research communications



Received 8 March 2018 Accepted 12 March 2018

Edited by J. Simpson, University of Otago, New Zealand

Keywords: crystal structure; C—H···O hydrogen bond; coumarin; Hirshfeld surface analysis; quantum chemical calculations.

CCDC reference: 1828991

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e



OPEN d ACCESS

2-Oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl 4-tert-butylbenzoate

Mohammad Ouédraogo,^a Akoun Abou,^b* Abdoulaye Djandé,^a Olivier Ouari^c and T. Jérémie Zoueu^b

^aLaboratoire de Chimie Moléculaire et de Matériaux (LCMM), Equipe de Chimie Organique et de Phytochimie, Université Ouaga I Pr Joseph KI-ZERBO, 03 BP 7021 Ouagadougou 03, Burkina Faso, ^bUnité Mixte de Recherche et d'Innovation en Electronique et d'Electricité Appliquées (UMRI EEA), Equipe de Recherche: Instrumentation Image et Spectroscopie (L2IS), DFR–GEE, Institut National Polytechnique Félix Houphouët-Boigny (INPHB), BP 1093, Yamoussoukro, Côte d'Ivoire, and ^cInstitut de Chimie Radicalaire, Equipe SREP, UMR 7273 Aix-Marseille Université, Avenue Escadrille Normandie-Niemen, Service 521, 13397 Marseille cedex 20, France. *Correspondence e-mail: abouakoun@gmail.com

In the title compound, $C_{20}H_{18}O_4$, the benzoate ring is oriented at an acute angle of 33.10 (12)° with respect to the planar (r.m.s deviation = 0.016 Å) coumarin ring system. An intramolecular C-H···O hydrogen bond closes an S(6) ring motif. In the crystal, C-H···O contacts generate infinite C(6) chains along the *b*-axis direction. Also present are π - π stacking interactions between neighbouring pyrone and benzene rings [centroid-centroid distance = 3.7034 (18) Å] and C=O··· π interactions [O···centroid = 3.760 (3) Å]. The data obtained from quantum chemical calculations performed on the title compound are in good agreement with the observed structure, although the calculated C-O-C-C torsion angle between the coumarin ring system and the benzoate ring (129.1°) is somewhat lower than the observed value [141.3 (3)°]. Hirshfeld surface analysis has been used to confirm and quantify the supramolecular interactions.

1. Chemical context

Coumarins and their derivatives constitute one of the major classes of naturally occurring compounds and interest in their chemistry continues unabated because of their usefulness as biologically active agents. They also form the *core* of several molecules of pharmaceutical importance. Coumarin and its derivatives have been reported to serve as anti-bacterial (Basanagouda *et al.*, 2009), anti-oxidant (Vukovic *et al.*, 2010) and anti-inflammatory agents (Emmanuel-Giota *et al.*, 2001). In view of their importance and as a continuation of our work on the crystal structure analysis of coumarin derivatives (Abou *et al.*, 2012, 2013), we report herein the synthesis, crystal structure, geometry optimization and Hirshfeld surface analysis of the title coumarin derivative, (I).



2. Structural commentary

The molecular structure of the title coumarin derivative, (I), is illustrated in Fig. 1. An S(6) ring motif arises from an intra-



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound and the atomic numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radius. The intramolecular hydrogen bond is indicated by dashed lines.

molecular C6–H6···O4 hydrogen bond, and generates a pseudo-tricyclic ring system (Fig. 1). The coumarin ring system is planar [r.m.s deviation = 0.016 Å] and is oriented at an acute angle of 33.10 (12)° with respect to the C11–C16 benzene ring while the pseudo-six-membered ring makes dihedral angles of 27.34 (11) and 13.98 (13)°, respectively, with the coumarin ring system and the benzene ring. An inspection of the bond lengths shows that there is a slight asymmetry of the electronic distribution around the pyrone ring: the C3–C2 [1.338 (5) Å] and C2–C1 [1.426 (5) Å] bond lengths are shorter and longer, respectively, than those excepted for a C_{ar}–C_{ar} bond. This suggests that the electron density is preferentially located in the C2–C3 bond of the pyrone ring, as seen in other coumarin derivatives (Gomes *et al.*, 2016; Ziki *et al.*, 2016).

3. Supramolecular features

In the crystal, two types of intermolecular hydrogen-bonding interactions are present (Table 1). The C8-H8 \cdots O4 hydrogen bonds link molecules into infinite chains along the [010] direction (Fig. 2) while the C15-H15 \cdots O2 hydrogen-



Figure 2

Part of the crystal packing of the title compound showing the formation of an infinite C(6) chain along the *b*-axis direction. Dashed lines indicate hydrogen bonds. H atoms not involved in hydrogen-bonding interactions have been omitted for clarity.

Table 1Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

Cg2 is the centroid of the C4-C9 ring.

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
C8−H8···O4 ⁱ	0.93	2.32	3.114 (4)	144
$C15-H15\cdots O2^{ii}$	0.93	2.65	3.310 (4)	128
C6-H6···O4	0.93	2.41	2.813 (4)	106
$C10 - O4 \cdots Cg2^{iii}$	1.18 (1)	3.76 (1)	3.560 (3)	71 (1)

Symmetry codes: (i) x, y - 1, z; (ii) $-x, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (iii) $-x, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{3}{2}$.

bonding interactions generate chains extending along the c-axis direction, as shown in Fig. 3. In addition, a close contact $[H2 \cdot \cdot \cdot H19B(-x, -\frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{3}{2} - z) = 2.38 \text{ Å}]$ is found at a distance shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii. An unusual C10=O4··· π interaction [O4···Cg2(-x, $\frac{1}{2}$ + y, $\frac{3}{2}$ - z) = 3.760 (3) Å, where Cg2 is the centroid of the C4–C9 benzene ring], is also present. The resulting supramolecular aggregation is completed by the presence of π - π stacking (Fig. 4) between the pyrone and benzene rings with centroid-centroid distances $[Cg1\cdots Cg3(-x, -\frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{3}{2} - z) = 3.7035$ (18) and $Cg3\cdots Cg1(-x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{3}{2} - z) = 3.7034$ (18) Å, where Cg1 and Cg3 are the centroids of the pyrone and the C11–C16 benzene rings, respectively] that are less than 3.8 Å, the maximum regarded as suitable for an effective $\pi - \pi$ interaction (Janiak, 2000). In these interactions, the perpendicular distances of Cg1 on ring 3 are 3.6144 (13) and 3.6143 (13) Å, respectively, and the distances between Cg1 and a perpendicular projection of Cg3 on ring 1 (slippage) are 0.726 and 0.807Å, respectively.

4. Database survey

A CSD search (Web CSD version 5.39; March 9, 2018; Groom *et al.*, 2016) found five coumarin ester structures with substituents at the 7 positions (Ramasubbu *et al.*, 1982; Gnanaguru *et al.*, 1985; Parveen *et al.*, 2011; Ji *et al.*, 2014, 2017). In these structures and those of *meta*-substituted coumarin esters (Abou *et al.*, 2012, 2013; Bibila Mayaya Bisseyou *et al.*, 2013; Yu *et al.*, 2014; Gomes *et al.*, 2016; Ziki *et al.*, 2016, 2017), the pyrone rings all show three long (in the range 1.37–1.46 Å)



Figure 3

Crystal packing of (I) showing adjacent pairs of molecules along the \boldsymbol{b} axis

research communications



Figure 4

A view of the crystal packing, showing $H \cdots H$ contacts, C10=O4 $\cdots \pi$ and $\pi - \pi$ stacking interactions (dashed lines). The green dots are ring centroids. H atoms not involved in $H \cdots H$ interactions have been omitted for clarity.

and one short (1.32-1.34 Å) C-C distances, suggesting that the electronic density is preferentially located in the short C-C bond at the pyrone ring. This pattern is clearly repeated here with C2-C3 = 1.338 (5) Å while C1-C2 = 1.426 (5), C3-C4 = 1.436 (5) and C4-C5 = 1.375 (4) Å.

5. Hirshfeld surface analysis

Molecular Hirshfeld surfaces of 2-oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl 4-tertbutylbenzoate, (I), were calculated using a standard (high) surface resolution, and with the three-dimensional d_{norm} surfaces mapped over a fixed colour scale of -0.39 (red) to 1.4 Å (blue) with the program CrystalExplorer 3.1 (Wolff et al., 2012). The analysis of intermolecular interactions through the mapping of d_{norm} is accomplished by considering the contact distances d_i and d_e from the Hirshfeld surface to the nearest atom inside and outside, respectively. In (I), the surface mapped over d_{norm} highlights six red spots showing distances shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii. These dominant interactions correspond to intermolecular C-H···O hydrogen bonds, O··· π and π - π stacking interactions between the surface and the neighbouring environment. The mapping also shows white spots with distances equal to the sum of the van der Waals radii and blue regions with distances longer than the sum of the van der Waals radii. The surfaces are transparent to allow visualization of the molecule (Fig. 5).





A View of the Hirshfeld surfaces with the three-dimensional d_{norm} surfaces mapped over a fixed colour scale of -0.39 (red) to 1.4 Å (blue) for compound (I).

Furthermore, the two-dimensional fingerprint plots (FP) in Fig. 6 highlight particular close contacts of atom pairs and the contributions from different contacts are provided. The red spots in the middle of the surface appearing near $d_e = d_i \simeq 1.8-2$ Å correspond to close C···C interplanar contacts. These contacts, which comprise 8.3% of the total Hirshfeld surface area, relate to π - π interactions (Fig. 6*a*), as shown by the X-ray study. The most significant contribution to the Hirshfeld surface (46.8%) is from H···H contacts, which appear in the central region of the FP (Fig. 6*b*). H···O/O···H interactions with a 24.1% contribution appear as blue spikes in Fig. 6*c* and



Figure 6

Decomposed two-dimensional fingerprint plots for compound (I). Various close contacts and their relative contributions are indicated.

show the presence of $O \cdots H$ contacts, whereas the $C \cdots H/H \cdots C$ plot (17.3%) gives information about intermolecular hydrogen bonds (Fig. 6*d*). Other visible spots in the Hirshfeld surfaces show $C \cdots O/O \cdots C$ and $O \cdots O$ contacts, which contribute only 4.0 and 1.0%, respectively (Fig. 6*e* and 6*f*).

6. Theoretical calculations

The geometry optimization of compound (I) was performed using the density functional theory (DFT) method with a $6-311^{++}G(d,p)$ basis set. The crystal structure in the solid state was used as the starting structure for the calculations. The DFT calculations are performed with the GAUSSIAN09 program package (Frisch et al., 2013). The resulting geometrical parameters are compared with those obtained from the X-ray crystallographic study. An analysis of the computational bond lengths and bond angles and comparison with the crystallographic results shows a good agreement between them, with a root-mean-square deviation of 0.017 Å for bond lengths and 0.97° for bond angles (see Supplementary Tables S1 and S2). In addition, an inspection of the calculated torsion angles shows that the coumarin ring system and the benzene (C11-C16) ring are planar (Supplementary Table S3), which is in good agreement with the crystallographic prevision, although the calculated C10-O3-C7-C8 torsion angle between them (129.1°) is somewhat lower than the observed value [141.3 (3)°].

7. Synthesis and crystallization

To a solution of 4-*tert*-butylbenzoyl chloride (6.17 mmol; 1.3 g) in dry tetrahydrofuran (30 to 40 ml), was added dry trimethylamine (2.6 ml; 3 molar equivalents) and 7-hydroxycoumarin (6.17 mmol; 1g) in small portions over 30 min. The mixture was then refluxed for four h and poured into 40 ml of chloroform. The solution was acidified with diluted hydrochloric acid until the pH was 2–3. The organic layer was extracted, washed with water to neutrality, dried over MgSO₄ and the solvent removed. The resulting precipitate (crude product) was filtered off with suction, washed with petroleum ether and recrystallized from chloroform. Colourless crystals of the title compound were obtained in a good yield: 90%; m.p. 406–408 K.

8. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. H atoms were placed in calculated positions [C–H = 0.93 (aromatic) or 0.96 Å (methyl group)] and refined using a riding-model approximation with U_{iso} (H) constrained to 1.2 (aromatic) or 1.5 (methyl) times Ueq(C) of the respective parent atom.

Table 2	
Experimental	details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$C_{20}H_{18}O_4$
M _r	322.34
Crystal system, space group	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
Temperature (K)	298
a, b, c (Å)	18.684 (2), 6.5431 (5), 13.6688 (14)
β (°)	93.627 (11)
$V(Å^3)$	1667.7 (3)
Ζ	4
Radiation type	Cu Ka
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	0.73
Crystal size (mm)	$0.40 \times 0.12 \times 0.04$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Rigaku Oxford Diffraction Super- Nova, Dual, Cu at zero, Atlas S2
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (<i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Rigaku OD, 2015)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.714, 1.000
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	9647, 3005, 1710
R _{int}	0.035
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.600
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.057, 0.202, 1.01
No. of reflections	3005
No. of parameters	217
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.14, -0.13

Computer programs: CrysAlis PRO (Rigaku OD, 2015), SIR2014 (Burla et al., 2015), PLATON (Spek, 2009), Mercury (Macrae et al., 2008), SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015), publCIF (Westrip, 2010) and WinGX (Farrugia, 2012).

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Spectropôle Service of the Faculty of Sciences and Techniques of Saint Jérôme (France) for the use of the diffractometer.

References

- Abou, A., Djandé, A., Danger, G., Saba, A. & Kakou-Yao, R. (2012). Acta Cryst. E68, 03438-03439.
- Abou, A., Djandé, A., Kakou-Yao, R., Saba, A. & Tenon, A. J. (2013). Acta Cryst. E69, o1081–o1082.
- Basanagouda, M., Kulkarni, M. V., Sharma, D., Gupta, V. K., Pranesha, Sandhyarani, P. & Rasal, V. P. (2009). J. Chem. Sci. 121, 485–495.
- Bibila Mayaya Bisseyou, Y., Abou, A., Djandé, A., Danger, G. & Kakou-Yao, R. (2013). Acta Cryst. E69, o1125–o1126.
- Burla, M. C., Caliandro, R., Carrozzini, B., Cascarano, G. L., Cuocci, C., Giacovazzo, C., Mallamo, M., Mazzone, A. & Polidori, G. (2015). J. Appl. Cryst. 48, 306–309.
- Emmanuel-Giota, A. A., Fylaktakidou, K. C., Litinas, K. E., Nicolaides, D. N. & Hadjipavlou-Litina, D. J. (2001). *Heterocycl. Chem.* 38, 717–722.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Frisch, M. J., et al. (2013). GAUSSIAN09. Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford, CT, USA.
- Gnanaguru, K., Ramasubbu, N., Venkatesan, K. & Ramamurthy, V. (1985). J. Org. Chem. 50, 2337–2346.
- Gomes, L. R., Low, J. N., Fonseca, A., Matos, M. J. & Borges, F. (2016). Acta Cryst. E72, 926–932.
- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). *Acta Cryst.* B**72**, 171–179.

research communications

Janiak, C. (2000). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 3885-3896.

- Ji, W., Li, L., Eniola-Adefeso, O., Wang, Y., Liu, C. & Feng, C. (2017). J. Mater. Chem. B, 5, 7790–7795.
- Ji, W., Liu, G., Xu, M., Dou, X. & Feng, C. (2014). Chem. Commun. 50, 15545–15548.
- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). J. Appl. Cryst. 41, 466–470.
- Parveen, M., Mehdi, S. H., Ghalib, R. M., Alam, M. & Pallepogu, R. (2011). *Pharma Chemica*, **3**, 22–30.
- Ramasubbu, N., Gnanaguru, K., Venkatesan, K. & Ramamurthy, V. (1982). Can. J. Chem. 60, 2159–2161.
- Rigaku OD (2015). CrysAlis PRO. Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, Yarnton, England.

- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Vukovic, N., Sukdolak, S., Solujic, S. & Niciforovic, N. (2010). Arch. Pharm. Res. 33, 5–15.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Wolff, S. K., Grimwood, D. J., McKinnon, J. J., Turner, M. J., Jayatilaka, D. & Spackman, M. A. (2012). *Crystal Explorer*. The University of Western Australia.
- Yu, J., Gao, L.-L., Huang, P. & Wang, D.-L. (2014). Acta Cryst. E70, m369–m370.
- Ziki, E., Sosso, S., Mansilla-Koblavi, F., Djandé, A. & Kakou-Yao, R. (2017). Acta Cryst. E73, 45–47.
- Ziki, E., Yoda, J., Djandé, A., Saba, A. & Kakou-Yao, R. (2016). Acta Cryst. E72, 1562–1564.

Acta Cryst. (2018). E74, 530-534 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989018004188]

2-Oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl 4-tert-butylbenzoate

Mohammad Ouédraogo, Akoun Abou, Abdoulaye Djandé, Olivier Ouari and T. Jérémie Zoueu

Computing details

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2015); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2015); data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO* (Rigaku OD, 2015); program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR2014* (Burla *et al.*, 2015); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek,2009) and *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015), *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010) and *WinGX* (Farrugia, 2012).

2-Oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl 4-tert-butylbenzoate

Crystal data

C₂₀H₁₈O₄ $M_r = 322.34$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ Hall symbol: -P 2ybc a = 18.684 (2) Å b = 6.5431 (5) Å c = 13.6688 (14) Å $\beta = 93.627$ (11)° V = 1667.7 (3) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Rigaku Oxford Diffraction SuperNova, Dual, Cu at zero, Atlas S2 diffractometer Radiation source: micro-focus sealed X-ray tube, SuperNova (Cu) X-ray Source Mirror monochromator Detector resolution: 5.3048 pixels mm⁻¹ ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysAlis PRO; Rigaku OD, 2015)

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.057$ $wR(F^2) = 0.202$ S = 1.013005 reflections 217 parameters 0 restraints F(000) = 680 $D_x = 1.284 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Melting point = 406–408 K Cu *Ka* radiation, $\lambda = 1.54184 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 1499 reflections $\theta = 4.7-63.4^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.73 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 KPrism, colorless $0.40 \times 0.12 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}$

 $T_{\min} = 0.714, T_{\max} = 1.000$ 9647 measured reflections 3005 independent reflections 1710 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.035$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 67.7^{\circ}, \theta_{\text{min}} = 4.7^{\circ}$ $h = -22 \rightarrow 19$ $k = -7 \rightarrow 7$ $l = -15 \rightarrow 16$

72 constraints
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
H-atom parameters constrained

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0981P)^2]$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.14 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.13 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$	

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\mathring{A}^2)

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
03	0.05338 (12)	0.1955 (3)	0.65709 (16)	0.0967 (6)	
01	-0.19810 (13)	0.3433 (3)	0.65369 (16)	0.1016 (7)	
04	0.04152 (14)	0.5177 (3)	0.6031 (2)	0.1233 (9)	
C7	-0.01924 (18)	0.1455 (4)	0.64140 (19)	0.0869 (8)	
C4	-0.15790 (19)	0.0068 (4)	0.61057 (19)	0.0905 (8)	
C6	-0.07476 (18)	0.2783 (4)	0.6568 (2)	0.0904 (8)	
H6	-0.0662	0.4119	0.6776	0.108*	
C11	0.15865 (18)	0.3838 (4)	0.63538 (19)	0.0860 (8)	
C5	-0.14356 (18)	0.2044 (4)	0.63979 (19)	0.0869 (8)	
C9	-0.1001 (2)	-0.1235 (4)	0.5982 (2)	0.0974 (9)	
H9	-0.1086	-0.2586	0.5797	0.117*	
C10	0.0789 (2)	0.3799 (4)	0.6300 (2)	0.0907 (8)	
C12	0.1920 (2)	0.5629 (4)	0.6107 (2)	0.0974 (9)	
H12	0.1645	0.6774	0.5935	0.117*	
C14	0.3083 (2)	0.4064 (5)	0.6350 (2)	0.0971 (9)	
C8	-0.0311 (2)	-0.0549 (4)	0.6129 (2)	0.0933 (8)	
H8	0.0073	-0.1419	0.6038	0.112*	
C3	-0.2317 (2)	-0.0532 (5)	0.5957 (2)	0.1066 (10)	
H3	-0.2432	-0.1866	0.5774	0.128*	
O2	-0.31255 (16)	0.4209 (5)	0.6509 (2)	0.1421 (11)	
C13	0.2657 (2)	0.5738 (5)	0.6111 (2)	0.1024 (10)	
H13	0.2872	0.6965	0.5950	0.123*	
C16	0.20033 (19)	0.2163 (4)	0.6614 (2)	0.0957 (9)	
H16	0.1788	0.0951	0.6794	0.115*	
C15	0.2743 (2)	0.2284 (5)	0.6607 (2)	0.1034 (10)	
H15	0.3018	0.1140	0.6781	0.124*	
C1	-0.2694 (2)	0.2881 (6)	0.6378 (3)	0.1124 (10)	
C2	-0.2839 (2)	0.0826 (6)	0.6080 (3)	0.1137 (11)	
H2	-0.3314	0.0415	0.5968	0.136*	
C17	0.3902 (2)	0.4102 (6)	0.6331 (3)	0.1112 (10)	
C18	0.4185 (3)	0.6214 (7)	0.6053 (4)	0.1529 (18)	
H18A	0.3973	0.6606	0.5423	0.229*	
H18B	0.4062	0.7200	0.6535	0.229*	
H18C	0.4697	0.6157	0.6028	0.229*	
C19	0.4251 (3)	0.3551 (9)	0.7329 (4)	0.170 (2)	
H19A	0.4763	0.3583	0.7302	0.256*	

H19B	0.4107	0.4518	0.7807	0.256*
H19C	0.4103	0.2205	0.7508	0.256*
C20	0.4126 (3)	0.2572 (8)	0.5546 (4)	0.166 (2)
H20A	0.3901	0.2942	0.4920	0.249*
H20B	0.4638	0.2604	0.5512	0.249*
H20C	0.3979	0.1219	0.5717	0.249*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
03	0.1181 (17)	0.0720 (10)	0.0991 (14)	0.0106 (10)	-0.0014 (11)	0.0063 (9)
01	0.1168 (17)	0.0875 (13)	0.0988 (14)	0.0074 (11)	-0.0057 (12)	-0.0189 (10)
O4	0.129 (2)	0.0812 (13)	0.160 (2)	0.0214 (13)	0.0119 (16)	0.0276 (13)
C7	0.119 (2)	0.0684 (13)	0.0726 (15)	0.0089 (14)	-0.0013 (14)	0.0037 (10)
C4	0.132 (2)	0.0739 (14)	0.0647 (14)	-0.0064 (15)	0.0000 (14)	0.0019 (10)
C6	0.128 (2)	0.0655 (13)	0.0764 (15)	0.0065 (14)	-0.0047 (14)	-0.0088 (11)
C11	0.120 (2)	0.0690 (13)	0.0681 (14)	0.0067 (13)	-0.0017 (14)	-0.0029 (10)
C5	0.121 (2)	0.0732 (13)	0.0660 (14)	0.0058 (15)	-0.0021 (13)	-0.0042 (11)
C9	0.150 (3)	0.0642 (13)	0.0779 (16)	0.0016 (16)	0.0037 (17)	0.0010 (11)
C10	0.133 (3)	0.0646 (13)	0.0730 (15)	0.0115 (14)	-0.0011 (15)	-0.0031 (11)
C12	0.133 (3)	0.0688 (14)	0.0890 (18)	0.0092 (15)	-0.0019 (17)	0.0035 (12)
C14	0.124 (3)	0.0839 (17)	0.0813 (17)	-0.0018 (16)	-0.0056 (16)	-0.0001 (13)
C8	0.130 (3)	0.0681 (14)	0.0815 (16)	0.0106 (15)	0.0045 (16)	0.0028 (12)
C3	0.143 (3)	0.0864 (18)	0.0893 (19)	-0.0144 (19)	0.0001 (19)	-0.0027 (14)
O2	0.126 (2)	0.149 (2)	0.149 (2)	0.0183 (18)	-0.0063 (17)	-0.0434 (19)
C13	0.132 (3)	0.0772 (16)	0.097 (2)	-0.0074 (16)	0.0000 (18)	0.0070 (14)
C16	0.121 (3)	0.0740 (15)	0.0915 (19)	0.0033 (15)	0.0038 (16)	0.0098 (13)
C15	0.123 (3)	0.0817 (17)	0.104 (2)	0.0078 (16)	-0.0040 (18)	0.0128 (15)
C1	0.127 (3)	0.114 (2)	0.095 (2)	0.003 (2)	-0.0028 (19)	-0.0183 (18)
C2	0.123 (3)	0.115 (3)	0.102 (2)	-0.016 (2)	0.002 (2)	-0.0086 (19)
C17	0.115 (3)	0.109 (2)	0.108 (2)	-0.0068 (19)	-0.0027 (19)	-0.0005 (18)
C18	0.137 (4)	0.133 (3)	0.185 (5)	-0.031 (3)	-0.019 (3)	0.017 (3)
C19	0.119 (3)	0.246 (6)	0.143 (4)	0.016 (3)	-0.012 (3)	0.050 (4)
C20	0.140 (4)	0.166 (4)	0.198 (5)	-0.026 (3)	0.052 (4)	-0.048 (4)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

O3—C10	1.357 (3)	С8—Н8	0.9300	
O3—C7	1.399 (4)	C3—C2	1.338 (5)	
01—C1	1.383 (4)	С3—Н3	0.9300	
01—C5	1.387 (3)	O2—C1	1.206 (4)	
O4—C10	1.184 (3)	C13—H13	0.9300	
С7—С6	1.379 (4)	C16—C15	1.385 (5)	
С7—С8	1.382 (4)	C16—H16	0.9300	
C4—C5	1.375 (4)	C15—H15	0.9300	
C4—C9	1.395 (5)	C1—C2	1.426 (5)	
C4—C3	1.436 (5)	C2—H2	0.9300	
C6—C5	1.379 (4)	C17—C19	1.517 (5)	

С6—Н6	0 9300	C17—C18	1 536 (5)
C11—C16	1 378 (4)	C17 - C20	1.556(5) 1.545(5)
C11—C12	1 379 (4)	C18—H18A	0.9600
$C_{11} - C_{10}$	1 488 (5)	C18—H18B	0.9600
C_{0}	1 369 (5)		0.9600
C_{0} H0	0.0300		0.9000
C_{12} C_{13}	1 380 (5)	C10 H10R	0.9000
C12 H12	0.0300		0.9000
C12—1112 C14 $C13$	1.381(A)		0.9000
C14 - C15	1.381(4) 1.382(4)	C20—1120A	0.9000
C14 - C13	1.505 (4)	C20—H20B	0.9000
014-017	1.551 (5)	С20—н20С	0.9000
C10—O3—C7	121.3 (2)	C14—C13—H13	119.3
C1—O1—C5	121.1 (3)	C11—C16—C15	120.1 (3)
C6—C7—C8	122.2 (3)	C11—C16—H16	120.0
C6—C7—O3	124.1 (3)	C15—C16—H16	120.0
C8—C7—O3	113.7 (3)	C14—C15—C16	121.7 (3)
C5—C4—C9	118.2 (3)	C14—C15—H15	119.2
C5—C4—C3	117.8 (3)	C16—C15—H15	119.2
C9—C4—C3	124.0 (3)	02-01-01	115.8 (3)
C5—C6—C7	117.1 (3)	02-C1-C2	127.2 (4)
С5—С6—Н6	121.5	01	117.0 (4)
C7—C6—H6	121.5	C_{3} — C_{2} — C_{1}	122.3 (4)
C16-C11-C12	118.8 (3)	C3—C2—H2	118.8
C16-C11-C10	123.2 (3)	C1-C2-H2	118.8
C12-C11-C10	118.0 (3)	C19 - C17 - C14	110.7(3)
C4—C5—C6	122.8 (3)	C19—C17—C18	107.5 (4)
C4—C5—O1	121.6 (3)	C14—C17—C18	112.2 (3)
C6-C5-O1	115.6 (2)	C19 - C17 - C20	110.6 (4)
C8—C9—C4	120.8 (3)	C14—C17—C20	108.4 (3)
С8—С9—Н9	119.6	C18—C17—C20	107.3 (4)
С4—С9—Н9	119.6	C17—C18—H18A	109.5
O4—C10—O3	123.5 (3)	C17—C18—H18B	109.5
Q4—C10—C11	124.8 (3)	H18A—C18—H18B	109.5
O3—C10—C11	111.7 (2)	C17—C18—H18C	109.5
C11—C12—C13	120.6 (3)	H18A—C18—H18C	109.5
C11—C12—H12	119.7	H18B—C18—H18C	109.5
C13—C12—H12	119.7	C17—C19—H19A	109.5
C13—C14—C15	117.4 (4)	C17—C19—H19B	109.5
C13—C14—C17	123.0 (3)	H19A—C19—H19B	109.5
C15—C14—C17	119.6 (3)	C17—C19—H19C	109.5
C9—C8—C7	119.0 (3)	H19A—C19—H19C	109.5
С9—С8—Н8	120.5	H19B—C19—H19C	109.5
С7—С8—Н8	120.5	С17—С20—Н20А	109.5
C2—C3—C4	120.1 (3)	С17—С20—Н20В	109.5
С2—С3—Н3	119.9	H20A—C20—H20B	109.5
С4—С3—Н3	119.9	С17—С20—Н20С	109.5
C12—C13—C14	121.4 (3)	H20A—C20—H20C	109.5

С12—С13—Н13	119.3	H20B—C20—H20C	109.5
С10—О3—С7—С6	-41.5 (4)	C6—C7—C8—C9	0.8 (4)
C10—O3—C7—C8	141.3 (3)	O3—C7—C8—C9	178.1 (3)
C8—C7—C6—C5	-1.7 (4)	C5—C4—C3—C2	1.5 (5)
O3—C7—C6—C5	-178.7 (2)	C9—C4—C3—C2	-179.2 (3)
C9—C4—C5—C6	0.3 (4)	C11—C12—C13—C14	0.8 (5)
C3—C4—C5—C6	179.7 (3)	C15-C14-C13-C12	-1.5 (5)
C9—C4—C5—O1	180.0 (2)	C17—C14—C13—C12	177.9 (3)
C3—C4—C5—O1	-0.6 (4)	C12-C11-C16-C15	-1.1 (5)
C7—C6—C5—C4	1.2 (4)	C10-C11-C16-C15	177.2 (3)
C7—C6—C5—O1	-178.5 (2)	C13—C14—C15—C16	0.9 (5)
C1C5C4	-0.5 (4)	C17—C14—C15—C16	-178.5 (3)
C1C5C6	179.2 (3)	C11—C16—C15—C14	0.4 (5)
C5—C4—C9—C8	-1.3 (4)	C5—O1—C1—O2	-179.1 (3)
C3—C4—C9—C8	179.4 (3)	C5—O1—C1—C2	0.8 (5)
C7—O3—C10—O4	9.6 (4)	C4—C3—C2—C1	-1.2 (5)
C7—O3—C10—C11	-168.7 (2)	O2—C1—C2—C3	180.0 (4)
C16—C11—C10—O4	-175.9 (3)	O1—C1—C2—C3	0.1 (6)
C12-C11-C10-O4	2.4 (5)	C13—C14—C17—C19	122.1 (4)
C16—C11—C10—O3	2.3 (4)	C15—C14—C17—C19	-58.5 (5)
C12—C11—C10—O3	-179.4 (2)	C13—C14—C17—C18	1.9 (5)
C16—C11—C12—C13	0.6 (4)	C15—C14—C17—C18	-178.7 (4)
C10-C11-C12-C13	-177.8 (3)	C13—C14—C17—C20	-116.5 (4)
C4—C9—C8—C7	0.7 (4)	C15—C14—C17—C20	62.9 (4)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Cg2 is the centroid of the C4–C9 ring.

<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	$D \cdots A$	D—H···A
0.93	2.32	3.114 (4)	144
0.93	2.65	3.310 (4)	128
0.93	2.41	2.813 (4)	106
1.18 (1)	3.76 (1)	3.560 (3)	71 (1)
	<i>D</i> —H 0.93 0.93 0.93 1.18 (1)	D—H H···A 0.93 2.32 0.93 2.65 0.93 2.41 1.18 (1) 3.76 (1)	D—H H···A D···A 0.93 2.32 3.114 (4) 0.93 2.65 3.310 (4) 0.93 2.41 2.813 (4) 1.18 (1) 3.76 (1) 3.560 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*, *y*-1, *z*; (ii) -*x*, *y*-1/2, -*z*+3/2; (iii) -*x*, *y*+1/2, -*z*+3/2.

S1

Experimental and calculated bond lengths (Å)	
--	--

Bond	X-ray	6-311 ⁺⁺ G(d,p)	
O3—C10	1.357 (3)	1.381	
O3—C7	1.399 (4)	1.387	
01—C1	1.383 (4)	1.399	
O1—C5	1.387 (3)	1.363	
O4—C10	1.184 (3)	1.203	
С7—С6	1.379 (4)	1.387	
С7—С8	1.382 (4)	1.399	
C4—C5	1.375 (4)	1.406	

C4—C9	1.395 (5)	1.405
C4—C3	1.436 (5)	1.438
C6—C5	1.379 (4)	1.392
C11—C16	1.378 (4)	1.401
C11—C12	1.379 (4)	1.397
C11—C10	1.488 (5)	1.482
С9—С8	1.369 (5)	1.383
C12—C13	1.380 (5)	1.391
C14—C13	1.381 (4)	1.401
C14—C15	1.383 (4)	1.405
C14—C17	1.531 (5)	1.537
C3—C2	1.338 (5)	1.350
O2—C1	1.206 (4)	1.202
C16—C15	1.385 (5)	1.388
C1—C2	1.426 (5)	1.457
C17—C19	1.517 (5)	1.547
C17—C18	1.536 (5)	1.540
C17—C20	1.545 (5)	1.547

S2

Experimental and calculated bond angles (°)

X-ray	6-311 ⁺⁺ G(d,p)
121.3 (2)	120.5
121.1 (3)	122.9
122.2 (3)	121.8
124.1 (3)	121.7
113.7 (3)	116.5
118.2 (3)	118.3
117.8 (3)	117.5
124.0 (3)	124.3
117.1 (3)	118.2
118.8 (3)	118.9
123.2 (3)	123.1
118.0 (3)	118.0
122.8 (3)	121.7
121.6 (3)	121.2
115.6 (2)	117.0
120.8 (3)	120.8
123.5 (3)	123.0
124.8 (3)	125.7
111.7 (2)	111.4
120.6 (3)	120.5
117.4 (4)	117.3
123.0 (3)	122.8
119.6 (3)	119.9
119.0 (3)	119.2
120.1 (3)	120.9
	X-ray 121.3 (2) 121.1 (3) 122.2 (3) 124.1 (3) 113.7 (3) 118.2 (3) 117.8 (3) 124.0 (3) 117.1 (3) 118.8 (3) 123.2 (3) 118.0 (3) 122.8 (3) 121.6 (3) 115.6 (2) 120.8 (3) 123.5 (3) 124.8 (3) 111.7 (2) 120.6 (3) 117.4 (4) 123.0 (3) 119.6 (3) 119.0 (3) 120.1 (3)

C12—C13—C14	121.4 (3)	121.4
C11—C16—C15	120.1 (3)	120.1
C14—C15—C16	121.7 (3)	121.8
O2—C1—O1	115.8 (3)	117.7
O2—C1—C2	127.2 (4)	126.4
O1—C1—C2	117.0 (4)	115.9
C3—C2—C1	122.3 (4)	121.6
C19—C17—C14	110.7 (3)	109.3
C19—C17—C18	107.5 (4)	108.2
C14—C17—C18	112.2 (3)	112.4
C19—C17—C20	110.6 (4)	109.4
C14—C17—C20	108.4 (3)	109.3
C18—C17—C20	107.3 (4)	108.2

S3

Experimental and calculated torsion angles (°)

Torsion angle	X-ray	6-311 ⁺⁺ G(d,p)
C10—O3—C7—C6	-41.5 (4)	-54.7
C10—O3—C7—C8	141.3 (3)	129.1
C8—C7—C6—C5	-1.7 (4)	-0.3
O3—C7—C6—C5	-178.7 (2)	-176.3
C9—C4—C5—C6	0.3 (4)	0.1
C3—C4—C5—C6	179.7 (3)	-180.0
C9—C4—C5—O1	180.0 (2)	-179.7
C3—C4—C5—O1	-0.6 (4)	0.3
C7—C6—C5—C4	1.2 (4)	0.2
C7—C6—C5—O1	-178.5 (2)	179.9
C1C5C4	-0.5 (4)	-0.0
C1C5C6	179.2 (3)	-179.8
C5—C4—C9—C8	-1.3 (4)	-0.2
C3—C4—C9—C8	179.4 (3)	179.9
C7—O3—C10—O4	9.6 (4)	-2.1
C7—O3—C10—C11	-168.7 (2)	178.3
C16—C11—C10—O4	-175.9 (3)	178.9
C12—C11—C10—O4	2.4 (5)	-1.0
C16—C11—C10—O3	2.3 (4)	-1.6
C12—C11—C10—O3	-179.4 (2)	178.6
C16—C11—C12—C13	0.6 (4)	0.1
C10-C11-C12-C13	-177.8 (3)	179.9
C4—C9—C8—C7	0.7 (4)	0.0
C6—C7—C8—C9	0.8 (4)	0.2
O3—C7—C8—C9	178.1 (3)	176.4
C5—C4—C3—C2	1.5 (5)	-0.23
C9—C4—C3—C2	-179.2 (3)	179.7
C11—C12—C13—C14	0.8 (5)	-0.1
C15—C14—C13—C12	-1.5 (5)	0.0
C17—C14—C13—C12	177.9 (3)	-180.0

C12-C11-C16-C15	-1.1 (5)	0.0
C10-C11-C16-C15	177.2 (3)	-179.8
C13-C14-C15-C16	0.9 (5)	0.1
C17—C14—C15—C16	-178.5 (3)	-179.9
C11-C16-C15-C14	0.4 (5)	-0.1
C5—O1—C1—O2	-179.1 (3)	179.7
C5—O1—C1—C2	0.8 (5)	-0.3
C4—C3—C2—C1	-1.2 (5)	-0.1
O2—C1—C2—C3	180.0 (4)	-179.6
O1—C1—C2—C3	0.1 (6)	0.4
C13—C14—C17—C19	122.1 (4)	119.9
C15—C14—C17—C19	-58.5 (5)	-60.1
C13—C14—C17—C18	1.9 (5)	-0.3
C15-C14-C17-C18	-178.7 (4)	179.7
C13-C14-C17-C20	-116.5 (4)	-120.4
C15-C14-C17-C20	62.9 (4)	59.6