

Md. Serajul Haque Faizi,^a* Necmi Dege,^b James Pogrebetsky^c and Turganbay S. Iskenderov^c

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Langat Singh College, Babasaheb Bhimrao Ambedkar Bihar University, Muzaffarpur, Bihar, India, ^bOndokuz Mayis University, Arts and Sciences Faculty, Department of Physics, 55139 Samsun, Turkey, and ^cDepartment of Chemistry, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, 64 Volodymyrska Str., 01601 Kiev, Ukraine. *Correspondence e-mail: faizichemiitg@gmail.com

In the title compound, $[Cd(C_{16}H_{16}O_3)_2(C_{12}H_8N_2)]\cdot 4H_2O$, the Cd ion lies on a twofold rotation axis and is chelated by two monodeprotonated residues of the L-tyrosine-derived ligand (S)-2-[(2-hydroxybenzyl)amino]-3-(4-hydroxyphen-yl)propanoic acid (L) in a $\kappa^2 N$, O amino acid chelating mode, exhibiting an (N,N')-trans disposition, and by 1,10-phenanthroline in a $\kappa^2 N$, N' mode. The latter ligand is also located about the twofold rotation axis that bisects the central six-members ring. The phenolic groups remain protonated and non-coordinating and take part as acceptors in the intramolecular hydrogen bonds with the amino groups of the acido ligands. The Cd ion is six-coordinated in a distorted octahedral environment. In the crystal, $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds result in the formation of three-dimensional network structures. The title complex has also been characterized by IR and ¹H NMR spectroscopy and DFT studies. The crystal studied was refined as an inversion twin.

1. Chemical context

Schiff bases are widely known as an important class of organic compounds and ligands in coordination chemistry. In recent years they have found applications in the fields of analytical chemistry, medicine and biological processes, displaying antifungal, antibacterial and anticancer activities (Przybylski et al., 2009; Dhar & Taploo, 1982). Such systems are considered important ligands for coordination and supramolecular compounds (Moroz et al., 2012). Coordination complexes with Schiff bases have attracted the interest of researchers in the areas of pharmaceutical, agriculture and industrial chemistry (Anis et al., 2013). However, the use of Schiff base ligand systems having additional polar donor functions on contrary oximes (Sliva et al., 1997; Penkova et al., 2010; Pavlishchuk et al., 2010) is limited because of their enhanced reactivity or instability under complex formation (Casella & Gullotti, 1983). For example, Schiff bases derived from aminohydroxamic acids undergo spontaneous cyclization resulting in the formation of 2-substituted 3-hydroxyimidazolidine-4-ones (Iskenderov et al., 2009). One of the ways to overcome this drawback is the reduction of such compounds to amines. The formed ligands are more conformationally flexible at the coordination site, thus not necessarily forming planar chelate rings (Koh et al., 1996). In recent years it has also been found that phenanthroline, another ligand used in this study, has



OPEN 3 ACCESS

ISSN 2056-9890

Received 26 July 2018 Accepted 15 August 2018

Edited by D.-J. Xu, Zhejiang University (Yuquan Campus), China

CRYSTALLOGRAPHIC

COMMUNICATIONS

Keywords: crystal structure; Cd^{II} complex; distorted octahedral geometry; O—H···O hydrogen bonding; π – π stacking interactions.

CCDC reference: 1534110

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



research communications

extensive important roles in a variety of fields (Faizi & Sharkina, 2015; Faizi *et al.*, 2017). In this paper we report the synthesis and structure of a new cadmium complex with an *L*-tyrosine-derived ligand synthesized by the reduction of a Schiff base precursor.



2. Structural commentary

The asymmetric unit of the title compound contains one half of the mononuclear complex of the mononuclear complex $[Cd(L-H)_2(phen)]$ and two water molecules of solvation (Fig. 1). The central Cd^{II} atom is located on a twofold rotation axis and coordinated by three chelating ligands, leading to a distorted octahedral CdN₄O₂ coordination sphere. The mixed-



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, showing the atom labelling for the asymmetric unit. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. The unabelled atoms are related to the labelled atoms by symmetry operation y, x, -z

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$N1-H1\cdots O1$	1.02	2.27	2.992 (10)	126
$O6-H6A\cdots O2^{i}$	0.83	2.51	3.276 (18)	152
O6−H6 <i>B</i> ···O3	0.83	2.43	2.851 (16)	112
$O5-H5B\cdots O2$	0.82	2.00	2.675 (15)	140
$O5-H5A\cdots O6^{ii}$	0.83	2.31	2.709 (17)	110
$O1-H1C\cdots O5^{iii}$	0.82	1.98	2.652 (11)	138
$O3-H3\cdots O4^{iv}$	0.82	1.86	2.640 (9)	159

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y+1, z; (ii) y-1, x, -z; (iii) y, x+1, -z; (iv) $-y + \frac{3}{2}, x + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{4}$.

ligand complex contains one neutral phenanthroline ligand, bisected by the twofold rotation axis, and two residues of monodeprotonated tyrosine-derived ligands. The latter are coordinated in a $\kappa^2 N, O$ classical amino acid chelating mode and have a C9 chiral atom, exhibiting an (N,N')-trans disposition. The Cd–O and Cd–N bond lengths are similar, being 2.325 (5), 2.335 (6) and 2.323 (6) Å for Cd1-O4, Cd1-N1 and Cd1-N2, respectively. All three ligands form fivemembered chelate rings. Unlike the chelate ring formed by the phenanthroline ligand which is virtually planar, the one created by the L residue exhibits an envelope conformation with the deviation of the Cd atom from the mean plane defined by the other four atoms being 1.0692 (3) Å. The N-Cd-O and N-Cd-N bite angles are 70.5 (2) and 72.0 (4) $^{\circ}$, respectively. The phenolic O-H group remains protonated and non-coordinating, albeit participating in an extensive intermolecular hydrogen-bonding network. An intramolecular N1-H1···O1 hydrogen bond (Table 1) occurs between the amino and phenolic groups of the same acido ligand.

3. Supramolecular features

The crystal structure of title compound is stabilized by intermolecular $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds in which the phenolic groups of the L ligand and the water molecules act as both



Figure 2

The crystal packing of the title compound viewed along the a axis. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines (see Table 1 for details).



Figure 3

(a) Experimental vibrational spectrum and (b) B3LYP/DFT simulated vibrational spectrum.

donors and acceptors (Table 1, Fig. 2). Hydrogen bonds formed by the water molecules link the neighboring complex molecules, forming a three-dimensional structure. π - π interactions take place between the central ring of phenanthroline and the C2–C7 aromatic rings of two tyrosine-derived ligands with centroid-to-centroid separations of 3.938 (6) Å.

4. DFT study

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed to investigate the electronic structure and characteristic vibrations. The calculated frequencies were found within the range, shown in Table 2. Two factors could be responsible for the shift between the experimental and computed spectra (Fig. 3). The first is the environmental factor as the DFT calculations were performed for the gas phase while the experimental data were obtained for the solid state. The second reason for the shift is that the calculated values are only harmonic frequencies while the experimental values contain both harmonic and anharmonic vibrational frequencies, but the pattern of the spectra appear to be quite similar in both cases, which validates the experimental vibrational spectrum. Some animated images of the characteristic vibrations with displacement vector are given in supporting information.

|--|--|

Some selected experimental and calculated wavenumbers (cm⁻¹).

Vibrational band	Experimental	Calculated	
ν (N-H) stretching	3402	3414	
ν (COO) anti-symmetrical stretching	1597	1598	
ν (COO) symmetrical stretching	1459,1376	1487, 1396	
$\nu(C-N)$ stretching	1266	1237	
ν (N-H) wagging	853	885	
v(C-H) rocking	722	756	

Tal	ole	3
-----	-----	---

Calculated frontiermolecular orbital energies (eV).

FMO	Energy
	0.00
LUMO+3	-0.90
LUMO+2	-1.68
LUMO+1	-2.73
LUMO	-2.78
НОМО	-5.08
HOMO-1	-5.15
HOMO-2	-5.82
HOMO-3	-5.89
LUMO-HOMO	2.30

5. Frontier molecular orbital analysis

The LUMO and HOMO orbital energy parameters are accountable to a significant extent for the charge transfer, chemical reactivity and kinetic/thermodynamic stability of a molecule. Metal complexes with a small energy gap (ΔE) between the HOMO and LUMO values are more polarizable, thereby acting as soft molecules with a higher chemical reactivity. However, complexes with large energy gap offer greater stability and lower chemical reactivity than those with a small HOMO–LUMO energy gap. The DFT study revealed that the HOMO, HOMO-1, HOMO-2 and HOMO-3 energies are localized on the N1, N4, O2, O3, O6, O7, C8, C9, C35, C36 and C37 atoms of the amino acid ligand, partially localized on the Cd centre, namely $dx^2 - y^2$, as shown in Fig. 4. In contrast, LUMO, LUMO+1, LUMO+2 and LUMO+3 are totally



HOMO and LUMO frontier molecular orbitals with respective molecular orbital number.

research communications



Figure 5

Hirshfeld surfaces mapped over (a) d_{norm} , (b) shape index and (c) curvedness.

delocalized over phenanthroline moiety. It could be said that the HOMO and LUMO are mainly composed of σ and π -type orbitals, respectively, and that intramolecular charge transfer occurred from the amino acid moiety to the phenanthroline moiety. The LUMO–HOMO gap of the complex was calculated to be 2.30 eV. The frontier molecular orbital energies are given in Table 3.

6. Hirshfeld surface analysis

The Hirshfeld surfaces of the title compound are illustrated in Fig. 5, depicting surfaces that have been mapped over a d_{norm} range of -0.5 to 1.5 a.u., shape index (-1.0 to 1.0 a.u.) and curvedness (-4.0 to 0.4 a.u.). The d_{norm} surface has a redwhite-blue colour scheme, whereas deep-red spots highlight shorter contacts *i.e.* hydrogen bonding. The white areas represent contacts around the van der Waals separation, such as H...H contacts, and the blue regions are devoid of such close contacts. On the Hirshfeld surface mapped with the shape-index function, one can examine both red regions corresponding to $C-H\cdots\pi$ interactions as well as 'bow-tie patterns', which indicate the presence of aromatic stacking (π - π) interactions. The curvedness surface indicates that the electron density of the surface curves around the molecular interactions. The fingerprint plots, presented in Fig. 6, can be decomposed to highlight particular atom-pair close contacts. This itemization allows visualization of the contributions from different interaction types, which overlap in the full finger-



Figure 6

The two-dimensional fingerprint plots of interatomic interactions showing the percentage contributions to the Hirshfeld surface.

1342 Faizi et al. • $[Cd(C_{16}H_{16}O_3)_2(C_{12}H_8N_2)] \cdot 4H_2O$

print. For the title compound, the proportions of $H \cdots H$, $C \cdots H$, $H \cdots O$ and $O \cdots O$ interactions comprise 50.1%, 15.4%, 29.2% and 4.7%, respectively, of the total Hirshfeld surface for each molecule.

7. Database survey

A search in the Cambridge Structural Database (Version 5.39, last update February 2018; Groom et al., 2016) for structures with a Cd^{II} ion coordinated by 2-hydroxybenzyl derivatives of amino acids vielded only one hit (refcode WARLIL; Lou et al., 2005), a mononuclear complex with N-(2-hydroxybenzyl)-D,Laspartic acid. In this complex, the doubly deprotonated (by the phenolic and β -carboxylic groups) residue of the ligand is coordinated in an (O,N,O')-tridentate mode including the phenolic oxygen, unlike the title compound in which the phenolic group is non-coordinating. The second oxygen atom of the β -carboxylic group bridges the neighboring mononuclear Cd units into a one-dimensional chain. In addition, there are few structures of complexes with zinc or cadmium analogues (refcodes AZIROQ, AZIRUW, NOLYIW, NOLYOC) with 2-hydroxybenzyl derivatives of alanine. In all these complexes, the ligand is also coordinated in an (O,N,O')tridentate manner, with an additional μ_2 -function of the phenolic oxygen, which results in the formation of a Zn_2O_2 binuclear core in all cases (Lou et al., 2004; Ranford et al., 1998).

8. Synthesis and crystallization

Synthesis of (S)-2-[(2-hydroxybenzyl)amino]-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propanoic acid (L)

A methanolic solution of o-salicylaldehyde (1.18 g, 5.51 mmol) was added dropwise to a stirring solution of L-tyrosine (1.00 g, 5.52 mmol) and LiOH·H₂O (0.23 g, 5.50 mmol) in methanol (25 mL). Stirring was continued for 2 h, followed by the addition of sodium borohydride (0.21 g, 5.55 mmol) with further stirring for 1 h. The solvent was evaporated and the resulting sticky mass was dissolved in water and acidified with dilute HCl. The pH of the solution was maintained between 5-7. The ligand precipitated as a brown solid. It was washed thoroughly with water and MeOH after filtration and dried in a vacuum desiccator. Yield 1.60 g (76%). m/z (ESI-MS, $[M - H]^{-}$) 379.087 (calculated 379.084). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹), ν (COO)_{asym} 1579 (s), ν (COO)_{sym} 1394 (s). ¹H NMR (CD₃OD, ppm): H_{cp.o} (4.10, *d*, 1H), H_{cp.o} (4.13, *d*, 1H), H_{cp} (3.99, s, 5H), $H_{cp.m}$ (4.03, s, 2H), H_a (3.51, d, 1H, $J_{a,a}$ = 12.8 Hz), H_{a,c'} (3.22, d, 1H), H_b (3.31, m, 1H), H_c (2.94, dd, 1H), H_{c,c'} (2.67, *dd*, 1H), H_{d,d'} (6.96, *d*, 2H), H_{e,e'} (6.59, *d*, 2H). As a result of geminal coupling, H_c split into two nonequivalent H_c and H_{c'}.

Synthesis of [Cd(L-H)₂(phen)]·4H₂O

A methanolic solution of $Cd(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.107 g, 0.348 mmol) was added to a stirred 15 ml methanolic solution of **L** (0.200 g, 0.696 mmol) and NaOH (0.028 g, 0.696 mmol), followed by addition of phenanthroline monohydrate (0.063 g, 0.348 mmol) in 5 ml of MeOH. A clear solution was obtained.

Table	4	
Experi	mental	details.

[Cd(C ₁₆ H ₁₆ O ₃) ₂ (C ₁₂ H ₈ N ₂)]·4H ₂ O
937.26
Tetragonal, $P4_32_12$
296
12.4171 (2), 28.4151 (10)
4381.2 (2)
4
Μο Κα
0.57
$0.20 \times 0.14 \times 0.11$
Bruker SMART CCD area
28145 4310 3599
20110, 1010, 0000
0.049
0.617
0.017
0.053, 0.159, 1.04
4310
260
18
H-atom parameters constrained
0.75, -0.73
Refined as an inversion twin
0.02 (7)

Computer programs: SMART (Bruker, 2012), SAINT (Bruker, 2012), SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2016 (Sheldrick, 2015).

After 20 minutes stirring a precipitate appeared. The reaction mixture was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was washed with water and subsequently diethyl ether, and finally dried under vacuum. Prismatic crystals suitable for X-ray data collection were obtained by slow evaporation of methanol. Empirical formula $[Cd(L)_2(phen)]\cdot 4H_2O$ (2). Yield 49%. $[Cd(L)_2(phen)]\cdot 4H_2O$: IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν (COO)_{assym} 1651, ν (COO)_{sym} 1381, ν (phenolic, CO) 1250. ¹H NMR $[Cd(L)_2(phen)]\cdot 4H_2O]$ (DMSO, 400 MHz. ppm): 2.4 (*s*, *br*, 1H_g), 2.5 (*s*, *br*, 1H_g), 2.8 (*s*, *br*, 1H_f), 3.1 (*s*, *br*, 1H_e), 3.4 (*s*, *br*, 1H_{e'}), 6.1 (*s*, *br*, 2H_a), 6.5 (*s*, *br*, 2H_b), 7.0 (*s*, *br*, 2H_k). ESI-Mass (-ve) 929.2 (calculated 929.2).

9. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 4. The O–H H atoms were located in

a difference-Fourier map and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with O-H = 0.82 Å and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O)$. All C-bound H atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model with C-H = 0.93 Å and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$. The crystal studied was refined as an inversion twin.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Department of Chemistry, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, 64, Vladimirska Str., Kiev, Ukraine, for financial support, and Dr Pratik Sen and Dr Manabendra Ray for valuable discussions.

References

- Anis, I., Aslam, M., Noreen, Z., Afza, N., Hussain, A., Safder, M. & Chaudhry, A. H. (2013). *Int. J. Curr. Pharm. Res.* 5, 21–24.
- Bruker (2012). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Casella, L. & Gullotti, M. (1983). Inorg. Chem. 22, 2259-2266.
- Dhar, D. N. & Taploo, C. L. (1982). J. Sci. Ind. Res. 41, 501-506.
- Faizi, M. S. H., Dege, N. & Malinkin, S. (2017). Acta Cryst. E73, 1393– 1397.
- Faizi, M. S. H. & Sharkina, N. O. (2015). Acta Cryst. E71, 195-198.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). Acta Cryst. B72, 171–179.
- Iskenderov, T. S., Golenya, I. A., Gumienna-Kontecka, E., Fritsky, I. O. & Prisyazhnaya, E. V. (2009). Acta Cryst. E65, o2123–o2124.
- Koh, L. L., Ranford, J. O., Robinson, W. T., Svensson, J. O., Tan, A. L. C. & Wu, D. (1996). *Inorg. Chem.* 35, 6466–6472.
- Lou, B.-Y., Yuan, D.-Q., Han, L., Wu, B.-L. & Hong, M.-C. (2005). Chin. J. Struct. Chem. 24, 759–764.
- Lou, B.-Y., Yuan, D.-Q., Wang, R.-H., Xu, Y., Wu, B.-L., Han, L. & Hong, M.-C. (2004). J. Mol. Struct. 698, 87–91.
- Moroz, Y. S., Demeshko, S., Haukka, M., Mokhir, A., Mitra, U., Stocker, M., Müller, P., Meyer, F. & Fritsky, I. O. (2012). *Inorg. Chem.* 51, 7445–7447.
- Pavlishchuk, A. V., Kolotilov, S. V., Zeller, M., Thompson, L. K., Fritsky, I. O., Addison, A. W. & Hunter, A. D. (2010). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 4851–4858.
- Penkova, L., Demeshko, S., Pavlenko, V. A., Dechert, S., Meyer, F. & Fritsky, I. O. (2010). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 363, 3036–3040.
- Przybylski, P., Huczynski, A., Pyta, K., Brzezinski, B. & Bartl, F. (2009). Curr. Org. Chem. 13, 124–148.
- Ranford, J. D., Vittal, J. J. & Wu, D. (1998). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 37, 1114–1116.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Sliva, T. Yu., Duda, A. M., Głowiak, T., Fritsky, I. O., Amirkhanov, V. M., Mokhir, A. A. & Kozłowski, H. (1997). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 273–276.

Acta Cryst. (2018). E74, 1339-1343 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S205698901801157X]

Structural characterization and DFT study of bis{(*S*)-2-[(2-hydroxybenzyl)amino]-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propanoato- $\kappa^2 N$,*O*}(1,10-phenanthroline- $\kappa^2 N$,*N*')cadmium(II) tetrahydrate

Md. Serajul Haque Faizi, Necmi Dege, James Pogrebetsky and Turganbay S. Iskenderov

Computing details

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 2012); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2012); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2012); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2016* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008).

Bis{(*S*)-2-[(2-hydroxybenzyl)amino]-3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)propanoato- $\ \kappa^2 N, O$ }(1,10-phenanthroline- $\kappa^2 N, N'$) cadmium(II) tetrahydrate

Crystal data $[Cd(C_{16}H_{16}O_3)_2(C_{12}H_8N_2)] \cdot 4H_2O$ $M_r = 937.26$ Tetragonal, $P4_32_12$ a = 12.4171 (2) Å c = 28.4151 (10) Å V = 4381.2 (2) Å³ Z = 4F(000) = 1936

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD area detector diffractometer Radiation source: sealed tube Graphite monochromator phi and ω scans 28145 measured reflections 4310 independent reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.053$ $wR(F^2) = 0.159$ S = 1.034310 reflections $D_{\rm x} = 1.421 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $D_{\rm m} = 1.421 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $D_{\rm m} \text{ measured by ?}$ Mo K\$\alpha\$ radiation, \$\lambda\$ = 0.71073 Å Cell parameters from 5678 reflections \$\theta\$ = 2.7-21.8° \$\mu\$ = 0.56 mm^{-1}\$ \$T = 296 K\$ Prism, colorless \$0.20 \times 0.14 \times 0.11 mm

3599 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.049$ $\theta_{max} = 26.0^\circ, \ \theta_{min} = 2.2^\circ$ $h = -15 \rightarrow 15$ $k = -14 \rightarrow 15$ $l = -33 \rightarrow 35$

260 parameters 18 restraints Hydrogen site location: mixed H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1136P)^2 + 0.4952P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $\begin{array}{l} (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.75 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.73 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{array}$

Absolute structure: Refined as an inversion twin Absolute structure parameter: 0.02 (7)

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes. **Refinement**. Refined as a 2-component inversion twin.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
05	0.5065 (7)	0.7805 (10)	-0.0219 (6)	0.192
H5B	0.536098	0.833414	-0.033121	0.288*
H5A	0.492616	0.795873	0.005957	0.288*
O6	0.7175 (8)	1.6168 (10)	-0.0556 (5)	0.192
H6A	0.710747	1.678849	-0.066486	0.288*
H6B	0.655019	1.593062	-0.053790	0.288*
Cd1	0.97964 (3)	0.97964 (3)	0.000000	0.0540 (2)
N1	0.8171 (5)	1.0589 (5)	0.0225 (2)	0.0623 (15)
H1	0.817840	1.129105	0.003614	0.093*
C9	0.7269 (6)	0.9904 (7)	0.0079 (3)	0.079 (3)
H9	0.718656	0.935076	0.032265	0.095*
C14	0.6231 (8)	1.3351 (11)	-0.0780 (3)	0.101 (4)
C11	0.6180 (7)	1.1475 (10)	-0.0258 (3)	0.089 (3)
C12	0.6472 (8)	1.1455 (10)	-0.0743 (3)	0.094 (3)
H12	0.667411	1.081506	-0.088820	0.113*
C15	0.5947 (9)	1.3356 (12)	-0.0318 (4)	0.107 (4)
H15	0.572538	1.399858	-0.018019	0.128*
C16	0.5977 (8)	1.2496 (13)	-0.0067 (4)	0.106 (4)
H16	0.585881	1.255378	0.025537	0.127*
C10	0.6175 (6)	1.0501 (10)	0.0045 (3)	0.095 (3)
H10A	0.563903	1.000308	-0.007451	0.114*
H10B	0.595478	1.070992	0.036001	0.114*
C13	0.6449 (10)	1.2404 (12)	-0.0991 (4)	0.107 (4)
H13	0.658674	1.239261	-0.131233	0.128*
C3	0.9033 (7)	1.2746 (7)	0.0637 (3)	0.071 (2)
C2	0.9025 (6)	1.1740 (7)	0.0832 (2)	0.0603 (18)
C4	0.9866 (8)	1.3478 (7)	0.0716 (3)	0.083 (2)
H4	0.984052	1.415892	0.058059	0.099*
C7	0.9869 (7)	1.1450 (7)	0.1124 (3)	0.073 (2)
H7	0.988546	1.077657	0.126667	0.088*
C1	0.8112 (7)	1.0959 (7)	0.0718 (3)	0.067 (2)
H1A	0.815395	1.034217	0.092652	0.080*
H1B	0.742570	1.131201	0.077095	0.080*
C6	1.0698 (8)	1.2195 (10)	0.1198 (3)	0.089 (3)
H6	1.126603	1.200829	0.139472	0.107*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

C5	1.0699 (8)	1.3199 (9)	0.0987 (3)	0.083 (2)	
Н5	1.126985	1.367210	0.103385	0.100*	
C17	1.1960 (8)	0.9751 (11)	0.0666 (4)	0.099 (3)	
H17	1.169971	0.909387	0.077427	0.119*	
C21	1.1830 (6)	1.1235 (7)	0.0185 (3)	0.076 (2)	
C20	1.2837 (9)	1.1652 (11)	0.0351 (5)	0.110 (4)	
C19	1.3356 (10)	1.1048 (14)	0.0710 (5)	0.114 (5)	
H19	1.399452	1.129307	0.084379	0.137*	
C18	1.2943 (10)	1.0187 (16)	0.0844 (5)	0.120 (5)	
H18	1.330129	0.979963	0.107608	0.144*	
01	0.8199 (7)	1.2984 (6)	0.0340 (3)	0.102 (2)	
H1C	0.790761	1.354342	0.042646	0.152*	
O3	0.6285 (7)	1.4344 (8)	-0.1026 (2)	0.123 (3)	
Н3	0.646900	1.423349	-0.129854	0.184*	
N2	1.1419 (5)	1.0314 (6)	0.0338 (2)	0.0660 (16)	
C8	0.7555 (8)	0.9297 (9)	-0.0391 (4)	0.093 (3)	
O2	0.6804 (10)	0.8780 (10)	-0.0575 (5)	0.192	
O4	0.9391 (6)	0.8474 (5)	0.0552 (2)	0.0880 (19)	
C22	1.3163 (12)	1.2687 (12)	0.0170 (5)	0.142 (8)	
H22	1.375144	1.302587	0.030868	0.171*	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
05	0.111	0.160	0.306	-0.036	-0.056	0.082
06	0.111	0.160	0.306	-0.036	-0.056	0.082
Cd1	0.0535 (3)	0.0535 (3)	0.0550 (4)	-0.0104 (3)	-0.0039 (2)	0.0039 (2)
N1	0.049 (3)	0.067 (4)	0.071 (4)	-0.010 (3)	0.001 (3)	0.008 (3)
C9	0.055 (4)	0.097 (6)	0.084 (6)	-0.024 (4)	-0.008 (4)	0.017 (5)
C14	0.081 (6)	0.158 (11)	0.064 (5)	0.045 (7)	-0.015 (4)	-0.006 (6)
C11	0.051 (4)	0.140 (10)	0.075 (6)	-0.001 (5)	-0.006 (4)	0.000 (6)
C12	0.087 (6)	0.121 (9)	0.074 (6)	0.025 (6)	-0.012 (5)	-0.002 (6)
C15	0.089 (7)	0.166 (11)	0.065 (6)	0.030(7)	-0.011 (5)	0.001 (6)
C16	0.072 (5)	0.184 (12)	0.061 (6)	0.030 (6)	-0.018 (5)	-0.016 (7)
C10	0.050 (4)	0.151 (9)	0.082 (6)	-0.015 (5)	-0.003(4)	0.014 (7)
C13	0.109 (8)	0.151 (11)	0.060 (5)	0.033 (8)	-0.016 (5)	-0.004 (6)
C3	0.060 (4)	0.075 (5)	0.078 (5)	-0.005 (4)	0.000 (4)	0.002 (4)
C2	0.062 (4)	0.071 (4)	0.048 (4)	-0.001 (3)	0.008 (3)	-0.010 (3)
C4	0.088 (6)	0.075 (5)	0.086 (6)	-0.023 (5)	-0.006 (5)	0.004 (4)
C7	0.077 (5)	0.086 (5)	0.056 (4)	0.008 (5)	0.002 (4)	-0.010 (3)
C1	0.062 (4)	0.067 (4)	0.072 (5)	-0.008(4)	0.007 (4)	0.009 (4)
C6	0.069 (5)	0.121 (9)	0.076 (6)	0.014 (6)	-0.019 (4)	-0.033 (6)
C5	0.069 (5)	0.084 (6)	0.097 (7)	-0.016 (5)	0.006 (5)	-0.015 (5)
C17	0.093 (7)	0.122 (8)	0.082 (6)	0.033 (7)	-0.025 (5)	-0.023 (6)
C21	0.060 (4)	0.088 (6)	0.079 (5)	-0.024 (4)	0.020 (3)	-0.037 (4)
C20	0.070 (6)	0.121 (9)	0.138 (10)	-0.032 (6)	0.033 (6)	-0.073 (8)
C19	0.071 (7)	0.153 (13)	0.119 (10)	-0.003 (8)	-0.005 (7)	-0.053 (10)
C18	0.079 (7)	0.173 (14)	0.107 (9)	0.021 (9)	-0.030 (6)	-0.038 (10)

01	0.092 (5)	0.086 (5)	0.126 (6)	-0.014 (4)	-0.027 (5)	0.016 (4)
03	0.131 (7)	0.151 (8)	0.086 (4)	0.041 (6)	-0.013 (4)	-0.004 (5)
N2	0.054 (3)	0.080 (4)	0.064 (3)	-0.008 (3)	-0.006 (3)	-0.019 (3)
C8	0.067 (5)	0.113 (8)	0.098 (7)	-0.036 (5)	-0.029 (5)	-0.007 (6)
O2	0.111	0.160	0.306	-0.036	-0.056	0.082
O4	0.119 (5)	0.070 (4)	0.075 (4)	-0.013 (3)	0.022 (4)	0.018 (3)
C22	0.100 (11)	0.134 (15)	0.19 (2)	-0.067 (12)	0.036 (10)	-0.068 (12)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

O5—H5B	0.8179	C3—O1	1.367 (11)
O5—H5A	0.8319	C3—C4	1.394 (12)
O6—H6A	0.8336	C2—C7	1.384 (12)
O6—H6B	0.8313	C2—C1	1.527 (10)
Cd1—N2	2.323 (6)	C4—C5	1.336 (14)
Cd1—N2 ⁱ	2.323 (6)	C4—H4	0.9300
Cd1—O4 ⁱ	2.325 (5)	C7—C6	1.399 (13)
Cd104	2.325 (5)	С7—Н7	0.9300
Cd1—N1	2.335 (6)	C1—H1A	0.9700
Cd1—N1 ⁱ	2.335 (6)	C1—H1B	0.9700
N1—C9	1.466 (9)	C6—C5	1.383 (15)
N1—C1	1.475 (10)	С6—Н6	0.9300
N1—H1	1.0237	С5—Н5	0.9300
C9—C10	1.551 (13)	C17—N2	1.345 (12)
С9—С8	1.573 (13)	C17—C18	1.428 (17)
С9—Н9	0.9800	C17—H17	0.9300
C14—C13	1.347 (17)	C21—N2	1.325 (11)
C14—C15	1.359 (14)	C21—C20	1.433 (13)
C14—O3	1.419 (14)	C21-C21 ⁱ	1.483 (19)
C11—C16	1.402 (17)	C20—C19	1.42 (2)
C11—C12	1.426 (14)	C20—C22	1.442 (19)
C11—C10	1.485 (14)	C19—C18	1.25 (2)
C12—C13	1.372 (17)	C19—H19	0.9300
C12—H12	0.9300	C18—H18	0.9300
C15—C16	1.285 (17)	O1—H1C	0.8200
С15—Н15	0.9300	O3—H3	0.8200
C16—H16	0.9300	C8—O4 ⁱ	1.235 (12)
C10—H10A	0.9700	C8—O2	1.248 (13)
C10—H10B	0.9700	C22—C22 ⁱ	1.28 (3)
С13—Н13	0.9300	С22—Н22	0.9300
C3—C2	1.367 (12)		
	106.2	C12 C12 H12	110.2
	100.5	$C_{12} = C_{13} =$	117.2
$\frac{1}{100} \frac{1}{100} \frac{1}$	103.0	$C_2 = C_3 = C_4$	110.2(0)
$\frac{1}{1} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}$	72.0 (4) 162.0 (2)	01 03 04	122.4(0)
$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}$	102.0(2)	$C_1 = C_2 = C_4$	$1 \ge 1.4(0)$ 119.2(9)
N2 = C41 = C4	90.0(3)	$C_{2} = C_{2} = C_{1}$	110.5 (0)
IN2-CUI-04	90.0(3)	U3-U2-U1	120.0 (8)

N2 ⁱ —Cd1—O4	162.0 (2)	C7—C2—C1	121.6 (8)
O4 ⁱ —Cd1—O4	98.5 (4)	C5—C4—C3	119.9 (9)
N2—Cd1—N1	121.3 (2)	C5—C4—H4	120.0
N2 ⁱ —Cd1—N1	89.2 (2)	C3—C4—H4	120.0
O4 ⁱ —Cd1—N1	70.5 (2)	C2—C7—C6	118.4 (9)
O4—Cd1—N1	85.7 (2)	С2—С7—Н7	120.8
N2—Cd1—N1 ⁱ	89.2 (2)	С6—С7—Н7	120.8
$N2^{i}$ —Cd1—N1 ⁱ	121.3 (2)	N1—C1—C2	111.3 (6)
$O4^{i}$ —Cd1—N1 ⁱ	85.7 (2)	N1—C1—H1A	109.4
$O4-Cd1-N1^{i}$	70.5 (2)	C2—C1—H1A	109.4
$N1$ — $Cd1$ — $N1^{i}$	143.5(3)	N1-C1-H1B	109.4
C9-N1-C1	114 3 (6)	C2-C1-H1B	109.1
C_{0} N1 C_{1}	109.8(5)	$H_1 A - C_1 - H_1 B$	109.4
$C_1 = N_1 = C_1$	109.0(5) 115.7(5)	$C_5 C_6 C_7$	100.0 122.1(0)
$C_1 = N_1 = C_0 $	110.7	$C_{5} = C_{6} = C_{7}$	122.1 (9)
C_{1} N1 H1	102.5	C_{3}	110.9
CI-NI-III	103.3	C/-C0-H0	110.9
CdI—NI—HI	102.0	C4 - C5 - C6	118.8 (9)
NI-C9-C10	114.1 (8)	C4—C5—H5	120.6
NI-C9-C8	110.2 (6)	C6-C5-H5	120.6
C10—C9—C8	112.0 (7)	N2—C17—C18	118.3 (13)
NI-C9-H9	106.7	N2—C17—H17	120.8
C10—C9—H9	106.7	С18—С17—Н17	120.8
С8—С9—Н9	106.7	N2—C21—C20	122.7 (10)
C13—C14—C15	119.1 (13)	$N2-C21-C21^{i}$	118.1 (5)
C13—C14—O3	122.0 (9)	C20-C21-C21 ⁱ	119.2 (8)
C15—C14—O3	118.9 (11)	C19—C20—C21	116.2 (12)
C16—C11—C12	115.8 (11)	C19—C20—C22	126.8 (12)
C16—C11—C10	120.8 (9)	C21—C20—C22	116.7 (14)
C12—C11—C10	123.2 (11)	C18—C19—C20	119.0 (12)
C13—C12—C11	118.4 (11)	С18—С19—Н19	120.5
C13—C12—H12	120.8	С20—С19—Н19	120.5
C11—C12—H12	120.8	C19—C18—C17	124.7 (14)
C16—C15—C14	121.6 (13)	C19—C18—H18	117.6
C16—C15—H15	119.2	C17—C18—H18	117.6
C14—C15—H15	119.2	C3—O1—H1C	109.5
C15—C16—C11	122.8 (10)	С14—О3—Н3	109.5
С15—С16—Н16	118.6	C21—N2—C17	118.9 (8)
C11—C16—H16	118.6	C21—N2—Cd1	116.0 (6)
C11—C10—C9	114.9 (7)	C17 - N2 - Cd1	125.1 (7)
C11—C10—H10A	108.5	$O4^{i}$ —C8—O2	125.7 (12)
C9-C10-H10A	108.5	$O4^{i} - C8 - C9$	1185(7)
C11—C10—H10B	108.5	02	115.8 (11)
C9-C10-H10B	108 5	$C8^{i}$ $O4$ $Cd1$	115.0 (6)
H10A - C10 - H10B	107.5	C_{22}^{i} C_{22}^{i} C_{22}^{i} C_{20}^{i}	123 5 (8)
C14 - C13 - C12	107.0 121.7(10)	$C_{22}^{i} = C_{22}^{i} = H_{22}^{i}$	118 3
C14 - C13 - C12	121.7 (10)	$C_{22} = C_{22} = H_{22}$	118.3
017 -015-1115	117.4	020 - 022 - 1122	110.5
C1-N1-C9-C10	68 7 (9)	$Cd1_N1_C1_C2$	55 7 (8)
	00.7 (7)	$\overline{\mathbf{U}}$	22.1 (0)

Cd1—N1—C9—C10	-159.4 (6)	C3—C2—C1—N1	69.5 (11)
C1—N1—C9—C8	-164.2 (7)	C7—C2—C1—N1	-109.1 (8)
Cd1—N1—C9—C8	-32.3 (8)	C2—C7—C6—C5	-0.4 (13)
C16—C11—C12—C13	-5.1 (15)	C3—C4—C5—C6	-2.2 (15)
C10-C11-C12-C13	-180.0 (10)	C7—C6—C5—C4	2.1 (15)
C13—C14—C15—C16	6.5 (18)	N2-C21-C20-C19	-3.0 (13)
O3—C14—C15—C16	-173.7 (11)	C21 ⁱ —C21—C20—C19	179.1 (10)
C14—C15—C16—C11	-7.6 (18)	N2-C21-C20-C22	-177.2 (10)
C12—C11—C16—C15	6.7 (16)	C21 ⁱ —C21—C20—C22	4.9 (15)
C10-C11-C16-C15	-178.2 (10)	C21—C20—C19—C18	3.0 (17)
C16—C11—C10—C9	-116.7 (11)	C22—C20—C19—C18	176.5 (14)
C12—C11—C10—C9	57.9 (12)	C20-C19-C18-C17	-1 (2)
N1-C9-C10-C11	54.2 (11)	N2-C17-C18-C19	-1.6 (19)
C8—C9—C10—C11	-71.9 (11)	C20-C21-N2-C17	0.7 (12)
C15—C14—C13—C12	-5.1 (18)	C21 ⁱ —C21—N2—C17	178.6 (9)
O3—C14—C13—C12	175.2 (11)	C20-C21-N2-Cd1	-178.6 (6)
C11—C12—C13—C14	4.7 (18)	C21 ⁱ —C21—N2—Cd1	-0.7 (11)
O1—C3—C2—C7	178.1 (8)	C18—C17—N2—C21	1.6 (13)
C4—C3—C2—C7	0.9 (13)	C18—C17—N2—Cd1	-179.2 (7)
O1—C3—C2—C1	-0.6 (12)	N1-C9-C8-O4 ⁱ	5.4 (13)
C4—C3—C2—C1	-177.8 (8)	C10-C9-C8-O4 ⁱ	133.6 (10)
C2—C3—C4—C5	0.7 (15)	N1-C9-C8-O2	-172.5 (10)
O1—C3—C4—C5	-176.3 (9)	C10—C9—C8—O2	-44.3 (13)
C3—C2—C7—C6	-1.1 (11)	C19—C20—C22—C22 ⁱ	177 (2)
C1—C2—C7—C6	177.6 (7)	C21—C20—C22—C22 ⁱ	-10 (3)
C9—N1—C1—C2	-175.3 (8)		

Symmetry code: (i) y, x, -z.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D··· A	D—H··· A
N1—H1…O1	1.02	2.27	2.992 (10)	126
O6—H6A···O2 ⁱⁱ	0.83	2.51	3.276 (18)	152
O6—H6 <i>B</i> ···O3	0.83	2.43	2.851 (16)	112
O5—H5 <i>B</i> ···O2	0.82	2.00	2.675 (15)	140
O5—H5 <i>A</i> ···O6 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.83	2.31	2.709 (17)	110
O1—H1 <i>C</i> ···O5 ^{iv}	0.82	1.98	2.652 (11)	138
O3—H3…O4 ^v	0.82	1.86	2.640 (9)	159

Symmetry codes: (ii) *x*, *y*+1, *z*; (iii) *y*-1, *x*, -*z*; (iv) *y*, *x*+1, -*z*; (v) -*y*+3/2, *x*+1/2, *z*-1/4.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (A^2)

	r	12	7	II. */II	
H5B	0.53610	0.83341	-0.03312	-1.5000*	
H5A	0.49262	0.79587	0.00596	-1.5000*	
H6A	0.71075	1.67885	-0.06649	-1.5000*	
H6B	0.65502	1.59306	-0.05379	-1.5000*	

H1	0.81784	1.12910	0.00361	-1.5000*
Н9	0.71866	0.93508	0.03227	-1.2000*
H12	0.66741	1.08151	-0.08882	-1.2000*
H15	0.57254	1.39986	-0.01802	-1.2000*
H16	0.58588	1.25538	0.02554	-1.2000*
H10A	0.56390	1.00031	-0.00745	-1.2000*
H10B	0.59548	1.07099	0.03600	-1.2000*
H13	0.65867	1.23926	-0.13123	-1.2000*
H4	0.98405	1.41589	0.05806	-1.2000*
H7	0.98855	1.07766	0.12667	-1.2000*
H1A	0.81539	1.03422	0.09265	-1.2000*
H1B	0.74257	1.13120	0.07710	-1.2000*
H6	1.12660	1.20083	0.13947	-1.2000*
H5	1.12698	1.36721	0.10339	-1.2000*
H17	1.16997	0.90939	0.07743	-1.2000*
H19	1.39945	1.12931	0.08438	-1.2000*
H18	1.33013	0.97996	0.10761	-1.2000*
H1C	0.79076	1.35434	0.04265	-1.5000*
H3	0.64690	1.42335	-0.12985	-1.5000*
H22	1.37514	1.30259	0.03087	-1.2000*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U ¹¹	U ²²	U ³³	U ¹²	U ¹³	U ²³
O5	0.30632	-0.03555	-0.05629	0.08236		
O6	0.30632	-0.03555	-0.05629	0.08236		
CD1	0.05496	-0.01045	-0.00387	0.00387		
N1	0.07071	-0.00960	0.00097	0.00839		
С9	0.08445	-0.02413	-0.00801	0.01661		
C14	0.06406	0.04507	-0.01530	-0.00572		
C11	0.07539	-0.00074	-0.00648	-0.00034		
C12	0.07360	0.02462	-0.01214	-0.00233		
C15	0.06494	0.03013	-0.01113	0.00131		
C16	0.06149	0.02958	-0.01814	-0.01600		
C10	0.08250	-0.01520	-0.00316	0.01360		
C13	0.06021	0.03253	-0.01627	-0.00392		
C3	0.07753	-0.00482	-0.00042	0.00249		
C2	0.04790	-0.00124	0.00797	-0.01036		
C4	0.08564	-0.02337	-0.00646	0.00369		
C7	0.05575	0.00790	0.00220	-0.01002		
C1	0.07208	-0.00825	0.00664	0.00936		
C6	0.07557	0.01449	-0.01915	-0.03346		
C5	0.09689	-0.01618	0.00551	-0.01544		
C17	0.08184	0.03305	-0.02514	-0.02312		
C21	0.07925	-0.02432	0.01955	-0.03695		
C20	0.13837	-0.03174	0.03299	-0.07310		
C19	0.11908	-0.00259	-0.00503	-0.05252		
C18	0.10714	0.02142	-0.02969	-0.03764		

O4 C22	0.07544 0.19293	-0.01283 -0.06739	0.02229 0.03601	0.01785 -0.06759
O2	0.30632	-0.03555	-0.05629	0.08236
C8	0.09793	-0.03568	-0.02867	-0.00747
N2	0.06405	-0.00791	-0.00648	-0.01878
03	0.08593	0.04081	-0.01337	-0.00424
01	0.12646	-0.01375	-0.02750	0.01621