

Received 22 November 2015 Accepted 14 December 2015

Edited by G. Smith, Queensland University of Technology, Australia

Keywords: coordination polymers; crystal structure; lanthanide; luminescence; terbium(III)

CCDC reference: 1436132 Supporting information: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





Crystal structure of a mixed-ligand terbium(III) coordination polymer containing oxalate and formate ligands, having a three-dimensional fcu topology

Chainok Kittipong,^a* Phailyn Khemthong,^b Filip Kielar^b and Yan Zhou^c

^aDepartment of Physics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Thammasat University, Khlong Luang, Pathum Thani, 12120, Thailand, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Naresuan University, Muang, Phitsanulok, 65000, Thailand, and ^cDepartment of Chemistry, The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Clear Water Bay, Kowloon, Hong Kong. *Correspondence e-mail: kc@tu.ac.th

The title compound, poly[(μ_3 -formato)(μ_4 -oxalato)terbium(III)], [Tb(CHO₂)-(C₂O₄)]_n, is a three-dimensional coordination polymer, and is isotypic with the La^{III}, Ce^{III} and Sm^{III} analogues. The asymmetric unit contains one Tb^{III} ion, one formate anion (CHO₂⁻) and half of an oxalate anion (C₂O₄²⁻), the latter being completed by application of inversion symmetry. The Tb^{III} ion is nine-coordinated in a distorted tricapped trigonal–prismatic manner by two chelating carboxylate groups from two C₂O₄²⁻ ligands, two carboxylate oxygen atoms from another two C₂O₄²⁻ ligands and three oxygen atoms from three CHO₂⁻ ligands, with the Tb–O bond lengths and the O–Tb–O bond angles ranging from 2.4165 (19) to 2.478 (3) Å and 64.53 (6) to 144.49 (4)°, respectively. The CHO₂⁻ and C₂O₄²⁻ anions adopt μ_3 -bridging and μ_4 -chelating-bridging coordination modes, respectively, linking adjacent Tb^{III} ions into a three-dimensional 12-connected fcu topology with point symbol (3²⁴.4³⁶.5⁶). The title compound exhibits thermal stability up to 623 K, and also displays strong green photoluminescence in the solid state at room temperature.

1. Chemical context

Owing to their high colour purity, high luminescence quantum yields, narrow bandwidths, relatively long lifetimes and large Stokes shifts arising from 4f orbitals, coordination polymers of lanthanide(III) ions and organic linker ligands have received much attention from chemists during the past decade for the development of fluorescent probes and electroluminescent devices (Hasegawa & Nakanishi, 2015). In particular, polymeric Eu^{III} and Tb^{III} compounds with a range of organic linker ligands are the most intense emitters among the lanthanide(III) series, and they have been developed extensively as ion sensing and optical materials (Cui et al., 2014). Lanthanide(III) ions are known to have a high affinity and preference for hard donor atoms. Thus, dicarboxylic acid ligands containing aliphatic, aromatic and N-heterocyclic moieties have been widely employed in the construction of luminescent lanthanide coordination polymers (So et al., 2015). Among the ligands in this class, for instance, terephthalic acid is known to provide an efficient energy transfer to support strong lanthanide(III)-centered luminescent emission via the 'antenna effect' (Samuel et al., 2009). On the other hand, small rigid planar species with versatile coordination oxygen donor sites such as oxalate, carbonate, nitrate, and formate anions are also a very important class of ligands for the preparation of

lanthanide coordination polymers (Hong *et al.*, 2014; Gupta *et al.*, 2015). These small versatile ligands can bind to metals in different modes, resulting in the formation of multi-dimensional coordination networks with short intermetallic distances, which can aid the energy-transfer process between chromophoric antenna ligands and lanthanide(III) ions (Wang *et al.*, 2012). In addition, the oxalate anion has proved to be an efficient sensitizer for lanthanide(III)-based emission (Cheng *et al.*, 2007). Recently, many multi-dimensional luminescent lanthanide coordination polymers containing antenna and small rigid planar mixed ligands have been reported (Xu *et al.*, 2013; Wang *et al.*, 2013). However, only a few compounds with mixed small rigid planar ligands alone have been described in the literature (Zhang *et al.*, 2007; Huang *et al.*, 2013; Tang *et al.*, 2014).



Herein, we report the synthesis and structure of a terbium(III) coordination polymer containing formate and oxalate mixed ligands, $[Tb(CHO_2)(C_2O_4)]_n$, (I), having a three-dimensional 12-connected fcu topology with point symbol ($3^{24}.4^{36}.5^6$). The thermal stability and luminescent properties of compound (I) have also been investigated.

2. Structural commentary

Single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis revealed that (I) is isotypic in the orthorhombic *Pnma* space group with the La^{III}, Ce^{III} and Sm^{III} analogues (Romero *et al.*, 1996). The asymmetric unit contains one Tb^{III} ion, one formate anion, and half of an oxalate anion. As shown in Fig. 1, each Tb^{III} ion is ninecoordinated in a distorted tricapped trigonal prismatic manner (Fig. 1) by two chelating carboxylate groups from two oxalate ligands, two carboxylate oxygen atoms from another two

Table 1		
Selected	bond lengths	(Å)

Tb1-O1	2.417 (3)	Tb1-O4 ^{iv}	2.4370 (18)
Tb1-O1 ⁱ	2.478 (3)	$Tb1-O4^{v}$	2.4651 (17)
Tb1-O2 ⁱⁱ	2.437 (3)	$Tb1-O4^{vi}$	2.4370 (17)
Tb1-O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.4165 (19)	Tb1-O4 ^{vii}	2.4651 (17)
Tb1-O3	2.4165 (19)		

Symmetry codes: (i) $x - \frac{1}{2}, y, -z + \frac{3}{2}$; (ii) $x - \frac{1}{2}, y, -z + \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) $x, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z$; (iv) -x, -y + 1, -z + 1; (v) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + 1, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (vi) $-x, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$; (vii) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$.



Figure 1

Coordination environment of the Tb^{III} ion in (I). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level and H atoms are shown as small spheres of arbitrary radii. For symmetry codes, see Table 1.

oxalate ligands and three oxygen atoms from three formate ligands, with the O–Tb–O bond angles ranging from 64.53 (6) to 144.49 (4)°. The Tb–O bond lengths in (I) are in the range of 2.4165 (19) to 2.478 (3) Å (Table 1), which is in good agreement with the reported distances for other Tb^{III}



Figure 2

A view of the two-dimensional terbium-formate network in (I), showing the monolayer structure projected in the *ac* plane. The dashed lines indicate the intralayer $C-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds (Table 2).



Figure 3 The terbium-formate layered structure viewed along the c axis.

complexes containing oxygen donor ligands (Cheng *et al.*, 2007; Zhu *et al.*, 2007). All of the bond lengths and bond angles in the formate and oxalate anions are also within normal ranges (Rossin *et al.*, 2012; Hong *et al.*, 2014; Gupta *et al.*, 2015). The coordination modes of the formate and oxalate ligands in (I) (Fig. 2) are commonly observed in lanthanide coordination polymers (Zhang *et al.*, 2007; Rossin *et al.*, 2012).

As shown in Fig. 2, each formate anion adopts a μ_3 -bridging coordination mode connecting three Tb^{III} ions, forming a twodimensional (2-D) layer in the ac plane. In the 2-D terbiumformate monolayer, the Tb1...Tb1 separations along the formate ligands in syn-anti and anti-anti O1.O2-bridging coordination modes (Rossin et al., 2012) are 6.1567 (3) and 6.6021 (2) Å, respectively. The adjacent 2-D monolayers are stacked in an -ABA- sequence running perpendicular to the baxis with an interlayer spacing of ca 5.3 Å (Fig. 3). The oxalate ligand adopts a μ_4 -chelating-bridging coordination mode, linking four Tb^{III} ions along the *a* axis to form a threedimensional (3-D) terbium-oxalate open framework (Fig. 3). The Tb1...Tb1 distance via the formate O1- and oxalate O4bridging ligands is 3.8309 (2) Å with the Tb1-O1-Tb1 and Tb1-O4-Tb1 bond angles being 103.00 (9) and 102.79 (6)°, respectively. On the other hand, the channels in the 3-D open framework have an approximate rhombic shape with a



Figure 4 A perspective view along the *a* axis of the three-dimensional framework.





Tb1...Tb1 separation of 6.2670 (2) Å, and are cross-linked parallel to the *c* axis by bridging formate ligands as shown in Fig. 4. The presence of guest molecules in the lattice as well as the formation of interpenetrated networks of (I) are thus prevented. Furthermore, the topology of the network in (I) was analysed using *TOPOS* (Blatov *et al.*, 2000). As schematically depicted in Fig. 5, the overall framework can be defined as a 12-connected fcu topology with point symbol $(3^{24}.4^{36}.5^6)$ by linking each adjacent layer of Tb^{III} atoms *via* formate and oxalate ligands.

The infrared spectrum of (I) was collected from a polycrystalline sample pelletized with KBr, in the range 4000– 400 cm⁻¹. This spectrum indicates the presence of the carboxylate groups of the ligands by appearance of the strong absorption bands at 1630 and 1315 cm⁻¹ for the asymmetric ($\nu_{asym}COO^-$) and the symmetric ($\nu_{sym}COO^-$) carboxylate vibrations, respectively (Deacon & Phillips, 1980). To examine the thermal stability of (I), thermogravimetric analysis was performed on a polycrystalline sample under a nitrogen atmosphere in the temperature range of 303–1273 K. There is no weight loss before 623 K due to the stability of the fcu-type 3-D frameworks. The decomposition of the framework, however, occurred rapidly at temperatures above 628 K.

The photoluminescence properties of (I) were investigated in the solid state at room temperature. The emission spectrum is shown in Fig. 6. The emission spectrum upon excitation at 305 nm exhibits the characteristic f-f transitions of Tb^{III} ions (Bünzli, 2010). The emission peaks at 487, 543, 585, and 617 nm can be assigned to the ${}^{5}D_{4} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{J}$ (J = 6, 5, 4, 3) transitions, respectively. The most intense transition is

Table 2			
Hydrogen-bond	geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdots A$	$D-\mathrm{H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$C1\!-\!H1\!\cdots\!O2^{viii}$	0.93	2.15	3.051 (5)	164

Symmetry code: (viii) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$.

 ${}^{5}D_{4} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{5}$, which implies the emitted light is green. The emission lifetime of (I) is 1.79 ms.

3. Supramolecular features

The two-dimensional terbium-formate monolayers are stabilized by weak intra-layer $C1-H1\cdots O2^{viii}$ hydrogen bonds giving S(7) graph-set motifs (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995), in which each formate anion acts as a donor and acceptor for one hydrogen bond (Table 2, Fig. 2).

4. Database survey

A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (Groom & Allen, 2014) for lanthanide coordination polymers containing mixed oxalate and formate ligands gave four hits (RIFQIG, RIFRED, RIFRIH; Romero *et al.*, 1996; RIFQIG01; Tan *et al.*, 2009), which are isotypic with the title compound (I) as previously mentioned. The structures involving oxalate and acetate analogues have also been reported (AZOCIC; Di *et al.*, 2011; Gutkowski *et al.*, 2011; SOPPIX; Zhang *et al.*, 2009; VORBUA; Koner & Goldberg, 2009).

5. Synthesis and crystallization

All reagents were of analytical grade and were used as obtained from commercial sources without further purification. Synthesis of (I): TbCl₃·6H₂O (0.187 g, 0.5 mmol), oxalic



Figure 6



Table	3	
Experi	mental	details

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[Tb(CHO_2)(C_2O_4)]$
Mr	291.96
Crystal system, space group	Orthorhombic, Pnma
Temperature (K)	296
a, b, c (Å)	7.0138 (3), 10.6077 (4), 6.6021 (2)
$V(Å^3)$	491.20 (3)
Ζ	4
Radiation type	Μο <i>Κα</i>
$\mu \text{ (mm}^{-1})$	14.36
Crystal size (mm)	$0.2 \times 0.12 \times 0.08$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Bruker D8 QUEST CMOS
Absorption correction	Multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2014)
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.655, 0.746
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	6517, 638, 594
R _{int}	0.028
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max} (\mathring{A}^{-1})$	0.666
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.012, 0.025, 1.10
No. of reflections	638
No. of parameters	52
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min} \ ({\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3})$	0.75, -0.63

Computer programs: APEX2 and SAINT (Bruker, 2014), SHELXS2014 (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2014 (Sheldrick, 2015), OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009), publCIF (Westrip, 2010) and enCIFer (Allen et al., 2004).

acid (0.045 g, 0.5 mmol), Na₂CO₃ (0.011 g, 0.1 mmol), and a mixture (1:1 ν/ν) of N,N'-dimethylformamide (DMF) and water (6 ml) was sealed in a 23 ml Teflon-lined stainless steel vessel and heated under autogenous pressure at 463 K for two days. After the reactor was cooled to room temperature, colorless block-shaped crystals were filtered off and dried in air. Yield: 0.118 g (63% based on the Tb^{III} source). Analysis (%) calculated for C₃HO₆Tb (291.96): C, 12.34; H, 0.35%. Found: C, 12.40; H, 0.33%. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 2823 (w), 2491 (w), 1630 (s), 1440 (w), 1315 (s), 1022 (m), 914 (w), 795 (s), 611 (w), 492 (s), 408 (w).

6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3. The formate H atom was found in a difference electron-density map and was refined using a riding-model approximation, with C-H = 0.93 Å and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported financially by the National Research Council of Thailand through the Thammasat University Research Scholar (No. 216919). We thank Central Scientific Instrument Center (CSIC), Faculty of Science and Technology, Thammasat University, for providing access to the equipment.

References

- Allen, F. H., Johnson, O., Shields, G. P., Smith, B. R. & Towler, M. (2004). J. Appl. Cryst. 37, 335–338.
- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Blatov, V. A., Shevchenko, A. P. & Serezhkin, V. N. (2000). J. Appl. Cryst. 33, 1193.
- Bruker (2014). APEX2, SADABS and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bünzli, J. G. (2010). Chem. Rev. 110, 2729-2755.
- Cheng, J. W., Zheng, S. T. & Yang, G. Y. (2007). *Dalton Trans.* pp. 4059–4066.
- Cui, Y., Chen, B. & Qian, G. (2014). Coord. Chem. Rev. 273–274, 76– 86.
- Deacon, G. B. & Phillips, R. J. (1980). Coord. Chem. Rev. 33, 227-250.
- Di, W.-J., Lan, S.-M., Zhang, Q. & Liang, Y.-X. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, m1436-m1437.
- Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341.
- Groom, C. R. & Allen, F. H. (2014). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 53, 662–671.
- Gupta, S. K., Rajeshwari, B., Achary, S. N., Patwe, S. J., Tyagi, A. K., Natarajan, V. & Kadam, R. M. (2015). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 4429–4436.
- Gutkowski, K., Freire, E. & Baggio, R. (2011). Acta Cryst. C67, m77– m80.
- Hasegawa, Y. & Nakanishi, T. (2015). RSC Adv. 5, 338-353.
- Hong, F., Zhou, L., Li, L., Xia, Q. & Ye, M. (2014). *Mater. Res. Bull.* **60**, 252–257.

- Huang, D.-Q., Zhang, H., Sheng, L.-Q., Liu, Z.-D., Xu, H.-J. & Fan, S.-H. (2013). *Chin. J. Inorg. Chem.* **29**, 1–6.
- Koner, R. & Goldberg, I. (2009). Acta Cryst. C65, m160-m164.
- Romero, S., Mosset, A. & Trombe, J. C. (1996). J. Solid State Chem. 127, 256–266.
- Rossin, A., Giambastiani, G., Peruzzini, M. & Sessoli, R. (2012). *Inorg. Chem.* 51, 6962–6968.
- Samuel, A. P. S., Xu, J. & Raymond, K. N. (2009). Inorg. Chem. 48, 687–698.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- So, M. C., Wiederrecht, G. P., Mondloch, J. E., Hupp, J. T. & Farha, O. K. (2015). *Chem. Commun.* **51**, 3501–3510.
- Tan, J. C., Furman, J. D. & Cheetham, A. K. (2009). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 131, 14252–14254.
- Tang, Q., Liu, Y., Liu, S., He, D., Miao, J., Wang, X., Yang, G., Shi, Z. & Zheng, Z. (2014). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 136, 12444–12449.
- Wang, P., Fan, R.-Q., Liu, X.-R., Wang, L.-Y., Yang, Y.-L., Cao, W.-W., Yang, B., Hasi, W., Su, Q. & Mu, Y. (2013). *CrystEngComm*, 15, 1931–1949.
- Wang, H., Liu, S.-J., Tian, D., Jia, J.-M. & Hu, T.-L. (2012). Cryst. Growth Des. 12, 3263–3270.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Xu, X., Zhou, Y., Huang, D., Xiong, W., Su, M., Wang, K., Han, S. & Hong, M. (2013). Cryst. Growth Des. 13, 5420–5432.
- Zhang, L.-Z., Gu, W., Li, B., Liu, X. & Liao, D.-Z. (2007). Inorg. Chem. 46, 622–624.
- Zhang, X., Xing, Y., Wang, C., Han, J., Li, J., Ge, M., Zeng, X. & Niu, S. (2009). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **362**, 1058–1064.
- Zhu, W. H., Wang, Z. M. & Gao, S. (2007). Inorg. Chem. 46, 1337– 1342.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2016). E72, 87-91 [doi:10.1107/S205698901502397X]

Crystal structure of a mixed-ligand terbium(III) coordination polymer containing oxalate and formate ligands, having a three-dimensional fcu topology

Chainok Kittipong, Phailyn Khemthong, Filip Kielar and Yan Zhou

Computing details

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2014); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2014); data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2014); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS2014* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *OLEX2* (Dolomanov *et al.*, 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010) and *enCIFer* (Allen *et al.*, 2004).

Poly[(μ_3 -formato)(μ_4 -oxalato)terbium(III)]

Crystal data

 $[Tb(CHO_2)(C_2O_4)]$ $M_r = 291.96$ Orthorhombic, *Pnma* a = 7.0138 (3) Å b = 10.6077 (4) Å c = 6.6021 (2) Å V = 491.20 (3) Å³ Z = 4F(000) = 528

Data collection

Bruker D8 QUEST CMOS diffractometer Radiation source: microfocus sealed x-ray tube, Incoatec I μ us Graphite Double Bounce Multilayer Mirror monochromator Detector resolution: 10.5 pixels mm⁻¹ ω and φ scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2014)

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.012$ $wR(F^2) = 0.025$ S = 1.10638 reflections 52 parameters $D_x = 3.948 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 3952 reflections $\theta = 3.6-28.3^{\circ}$ $\mu = 14.36 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 296 KBlock, colourless $0.2 \times 0.12 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$

 $T_{\min} = 0.655, T_{\max} = 0.746$ 6517 measured reflections 638 independent reflections 594 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.028$ $\theta_{\max} = 28.3^{\circ}, \theta_{\min} = 3.6^{\circ}$ $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$ $k = -13 \rightarrow 14$ $l = -8 \rightarrow 8$

0 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites

H-atom parameters constrained	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0092P)^2 + 0.8666P]$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.75 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.63 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Experimental. SADABS-2014 (Bruker, 2014) was used for absorption correction. wR2(int) was 0.0566 before and 0.0416 after correction. The ratio of minimum to maximum transmission is 0.8789. The $\lambda/2$ correction factor is 0.00150. **Geometry**. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Tb1	0.20226 (2)	0.7500	0.63323 (2)	0.00749 (6)	
01	0.5347 (4)	0.7500	0.5364 (4)	0.0132 (5)	
O2	0.5527 (4)	0.7500	0.2000 (4)	0.0237 (7)	
03	0.2384 (3)	0.54490 (18)	0.4786 (3)	0.0186 (4)	
O4	0.0873 (3)	0.37671 (16)	0.3522 (3)	0.0120 (4)	
C1	0.6227 (6)	0.7500	0.3693 (6)	0.0197 (8)	
H1	0.7551	0.7500	0.3761	0.024*	
C2	0.0956 (4)	0.4788 (2)	0.4518 (4)	0.0124 (5)	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Tb1	0.00799 (8)	0.00750 (8)	0.00698 (9)	0.000	-0.00049 (7)	0.000
01	0.0110 (13)	0.0209 (14)	0.0076 (13)	0.000	0.0013 (10)	0.000
02	0.0235 (16)	0.0381 (18)	0.0096 (14)	0.000	-0.0010 (12)	0.000
O3	0.0133 (9)	0.0143 (9)	0.0282 (11)	-0.0028 (7)	0.0010 (8)	-0.0093 (9)
04	0.0136 (8)	0.0093 (8)	0.0131 (9)	-0.0011 (7)	0.0027 (7)	-0.0041 (7)
C1	0.0141 (17)	0.029 (2)	0.016 (2)	0.000	-0.0009 (16)	0.000
C2	0.0151 (12)	0.0112 (11)	0.0109 (12)	0.0003 (10)	-0.0002 (10)	-0.0020 (10)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Tb1—O1	2.417 (3)	Tb1—O4 ^{vii}	2.4651 (17)
Tb1—O1 ⁱ	2.478 (3)	O1—C1	1.265 (5)
Tb1—O2 ⁱⁱ	2.437 (3)	O2—C1	1.221 (5)
Tb1—O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.4165 (19)	O3—C2	1.235 (3)
Tb1—O3	2.4165 (19)	O4—C2	1.268 (3)
Tb1—O4 ^{iv}	2.4370 (18)	C1—H1	0.9300
Tb1—O4 ^v	2.4651 (17)	$C2$ — $C2^{iv}$	1.551 (5)
Tb1—O4 ^{vi}	2.4370 (17)		

Tb1 ^{viii} —Tb1—Tb1 ⁱ	132.533 (9)	O4 ^{vi} —Tb1—Tb1 ^{viii}	138.32 (4)
O1—Tb1—Tb1 ^{viii}	39.06 (6)	O4v—Tb1—Tb1 ^{viii}	38.34 (4)
O1 ⁱ —Tb1—Tb1 ⁱ	37.94 (6)	O4 ^{vi} —Tb1—Tb1 ⁱ	38.87 (4)
O1—Tb1—Tb1 ⁱ	171.60 (6)	O4 ^{vii} —Tb1—Tb1 ⁱ	108.19 (4)
O1 ⁱ —Tb1—Tb1 ^{viii}	94.59 (6)	$O4^{iv}$ —Tb1—Tb1 ⁱ	38.87 (4)
O1—Tb1—O1 ⁱ	133.65 (7)	O4 ^{iv} —Tb1—Tb1 ^{viii}	138.33 (4)
O1—Tb1—O2 ⁱⁱ	100.16 (9)	O4 ^v —Tb1—Tb1 ⁱ	108.19 (4)
O1—Tb1—O4 ^{vii}	65.01 (6)	O4 ^{vii} —Tb1—Tb1 ^{viii}	38.34 (4)
O1—Tb1—O4 ^{vi}	144.49 (4)	$O4^{iv}$ —Tb1—O1 ⁱ	64.53 (6)
O1—Tb1—O4 ^v	65.01 (6)	O4 ^{vii} —Tb1—O1 ⁱ	76.57 (6)
$O1$ — $Tb1$ — $O4^{iv}$	144.49 (4)	$O4^{vi}$ —Tb1—O1 ⁱ	64.53 (6)
O2 ⁱⁱ —Tb1—Tb1 ^{viii}	139.22 (7)	$O4^{v}$ —Tb1—O1 ⁱ	76.57 (6)
O2 ⁱⁱ —Tb1—Tb1 ⁱ	88.25 (7)	O4 ^{vi} —Tb1—O2 ⁱⁱ	71.16 (7)
O2 ⁱⁱ —Tb1—O1 ⁱ	126.19 (9)	O4 ^{iv} —Tb1—O2 ⁱⁱ	71.16 (7)
$O2^{ii}$ —Tb1—O4 ^v	141.92 (5)	$O4^{v}$ —Tb1— $O4^{vii}$	66.08 (8)
O2 ⁱⁱ —Tb1—O4 ^{vii}	141.92 (5)	$O4^{vi}$ —Tb1—O4 ^v	140.95 (3)
O3—Tb1—Tb1 ^{viii}	94.25 (5)	$O4^{iv}$ —Tb1— $O4^{vi}$	66.94 (8)
$O3^{iii}$ —Th1—Th1 ⁱ	105 42 (5)	$O4^{iv}$ Th 1-O4 ^v	100.09(6)
$O3^{iii}$ —Tb1—Tb1 ^{viii}	94.25 (5)	$O4^{vi}$ —Tb1— $O4^{vii}$	100.09 (6)
$O3-Tb1-Tb1^{i}$	105 42 (5)	$O4^{iv}$ —Tb1— $O4^{vii}$	140.95 (3)
03—Tb1—01	77.72 (5)	Th1 -01 $-Th1^{viii}$	103.00 (9)
$O3$ —Tb1— $O1^{i}$	114.93 (5)	$C1 - O1 - Tb1^{viii}$	122.4 (2)
$O3^{iii}$ —Tb1—O1 ⁱ	114.93 (5)	C1 - O1 - Tb1	134.6 (2)
03^{iii} —Tb1—01	77 72 (5)	$C1-O2-Tb1^{ix}$	130.8(3)
$03-Tb1-02^{ii}$	70.35 (5)	$C_{2} = 0_{3} = T_{b1}$	130.0(3) 119.13(17)
03^{iii} —Th1— 02^{ii}	70.35 (5)	Th1 ^{iv} —O4—Th1 ^x	102 79 (6)
03-Tb1-03	12840(10)	$C_{2}^{}O_{4}^{}Tb_{1}^{x}$	137.90 (16)
O_3 —Tb1— O_4^{vii}	132 53 (6)	$C_2 = O_4 = Tb1^{iv}$	119 27 (16)
03 —Tb1— 04^{vi}	126.90 (6)	01-C1-H1	116.5
$O3^{iii}$ —Th1— $O4^{iv}$	126.90 (6)	$0^{2}-1^{-01}$	127 1 (4)
$O3^{iii}$ —Tb1— $O4^{vi}$	66 88 (6)	02 - C1 - H1	116.5
03^{iii} —Tb1— 04^{v}	132 52 (6)	03-02-04	126.6(2)
$O3^{iii}$ Th1 $O4^{vii}$	72 19 (6)	$C_2 = C_2^{iv}$	120.0(2) 118 5 (3)
$O_{3} = 101 = O_{4}$	72.19(0)	$O_3 = C_2 = C_2^{iv}$	110.5(3)
$O_3 Tb1 O_4^{iv}$	72.19 (0) 66.88 (6)	04-02-02	114.9 (3)
05-101-04	00.00 (0)		
$Th1^{viii}$ — $Th1$ — $O1$ — $C1$	180.0	02^{ii} —Tb1—03—C2	-67 5 (2)
$Tb1^{i}$ $Tb1 - 03 - 02$	14.9(2)	0.2^{iii} Tb1 0.03^{iii} C2	$112 \ 87 \ (5)$
Tb1 = 101 = 03 = 02 Tb1 $v_{iii} = Tb1 = 03 = 02$	14.9(2) 151 3 (2)	$03 - Tb1 - 01 - Tb1^{viii}$	-112.87(5)
Tb1 - 01 - 01 - 02	0.0	03^{ii} _Tb1_01_C1	-67.13(5)
Tb1 = 01 = 01 = 02	180.0	03 - Tb1 - 01 - C1	67 13 (5)
Tb1 = 01 = 01 = 02 Tb1 ^{ix} = 02 = 01	180.0	O_{3}^{iii} Th1 O_{3}^{ii} C2	-1000(2)
101 - 02 - 01 The formula $101 - 02 - 01$	1711(2)	03 - 101 - 03 - 02	109.9(2)
The function $C_2 = C_4^{\text{iv}}$	$-0 \Lambda (\Lambda)$	$O_4 = 101 = O_1 = 101$ $O_4^{vi} = Tb_1 = O_1 = Tb_1^{viii}$	108 20 (11)
This $\Omega_4 = C_2 = C_2^2$	9.4 (4) -6.7 (5)	$O_{4} = 101 = O_{1} = 101^{-10}$	100.29(11)
The $101 - 04 - 02 - 03$	0.7(3)	$O_4 = 101 = O_1 = 101^{\circ\circ\circ}$ $O_4 iv = Th_1 = O_1 = Th_1 viii$	-108.20(11)
$101^{}-04-02-03$	170.9(2) -8.7(4)	O_4^{T} O_1^{T} O_1^{T} O_1^{T} O_1^{T}	-108.29(11)
$101^{}-04-02-02^{++}$	-0.7(4)	04 - 101 - 01 - 01	143.02(3)
$101^{-04} - C2 - C2^{11}$	1/5./4 (18)	04 [*] —1b1—01—01	-/1./1(11)

supporting information

O1 ⁱ —Tb1—O1—Tb1 ^{viii}	0.0	O4 ^{vii} —Tb1—O1—C1	-143.02 (5)
O1 ⁱ —Tb1—O1—C1	180.0	O4 ^{iv} —Tb1—O1—C1	71.71 (11)
O1 ⁱ —Tb1—O3—C2	54.2 (2)	O4 ^{iv} —Tb1—O3—C2	9.75 (19)
O1—Tb1—O3—C2	-173.1 (2)	O4 ^{vi} —Tb1—O3—C2	-21.7 (2)
O2 ⁱⁱ —Tb1—O1—Tb1 ^{viii}	180.0	O4 ^v —Tb1—O3—C2	119.5 (2)
O2 ⁱⁱ —Tb1—O1—C1	0.0	O4 ^{vii} —Tb1—O3—C2	148.72 (19)

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*-1/2, *y*, *z*+3/2; (ii) *x*-1/2, *y*, *z*+1/2; (iii) *x*, *-y*+3/2, *z*; (iv) *-x*, *-y*+1, *-z*+1; (v) *-x*+1/2, *-y*+1, *z*+1/2; (vi) *-x*, *y*+1/2, *-z*+1; (vii) *-x*+1/2, *y*+1/2, *z*+1/2; (viii) *x*+1/2, *y*, *-z*+3/2; (ix) *x*+1/2, *y*, *-z*+1/2; (x) *-x*+1/2, *-y*+1, *z*-1/2.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D···A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
C1—H1···O2 ^{xi}	0.93	2.15	3.051 (5)	164

Symmetry code: (xi) x+1/2, -y+3/2, -z+1/2.