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The title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, was synthesized by a nucleophilic substitution reaction between allyl amine and $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride. The sulfonate S O bond lengths are 1.4282 (17) and 1.4353 (17) $\AA$, and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ torsion angle involving the sulfonamide moiety is $-61.0(2)^{\circ}$. In the crystal, centrosymmetric dimers of the title compound are present via intermolecular $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds between sulfonamide groups. These dimers are linked into ribbons along the $c$-axis direction through offset $\pi-\pi$ interactions.

## 1. Chemical context

The sulfonamide moiety has been widely studied and its application in drug design has been reported (Qadir et al., 2015; Rehman et al., 2017; Gul et al., 2018). Sulfa drugs, which incorporate the sulfonamide moiety, have found applications as antibacterial, anticancer, antifungal, anti-inflammatory, and antiviral agents (Alaoui et al., 2017).

The synthesis of sulfonamides generally relies on the use of sulfonyl chlorides as electrophilic partners that react with nucleophilic amines. According to the current state of knowledge in the field, the use of sulfonyl chlorides as electrophilic substrates in the synthesis of sulfonamides suffers from some drawbacks. One such drawback is the difficulty in handling and storage (Caddick et al., 2004). Other alternatives to sulfonyl chlorides have been reported (Parumala \& Peddinti, 2016; Yang \& Tian, 2017). Nucleophilic acyl substitution is the mechanism that describes the reaction between a carboxylic acid derivative such as acid chloride with an amine to form the corresponding amide. The mechanism of the reaction between sulfonyl chlorides and amines is analogous to nucleophilic acyl substitution, except that it occurs at the sulfonyl group and not the carbonyl group (Um et al., 2013).

Recently, we have been particularly interested in the structural motif of sulfonamide compounds that are known to


Figure 1

## Sulefonur

The structure of Sulefonur.

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( ${ }^{\circ},{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.83(1)$ | $2.07(1)$ | $2.900(3)$ | $174(3)$ |

Symmetry code: (i) $-x,-y,-z+1$.
modulate $5-\mathrm{HT}_{6}$ receptor activity and are used for the treatment of CNS diseases and disorders (Blass, 2016). We are also interested in the therapeutic application of sulfonamide molecules used for chondrogenic differentiation (Choi et al., 2016), and for the treatment of cancer (Gul et al., 2018). Fig. 1 shows the structure of Sulefonur, which has been reported as a potent anticancer sulfonamide drug candidate and is under anticancer clinical trials (Gul et al., 2018). As part of our ongoing effort to synthesize small sulfonamide molecules that mimic the structural motifs of known sulfonamide drug candidates, we synthesized the title compound, $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$, (I) and determined its crystal structure from single crystal X-ray diffraction data.


## 2. Structural commentary

The molecular structure of compound (I), which was solved in the triclinic space group $P \overline{1}$, is shown in Fig. 2. The $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths of 1.4282 (17) and 1.4353 (17) $\AA$ and the $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ bond angle of $118.87(11)^{\circ}$ are typical for sulfonamide


Figure 2
The molecular structure of the title compound, showing the atom labeling. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the $50 \%$ probability level.


Figure 3
A depiction of the intermolecular hydrogen bonds and offset $\pi-\pi$ interactions present in the crystal, viewed down the $a$ axis, using a ball and stick model with standard CPK colors. [Symmetry codes: (i) $-x,-y$, $-z+1$; (ii) $-x,-y,-z$.]
moieties. The $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ bond length is 1.617 (2) $\AA$, and the $\mathrm{C} 1-$ $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{C} 4$ torsion angle is $-61.0(2)^{\circ}$.

## 3. Supramolecular features

Molecules of the title compound are linked to one another via hydrogen bonds and $\pi-\pi$ interactions. Centrosymmetric


Figure 4
A view along the $a$ axis of the title compound showing the supramolecular ribbons assembled via $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonds (blue, dashed lines) and $\pi-\pi$ interactions (red, dotted lines).
dimers of compound (I) are formed through intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the sulfonamide $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ group and an O atom of a neighbouring sulfonamide group (Fig. 3). The $\mathrm{N} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ distance of 2.900 (3) $\AA$ suggests interactions of medium strength with a nearly linear $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bond of 174 (3) ${ }^{\circ}$ (Table 1). These dimers are then linked through offset $\pi-\pi$ interactions into ribbons that lie along the $c$ axis (Figs. 3, 4). The intercentroid distance $C g \cdots C g^{\mathrm{ii}}$ is 3.8340 (17) $\AA$, with a slippage of $1.320 \AA$ and a plane-to-plane distance between phenyl rings of $3.600 \AA$ [symmetry code (ii) $=-x,-y,-z]$.

## 4. Database survey

The Cambridge Structural Database (CSD, Version 5.39, February 2018; Groom et al., 2016) contains 17 structures of p-tolylsulfonamides where there is a $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ group bonded to the sulfonamide-N atom. The alkene group in these structures is a part of, for example, furan rings (DERTIE and DERTOK, Hashmi et al., 2006), an allene (XUDNEP, Lan \& Hammond, 2002), and various acyclic systems (BUXYUQ, Kiyokawa et al., 2015; KIHMIY, Lee et al., 2007). While all of the structures listed here display intermolecular hydrogen bonds between sulfonamide groups, none of them display $\pi-\pi$ interactions between the $p$-tolylsulfonamide rings as seen in the title compound.

## 5. Synthesis and crystallization

Allylamine ( $1.31 \mathrm{ml}, 18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added in 20 ml of degassed dichloromethane. This was followed by the addition of pyridine ( $1.42 \mathrm{ml}, 18 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The resulting solution was stirred under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, followed by the portion-wise addition of $p$-toluenesulfonyl chloride ( $3.05 \mathrm{~g}, 16 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . Reaction completion was verified by using TLC analysis. The mixture was acidified to $\mathrm{pH} 2-3$ using concentrated HCl . After dilution with 20 ml of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the organic phase was washed with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{ml})$ and the aqueous layer was back-extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(20 \mathrm{ml})$. The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After solvent evaporation, the residue was obtained as a yellow solid which was recrystallized in cold ethanol to afford pale-yellow crystals (56\%; m.p. 332333 K).

## 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. All hydrogen atoms bonded to carbon atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined as riding: Csp ${ }^{3}-\mathrm{H}=0.95-1.00 \AA$ with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$ for methine and methylene groups, and $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.5 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$ for methyl groups. The hydrogen atom bonded to the nitrogen atom (H1) was located using electron-density difference maps, and the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bond length was restrained to $0.84 \pm 0.01 \AA$ using the DFIX command as executed in SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015).

Table 2
Experimental details.

| Crystal data |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| $M_{\text {r }}$ | 211.27 |
| Crystal system, space group | Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$ |
| Temperature (K) | 173 |
| $a, b, c(\AA)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7.5538(10), 8.2591(11), \\ & 9.7145(13) \end{aligned}$ |
| $\alpha, \beta, \gamma\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85.9415(16), 72.9167(16), \\ & 67.6989(15) \end{aligned}$ |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 535.42 (12) |
| Z | 2 |
| Radiation type | Mo $K \alpha$ |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 0.28 |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.28 \times 0.25 \times 0.20$ |
| Data collection |  |
| Diffractometer | Bruker APEXII CCD |
| Absorption correction | Multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2014) |
| $T_{\text {min }}, T_{\text {max }}$ | 0.672, 0.745 |
| No. of measured, independent and observed $[I>2 \sigma(I)]$ reflections | 6472, 1963, 1564 |
| $R_{\text {int }}$ | 0.036 |
| $(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\text {max }}\left(\AA^{-1}\right)$ | 0.604 |
| Refinement |  |
| $R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right], w R\left(F^{2}\right), S$ | 0.053, 0.156, 1.09 |
| No. of reflections | 1963 |
| No. of parameters | 132 |
| No. of restraints | 1 |
| H -atom treatment | H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement |
| $\Delta \rho_{\max }, \Delta \rho_{\text {min }}\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$ | 0.60, -0.26 |

Computer programs: APEX2 and SAINT (Bruker, 2013), SHELXS (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015), OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009; Bourhis et al., 2015) and CrystalMaker (Palmer, 2007).
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## Computing details

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2013); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2013); data reduction: SAINT (Bruker, 2013); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009; Bourhis et al., 2015); software used to prepare material for publication: CrystalMaker (Palmer, 2007).

N-Allyl-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide

## Crystal data

$\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$
$M_{r}=211.27$
Triclinic, $P \overline{1}$
$a=7.5538$ (10) $\AA$
$b=8.2591$ (11) $\AA$
$c=9.7145(13) \AA$
$\alpha=85.9415(16)^{\circ}$
$\beta=72.9167(16)^{\circ}$
$\gamma=67.6989(15)^{\circ}$
$V=535.42(12) \AA^{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z=2 \\
& F(000)=224 \\
& D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.310 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \\
& \text { Mo } K \alpha \text { radiation, } \lambda=0.71073 \AA \\
& \text { Cell parameters from } 2805 \text { reflections } \\
& \theta=2.2-25.3^{\circ} \\
& \mu=0.28 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} \\
& T=173 \mathrm{~K} \\
& \text { Chunk, pale yellow } \\
& 0.28 \times 0.25 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Data collection

## Bruker APEXII CCD

diffractometer
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 2014)
$T_{\min }=0.672, T_{\max }=0.745$
6472 measured reflections
1963 independent reflections
1564 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.036$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=25.4^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=2.2^{\circ}$
$h=-9 \rightarrow 9$
$k=-9 \rightarrow 9$
$l=-11 \rightarrow 11$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.053$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.156$
$S=1.09$
1963 reflections
132 parameters
1 restraint
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Hydrogen site location: mixed
$H$ atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.0902 P)^{2}+0.112 P\right]$
where $P=\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }<0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=0.60$ e $\AA^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-0.26 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$

## Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\AA^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\text {iso }}{ }^{*} / U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S1 | $0.23035(9)$ | $-0.06592(8)$ | $0.28093(6)$ | $0.0355(3)$ |
| O1 | $0.4258(2)$ | $-0.1649(2)$ | $0.19086(19)$ | $0.0423(5)$ |
| O2 | $0.1100(3)$ | $-0.1576(2)$ | $0.36436(18)$ | $0.0407(5)$ |
| N1 | $0.2532(3)$ | $0.0508(3)$ | $0.3966(2)$ | $0.0352(5)$ |
| H1 | $0.153(3)$ | $0.085(4)$ | $0.468(2)$ | $0.048(8)^{*}$ |
| C1 | $0.3608(4)$ | $0.1687(4)$ | $0.3441(3)$ | $0.0436(7)$ |
| H1A | 0.2923 | 0.2565 | 0.2830 | $0.052^{*}$ |
| H1B | 0.4984 | 0.1006 | 0.2847 | $0.052^{*}$ |
| C2 | $0.3677(4)$ | $0.2590(4)$ | $0.4684(3)$ | $0.0483(7)$ |
| H2 | 0.4323 | 0.1874 | 0.5339 | $0.058^{*}$ |
| C3 | $0.2948(6)$ | $0.4239(5)$ | $0.4948(4)$ | $0.0705(10)$ |
| H3A | 0.2289 | 0.5005 | 0.4322 | $0.085^{*}$ |
| H3B | 0.3063 | 0.4703 | 0.5770 | $0.085^{*}$ |
| C4 | $0.0966(3)$ | $0.0803(3)$ | $0.1729(3)$ | $0.0318(6)$ |
| C5 | $-0.1007(4)$ | $0.1904(3)$ | $0.2347(3)$ | $0.0390(6)$ |
| H5 | -0.1655 | 0.1827 | 0.3334 | $0.047^{*}$ |
| C6 | $-0.2011(4)$ | $0.3105(4)$ | $0.1516(3)$ | $0.0424(7)$ |
| H6 | -0.3363 | 0.3849 | 0.1937 | $0.051^{*}$ |
| C7 | $-0.1092(4)$ | $0.3257(3)$ | $0.0072(3)$ | $0.0397(6)$ |
| C8 | $0.0869(4)$ | $0.2125(4)$ | $-0.0528(3)$ | $0.0423(7)$ |
| H8 | 0.1509 | 0.2187 | $0.051^{*}$ |  |
| C9 | $0.1909(4)$ | $0.0909(4)$ | $0.0291(3)$ | $0.0380(6)$ |
| H9 | 0.3258 | 0.0156 | $0.046^{*}$ |  |
| C10 | $-0.2180(5)$ | $0.4608(4)$ | $-0.0817(3)$ | $0.0506(7)$ |
| H10A | -0.1212 | 0.4891 | $0.076^{*}$ |  |
| H10B | -0.3076 | 0.5669 | $0.076^{*}$ |  |
| H10C | -0.2964 | 0.4147 | $0.076^{*}$ |  |
|  |  |  | -0.1205 |  |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S1 | $0.0316(4)$ | $0.0323(4)$ | $0.0372(4)$ | $-0.0107(3)$ | $-0.0031(3)$ | $-0.0010(3)$ |
| O1 | $0.0323(10)$ | $0.0378(10)$ | $0.0444(10)$ | $-0.0067(8)$ | $-0.0007(8)$ | $-0.0047(8)$ |
| O2 | $0.0425(10)$ | $0.0322(10)$ | $0.0428(10)$ | $-0.0158(9)$ | $-0.0034(8)$ | $0.0010(8)$ |
| N1 | $0.0325(11)$ | $0.0363(12)$ | $0.0333(11)$ | $-0.0124(10)$ | $-0.0049(9)$ | $0.0010(9)$ |
| C1 | $0.0464(16)$ | $0.0444(16)$ | $0.0430(15)$ | $-0.0237(13)$ | $-0.0087(12)$ | $0.0036(12)$ |
| C2 | $0.0484(17)$ | $0.0476(18)$ | $0.0550(17)$ | $-0.0218(14)$ | $-0.0196(14)$ | $0.0063(14)$ |
| C3 | $0.084(3)$ | $0.056(2)$ | $0.073(2)$ | $-0.0282(19)$ | $-0.020(2)$ | $-0.0084(18)$ |
| C4 | $0.0280(12)$ | $0.0331(13)$ | $0.0346(13)$ | $-0.0140(11)$ | $-0.0058(10)$ | $-0.0009(10)$ |


| C5 | $0.0337(14)$ | $0.0439(16)$ | $0.0344(13)$ | $-0.0135(12)$ | $-0.0032(11)$ | $-0.0019(12)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C6 | $0.0334(14)$ | $0.0474(17)$ | $0.0421(15)$ | $-0.0113(12)$ | $-0.0086(12)$ | $-0.0027(13)$ |
| C7 | $0.0431(15)$ | $0.0423(16)$ | $0.0433(15)$ | $-0.0228(13)$ | $-0.0173(12)$ | $0.0013(12)$ |
| C8 | $0.0415(15)$ | $0.0546(17)$ | $0.0312(13)$ | $-0.0227(14)$ | $-0.0045(11)$ | $0.0008(12)$ |
| C9 | $0.0339(14)$ | $0.0442(15)$ | $0.0337(13)$ | $-0.0169(12)$ | $-0.0022(11)$ | $-0.0038(11)$ |
| C10 | $0.0553(18)$ | $0.0505(18)$ | $0.0528(17)$ | $-0.0216(15)$ | $-0.0242(14)$ | $0.0076(14)$ |

Geometric parameters $\left(\AA,{ }^{\circ}\right)$

| S1-O1 | 1.4282 (17) | C4-C9 | 1.383 (3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S1-O2 | 1.4353 (17) | C5-H5 | 0.9500 |
| S1-N1 | 1.617 (2) | C5-C6 | 1.373 (4) |
| S1-C4 | 1.760 (3) | C6-H6 | 0.9500 |
| N1-H1 | 0.831 (10) | C6-C7 | 1.390 (4) |
| N1-C1 | 1.468 (3) | C7-C8 | 1.388 (3) |
| C1-H1A | 0.9900 | C7-C10 | 1.501 (4) |
| C1-H1B | 0.9900 | C8-H8 | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 1.487 (4) | C8-C9 | 1.383 (4) |
| C2-H2 | 0.9500 | C9-H9 | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 1.273 (4) | C10-H10A | 0.9800 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~A}$ | 0.9500 | C10-H10B | 0.9800 |
| C3-H3B | 0.9500 | C10-H10C | 0.9800 |
| C4-C5 | 1.390 (3) |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{O} 2$ | 118.87 (11) | C9-C4-C5 | 120.4 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 107.94 (11) | C4-C5-H5 | 120.3 |
| $\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 108.08 (11) | C6-C5-C4 | 119.3 (2) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 105.56 (11) | C6-C5-H5 | 120.3 |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{C} 4$ | 108.64 (11) | C5-C6-H6 | 119.3 |
| N1-S1-C4 | 107.21 (11) | C5-C6-C7 | 121.5 (2) |
| $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ | 112 (2) | C7-C6-H6 | 119.3 |
| C1-N1-S1 | 119.02 (17) | C6-C7-C10 | 121.1 (3) |
| C1-N1-H1 | 118 (2) | C8-C7-C6 | 118.2 (2) |
| N1-C1-H1A | 109.7 | C8-C7-C10 | 120.7 (2) |
| N1-C1-H1B | 109.7 | C7-C8-H8 | 119.4 |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 109.8 (2) | C9-C8-C7 | 121.2 (2) |
| $\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~B}$ | 108.2 | C9-C8-H8 | 119.4 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~A}$ | 109.7 | C4-C9-C8 | 119.3 (2) |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \mathrm{~B}$ | 109.7 | C4-C9-H9 | 120.3 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2$ | 117.1 | C8-C9-H9 | 120.3 |
| C3-C2-C1 | 125.7 (3) | C7-C10-H10A | 109.5 |
| C3-C2-H2 | 117.1 | C7-C10-H10B | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~A}$ | 120.0 | C7-C10-H10C | 109.5 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~B}$ | 120.0 | H10A-C10-H10B | 109.5 |
| H3A-C3-H3B | 120.0 | H10A-C10-H10C | 109.5 |
| C5-C4-S1 | 119.60 (19) | H10B-C10-H10C | 109.5 |
| C9-C4-S1 | 119.9 (2) |  |  |

## supporting information

Hydrogen-bond geometry (A, ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 1 — \mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{O} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ | $0.83(1)$ | $2.07(1)$ | $2.900(3)$ | $174(3)$ |

Symmetry code: (i) $-x,-y,-z+1$.

