Crystal structure of hexakis(dimethyl sulfoxide- κO)cobalt(II) bis[trichlorido(quinoline- κN)cobaltate(II)]

Tyler K. Brescia,^a Kaltrina Mulosmani,^a Shivani Gulati,^b Demosthenes Athanasopoulos^a and Rita K. Upmacis^a*

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Physical Sciences, Pace, University, New York, NY 10038, USA, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Columbia University, New York, NY 10027, USA. *Correspondence e-mail: rupmacis@pace.edu

There are few reports that describe crystal structures of compounds containing cobalt complexed to either dimethyl sulfoxide (Me₂SO) or quinoline (C₉H₇N). The title compound, $[Co(C_2H_6OS)_6][CoCl_3(C_9H_7N)]_2$, is a cobalt salt in which the metal ion is complexed to both Me₂SO and quinoline. In particular, we observed that anhydrous cobalt(II) chloride reacts with quinoline in Me₂SO to form a salt that is to be formulated as $[Co^{II}(Me_2SO)_6]^{2+}{[Co^{II}Cl_3quinoline]_2^-}$. The Co^{II} atom in the cation portion of this molecule lies on a inversion center and is bound to the O atoms of six Me₂SO moieties in an octahedral configuration, while the Co^{II} atom in the anion is attached to three chloride ligands and one quinoline moiety in a tetrahedral arrangement.

1. Chemical context

Quinoline-based molecules have shown significant promise in the development of clinically viable anti-cancer drugs (Afzal et al., 2015). Metal complexes containing quinoline include: (i) square-planar palladium- and platinum-quinoline compounds, such as *trans*-[Pd(II)Cl₂(quinoline)₂], *cis*-[Pt(II)Cl₂(quino $line_{2}$ and *trans*-[Pd(II)(N₃)₂(quinoline)₂] (Ha, 2012; Klapötke et al., 2000; Raven et al., 2012; Davies et al., 2001), as well as (ii) tetrahedral cobalt-, nickel- and zinc-quinoline compounds, of the form $[M^{II}Cl_2(quinoline)_2]$ (Golic & Mirceva, 1988). Interestingly, despite the fact that the interaction of dimethyl sulfoxide (Me₂SO) with metal ions has been studied for many years (Cotton & Francis, 1960), metal compounds that incorporate both coordinated quinoline and Me₂SO are rare, as illustrated by the fact that only one structurally characterized example is listed in the Cambridge Structural Database (Groom et al., 2016), Zn(O₂CC₆H₄- $C_2HN_3CO_2CH_3)_2$ (quinoline) Me_2SO (Ma *et al.*, 2012). Herein, we describe the structure of the complex salt [Co^{II}-(Me₂SO)₆][Co^{II}Cl₃quinoline]₂, which can be obtained by the reaction of anhydrous cobalt(II) chloride with quinoline in Me₂SO.







Received 18 January 2018 Accepted 28 January 2018

Edited by A. J. Lough, University of Toronto, Canada

Keywords: crystal; cobalt; quinoline; dimethyl sulfoxide.

CCDC reference: 1820336

Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e

OPEN ACCESS

2. Structural commentary

The molecular structures of the cation and anion portions of the title complex are shown in Fig. 1a and 1b, respectively. In the cation portion of this compound, the cobalt atom lies on a crystallographic inversion center and is coordinated to oxygen atoms of six Me₂SO groups in an octahedral configuration. The cation is not rigorously octahedral, as the Co-O bond distances are slightly elongated in the axial positions [2.1258 (17) Å] compared to the equatorial positions [2.0606 (17)-2.0819 (18) Å], giving an average Co-O distance of 2.089 Å. A closely related complex, [Co(Me₂, SO_{6} [CoCl₄], contains a cobalt cation that is similarly surrounded by six oxygen atoms in a slightly distorted octahedral configuration with Co-O distances between 2.06 (1) and 2.10 (1) Å, with a mean Co-O distance of 2.08Å (Ciccarese et al., 1993). The O-Co-O (cis) bond angles in the title complex are close to 90° , ranging from 86.29 (7) to 93.71 (7)°, compared to 87.9 (5) to 90.8 (4)° in $[Co(Me_2SO)_6]$ -[CoCl₄] (Ciccarese et al., 1993).

The cobalt atom in the anion portion of the title complex is attached to three chloro ligands and one quinoline moiety in a tetrahedral arrangement. The Co-Cl bond distances range from 2.2517 (10) to 2.2534 (10) Å, with an average Co-Cl distance of 2.252 Å, while the Co-N distance is 2.054 (3) Å. The Cl-Co-Cl angles range from 108.21 (5) to 114.26 (4) $^{\circ}$, giving an average of 110.98° , and the average N-Co-Cl angle is 107.88° [range 107.09 (9) to 108.80 (8)°], indicating that while the anion is close to tetrahedral, there is some distortion. Interestingly, the $[CoCl_4]^{2-}$ anion in $[Co(Me_{2-}$ SO_{6} [CoCl₄] also showed some distortion with Co-Cl distances ranging from 2.265 (6) to 2.305 (7) Å, giving an average Co-Cl distance of 2.284 (6) Å, and the Cl-Co-Cl angles ranging from 107.1 (2) to 112.4 (2)° (Ciccarese et al., 1993). The deviations from 109.5° in $[Co(Me_2SO)_6][CoCl_4]$ were ascribed to disorder, as indicated by the high anisotropic motion (Ciccarese et al., 1993).

The degree of distortion from a tetrahedral arrangement can be readily quantified by the τ_4 index that is reported and discussed elsewhere (Yang *et al.*, 2007, Palmer *et al.*, 2015).



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the complex salt $[Co^{II}(Me_2SO)_6][Co^{II}Cl_3quinoline]_2$, showing (*a*) the $[Co^{II}(Me_2SO)_6]^{2+}$ cation (primed labels are related by the symmetry code: -x, -y, -z + 2), and (*b*) the symmetry-unique $[Co^{II}Cl_3quinoline]^-$ anion.

Briefly, τ_4 is obtained from the expression, $\tau_4 = [360 - (\alpha + \beta)]/$ 141, where α and β represent the two largest angles; a τ_4 value of 1.00 indicates an idealized tetrahedral geometry, whereas a value of 0.00 indicates an idealized square-planar geometry. In the title complex, $\alpha = 114.26$ (4)° and $\beta = 110.46$ (4)°, such that τ_4 is 0.96, which indicates very little deviation from a tetrahedral geometry. For comparison, τ_4 for the $[\text{CoCl}_4]^{2-}$ anion in $[\text{Co}(\text{Me}_2\text{SO})_6][\text{CoCl}_4]$ is 0.98 (where $\alpha = 112.38^\circ$ and $\beta =$ 108.81°; Ciccarese *et al.*, 1993).

3. Supramolecular features

Fig. 2 shows the packing in the unit cell. There are no significant intermolecular interactions between the $[Co^{II}(Me_2SO)_6]^{2+}$ and $[Co^{II}Cl_3quinoline]^-$ ions, with the exception of very weak $C-H \cdots Cl$ interactions. The distances between the Cl and the carbon atoms of the methyl groups of the Me₂SO ligands are, for example, $Cl1 \cdots C32 - S3$ (symmetry code: x, y, z) [3.525 (3) Å], $Cl1 \cdots C31 - S3$ (symmetry code: x, y, z) [3.736 (4) Å], $Cl_{2} \cdot \cdot \cdot C22 - S2$ (symmetry code: 1 + x, 1 + y, z) [3.633 (4) Å], Cl2···C21-S2 (symmetry code: 1 + x, 1 + y, z) [3.770 (4) Å], Cl3···C12-S1 (symmetry code: 1 + x, y, z) [3.638 (4) Å] and Cl3···C32-S3 (symmetry code: x, 1 + y, z) [3.819 (4) Å] and are comparable to the sum of the van der Waals radii of Cl and CH₃ of 3.80 Å (Pauling, 1986).

4. Database survey

The structure reported herein is closely related to the previously reported $[Co(Me_2SO)_6][CoCl_4]$ complex as discussed above (Ciccarese *et al.*, 1993). Interestingly, as long ago as 1960, and based on spectral and magnetic evidence only, Cotton & Francis reported that a complex having the empirical formula $CoCl_2$ ·3Me₂SO is more correctly formulated as $[Co(Me_2SO)_6][CoCl_4]$ (Cotton & Francis, 1960).



Figure 2 \square The packing of $[Co^{II}(Me_2SO)_6][Co^{II}Cl_3quinoline]_2$. H atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Table	1	
Experi	mental	details.

$[C_2H_6OS)_6][CoCl_3(C_9H_7N)]_2$
.57
inic, P1
82 (13), 9.6130 (15), 15.595 (2)
67 (2), 82.776 (2), 87.183 (2)
.7 (3)
Κα
\times 0.12 \times 0.05
ker APEXII CCD
ti-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker, 008)
6, 0.746
5, 7447, 4839
5
5
5 0 116 1 02
5, 0.110, 1.02
om parameters constrained
on parameters constrained

Computer programs: APEX2 and SAINT (Bruker, 2008), SHELXS97 and SHELXTL (Sheldrick 2008) and SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015).

In addition to $[Co(Me_2SO)_6][CoCl_4]$, there are a few other examples of cobalt complexes solvated by Me₂SO that are listed in the Cambridge Database (CSD Version 5.38; Groom et al., 2016). For example, there are two reports for $[Co(Me_2SO)_6][ClO_4]_2$, one of which possesses Co-Odistances in the range 2.0833 (17)-2.0934 (15) Å, giving a mean Co-O distance of 2.088 (5) Å, with O-Co-O (cis) angles between 90.11 (6) and 92.31 (6)° (Comuzzi et al., 2002), while a subsequent report lists Co-O distances in the range 2.088 (2)–2.110 (2) Å, with O–Co–O (*cis*) angles between 85.26 (7) and 93.67 (8)° (Chan *et al.*, 2004). In $[Co(Me_{2})$ SO)₆][SnCl₆], both the cobalt and tin metal ions display an octahedral environments, with the Co-O bond lengths reported between 2.093 (4) and 2.113 (5) Å (White et al., 2007). The O-Co-O (cis) angles vary between 89.0 (2) and 90.0 (2) $^{\circ}$ (White *et al.*, 2007).

In addition to the above Co^{II} compounds, the octahedral Co^{III} complex $[Co(Me_2SO)_6][NO_3]_3$ is also known and possesses six equivalent Co-O bond lengths of 2.005 (2) Å, which are shorter than the values in the Co^{II} complexes (Li & Ng, 2010).

Although Me₂SO is typically coordinated to a metal *via* the oxygen atom (Sipos *et al.*, 2015; Calligaris, 2004; Calligaris & Carugo, 1996), there are examples in which Me₂SO serves as an S-donor, as illustrated by the ruthenium complex [*mer*-RuCl₃(acv)(Me₂SO-S)(C₂H₅OH)]·C₂H₅OH (acv = acyclovir) (Turel *et al.*, 2004). With regard to cobalt, it has been noted that Co^{II} is a hard acceptor preferring hard-donor atoms like oxygen in Me₂SO, the bonds being mainly electrostatic in

nature (Comuzzi *et al.*, 2002). Nevertheless, while Me_2SO coordination to cobalt through the soft-donor sulfur atom (rather than the oxygen atom) is rare, there are some notable examples. For example, the compound bis(dimethyl sulfoxide)hydridobis(triphenylphosphane)cobalt(I),

[CoH(C₁₈H₁₅P)₂(Me₂SO)₂], contains Co^I coordinating a hydride anion, two phosphine ligands and two Me₂SO moieties that are bound through the sulfur atom in a distorted trigonal–bipyramidal structure (Hapke *et al.*, 2010). Interestingly, there is an example of a cobalt(III) porphyrin complex that contains both oxygen- and sulfur-bound Me₂SO moieties, *i.e.* bis(dimethyl sulfoxide- κ O)-(5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-methoxyphenyl)porphyrinato)-cobalt(III) bis(dimethyl sulfoxide- κ S)-(5,10,15,20-tetrakis(4-methoxyphenyl)porphyrinato)cobalt(III) bis(hexafluoroantimonate) dimethyl sulfoxide solvate (Venkatasubbaiah *et al.*, 2011). The existence of both forms of Me₂SO bonding to Co^{III} in this latter complex cannot be predicted readily by the application of traditional hard/soft-acid/base theory.

The Co–N bond length in the anion $[Co^{II}Cl_3(quinoline)_2]^$ of the title compound is 2.037 (5) Å while the Co–Cl bond lengths are 2.2517 (10)–2.2534 (10) Å, and the Cl–Co–Cl and Cl–Co–N angles range between 108.21 (5) and 114.26 (4)°, and 107.09 (9) and 108.80 (8)°, respectively. For comparison, the Co–N bond lengths in the Co^{II}Cl₂(quinoline)₂ complex are 2.061 (3) and 2.037 (5) Å and the Co–Cl bond lengths are 2.246 (2) and 2.241 (1) Å (Golic & Mirceva, 1988), while the Cl–Co–Cl angle is 114.5 (1)° and the Cl– Co–N angles range between 106.2 (1) and 108.9 (1)°.

5. Synthesis and crystallization

Anhydrous cobalt(II) chloride (97%; 0.1301 g, 0.0010 mol) was mixed with quinoline, C_9H_7N , (99%; 0.2595 g, 0.0020 mol) in Me₂SO (20 mL) and refluxed for one h. After cooling down, the mixture was transferred to a beaker and placed in a desiccator containing anhydrous calcium chloride pellets (4–20 mesh) to crystallize over a period of four months. Deepblue crystals of $[Co(Me_2SO)_6]^{2+}{[CoCl_3quinoline]_2}^-$ suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained from this process of slow evaporation. Notably, when the reaction between anhydrous cobalt(II) chloride and quinoline is conducted in EtOH, rather than Me₂SO, the previously reported $[Co^{II}Cl_2(quinoline)_2]$ complex is obtained (Golic & Mirceva, 1988).

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 1. Hydrogen atoms on carbon were placed in calculated positions (C-H = 0.95–1.00 Å) and included as riding contributions with isotropic displacement parameters $U_{\rm iso}(\rm H) = 1.2 U_{eq}(\rm Csp^2)$ or $1.5 U_{eq}(\rm Csp^3)$.

Acknowledgements

Gerard Parkin (Columbia University) is thanked for helpful discussions.

Funding information

RKU and KM would like to thank Pace University for research support (Pace Undergraduate Student & Faculty Research Award). SG thanks the University Grants Commission, New Delhi, India, for a Raman Fellowship for postdoctoral research.

References

- Afzal, O., Kumar, S., Haider, M. R., Ali, M. R., Kumar, R., Jaggi, M. & Bawa, S. (2015). *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **97**, 871–910.
- Bruker (2008). APEX2, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Calligaris, M. & Carugo, O. (1996). Coord. Chem. Rev. 153, 83-154.
- Calligaris, M. (2004). Coord. Chem. Rev. 248, 351-375.
- Chan, E. J., Cox, B. G., Harrowfield, J. M., Ogden, M. I., Skelton, B. W. & White, A. H. (2004). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **357**, 2365–2373.
- Ciccarese, A., Clemente, D. A., Marzotto, A. & Valle, G. (1993). J. Crystallogr. Spectrosc. Res. 23, 223–229.
- Comuzzi, C., Melchior, A., Polese, P., Portanova, R. & Tolazzi, M. (2002). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 2194–2201.
- Cotton, F. A. & Francis, R. (1960). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 82, 2986-2991.
- Davies, M. S., Diakos, C. I., Messerle, B. A. & Hambley, T. W. (2001). *Inorg. Chem.* 40, 3048–3054.
- Golic, L. & Mirceva, A. (1988). Acta Cryst. C44, 820-822.

- Groom, C. R., Bruno, I. J., Lightfoot, M. P. & Ward, S. C. (2016). *Acta Cryst.* B72, 171–179.
- Ha, K. (2012). Acta Cryst. E68, m143.
- Hapke, M., Weding, N. & Spannenberg, A. (2010). Acta Cryst. E66, m1031.
- Klapötke, T. M., Polborn, K. & Schütt, T. (2000). Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 626, 1444–1447.
- Li, Q. & Ng, S. W. (2010). Acta Cryst. E66, m21.
- Ma, Z. B., Han, S. B., Hopson, R., Wei, Y. H. & Moulton, B. (2012). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 388, 135–139.
- Palmer, J. H., Wu, J. S. & Upmacis, R. K. (2015). J. Mol. Struct. 1091, 177–182.
- Pauling, L. (1986). The Nature of the Chemical Bond, 3rd ed. Ithaca, New York: Cornell University Press.
- Raven, W., Kalf, I. & Englert, U. (2012). Acta Cryst. C68, m223-m225.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Sipos, G., Drinkel, E. E. & Dorta, R. (2015). Chem. Soc. Rev. 44, 3834–3860.
- Turel, I., Pečanac, M., Golobič, A., Alessio, E., Serli, B., Bergamo, A. & Sava, G. (2004). J. Inorg. Biochem. 98, 393–401.
- Venkatasubbaiah, K., Zhu, X. J., Kays, E., Hardcastle, K. I. & Jones, C. W. (2011). ACS Catal. 1, 489–492.
- White, A. P., Robertson, K. N., Cameron, T. S., Liengme, B. V., Leznoff, D. B., Trudel, S. & Aquino, M. A. S. (2007). *Can. J. Chem.* 85, 372–378.
- Yang, L., Powell, D. R. & Houser, R. P. (2007). Dalton Trans. pp. 955– 964.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2018). E74, 309-312 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989018001652]

Crystal structure of hexakis(dimethyl sulfoxide-κO)cobalt(II) bis-[trichlorido(quinoline-κN)cobaltate(II)]

Tyler K. Brescia, Kaltrina Mulosmani, Shivani Gulati, Demosthenes Athanasopoulos and Rita K. Upmacis

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2008); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2008); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2008); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008).

Hexakis(dimethyl sulfoxide-κO)cobalt(II) bis[trichlorido(quinoline-κN)cobaltate(II)]

Crystal data	
$[Co(C_2H_6OS)_6][CoCl_3(C_9H_7N)]_2$ $M_r = 1116.57$ Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ a = 8.3182 (13) Å b = 9.6130 (15) Å c = 15.595 (2) Å $a = 81.767 (2)^{\circ}$ $\beta = 82.776 (2)^{\circ}$ $\gamma = 87.183 (2)^{\circ}$ $V = 1223.7 (3) Å^3$	Z = 1 F(000) = 571 $D_x = 1.515 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo Ka radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 7471 reflections $\theta = 2.4-30.1^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.63 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 230 K Block, blue $0.39 \times 0.12 \times 0.05 \text{ mm}$
Data collection Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2008) $T_{min} = 0.626, T_{max} = 0.746$ 19375 measured reflections	7447 independent reflections 4839 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.035$ $\theta_{max} = 30.5^\circ, \ \theta_{min} = 1.3^\circ$ $h = -11 \rightarrow 11$ $k = -13 \rightarrow 13$ $l = -22 \rightarrow 22$
RefinementRefinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.045$ $wR(F^2) = 0.116$ $S = 1.02$ 7447 reflections247 parameters0 restraints	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0457P)^2 + 0.7087P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.77$ e Å ⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.46$ e Å ⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

	x	У	Z	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Col	0.56334 (6)	0.57989 (4)	0.70199 (3)	0.04816 (13)	
Co2	0.0000	0.0000	1.0000	0.02575 (11)	
Cl1	0.56412 (18)	0.34385 (10)	0.71205 (7)	0.0874 (4)	
Cl2	0.81055 (11)	0.65246 (10)	0.64138 (7)	0.0647 (2)	
C13	0.48261 (13)	0.64884 (10)	0.83322 (6)	0.0659 (3)	
Ν	0.3997 (3)	0.6584 (3)	0.61758 (17)	0.0502 (6)	
S1	-0.13554 (8)	0.28530 (7)	0.90892 (5)	0.04024 (17)	
S2	-0.09070 (8)	-0.10708 (7)	0.82809 (5)	0.03584 (16)	
S3	0.31946 (7)	0.11911 (7)	0.89997 (4)	0.03205 (15)	
01	-0.0198 (2)	0.22294 (17)	0.97373 (12)	0.0334 (4)	
O2	-0.0073 (2)	0.00114 (19)	0.86695 (12)	0.0366 (4)	
O3	0.2483 (2)	0.01632 (18)	0.97778 (12)	0.0340 (4)	
C1	0.3345 (5)	0.5732 (4)	0.5722 (3)	0.0657 (10)	
H1A	0.3652	0.4773	0.5809	0.079*	
C2	0.2274 (6)	0.6144 (7)	0.5145 (3)	0.0948 (17)	
H2A	0.1791	0.5472	0.4885	0.114*	
C3	0.1909 (5)	0.7490 (7)	0.4948 (3)	0.0865 (15)	
H3A	0.1210	0.7776	0.4522	0.104*	
C4	0.2547 (4)	0.8511 (5)	0.5364 (2)	0.0667 (11)	
C5	0.2245 (6)	1.0016 (6)	0.5210 (3)	0.0875 (15)	
H5A	0.1511	1.0396	0.4821	0.105*	
C6	0.2971 (4)	1.0822 (5)	0.5601 (2)	0.0621 (10)	
H6A	0.2807	1.1796	0.5447	0.074*	
C7	0.3967 (5)	1.0394 (4)	0.6224 (3)	0.0706 (11)	
H7A	0.4403	1.1045	0.6519	0.085*	
C8	0.4309 (4)	0.8990 (4)	0.6405 (2)	0.0610 (9)	
H8A	0.5021	0.8674	0.6820	0.073*	
C9	0.3626 (4)	0.8004 (4)	0.5987 (2)	0.0534 (8)	
C11	-0.0084 (5)	0.3546 (4)	0.8131 (2)	0.0638 (10)	
H11A	-0.0742	0.4082	0.7720	0.096*	
H11B	0.0482	0.2779	0.7866	0.096*	
H11C	0.0699	0.4154	0.8286	0.096*	
C12	-0.2066 (4)	0.4461 (3)	0.9459 (3)	0.0588 (9)	
H12A	-0.2738	0.4975	0.9047	0.088*	
H12B	-0.1149	0.5020	0.9504	0.088*	
H12C	-0.2699	0.4266	1.0026	0.088*	
C21	0.0638 (5)	-0.2325 (4)	0.8012 (2)	0.0618 (9)	
H21A	0.0252	-0.2929	0.7638	0.093*	
H21B	0.0920	-0.2890	0.8542	0.093*	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

supporting information

H21C	0.1587	-0.1844	0.7708	0.093*
C22	-0.1126 (5)	-0.0229 (4)	0.7210 (2)	0.0601 (9)
H22A	-0.1599	-0.0871	0.6892	0.090*
H22B	-0.0071	0.0041	0.6910	0.090*
H22C	-0.1828	0.0602	0.7242	0.090*
C31	0.3992 (4)	0.2550 (3)	0.9467 (2)	0.0536 (8)
H31A	0.4504	0.3230	0.9006	0.080*
H31B	0.4788	0.2149	0.9844	0.080*
H31C	0.3121	0.3012	0.9805	0.080*
C32	0.5044 (3)	0.0349 (3)	0.8624 (2)	0.0442 (7)
H32A	0.5610	0.0958	0.8142	0.066*
H32B	0.4817	-0.0527	0.8429	0.066*
H32C	0.5715	0.0156	0.9095	0.066*

Atomic displacement parameters (\mathring{A}^2)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U ²³
Col	0.0638 (3)	0.0365 (2)	0.0456 (3)	-0.00097 (19)	-0.0139 (2)	-0.00423 (18)
Co2	0.0226 (2)	0.0228 (2)	0.0314 (3)	-0.00025 (17)	-0.00292 (18)	-0.00259 (18)
Cl1	0.1588 (12)	0.0370 (5)	0.0626 (6)	-0.0162 (6)	-0.0005 (6)	-0.0004 (4)
Cl2	0.0591 (5)	0.0601 (5)	0.0769 (6)	-0.0037 (4)	-0.0140 (4)	-0.0104 (4)
C13	0.0886 (7)	0.0612 (5)	0.0506 (5)	0.0280 (5)	-0.0216 (4)	-0.0151 (4)
Ν	0.0579 (16)	0.0548 (17)	0.0405 (14)	-0.0099 (13)	-0.0111 (12)	-0.0073 (12)
S1	0.0376 (4)	0.0294 (3)	0.0545 (4)	0.0028 (3)	-0.0158 (3)	-0.0006 (3)
S2	0.0322 (3)	0.0401 (4)	0.0366 (4)	-0.0029 (3)	-0.0049 (3)	-0.0090 (3)
S3	0.0250 (3)	0.0296 (3)	0.0396 (4)	-0.0002 (2)	-0.0032 (3)	0.0012 (3)
01	0.0318 (9)	0.0231 (8)	0.0447 (11)	0.0014 (7)	-0.0088 (8)	0.0001 (7)
O2	0.0448 (11)	0.0338 (10)	0.0317 (10)	-0.0067 (8)	-0.0041 (8)	-0.0049 (8)
O3	0.0232 (8)	0.0339 (10)	0.0420 (10)	-0.0012 (7)	-0.0027 (7)	0.0039 (8)
C1	0.061 (2)	0.066 (2)	0.079 (3)	-0.0140 (18)	-0.024 (2)	-0.022 (2)
C2	0.082 (3)	0.143 (5)	0.074 (3)	-0.030 (3)	-0.008 (2)	-0.056 (3)
C3	0.069 (3)	0.147 (5)	0.050 (2)	-0.008 (3)	-0.023 (2)	-0.023 (3)
C4	0.051 (2)	0.112 (3)	0.0344 (18)	-0.003 (2)	-0.0007 (15)	-0.0031 (19)
C5	0.078 (3)	0.110 (4)	0.059 (3)	0.031 (3)	-0.009 (2)	0.030 (3)
C6	0.056 (2)	0.083 (3)	0.0409 (19)	-0.0063 (19)	-0.0049 (16)	0.0146 (18)
C7	0.074 (3)	0.057 (2)	0.077 (3)	-0.0079 (19)	-0.005 (2)	0.000 (2)
C8	0.062 (2)	0.061 (2)	0.059 (2)	-0.0091 (17)	-0.0185 (17)	0.0077 (17)
C9	0.0495 (18)	0.073 (2)	0.0360 (17)	-0.0071 (16)	-0.0040 (14)	-0.0008 (16)
C11	0.078 (2)	0.053 (2)	0.054 (2)	0.0094 (18)	-0.0079 (18)	0.0151 (16)
C12	0.0554 (19)	0.0396 (17)	0.083 (3)	0.0199 (15)	-0.0223 (18)	-0.0105 (17)
C21	0.074 (2)	0.0475 (19)	0.068 (2)	0.0225 (17)	-0.0182 (19)	-0.0216 (17)
C22	0.082 (3)	0.060 (2)	0.0423 (18)	0.0125 (18)	-0.0244 (17)	-0.0116 (16)
C31	0.065 (2)	0.0356 (16)	0.060 (2)	-0.0148 (15)	0.0069 (16)	-0.0121 (15)
C32	0.0398 (15)	0.0405 (16)	0.0468 (17)	0.0067 (12)	0.0089 (13)	-0.0023 (13)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Co1—N	2.054 (3)	C4—C5	1.446 (6)	
Col—Cl1	2.2517 (10)	C5—C6	1.269 (6)	
Co1—Cl2	2.2521 (11)	С5—Н5А	0.9400	
Col—Cl3	2.2534 (10)	C6—C7	1.362 (5)	
Co2—O3	2.0606 (17)	С6—Н6А	0.9400	
Co2—O3 ⁱ	2.0607 (17)	С7—С8	1.362 (5)	
Co2—O2 ⁱ	2.0818 (18)	С7—Н7А	0.9400	
Co2—O2	2.0819 (18)	C8—C9	1.404 (5)	
Co2—O1 ⁱ	2.1258 (17)	C8—H8A	0.9400	
Co2—O1	2.1258 (17)	C11—H11A	0.9700	
N—C1	1.331 (4)	C11—H11B	0.9700	
N—C9	1.383 (4)	C11—H11C	0.9700	
S1—01	1.5236 (18)	C12—H12A	0.9700	
S1—C12	1.775 (3)	C12—H12B	0.9700	
S1-C11	1.784 (4)	C12—H12C	0.9700	
S2—O2	1.5127 (19)	C21—H21A	0.9700	
S2—C21	1.772 (3)	C21—H21B	0.9700	
S2—C22	1.776 (3)	C21—H21C	0.9700	
S3-03	1.5273 (19)	C22—H22A	0.9700	
S3—C32	1.775 (3)	C22—H22B	0.9700	
S3—C31	1.776 (3)	C22—H22C	0.9700	
C1—C2	1.351 (6)	C31—H31A	0.9700	
C1—H1A	0.9400	C31—H31B	0.9700	
C2—C3	1.316(7)	C31—H31C	0.9700	
C2—H2A	0.9400	C32—H32A	0.9700	
C3—C4	1.410 (6)	C32—H32B	0.9700	
С3—НЗА	0.9400	C32—H32C	0.9700	
C4—C9	1.424 (5)			
N—Co1—Cl1	107.09 (9)	C4—C5—H5A	120.0	
N—Co1—Cl2	107.76 (8)	C5—C6—C7	125.4 (4)	
Cl1—Co1—Cl2	108.21 (5)	С5—С6—Н6А	117.3	
N—Co1—Cl3	108.80 (8)	С7—С6—Н6А	117.3	
Cl1—Co1—Cl3	110.46 (4)	C6—C7—C8	117.8 (4)	
Cl2—Co1—Cl3	114.26 (4)	С6—С7—Н7А	121.1	
O3—Co2—O3 ⁱ	180.0	C8—C7—H7A	121.1	
O3—Co2—O2 ⁱ	90.17 (7)	C7—C8—C9	121.6 (4)	
$O3^i$ — $Co2$ — $O2^i$	89.83 (7)	C7—C8—H8A	119.2	
O3—Co2—O2	89.83 (7)	C9—C8—H8A	119.2	
O3 ⁱ —Co2—O2	90.17 (7)	N	120.7 (3)	
O2 ⁱ —Co2—O2	180.00 (10)	NC9C4	121.2 (3)	
O3—Co2—O1 ⁱ	91.82 (7)	C8—C9—C4	118.0 (4)	
O3 ⁱ -Co2-O1 ⁱ	88.19 (7)	S1—C11—H11A	109.5	
O2 ⁱ —Co2—O1 ⁱ	86.29 (7)	S1—C11—H11B	109.5	
O2-Co2-O1 ⁱ	93.71 (7)	H11A—C11—H11B	109.5	
O3—Co2—O1	88.18 (7)	S1—C11—H11C	109.5	

O3 ⁱ —Co2—O1	91.81 (7)	H11A—C11—H11C	109.5
O2 ⁱ —Co2—O1	93.71 (7)	H11B—C11—H11C	109.5
O2—Co2—O1	86.29 (7)	S1—C12—H12A	109.5
O1 ⁱ —Co2—O1	180.000 (19)	S1—C12—H12B	109.5
C1—N—C9	116.5 (3)	H12A—C12—H12B	109.5
C1—N—Co1	120.2 (3)	S1—C12—H12C	109.5
C9—N—Co1	123.0 (2)	H12A—C12—H12C	109.5
O1—S1—C12	103.99 (14)	H12B—C12—H12C	109.5
O1—S1—C11	105.22 (15)	S2—C21—H21A	109.5
C12—S1—C11	98.78 (18)	S2—C21—H21B	109.5
O2—S2—C21	105.12 (15)	H21A—C21—H21B	109.5
O2—S2—C22	103.28 (15)	S2—C21—H21C	109.5
C21—S2—C22	98.54 (18)	H21A—C21—H21C	109.5
O3—S3—C32	103.92 (12)	H21B—C21—H21C	109.5
O3—S3—C31	104.93 (13)	S2—C22—H22A	109.5
C32—S3—C31	98.99 (16)	S2—C22—H22B	109.5
S1—O1—Co2	117.12 (10)	H22A—C22—H22B	109.5
S2—O2—Co2	124.52 (11)	S2—C22—H22C	109.5
S3—O3—Co2	118.17 (10)	H22A—C22—H22C	109.5
N—C1—C2	124.9 (4)	H22B—C22—H22C	109.5
N—C1—H1A	117.6	S3—C31—H31A	109.5
C2—C1—H1A	117.6	S3—C31—H31B	109.5
C3—C2—C1	119.7 (4)	H31A—C31—H31B	109.5
C3—C2—H2A	120.2	S3—C31—H31C	109.5
C1—C2—H2A	120.2	H31A—C31—H31C	109.5
C2—C3—C4	121.2 (4)	H31B—C31—H31C	109.5
С2—С3—НЗА	119.4	S3—C32—H32A	109.5
C4—C3—H3A	119.4	S3—C32—H32B	109.5
C3—C4—C9	116.3 (4)	H32A—C32—H32B	109.5
C3—C4—C5	126.7 (4)	S3—C32—H32C	109.5
C9—C4—C5	117.0 (4)	H32A—C32—H32C	109.5
C6—C5—C4	120.0 (4)	H32B—C32—H32C	109.5
С6—С5—Н5А	120.0		
C12—S1—O1—Co2	148.84 (16)	C4—C5—C6—C7	-5.0 (7)
C11—S1—O1—Co2	-107.81 (16)	C5—C6—C7—C8	4.8 (6)
C21—S2—O2—Co2	-95.18 (18)	C6—C7—C8—C9	-1.9 (6)
C22—S2—O2—Co2	162.00 (16)	C1—N—C9—C8	-177.3 (3)
C32—S3—O3—Co2	-145.35 (14)	Co1—N—C9—C8	-3.1 (4)
C31—S3—O3—Co2	111.19 (15)	C1—N—C9—C4	2.5 (5)
C9—N—C1—C2	-4.9 (6)	Co1—N—C9—C4	176.7 (2)
Co1—N—C1—C2	-179.3 (4)	C7—C8—C9—N	179.6 (3)
N—C1—C2—C3	5.5 (7)	C7—C8—C9—C4	-0.3 (5)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-3.5 (8)	C3—C4—C9—N	-0.9 (5)
C2—C3—C4—C9	1.4 (6)	C5—C4—C9—N	-179.7 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-180.0 (4)	C3—C4—C9—C8	178.9 (3)

supporting information

C3—C4—C5—C6	-176.3 (4)	C5—C4—C9—C8	0.2 (5)	
<u>C9—C4—C5—C6</u>	2.3 (6)			

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z+2.