1,3-dibromopropane. Na<sub>2</sub>[Ni(S<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>-Me<sub>2</sub>)] was prepared from Ni(S<sub>2</sub>C<sub>2</sub>Me<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub> by reduction with excess sodium in THF (344 K, 18h, in sealed vessel), until the colour had changed from deep purple to brown–yellow. The subsequent alkylation of  $[Ni(S_2C_2Me_2)]^{2-}$  using 1,3-dibromopropane was performed analogously to the procedure described by Schrauzer and co-workers for the closely related Ni(S–C(Ph)=C(Ph)–S–(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>–S–C(Ph)=C(Ph)–S). (Zhang *et al.*, 1992)



Figure 4

Drawings for packing (top) and labeling (bottom) of all non-H atoms in  $[Ni(L')FeCp*(CO)][PF_6]$ . Generated using *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2006). For the anion in the bottom part, generic atom labels without symmetry codes have been used.

# research communications

Table 1

Experimental details.	
Crystal data	
Chemical formula	[FeNi(C <sub>10</sub> H <sub>15</sub> )(C <sub>11</sub> H <sub>18</sub> S <sub>4</sub> )(CO)]PF <sub>6</sub>
M <sub>r</sub>	701.25
Crystal system, space group	Orthorhombic, Pbcn
Temperature (K)	150
a, b, c (Å)	15.4081 (3), 18.3762 (3), 19.2154 (3)
$V(Å^3)$	5440.69 (16)
Z	8
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	1.65
Crystal size (mm)	$0.20\times0.18\times0.12$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Nonius KappaCCD
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SORTAV; Blessing, 1995)
$T_{\min}, T_{\max}$	0.759, 0.850
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	38285, 6224, 3874
R <sub>int</sub>	0.079
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.649
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.052, 0.148, 1.07
No. of reflections	6224
No. of parameters	335
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} \Delta \rho_{\text{min}}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )	1.120.73

Computer programs: COLLECT (Nonius, 1998), DENZO-SMN (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997), SHELXS97 and SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2016 (Sheldrick, 2015) and PLATON (Spek, 2009).

 $[Cp*Fe(CO)_2(NCMe)][PF_6]$ : This precursor for the iron part of the complex was prepared according to the general procedure for  $[Cp*Fe(CO)_2(solvent)]^+$  given by Catheline & Astruc (1984), using MeCN (acetontrile) as the solvent.

 $[Ni(L')FeCp*(CO/NCMe)][PF_6]:$  Crude  $[Cp*Fe(CO)_2-$ (NCMe) [PF<sub>6</sub>] (210 mg, 0.48 mmol) was combined with 6 ml of acetonitrile and filtered through a glass filter frit. While purging with argon, the reaction was irradiated with UVvisible light (160 W, see above) for 16 h. Under an inert atmosphere, a solution of 155 mg (0.46 mmol) of Ni(L') in ca 7 ml of dichloromethane was added. The reaction mixture was heated under active argon flow to 325 K for 2 h. After cooling to room temperature, the volatiles were slowly removed under vacuum. The solid was dried under vacuum and stored in the glove-box. Yield of crude product: 253 mg (75%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, 298 K, CD<sub>3</sub>CN) δ 1.60 [s, (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>5</sub>C<sub>5</sub>]; δ 1.91 (s, **CH<sub>3</sub>**-C-S);  $\delta$  1.96 (s, **CH<sub>3</sub>**-C-S);  $\delta$  2.31 (s, br, **CH<sub>3</sub>**CN-Fe);  $\delta 2.0-3.7 [m, br, S-(CH_2)_3-S]$ . Note that the sample thus prepared showed a <sup>1</sup>H NMR signal for metal-coordinated acetonitrile. The purpose of the prolonged photolysis was to remove all CO from iron, in order to selectively prepare  $[Ni(L')FeCp*(NCMe)][PF_6]$ . However, the sample obtained appeared to be a mixture of  $[Ni(L')FeCp^*(CO)][PF_6]$  and  $[Ni(L')FeCp^*(NCMe)][PF_6]$  and is thus referred to as  $[Ni(L')FeCp*(CO/NCMe)][PF_6]$ . Yet, crystallization from acetone yielded exclusively  $[Ni(L')FeCp*(CO)][PF_6]$ , in crystalline form.

Crystallization of  $[Ni(L')FeCp^*(CO)][PF_6]$ : 11 mg of  $[Ni(L')FeCp^*(CO/NCMe)][PF_6]$  were dissolved in 1.5 ml of acetone and filtered through 1 cm of Celite. Through solvent vapor diffusion, by placing the loosely capped vial into a larger vessel containing diethyl ether vapour (and some liquid), crystals of  $[Ni(L')FeCp^*(CO)][PF_6]$  were grown within two days at 308 K.

#### 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 1. All H atoms were placed in calculated positions and included in the refinment in a ridingmodel approximation with C-H distances of 0.98 and 0.99 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$  or  $1.5U_{eq}(C_{methyl})$ .

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Mitchell J. Kerr for preparing a sample of  $Ni(S_2C_2Me_2)_2$  used in the synthesis.

#### **Funding information**

Funding for this research was provided by: Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada; University of Toronto.

#### References

- Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-38.
- Canaguier, S., Field, M., Oudart, Y., Pécaut, J., Fontecave, M. & Artero, V. (2010). *Chem. Commun.* 46, 5876–5878.
- Catheline, D. & Astruc, D. (1984). Organometallics, 3, 1094-1100.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Lubitz, W., Ogata, H., Rüdiger, O. & Reijerse, E. (2014). *Chem. Rev.* **114**, 4081–4148.
- Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). J. Appl. Cryst. 39, 453–457.

Nonius (1998). COLLECT. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.

Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.

- Schrauzer, G. N. & Mayweg, V. P. (1965). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 87, 1483– 1489.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Yang, D., Li, Y., Su, L., Wang, B. & Qu, J. (2015). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 2965–2973.
- Zhang, C., Reddy, H. K., Chadha, R. K. & Schrauzer, G. N. (1992). J. Coord. Chem. 26, 117–126.
- Zhu, W., Marr, A. C., Wang, Q., Neese, F., Spencer, D. J. E., Blake, A. J., Cooke, P. A., Wilson, C. & Schröder, M. (2005). Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA, 102, 18280–18285.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2018). E74, 1222-1226 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989018010939]

A new structural model for NiFe hydrogenases: an unsaturated analogue of a classic hydrogenase model leads to more enzyme-like Ni—Fe distance and interplanar fold

## Daniel J. Harrison, Alan J. Lough and Ulrich Fekl

## **Computing details**

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 1998); cell refinement: *DENZO-SMN* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO-SMN* (Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2016* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008).

 $(Carbonyl-1\kappa C)(1\eta^{5}-pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)(\mu-2,3,9,10-tetramethyl-1,4,8,11-tetrathiaundeca-2,9-diene-1,11-diido-1\kappa^{2}S,S''':2\kappa^{4}S,S',S'',S''')ironnickel(Fe—Ni) hexafluorophosphate$ 

## Crystal data [FeNi(C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>15</sub>)(C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>4</sub>)(CO)]PF<sub>6</sub> $M_r = 701.25$ Orthorhombic, Pbcn a = 15.4081 (3) Å b = 18.3762 (3) Å c = 19.2154 (3) Å $V = 5440.69 (16) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 8F(000) = 2880Data collection Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Detector resolution: 9 pixels mm<sup>-1</sup> $\varphi$ scans and $\omega$ scans with $\kappa$ offsets Absorption correction: multi-scan (SORTAV; Blessing, 1995) $T_{\rm min} = 0.759, T_{\rm max} = 0.850$

## Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.052$  $wR(F^2) = 0.148$ S = 1.076224 reflections  $D_x = 1.712 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 38285 reflections  $\theta = 2.6-27.5^{\circ}$  $\mu = 1.65 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 150 KBlock, green  $0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}$ 

38285 measured reflections 6224 independent reflections 3874 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.079$  $\theta_{max} = 27.5^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 2.6^{\circ}$  $h = -19 \rightarrow 19$  $k = -23 \rightarrow 23$  $l = -24 \rightarrow 24$ 

335 parameters0 restraintsHydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sitesH-atom parameters constrained  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0765P)^2 + 2.0266P]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.002$   $\begin{array}{l} \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 1.12 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.73 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{array}$ 

### Special details

**Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Ni1	0.74296 (4)	0.67056 (3)	0.52192 (3)	0.02311 (16)
Fe1	0.56172 (4)	0.71009 (3)	0.49879 (3)	0.02225 (17)
S1	0.68117 (7)	0.70012 (6)	0.42497 (5)	0.0256 (3)
S2	0.79921 (7)	0.57496 (6)	0.47542 (5)	0.0281 (3)
S3	0.79344 (7)	0.64527 (6)	0.62343 (5)	0.0268 (3)
S4	0.67593 (7)	0.76476 (6)	0.56176 (5)	0.0256 (3)
O1	0.5610 (2)	0.55947 (17)	0.54595 (17)	0.0398 (8)
C1	0.4278 (3)	0.7053 (2)	0.5115 (2)	0.0248 (9)
C2	0.4585 (3)	0.7723 (2)	0.5392 (2)	0.0265 (10)
C3	0.4983 (3)	0.8115 (2)	0.4831 (2)	0.0303 (10)
C4	0.4907 (3)	0.7698 (2)	0.4222 (2)	0.0316 (10)
C5	0.4483 (3)	0.7029 (2)	0.4388 (2)	0.0276 (10)
C6	0.3751 (3)	0.6504 (2)	0.5513 (2)	0.0353 (11)
H6A	0.313257	0.661374	0.545916	0.053*
H6B	0.390791	0.652574	0.600690	0.053*
H6C	0.387078	0.601560	0.533256	0.053*
C7	0.4426 (3)	0.7979 (3)	0.6122 (2)	0.0357 (11)
H7A	0.380057	0.803763	0.619663	0.054*
H7B	0.471790	0.844604	0.619491	0.054*
H7C	0.465444	0.761895	0.645062	0.054*
C8	0.5361 (3)	0.8871 (2)	0.4870 (3)	0.0452 (13)
H8A	0.492333	0.922612	0.472476	0.068*
H8B	0.586638	0.890341	0.456183	0.068*
H8C	0.554009	0.897320	0.534968	0.068*
С9	0.5187 (4)	0.7927 (3)	0.3503 (2)	0.0456 (13)
H9A	0.475279	0.825676	0.330445	0.068*
H9B	0.524302	0.749593	0.320604	0.068*
H9C	0.574773	0.817703	0.353096	0.068*
C10	0.4183 (3)	0.6449 (3)	0.3899 (2)	0.0444 (13)
H10A	0.355519	0.649373	0.382812	0.067*
H10B	0.431411	0.596988	0.409703	0.067*
H10C	0.448205	0.650298	0.345239	0.067*
C11	0.5660 (3)	0.6194 (3)	0.5293 (2)	0.0278 (10)
C12	0.6913 (3)	0.6181 (2)	0.3746 (2)	0.0286 (10)
C13	0.7413 (3)	0.5637 (2)	0.3961 (2)	0.0304 (10)
C14	0.7606 (3)	0.4963 (2)	0.5252 (2)	0.0278 (10)

H14A	0.696377	0.496663	0.526437	0.033*
H14B	0.779375	0.450965	0.501772	0.033*
C15	0.7957 (3)	0.4971 (2)	0.5998 (2)	0.0358 (11)
H15A	0.786109	0.448644	0.620841	0.043*
H15B	0.859172	0.505579	0.598102	0.043*
C16	0.7548 (3)	0.5546 (2)	0.6466 (2)	0.0328 (11)
H16A	0.769533	0.544153	0.695734	0.039*
H16B	0.690822	0.552699	0.641829	0.039*
C17	0.7331 (3)	0.7017 (2)	0.6814 (2)	0.0292 (10)
C18	0.6833 (3)	0.7532 (2)	0.6539 (2)	0.0267 (10)
C19	0.6439 (3)	0.6191 (3)	0.3059 (2)	0.0397 (12)
H19A	0.673889	0.587331	0.272713	0.060*
H19B	0.642695	0.668917	0.287647	0.060*
H19C	0.584335	0.601759	0.312578	0.060*
C20	0.7620 (3)	0.4947 (3)	0.3569 (2)	0.0411 (12)
H20A	0.733297	0.495786	0.311395	0.062*
H20B	0.741350	0.452654	0.383458	0.062*
H20C	0.824947	0.490988	0.350310	0.062*
C21	0.7475 (3)	0.6871 (3)	0.7574 (2)	0.0378 (12)
H21A	0.737458	0.731855	0.783949	0.057*
H21B	0.807296	0.670606	0.764737	0.057*
H21C	0.707132	0.649328	0.773176	0.057*
C22	0.6369 (3)	0.8090 (3)	0.6972 (2)	0.0381 (11)
H22A	0.676793	0.828725	0.732196	0.057*
H22B	0.587285	0.786252	0.720573	0.057*
H22C	0.616285	0.848535	0.667210	0.057*
P1	1.000000	0.50751 (9)	0.750000	0.0338 (4)
P2	0.500000	0.56829 (10)	0.750000	0.0361 (4)
F1	0.9262 (3)	0.44789 (18)	0.7508 (2)	0.0895 (14)
F2	0.92696 (19)	0.56827 (15)	0.75048 (16)	0.0518 (8)
F3	0.9995 (2)	0.5074 (2)	0.83285 (14)	0.0700 (10)
F4	0.4168 (2)	0.5668 (2)	0.7027 (2)	0.0808 (11)
F5	0.5402 (3)	0.62895 (18)	0.70149 (18)	0.0727 (10)
F6	0.5418 (2)	0.50624 (17)	0.70251 (16)	0.0670 (10)

interne inspireentent put interes (ii)	Atomic	displacement	parameters	$(Å^2)$
----------------------------------------	--------	--------------	------------	---------

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Ni1	0.0223 (3)	0.0268 (3)	0.0202 (3)	-0.0012 (2)	-0.0002 (2)	-0.0010 (2)
Fe1	0.0216 (4)	0.0250 (3)	0.0201 (3)	0.0001 (3)	0.0002 (2)	0.0023 (2)
<b>S</b> 1	0.0247 (6)	0.0316 (6)	0.0204 (5)	-0.0003 (5)	0.0005 (4)	0.0000 (4)
S2	0.0229 (6)	0.0327 (6)	0.0286 (6)	0.0013 (5)	0.0008 (4)	-0.0049 (4)
S3	0.0261 (6)	0.0303 (6)	0.0242 (5)	-0.0011 (5)	-0.0038 (4)	-0.0004 (4)
S4	0.0269 (6)	0.0269 (5)	0.0231 (5)	-0.0013 (5)	-0.0007 (4)	-0.0010 (4)
O1	0.036 (2)	0.0321 (18)	0.051 (2)	-0.0015 (15)	0.0016 (16)	0.0102 (15)
C1	0.021 (2)	0.028 (2)	0.025 (2)	0.0042 (18)	-0.0034 (17)	0.0000 (17)
C2	0.022 (2)	0.030(2)	0.027 (2)	0.0050 (18)	-0.0023 (18)	0.0014 (18)
C3	0.018 (2)	0.029 (2)	0.044 (3)	0.0021 (19)	0.001 (2)	0.004 (2)

# supporting information

C4	0.025 (3)	0.043 (3)	0.026 (2)	0.009 (2)	0.0030 (19)	0.011 (2)
C5	0.020 (2)	0.039 (3)	0.024 (2)	0.006 (2)	-0.0028 (17)	-0.0011 (18)
C6	0.027 (3)	0.034 (2)	0.046 (3)	-0.007 (2)	0.003 (2)	0.003 (2)
C7	0.031 (3)	0.045 (3)	0.030 (2)	0.005 (2)	0.000 (2)	-0.010 (2)
C8	0.028 (3)	0.028 (2)	0.079 (4)	-0.006 (2)	0.007 (3)	0.010 (2)
C9	0.041 (3)	0.060 (3)	0.036 (3)	0.014 (3)	0.009 (2)	0.021 (2)
C10	0.036 (3)	0.057 (3)	0.040 (3)	0.007 (3)	-0.013 (2)	-0.009 (2)
C11	0.017 (2)	0.039 (3)	0.027 (2)	0.001 (2)	0.0023 (17)	0.001 (2)
C12	0.027 (3)	0.033 (2)	0.025 (2)	-0.002 (2)	0.0040 (18)	-0.0060 (18)
C13	0.029 (3)	0.039 (3)	0.024 (2)	0.001 (2)	0.0034 (19)	-0.0076 (19)
C14	0.023 (2)	0.023 (2)	0.037 (2)	0.0006 (18)	-0.0029 (19)	-0.0038 (18)
C15	0.034 (3)	0.032 (2)	0.042 (3)	0.001 (2)	-0.006 (2)	0.004 (2)
C16	0.037 (3)	0.031 (2)	0.031 (2)	0.000 (2)	-0.003 (2)	0.0036 (19)
C17	0.029 (3)	0.035 (2)	0.023 (2)	-0.008 (2)	-0.0010 (19)	-0.0039 (19)
C18	0.028 (3)	0.030 (2)	0.021 (2)	-0.007 (2)	-0.0012 (18)	-0.0052 (17)
C19	0.041 (3)	0.052 (3)	0.026 (2)	-0.009 (3)	-0.002 (2)	-0.006 (2)
C20	0.046 (3)	0.045 (3)	0.033 (2)	-0.001 (2)	0.003 (2)	-0.014 (2)
C21	0.044 (3)	0.045 (3)	0.025 (2)	-0.003 (2)	-0.005 (2)	0.002 (2)
C22	0.039 (3)	0.048 (3)	0.027 (2)	0.003 (2)	-0.005 (2)	-0.010 (2)
P1	0.0388 (11)	0.0305 (9)	0.0321 (9)	0.000	-0.0043 (7)	0.000
P2	0.0311 (10)	0.0423 (10)	0.0350 (9)	0.000	-0.0006 (8)	0.000
F1	0.112 (4)	0.055 (2)	0.102 (3)	-0.046 (2)	0.036 (3)	-0.027 (2)
F2	0.0310 (18)	0.0563 (18)	0.068 (2)	0.0122 (14)	0.0023 (14)	0.0122 (15)
F3	0.060(2)	0.115 (3)	0.0349 (16)	0.010 (2)	-0.0033 (15)	0.0145 (17)
F4	0.061 (2)	0.090 (3)	0.092 (3)	-0.011 (2)	-0.039 (2)	0.017 (2)
F5	0.090 (3)	0.0526 (19)	0.075 (2)	-0.0221 (19)	0.016 (2)	0.0109 (17)
F6	0.089 (3)	0.061 (2)	0.0507 (18)	0.0087 (19)	0.0156 (18)	-0.0130 (15)

## Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Ni1—S3	2.1507 (11)	C10—H10A	0.9800
Ni1—S2	2.1530 (12)	C10—H10B	0.9800
Ni1—S4	2.1563 (12)	C10—H10C	0.9800
Ni1—S1	2.1616 (11)	C12—C13	1.328 (6)
Ni1—Fe1	2.9195 (8)	C12—C19	1.510 (6)
Fe1—C11	1.768 (5)	C13—C20	1.509 (6)
Fe1—C1	2.080 (4)	C14—C15	1.532 (6)
Fe1—C5	2.098 (4)	C14—H14A	0.9900
Fe1—C2	2.107 (4)	C14—H14B	0.9900
Fe1—C3	2.126 (4)	C15—C16	1.524 (6)
Fe1—C4	2.138 (4)	C15—H15A	0.9900
Fe1—S1	2.3309 (12)	C15—H15B	0.9900
Fe1—S4	2.3602 (12)	C16—H16A	0.9900
S1—C12	1.798 (4)	C16—H16B	0.9900
S2—C13	1.778 (4)	C17—C18	1.329 (6)
S2—C14	1.833 (4)	C17—C21	1.501 (6)
S3—C17	1.783 (5)	C18—C22	1.503 (6)
S3—C16	1.825 (4)	C19—H19A	0.9800

# supporting information

S4—C18	1.786 (4)	C19—H19B	0.9800
01—C11	1.149 (5)	C19—H19C	0.9800
C1—C2	1.423 (6)	C20—H20A	0.9800
C1—C5	1.432 (6)	C20—H20B	0.9800
C1—C6	1.504 (6)	С20—Н20С	0.9800
C2—C3	1.434 (6)	C21—H21A	0.9800
C2—C7	1,499 (6)	C21—H21B	0.9800
C3—C4	1.404 (6)	C21—H21C	0.9800
C3—C8	1.508 (6)	C22—H22A	0.9800
C4—C5	1 429 (6)	C22—H22B	0.9800
C4-C9	1 507 (6)	$C^{22}$ H <sup>22</sup> C	0.9800
$C_{5}$	1 493 (6)	$P1 = F1^{i}$	1.579(3)
C6—H6A	0.9800	P1F1	1.579(3)
C6 H6B	0.9800	P1 F2	1.575(3) 1.585(3)
	0.9800	$\begin{array}{c} 1 1 1 2 \\ 2 1 2 2 \\ 1 2 1 2 \\ 2 1 2 1 \\ 2 2 1 \\ 2 2 1 \\ 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 \\ 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 $	1.585(3)
C7 H7A	0.9800	$P_1 = P_2$	1.505(3) 1.502(3)
C7_H7P	0.9800	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	1.392(3) 1.502(3)
С/—П/В	0.9800	$P_1 = P_3^{-1}$	1.392(3)
$C^{-}H^{-}C$	0.9800	P2—F4"	1.572(3)
	0.9800	P2—F4	1.572(3)
C8—H8B	0.9800	P2—F5"	1.580 (3)
C8—H8C	0.9800	P2—F5	1.580 (3)
C9—H9A	0.9800	P2—F6 <sup>n</sup>	1.596 (3)
С9—Н9В	0.9800	P2—F6	1.596 (3)
С9—Н9С	0.9800		
S2 N;1 S2	02 12 (4)		100.5
$S_{3}$ $S_{1}$ $S_{4}$	93.13(4)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	109.5
$S_{2} = N_{11} = S_{4}$	91.41(4) 174.28(5)		109.5
S2 N:1 S1	1/4.30(3)		109.5
53-N11-51	1/4.42(5)	$H\delta B = C\delta = H\delta C$	109.5
S2—N11—S1	91.42 (4)	C4 - C9 - H9A	109.5
S4—N11—S1	83.87 (4)	C4—C9—H9B	109.5
S3—N11—Fel	122.53 (4)	H9A—C9—H9B	109.5
S2—N11—Fel	121.66 (4)	C4—C9—H9C	109.5
S4—N11—Fel	52.85 (3)	H9A—C9—H9C	109.5
S1—N11—Fel	52.04 (3)	H9B—C9—H9C	109.5
C11—Fe1—C1	87.63 (18)	C5—C10—H10A	109.5
C11—Fe1—C5	98.85 (18)	C5—C10—H10B	109.5
C1—Fe1—C5	40.08 (15)	H10A—C10—H10B	109.5
C11—Fe1—C2	114.69 (18)	C5—C10—H10C	109.5
C1—Fe1—C2	39.73 (15)	H10A—C10—H10C	109.5
C5—Fe1—C2	66.92 (16)	H10B—C10—H10C	109.5
C11—Fe1—C3	152.97 (19)	O1-C11-Fe1	173.2 (4)
C1—Fe1—C3	66.26 (16)	C13—C12—C19	124.2 (4)
C5—Fe1—C3	66.07 (17)	C13—C12—S1	120.9 (3)
C2—Fe1—C3	39.61 (16)	C19—C12—S1	114.7 (3)
C11—Fe1—C4	137.02 (19)	C12—C13—C20	126.9 (4)
C1—Fe1—C4	66.05 (16)	C12—C13—S2	118.0 (3)
C5—Fe1—C4	39.42 (17)	C20—C13—S2	114.8 (3)

C2—Fe1—C4	65.71 (16)	C15—C14—S2	111.4 (3)
C3—Fe1—C4	38.43 (17)	C15—C14—H14A	109.3
C11—Fe1—S1	95.64 (14)	S2—C14—H14A	109.3
C1—Fe1—S1	148.36 (12)	C15—C14—H14B	109.3
C5—Fe1—S1	108.58 (12)	S2—C14—H14B	109.3
C2—Fe1—S1	149.63 (12)	H14A—C14—H14B	108.0
C3—Fe1—S1	110.20 (12)	C16—C15—C14	114.4 (4)
C4—Fe1—S1	91.47 (12)	C16—C15—H15A	108.7
C11—Fe1—S4	101.72 (14)	C14—C15—H15A	108.7
C1—Fe1—S4	134.25 (11)	C16—C15—H15B	108.7
C5—Fe1—S4	158.40 (12)	C14—C15—H15B	108.7
C2—Fe1—S4	98.20 (12)	H15A—C15—H15B	107.6
C3—Fe1—S4	92.43 (13)	C15—C16—S3	110.7 (3)
C4—Fe1—S4	121.08 (13)	C15—C16—H16A	109.5
S1—Fe1—S4	75.92 (4)	S3—C16—H16A	109.5
C11—Fe1—Ni1	71.28 (14)	C15—C16—H16B	109.5
C1—Fe1—Ni1	157.04(11)	S3-C16-H16B	109.5
C5—Fe1—Ni1	149 87 (12)	$H_{16A}$ $C_{16}$ $H_{16B}$	108.1
C2—Fe1—Ni1	143.21(12)	C18 - C17 - C21	126.8 (4)
$C_{3}$ Fe1 Ni1	132.80(12)	C18 - C17 - S3	120.0(4) 117.8(3)
C4—Fe1—Ni1	132.00(12) 136.25(12)	$C_{10} = C_{17} = S_{3}$	117.0(3) 115.3(3)
$S1_Fe1_Ni1$	46.99 (3)	$C_{17}$ $C_{18}$ $C_{22}$	113.3(3) 122.7(4)
$S_{1}$ $E_{1}$ $N_{1}$	46.74 (3)	C17 - C18 - C22	122.7(4) 1211(3)
$C_{12} = S_1 = N_1$	40.74(3)	$C_{1}^{2} = C_{18}^{18} = S_{4}^{18}$	121.1(3) 1160(3)
$C_{12}$ $S_1$ $E_{21}$	102.40(15)	$C_{22} = C_{10} = S_{4}$	100.5
$V_{12}$ $V$	117.49(15) 80.07(4)	$C_{12}$ $C_{19}$ $H_{10R}$	109.5
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	101.1(2)		109.5
$C_{13} = S_2 = C_{14}$	101.1(2) 104.38(15)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	109.5
$C_{13}$ $S_{2}$ $N_{11}$	104.30(13) 107.22(14)		109.5
C17 = S2 = C16	107.23(14) 102.0(2)	H19A - C19 - H19C	109.5
C17 = S2 = N11	102.0(2)	H19B - C19 - H19C	109.5
C1(-S2-N1)	104.04(15)	C13 - C20 - H20A	109.5
C10 - S3 - N11	107.48 (15)	C13—C20—H20B	109.5
C18 - S4 - IN11	103.04 (15)	H20A—C20—H20B	109.5
C18—S4—Fei	120.33(15)	$H_{20}$ $H$	109.5
N11 - S4 - Fei	80.41 (4)	H20A—C20—H20C	109.5
$C_2 = C_1 = C_5$	108.6 (4)	H20B—C20—H20C	109.5
$C_2 = C_1 = C_6$	124.7 (4)	C1/-C21-H2IA	109.5
$C_{2}$	126.4 (4)	C17—C21—H21B	109.5
C2—C1—Fel	71.2 (2)	H21A—C21—H21B	109.5
C5—C1—Fel	70.6 (2)	С17—С21—Н21С	109.5
C6—C1—Fel	128.5 (3)	H21A—C21—H21C	109.5
C1—C2—C3	107.2 (4)	H21B—C21—H21C	109.5
C1—C2—C7	124.5 (4)	С18—С22—Н22А	109.5
C3—C2—C7	128.1 (4)	C18—C22—H22B	109.5
C1-C2-Fe1	69.1 (2)	H22A—C22—H22B	109.5
C3—C2—Fe1	70.9 (2)	C18—C22—H22C	109.5
C7—C2—Fe1	129.7 (3)	H22A—C22—H22C	109.5
C4—C3—C2	108.5 (4)	H22B—C22—H22C	109.5

C4—C3—C8	125.2 (4)	$F1^{i}$ — $P1$ — $F1$	92.2 (3)
C2—C3—C8	126.1 (4)	$F1^{i}$ $P1$ $F2$	179.1 (2)
C4—C3—Fe1	71.3 (2)	F1—P1—F2	88.70 (19)
C2—C3—Fe1	69.5 (2)	$F1^{i}$ $P1$ $F2^{i}$	88.70 (19)
C8—C3—Fe1	128.5 (3)	$F1 - F2^{i}$	179.11 (19)
C3—C4—C5	108.8 (4)	$F2$ — $P1$ — $F2^{i}$	90.4 (2)
C3—C4—C9	126.0 (4)	$F1^{i}$ $P1$ $F3$	90.73 (19)
C5-C4-C9	125.2 (4)	F1F3	89.21 (19)
C3—C4—Fe1	70.3 (2)	F2F3	89.51 (17)
C5—C4—Fe1	68.8 (2)	$F2^{i}$ P1 F3	90.56 (17)
C9—C4—Fel	128.9(3)	$F1^{i}$ $P1$ $F3^{i}$	89 21 (19)
C4-C5-C1	107.0(4)	$F1 \longrightarrow P1 \longrightarrow F3^{i}$	90 73 (19)
C4-C5-C10	127.9 (4)	$F^2 - F^3$	90.56 (17)
C1 - C5 - C10	124.6 (4)	$F2^{i}$ $P1$ $F3^{i}$	89 51 (17)
C4-C5-Fel	71.8(2)	$F_{3}$ $P_{1}$ $F_{3}^{i}$	1799(3)
C1-C5-Fe1	69 3 (2)	$F4^{ii}$ P2 F4	179.9(3) 178.0(3)
C10-C5-Fe1	1304(3)	$F4^{ii}$ P2 $F5^{ii}$	89 5 (2)
C1 - C6 - H6A	109 5	$F4 - P2 - F5^{ii}$	91.9(2)
C1—C6—H6B	109.5	$F4^{ii}$ P2 F5	91.9(2) 91.9(2)
H6A—C6—H6B	109.5	F4—P2—F5	89.5 (2)
C1—C6—H6C	109.5	$F5^{ii}$ P2 F5	90.2(3)
Н6А—С6—Н6С	109.5	$F4^{ii}$ P2 $F6^{ii}$	89.2 (2)
H6B—C6—H6C	109.5	$F4 P2 F6^{ii}$	89.4 (2)
C2-C7-H7A	109.5	$F5^{ii}$ $P2$ $F6^{ii}$	90.47 (18)
C2—C7—H7B	109.5	F5F6 <sup>ii</sup>	178 67 (19)
H7A-C7-H7B	109.5	$F4^{ii}$ P2 F6	89.4 (2)
C2-C7-H7C	109.5	F4—P2—F6	89.2 (2)
H7A-C7-H7C	109.5	$F5^{ii}$ P2 F6	178.66 (19)
H7B-C7-H7C	109.5	F5—P2—F6	90.47 (18)
C3—C8—H8A	109.5	$F6^{ii}$ P2 F6	88.8 (3)
C3—C8—H8B	109.5		
C5—C1—C2—C3	0.0 (5)	C2—C1—C5—C10	-173.1 (4)
C6—C1—C2—C3	-174.6 (4)	C6-C1-C5-C10	1.4 (7)
Fe1—C1—C2—C3	61.0 (3)	Fe1—C1—C5—C10	125.5 (4)
C5—C1—C2—C7	174.4 (4)	C2—C1—C5—Fe1	61.4 (3)
C6-C1-C2-C7	-0.2 (7)	C6-C1-C5-Fe1	-124.2 (4)
Fe1—C1—C2—C7	-124.5 (4)	Ni1—S1—C12—C13	-10.7 (4)
C5-C1-C2-Fe1	-61.0 (3)	Fe1—S1—C12—C13	-96.8 (4)
C6-C1-C2-Fe1	124.4 (4)	Ni1—S1—C12—C19	173.1 (3)
C1—C2—C3—C4	1.0 (5)	Fe1—S1—C12—C19	86.9 (3)
C7—C2—C3—C4	-173.2 (4)	C19—C12—C13—C20	1.5 (8)
Fe1—C2—C3—C4	60.9 (3)	S1—C12—C13—C20	-174.4 (4)
C1—C2—C3—C8	176.8 (4)	C19—C12—C13—S2	174.9 (3)
C7—C2—C3—C8	2.6 (8)	S1—C12—C13—S2	-1.0 (5)
Fe1—C2—C3—C8	-123.3 (5)	C14—S2—C13—C12	123.5 (4)
C1-C2-C3-Fe1	-59.9 (3)	Ni1—S2—C13—C12	12.2 (4)
C7—C2—C3—Fe1	125.9 (5)	C14—S2—C13—C20	-62.3 (4)

$C^2$ $C^3$ $C^4$ $C^5$	-16(5)	Ni1 S2 C13 C20	-173.6(3)
$C_2 = C_3 = C_4 = C_5$	1.0(3)	$C_{12} = C_{13} = C_{20}$	173.0(3)
18 - 13 - 14 - 15	-1//.4 (4)	C13 - S2 - C14 - C15	-1/4.9(3)
Fe1—C3—C4—C5	58.2 (3)	Ni1—S2—C14—C15	-65.9 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C9	175.9 (4)	S2-C14-C15-C16	72.5 (4)
C8—C3—C4—C9	0.1 (7)	C14—C15—C16—S3	-73.0 (4)
Fe1—C3—C4—C9	-124.3 (5)	C17—S3—C16—C15	177.2 (3)
C2-C3-C4-Fe1	-59.7 (3)	Ni1—S3—C16—C15	67.5 (3)
C8—C3—C4—Fe1	124.4 (5)	C16—S3—C17—C18	-122.3 (4)
C3—C4—C5—C1	1.6 (5)	Ni1—S3—C17—C18	-10.4 (4)
C9—C4—C5—C1	-176.0 (4)	C16—S3—C17—C21	60.1 (4)
Fe1-C4-C5-C1	60.7 (3)	Ni1—S3—C17—C21	172.0 (3)
C3—C4—C5—C10	173.4 (4)	C21—C17—C18—C22	3.9 (7)
C9—C4—C5—C10	-4.2 (7)	S3—C17—C18—C22	-173.4 (3)
Fe1-C4-C5-C10	-127.5 (5)	C21—C17—C18—S4	178.1 (4)
C3-C4-C5-Fe1	-59.1 (3)	S3—C17—C18—S4	0.8 (5)
C9-C4-C5-Fe1	123.3 (4)	Ni1—S4—C18—C17	9.1 (4)
C2-C1-C5-C4	-1.0 (5)	Fe1—S4—C18—C17	95.5 (4)
C6—C1—C5—C4	173.5 (4)	Ni1—S4—C18—C22	-176.3 (3)
Fe1—C1—C5—C4	-62.3 (3)	Fe1—S4—C18—C22	-89.9 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+2, *y*, -*z*+3/2; (ii) -*x*+1, *y*, -*z*+3/2.