

Received 14 August 2015 Accepted 17 August 2015

Edited by M. Zeller, Youngstown State University, USA

Keywords: crystal structure; macrocycle; cross bridge; iron; cylam

CCDC reference: 1419250 **Supporting information**: this article has supporting information at journals.iucr.org/e





Crystal structure of dichlorido(4,11-dimethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazabicyclo[6.6.2]hexadecane)iron(III) hexafluoridophosphate

Neil L. Funwie,^a Amy N. Cain,^a Brian Z. Fanning,^a Serena A. Hageman,^a Malorie Mullens,^a Travis K. Roberts,^a Daniel J. Turner,^a Cammi N. Valdez,^a Robert W. Vaughan,^a Henok G. Ermias,^a Jon D. Silversides,^b Stephen J. Archibald,^b Timothy J. Hubin^a and Timothy J. Prior^b*

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Physics, Southwestern Oklahoma State University, Weatherford, OK 73096, USA, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Hull, Cottingham Road, Hull, HU6 7RX, England. *Correspondence e-mail: t.prior@hull.ac.uk

The title compound, $[\text{FeCl}_2(\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{30}\text{N}_4)]\text{PF}_6$, contains Fe^{3+} coordinated by the four nitrogen atoms of an ethylene cross-bridged cyclam macrocycle and two *cis* chloride ligands in a distorted octahedral environment. In contrast to other similar compounds this is a monomer. Intermolecular $\text{C}-\text{H}\cdots\text{Cl}$ interactions exist in the structure between the complex ions. Comparison with the mononuclear Fe^{2+} complex of the same ligand shows that the smaller Fe^{3+} ion is more fully engulfed by the cavity of the bicyclic ligand. Comparison with the μ -oxido dinuclear complex of an unsubstituted ligand of the same size demonstrates that the methyl groups of 4,11-dimethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraaza-bicyclo[6.6.2]hexadecane prevent dimerization upon oxidation.

1. Chemical context

The tendency for iron complexes to form oxido-bridged iron(III) species and ultimately hydrated iron oxides limits their utility, especially in aqueous media, as functional catalysts based on common ligands (Ortiz de Montellano, 1986). Even so, iron is one of the predominant metal ions found in biological catalytic systems (Jang et al., 1991; Wallar & Lipscomp, 1996; Boyington et al., 1993). A major feature of numerous synthetic catalysts having nitrogen donors and vacant coordination sites is their propensity to form dimers in which higher valent metal ions are present. One of us has produced iron(II) (Hubin et al., 2000) and iron(III) (Hubin et al., 2001) complexes of ethylene cross-bridged tetraazamacrocyclic ligands that are remarkably resistant to oxidative hydrolysis while still having available sites for binding of the metal ion to either a terminal oxidant or substrate. The ability of the complex to remain mononuclear, and thus catalytically useful, appears to hinge on the substitution pattern of the nonbridgehead nitrogen atoms of the bicyclic ligands (Hubin et al., 2001). Methyl or benzyl substitution results only in mononuclear complexes, even in the M^{3+} (Hubin *et al.*, 2001, 2003) or M^{4+} (Yin *et al.*, 2006) oxidation state, while oxidation of the unsubstituted-ligand complexes results in μ -oxido iron(III) dimers (Hubin et al., 2003).

Recently, the iron(II) triflate complex of this same ligand, 4,11-dimethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazabicyclo[6.6.2]hexadecane, has been investigated as a catalyst for olefin oxidation by H_2O_2 and was found to be an active catalyst with reactivity properties similar to [Fe(TPA)(OTf)₂] [TPA is tris(2-pyridyl-

research communications

methyl)amine; OTf is trifluoromethanesulfonate; Feng *et al.*, 2011]. A key result of this study was that the location of two available *cis* binding sites on the metal ion is crucial for maximum catalytic activity. Very recently an Fe^{IV} analogue has been reported, but no crystal structure data were given (England *et al.*, 2015).



2. Structural commentary

The asymmetric unit of the title compound contains one complete Fe^{3+} mononuclear cross-bridged cyclam complex and a single PF_6^- anion. The metal is hexacoordinate in the so-called *cis*-V geometry common for macrocycles of this type. It is coordinated by four nitrogen atoms of the macrocycle and two *cis* chloride ions, as shown in Fig. 1. The Fe–Cl bond lengths are similar to those of other comparable Fe^{3+} complexes. The relatively long Fe–N bonds strongly suggest the Fe³⁺ present is in a high-spin configuration.

The Fe^{3+} resides within a pocket in the rigid macrocycle, slightly displaced from the centre. The N2–Fe1–N4 bond



Figure 1 ORTEP representation of the asymmetric unit with atoms drawn as 50% probability ellipsoids.

Table 1 Geometric parameters (Å °) for the macrocyclic cavity in Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+}

Geometric parameters (A,) for the macrocyclic cavity in re	and re
macrocycles ^a .		

Parameter	$\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\mathrm{Me}_2L^b$	$\mathrm{Fe}^{2+}\mathrm{Me}_2L^c$	$\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}\mathrm{H}_2L \mathrm{dimer}^d$
Fe-N1	2.195 (5)	2.2574 (13)	2.151
Fe-N2	2.229 (5)	2.2866 (14)	2.155
Fe-N3	2.220 (5)	2.2634 (13)	2.234
Fe-N4	2.190 (5)	2.2748 (13)	2.245
N2 _{ax} -Fe-N4 _{ax}	166.8 (3)	161.88 (5)	161.6
$N1_{eq}$ - Fe - $N3_{eq}$	79.8 (3)	78.36 (5)	78.9

Notes: (a) where there are two independent molecules in the asymmetric unit, a mean value is given; (b) this work; (c) Hubin et al. (2000); (d) Hubin et al. (2003).

angle is 166.8 (3) Å and the N1–Fe1–N3 bite angle is 79.8 (3) Å.

3. Comparison with related structures

Structural characterization of an Fe³⁺ mononuclear crossbridged cyclam complex has not been achieved prior to the present study. In the present case, even upon oxidation of the iron from Fe(II) to Fe(III), the methyl-substituted ligand does not allow dimerization to occur. We will now compare the observed structure with that of the lower valent analogue and to the iron(III) μ -oxido dimer of the unsubstituted analogue.

From a comparison of the Fe³⁺ 4,11-dimethyl-1,4,8,11tetraazabicyclo[6.6.2]hexaadecane dichloride complex hexafluoridophosphate with the Fe²⁺ analogue, the reduction in ionic radius of the iron ion upon oxidation is clear (Table 1). N_{ax} -Fe³⁺- N_{ax} is 166.8 (3)° in the present structure, while N_{ax} -Fe²⁺- N_{ax} is 161.88 (5)° in the reduced complex (Hubin et al., 2000). The smaller Fe^{3+} ion is pulled further into the ligand cavity as the favored octahedral geometry is approached, as can be seen by viewing each complex down the N_{ax}- $Fe^{n+}-N_{ax}$ axis (Fig. 2). Fe-N bond lengths are also affected, going from a mean of 2.255 Å in the Fe²⁺ complex, to 2.209 Å in the Fe³⁺ complex. Comparison of the present Fe³⁺ monomer with the μ -oxido dimer complex is also informative. The crystal structure of the dimeric Fe^{3+} complex (Hubin, 2003) is represented in Fig. 3. The Fe³⁺ ion of this complex is also found in a pseudo-octahedral, six-coordinate geometry. Usually, these dimers contain five-coordinate metal cations, although dimers with six- and seven-coordinate cations are known (Murray, 1974). However, one monodentate chlorido ligand is maintained in this structure. Since the macrocyclic ligand is uncharged, the attractive Coulombic forces between the halide and the Fe^{3+} ion may be enough to keep it bound. Also, the folded ligand conformation helps separate the ligands from each other, easing the steric interactions that might favor lower coordination numbers with more nearly planar ligands. The secondary amine/Fe³⁺ bond lengths in the dimer are somewhat shorter than the tertiary amine/Fe³⁺ bond lengths: the Fe–N(secondary) mean length is 2.153 Å while the Fe-N(tertiary) mean length is 2.239 Å. In the monomer, with all tertiary amines, the mean Fe-N bond length is 2.209 Å, shorter but not quite matching that of the shortest,



Figure 2

Comparison of Fe^{2+} complex (Hubin *et al.*, 2000) labelled (*a*), with Fe^{3+} monomer complex (*b*), and the one half of the dimer complex (Hubin *et al.*, 2003) (*c*), in each case viewed perpendicular to the Cl–Fe–Cl or Cl–Fe–O plane. Atoms are drawn as 50% probability ellipsoids.

secondary amine bonds in the dimer. The $N_{ax}-Fe-N_{ax}$ mean bond angle is 161.6° in the dimer, while this value is 166.85 (19)° in the monomer. Clearly, dimerization, and its associated steric consequences, pulls the Fe³⁺ ion further out of the ligand cavity than it is in the Fe³⁺ monomer. In fact, the dimer $N_{ax}-Fe-N_{ax}$ bond angle is much closer to that of the Fe²⁺ monomer at 161.88 (5)° than that of the Fe³⁺ monomer at 166.85 (19)°. This steric consequence is consistent with the observation that the more sterically demanding methylsubstituted ligand prevents dimerization altogether.

4. Supramolecular features

There are no classical hydrogen bonds within the structure, but many C-H···Cl and C-H···F intermolecular interactions exist (Table 2). Pairs of complexes form dimers sustained by C-H···Cl interactions (H···Cl distances lie in the range 2.76 to 2.97 Å) and further C-H···Cl interactions link these into tapes that run parallel to the *b*-axis. These tapes are stacked along the *a* and *c* axes. Between them lie PF₆⁻ anions, forming C-H···F interactions to generate a threedimensional array of intermolecular contacts.



Figure 3

Molecular structure of μ -oxidobis[chlorido(1,4,8,11-tetraazabicyclo-[6.6.2]hexadecane)iron(III)] (Hubin *et al.*, 2003).

5. Database survey

For coordination chemistry of cross-bridged tetraazamacrocycle derivatives and their applications, see: Hubin (2003); Jones *et al.* (2015); Springborg (2003); Wong *et al.* (2000). For related structures involving iron complexes of 4,11-dimethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazabicyclo[6.6.2]hexadecane derivatives, see: Hubin *et al.* (2000, 2001, 2003); McClain *et al.* (2006); Feng *et al.* (2011).

6. Synthesis and crystallization

The title complex was prepared by a procedure slightly modified from those found in Hubin *et al.* (2000, 2001). In an inert atmosphere glovebox, 0.381 g (0.001 mol) of the iron(II) dichloride complex of 4,11-dimethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazabicyclo[6.6.2]hexadecane (Hubin *et al.*, 2000) was dissolved in 20 ml of methanol in a round-bottom flask. Five equivalents of NH_4PF_6 (0.005 mol, 0.815 g) were dissolved in the solution. The flask was removed from the glovebox with a stopper to protect it from air. In a fume hood, a stream of nitrogen gas was directed over the surface of the solution. Four to six drops

 Table 2

 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^{\circ}$).

)							
$D - H \cdots A$	$D-{\rm H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$			
$C1 - H1A \cdots F4$	0.99	2.59	3.244 (13)	124			
$C2-H2A\cdots F1^{1}$	0.99	2.36	3.249 (12)	148			
$C3-H3B\cdots Cl2$	0.99	2.73	3.304 (11)	117			
$C5-H5B\cdots Cl2$	0.99	2.67	3.277 (11)	120			
$C6-H6B\cdots Cl2$	0.99	2.91	3.453 (10)	115			
$C8-H8A\cdots Cl1$	0.99	2.78	3.337 (10)	116			
$C8-H8B\cdots Cl2^{ii}$	0.99	2.78	3.726 (11)	159			
$C9-H9A\cdots Cl2^{iii}$	0.99	2.76	3.571 (12)	140			
$C10-H10A\cdots Cl1$	0.99	2.69	3.311 (11)	121			
$C11 - H11A \cdots F2^{iv}$	0.99	2.51	3.097 (13)	118			
$C11 - H11B \cdot \cdot \cdot F5^{i}$	0.99	2.41	3.360 (15)	161			
$C13-H13A\cdots Cl1$	0.98	2.63	3.198 (10)	117			
$C13-H13B\cdots F1^{v}$	0.98	2.59	3.323 (11)	131			
$C14-H14A\cdots Cl1$	0.98	2.97	3.554 (10)	119			
$C14 - H14B \cdots Cl1^{vi}$	0.98	2.89	3.772 (11)	150			
$C14 - H14B \cdots Cl2$	0.98	2.76	3.265 (11)	113			

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + 1, -y + 1, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (iii) x, y + 1, z; (iv) x, y, z - 1; (v) x, y - 1, z; (vi) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

research communications

Table	3	
Experi	mental	details.

Crystal data	
Chemical formula	$[FeCl_2(C_{14}H_{30}N_4)]PF_6$
$M_{ m r}$	526.14
Crystal system, space group	Orthorhombic, Pna21
Temperature (K)	150
a, b, c (Å)	26.002 (4), 8.5752 (15), 9.3829 (16)
$V(Å^3)$	2092.1 (6)
Z	4
Radiation type	Μο Κα
$\mu (\text{mm}^{-1})$	1.11
Crystal size (mm)	$0.12 \times 0.09 \times 0.07$
Data collection	
Diffractometer	Stoe IPDS2
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	21858, 4186, 2249
R _{int}	0.143
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\rm max} ({\rm \AA}^{-1})$	0.620
Refinement	
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.050, 0.109, 0.84
No. of reflections	4186
No. of parameters	254
No. of restraints	1
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}, \Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ (e Å ⁻³)	0.39, -0.33
Absolute structure	Refined as a two-component inversion twin
Absolute structure parameter	0.03 (5)

Computer programs: X-AREA (Stoe & Cie, 2002), SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008), SHELXL2014/7 (Sheldrick, 2015), ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 2012) and publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

of Br_2 were added and the reaction was stirred for 15 minutes. Care must be taken when adding the bromine drops, as its vapor pressure and density tend to cause it to spurt out of the pipette. Practicing dispensing drops back into the bromine bottle (in the hood) can allow for successful dispensing.

A bright yellow-orange precipitate formed immediately. The nitrogen gas was then allowed to bubble through the solution for 15 minutes to remove excess Br_2 . The flask was then stoppered and placed in a freezer for 30 minutes to complete the precipitation. The yellow-orange solid product was collected by vacuum filtration on a glass frit and washed with methanol and then ether. The product (0.428 g, 80% yield) was analytically pure as calculated with one-half molar equivalent of water of crystallization. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from ether diffusion into a dichloromethane solution.

7. Refinement

Standard data collection and refinement procedures were adopted. Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 3.

Hydrogen atoms were placed using a riding model with fixed bond lengths and angles. For methylene groups U_{iso} (H) was set at $1.2U_{iso}$ (C) and for methyl groups U_{iso} (H) was set at $1.5U_{iso}$ (C).

References

- Boyington, J. C., Gaffney, B. J. & Amzel, L. M. (1993). Science, 260, 1482–1486.
- England, J., Prakash, J., Cranswick, M. A., Mandal, D., Guo, Y., Münck, E., Shaik, S. & Que, L. Jr (2015). *Inorg. Chem.* 54, 7828– 7839.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Feng, Y., England, J. & Que, L. Jr (2011). ACS Catal. 1, 1035–1042.
- Hubin, T. J. (2003). Coord. Chem. Rev. 241, 27-46.
- Hubin, T. J., McCormick, J. M., Alcock, N. W. & Busch, D. H. (2001). Inorg. Chem. 40, 435–444.
- Hubin, T. J., McCormick, J. M., Collinson, S. R., Alcock, N. W., Clase, H. J. & Busch, D. H. (2003). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **346**, 76–86.
- Hubin, T. J., McCormick, J. M., Collinson, S. R., Buchalova, M., Perkins, C. M., Alcock, N. W., Kahol, P. K., Raghunathan, A. & Busch, D. H. (2000). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122, 2512–2522.
- Jang, H. G., Cox, D. D. & Que, L. Jr (1991). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 113, 9200–9204.
- Jones, D. G., Wilson, K. R., Cannon-Smith, D. J., Shircliff, A. D., Zhang, Z., Chen, Z., Prior, T. J., Yin, G. & Hubin, T. J. (2015). *Inorg. Chem.* 54, 2221–2234.
- McClain, J. M. II, Maples, D. L., Maples, R. D., Matz, D. L., Harris, S. M., Nelson, A. D. L., Silversides, J. D., Archibald, S. J. & Hubin, T. J. (2006). Acta Cryst. C62, m553–m555.
- Murray, K. S. (1974). Coord. Chem. Rev. 12, 1-35.
- Ortiz de Montellano, P. R. (1986). In Cytochrome P450: Structure, Mechanism, and Biochemistry. New York: Plenum Press.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3-8.
- Springborg, J. (2003). Dalton Trans. pp. 1653-1665.
- Stoe & Cie (2002). X-AREA. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Wallar, B. J. & Lipscomb, J. D. (1996). Chem. Rev. 96, 2625–2658.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Wong, E. H., Weisman, G. R., Hill, D. C., Reed, D. P., Rogers, M. E., Condon, J. S., Fagan, M. A., Calabrese, J. C., Lam, K.-C., Guzei, I. A. & Rheingold, A. L. (2000). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122, 10561– 10572.
- Yin, G., Buchalova, M., Danby, A. M., Perkins, C. M., Kitko, D., Carter, J. D., Scheper, W. M. & Busch, D. H. (2006). *Inorg. Chem.* 45, 3467–3474.

Acta Cryst. (2015). E71, 1073-1076 [https://doi.org/10.1107/S2056989015015340]

Crystal structure of dichlorido(4,11-dimethyl-1,4,8,11-tetraazabicyclo-[6.6.2]hexadecane)iron(III) hexafluoridophosphate

Neil L. Funwie, Amy N. Cain, Brian Z. Fanning, Serena A. Hageman, Malorie Mullens, Travis K. Roberts, Daniel J. Turner, Cammi N. Valdez, Robert W. Vaughan, Henok G. Ermias, Jon D. Silversides, Stephen J. Archibald, Timothy J. Hubin and Timothy J. Prior

Computing details

Data collection: *X-AREA* (Stoe & Cie, 2002); cell refinement: *X-AREA* (Stoe & Cie, 2002); data reduction: *X-AREA* (Stoe & Cie, 2002); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2014*/7 (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 2012); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

Dichlorido (4, 11-dimethyl-1, 4, 8, 11-tetra azabicyclo [6.6.2] hexade cane) iron (III) hexafluorido phosphate and the statement of the stat

Crystal data	
$[FeCl_{2}(C_{14}H_{30}N_{4})]PF_{6}$ $M_{r} = 526.14$ Orthorhombic, $Pna2_{1}$ a = 26.002 (4) Å b = 8.5752 (15) Å c = 9.3829 (16) Å V = 2092.1 (6) Å ³ Z = 4 F(000) = 1084	$D_x = 1.670 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 8849 reflections $\theta = 1.7-24.1^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.11 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 150 K Block, orange $0.12 \times 0.09 \times 0.07 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
 Stoe IPDS2 diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Detector resolution: 6.67 pixels mm⁻¹ ω–scans 21858 measured reflections 4186 independent reflections 	2249 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.143$ $\theta_{max} = 26.1^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 1.6^{\circ}$ $h = -32 \rightarrow 32$ $k = -10 \rightarrow 8$ $l = -11 \rightarrow 11$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.050$ $wR(F^2) = 0.109$ S = 0.84 4186 reflections 254 parameters 1 restraint	 Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0378P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.39 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\min} = -0.33 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ Absolute structure: Refined as a two-component inversion twin Absolute structure parameter: 0.03 (5)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes. **Refinement**. Refined as a two-component inversion twin.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
Fe1	0.66232 (4)	0.14982 (13)	0.20386 (14)	0.0394 (3)
C11	0.71279 (10)	0.2523 (4)	0.3803 (3)	0.0471 (6)
C12	0.69285 (9)	-0.0987 (3)	0.2182 (3)	0.0493 (6)
N1	0.6182 (3)	0.3664 (9)	0.1775 (7)	0.0479 (19)
N2	0.5950 (3)	0.0889 (10)	0.3401 (7)	0.043 (2)
N3	0.6144 (3)	0.0869 (10)	0.0162 (8)	0.042 (2)
N4	0.7148 (3)	0.2294 (12)	0.0366 (8)	0.045 (2)
C1	0.5844 (5)	0.3692 (15)	0.3148 (11)	0.058 (3)
H1A	0.6066	0.3876	0.3990	0.069*
H1B	0.5593	0.4558	0.3087	0.069*
C2	0.5569 (4)	0.2208 (13)	0.3320 (11)	0.057 (3)
H2A	0.5335	0.2044	0.2502	0.069*
H2B	0.5360	0.2236	0.4201	0.069*
C3	0.5698 (4)	-0.0588 (14)	0.2979 (10)	0.056 (3)
H3A	0.5409	-0.0765	0.3645	0.067*
H3B	0.5949	-0.1440	0.3138	0.067*
C4	0.5490 (4)	-0.0768 (14)	0.1479 (10)	0.059 (3)
H4A	0.5320	-0.1799	0.1407	0.071*
H4B	0.5222	0.0036	0.1328	0.071*
C5	0.5867 (4)	-0.0642 (13)	0.0324 (11)	0.055 (3)
H5A	0.5689	-0.0866	-0.0585	0.066*
H5B	0.6128	-0.1471	0.0463	0.066*
C6	0.6538 (4)	0.0671 (13)	-0.0993 (10)	0.060 (3)
H6A	0.6364	0.0548	-0.1924	0.072*
H6B	0.6743	-0.0283	-0.0810	0.072*
C7	0.6900 (5)	0.2105 (14)	-0.1046 (11)	0.067 (3)
H7A	0.7166	0.1952	-0.1789	0.081*
H7B	0.6701	0.3053	-0.1286	0.081*
C8	0.7315 (4)	0.4001 (13)	0.0555 (11)	0.059 (3)
H8A	0.7520	0.4078	0.1442	0.071*
H8B	0.7545	0.4280	-0.0247	0.071*
C9	0.6882 (5)	0.5214 (14)	0.0628 (13)	0.073 (4)
H9A	0.7043	0.6258	0.0699	0.088*
H9B	0.6693	0.5179	-0.0287	0.088*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

C10	0.6499 (4)	0.5070 (11)	0.1805 (13)	0.067 (3)	
H10A	0.6685	0.5103	0.2724	0.080*	
H10B	0.6269	0.5990	0.1773	0.080*	
C11	0.5873 (5)	0.3684 (14)	0.0505 (12)	0.064 (4)	
H11A	0.6044	0.4357	-0.0209	0.077*	
H11B	0.5537	0.4166	0.0735	0.077*	
C12	0.5775 (5)	0.2089 (12)	-0.0168 (11)	0.060 (3)	
H12A	0.5430	0.1728	0.0135	0.072*	
H12B	0.5765	0.2222	-0.1216	0.072*	
C13	0.6132 (4)	0.0676 (13)	0.4876 (9)	0.058 (3)	
H13A	0.6302	0.1632	0.5200	0.087*	
H13B	0.5838	0.0450	0.5497	0.087*	
H13C	0.6376	-0.0194	0.4911	0.087*	
C14	0.7641 (4)	0.1488 (14)	0.0423 (11)	0.058 (3)	
H14A	0.7795	0.1633	0.1366	0.088*	
H14B	0.7588	0.0373	0.0246	0.088*	
H14C	0.7871	0.1917	-0.0306	0.088*	
P1	0.58082 (9)	0.6220 (4)	0.6714 (2)	0.0514 (7)	
F1	0.5510(2)	0.7847 (7)	0.6576 (6)	0.0737 (19)	
F2	0.5482 (3)	0.5900 (10)	0.8133 (7)	0.097 (3)	
F3	0.6235 (3)	0.7031 (11)	0.7626 (8)	0.117 (3)	
F4	0.6122 (2)	0.6531 (8)	0.5300 (6)	0.0635 (17)	
F5	0.5377 (3)	0.5381 (11)	0.5818 (8)	0.094 (3)	
F6	0.6097 (3)	0.4592 (7)	0.6862 (8)	0.094 (2)	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
Fe1	0.0415 (6)	0.0379 (6)	0.0388 (6)	0.0011 (6)	-0.0025 (7)	-0.0031 (8)
Cl1	0.0505 (14)	0.0455 (15)	0.0452 (12)	-0.0004 (14)	-0.0107 (12)	-0.0074 (12)
Cl2	0.0602 (13)	0.0356 (11)	0.0522 (13)	0.0070 (10)	-0.0035 (13)	0.0016 (13)
N1	0.053 (4)	0.054 (5)	0.036 (5)	0.008 (4)	0.005 (4)	0.003 (4)
N2	0.048 (5)	0.045 (5)	0.037 (4)	0.002 (4)	-0.002 (4)	0.003 (4)
N3	0.039 (5)	0.045 (5)	0.042 (4)	-0.006 (4)	-0.009 (4)	-0.004 (4)
N4	0.041 (5)	0.048 (6)	0.047 (4)	-0.001 (5)	-0.002 (4)	-0.009 (4)
C1	0.066 (8)	0.055 (9)	0.052 (6)	-0.002 (7)	0.001 (5)	-0.006 (6)
C2	0.054 (7)	0.059 (8)	0.058 (7)	0.005 (6)	0.024 (5)	0.011 (6)
C3	0.061 (8)	0.056 (8)	0.049 (6)	-0.022 (6)	0.012 (5)	-0.002 (5)
C4	0.067 (7)	0.052 (7)	0.058 (6)	-0.012 (6)	-0.004 (6)	-0.002 (5)
C5	0.059 (7)	0.055 (8)	0.051 (6)	-0.007 (6)	0.002 (6)	-0.008 (5)
C6	0.072 (8)	0.067 (8)	0.040 (5)	-0.008 (6)	-0.009 (5)	0.000 (5)
C7	0.086 (8)	0.070 (8)	0.046 (6)	-0.011 (6)	0.007 (6)	0.004 (6)
C8	0.076 (8)	0.044 (7)	0.058 (6)	0.003 (6)	0.007 (5)	0.006 (5)
C9	0.086 (9)	0.047 (7)	0.086 (8)	0.002 (7)	0.032 (7)	0.002 (6)
C10	0.092 (8)	0.030 (5)	0.079 (9)	-0.005 (5)	0.005 (8)	0.007 (6)
C11	0.067 (9)	0.052 (9)	0.073 (7)	0.025 (7)	-0.008 (6)	0.003 (6)
C12	0.068 (7)	0.053 (8)	0.059 (6)	-0.004 (6)	-0.022 (6)	0.004 (6)
C13	0.071 (8)	0.068 (8)	0.035 (5)	-0.021 (6)	0.007 (5)	0.014 (5)

C14	0.053 (7)	0.059 (8)	0.064 (6)	0.010 (6)	0.013 (5)	-0.003 (6)
P1	0.0442 (14)	0.0654 (18)	0.0445 (16)	0.0126 (13)	0.0024 (11)	0.0086 (13)
F1	0.069 (4)	0.063 (4)	0.090 (5)	0.024 (3)	0.029 (3)	0.022 (3)
F2	0.123 (7)	0.094 (6)	0.075 (4)	0.050 (5)	0.058 (4)	0.038 (4)
F3	0.073 (5)	0.171 (9)	0.107 (5)	0.025 (5)	-0.027 (4)	-0.090 (5)
F4	0.060 (4)	0.077 (5)	0.054 (3)	0.004 (3)	0.012 (3)	0.000 (3)
F5	0.054 (4)	0.131 (8)	0.097 (5)	-0.021 (5)	0.017 (4)	-0.032 (5)
F6	0.123 (5)	0.081 (5)	0.079 (4)	0.046 (4)	0.038 (5)	0.019 (4)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Fe1—N4	2.188 (8)	C6—C7	1.549 (14)
Fe1—N1	2.197 (7)	С6—Н6А	0.9900
Fe1—N3	2.223 (7)	С6—Н6В	0.9900
Fe1—N2	2.229 (8)	С7—Н7А	0.9900
Fe1—Cl2	2.278 (3)	С7—Н7В	0.9900
Fe1—Cl1	2.288 (3)	C8—C9	1.534 (15)
N1-C11	1.437 (13)	C8—H8A	0.9900
N1-C10	1.461 (11)	C8—H8B	0.9900
N1—C1	1.559 (12)	C9—C10	1.493 (15)
N2—C13	1.473 (12)	С9—Н9А	0.9900
N2—C3	1.480 (14)	С9—Н9В	0.9900
N2—C2	1.506 (13)	C10—H10A	0.9900
N3—C12	1.453 (13)	C10—H10B	0.9900
N3—C5	1.490 (13)	C11—C12	1.528 (15)
N3—C6	1.501 (12)	C11—H11A	0.9900
N4—C14	1.458 (12)	C11—H11B	0.9900
N4—C7	1.482 (13)	C12—H12A	0.9900
N4—C8	1.538 (15)	C12—H12B	0.9900
C1—C2	1.469 (16)	С13—Н13А	0.9800
C1—H1A	0.9900	С13—Н13В	0.9800
C1—H1B	0.9900	C13—H13C	0.9800
C2—H2A	0.9900	C14—H14A	0.9800
C2—H2B	0.9900	C14—H14B	0.9800
C3—C4	1.516 (13)	C14—H14C	0.9800
С3—НЗА	0.9900	P1—F3	1.564 (7)
С3—Н3В	0.9900	P1—F5	1.575 (8)
C4—C5	1.467 (14)	P1—F4	1.580 (6)
C4—H4A	0.9900	P1—F6	1.591 (6)
C4—H4B	0.9900	P1—F1	1.601 (6)
C5—H5A	0.9900	P1—F2	1.602 (7)
С5—Н5В	0.9900		
N4—Fe1—N1	88.9 (3)	N3—C6—C7	110.3 (9)
N4—Fe1—N3	81.8 (3)	N3—C6—H6A	109.6
N1—Fe1—N3	79.8 (3)	С7—С6—Н6А	109.6
N4—Fe1—N2	166.8 (3)	N3—C6—H6B	109.6
N1—Fe1—N2	81.5 (3)	С7—С6—Н6В	109.6

N3—Fe1—N2	87.6 (3)	H6A—C6—H6B	108.1
N4—Fe1—Cl2	96.7 (3)	N4—C7—C6	108.8 (8)
N1—Fe1—Cl2	168.3 (2)	N4—C7—H7A	109.9
N3—Fe1—Cl2	90.9 (2)	С6—С7—Н7А	109.9
N2—Fe1—Cl2	91.2 (2)	N4—C7—H7B	109.9
N4—Fe1—Cl1	92.4 (2)	C6-C7-H7B	109.9
N1—Fe1—Cl1	93.2 (2)	H7A—C7—H7B	108.3
N3—Fe1—Cl1	171.0 (2)	C9—C8—N4	116.3 (9)
N2—Fe1—Cl1	97.2 (2)	C9—C8—H8A	108.2
C12—Fe1—C11	96 70 (11)	N4—C8—H8A	108.2
C_{11} N1- C_{10}	108 8 (9)	C9-C8-H8B	108.2
C_{11} N1- C_{1}	111.8(7)	N4-C8-H8B	108.2
C10 N1 $C1$	106.8 (8)	H8A - C8 - H8B	107.4
C_{11} N1 Eq.	113 3 (6)	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	107.4 117.0(10)
C10 N1 Ee1	113.5 (6)	$C_{10} = C_{20} = C_{20}$	107.8
C1 N1 Eq1	113.0(0) 102.3(6)		107.8
C12 N2 C2	102.3(0) 106.7(2)	C_{0}	107.8
$C_{13} = N_2 = C_3$	100.7(0)	C_{10}° C_{20}° H_{0}° H_{0}°	107.0
C13-N2-C2	110.0(8)		107.8
$C_3 = N_2 = C_2$	109.7(6)	$H_{9}A = C_{9} = H_{9}B$	107.2 115.5(0)
C_{13} N_2 E_{21}	108.4(0) 112.2(6)	N1 = C10 = U10A	113.3 (9)
$C_3 = N_2 = FeI$	113.3(0)	NI = CI0 = HI0A	108.4
C_2 —N2—FeI	108.2(0)	C9—C10—H10A	108.4
C12 - N3 - C3	109.2 (8)		108.4
C12 - N3 - C6	112.3 (8)	C9—C10—H10B	108.4
C_{5} N3 $-C_{6}$	107.7 (8)	HI0A—CI0—HI0B	107.5
C12—N3—Fel	111.4 (6)	NI—CII—CI2	115.2 (9)
C5—N3—Fel	113.6 (6)	NI-CII-HIIA	108.5
C6—N3—Fel	102.5 (5)	С12—С11—Н11А	108.5
C14—N4—C7	111.3 (8)	N1—C11—H11B	108.5
C14—N4—C8	101.4 (8)	C12—C11—H11B	108.5
C7—N4—C8	109.3 (8)	H11A—C11—H11B	107.5
C14—N4—Fe1	112.0 (6)	N3—C12—C11	116.5 (9)
C7—N4—Fe1	109.7 (6)	N3—C12—H12A	108.2
C8—N4—Fe1	113.0 (6)	C11—C12—H12A	108.2
C2—C1—N1	110.6 (9)	N3—C12—H12B	108.2
C2—C1—H1A	109.5	C11—C12—H12B	108.2
N1—C1—H1A	109.5	H12A—C12—H12B	107.3
C2—C1—H1B	109.5	N2—C13—H13A	109.5
N1—C1—H1B	109.5	N2—C13—H13B	109.5
H1A—C1—H1B	108.1	H13A—C13—H13B	109.5
C1—C2—N2	109.6 (9)	N2—C13—H13C	109.5
C1—C2—H2A	109.8	H13A—C13—H13C	109.5
N2—C2—H2A	109.8	H13B—C13—H13C	109.5
C1—C2—H2B	109.8	N4—C14—H14A	109.5
N2—C2—H2B	109.8	N4—C14—H14B	109.5
H2A—C2—H2B	108.2	H14A—C14—H14B	109.5
N2—C3—C4	119.6 (9)	N4—C14—H14C	109.5
N2—C3—H3A	107.4	H14A—C14—H14C	109.5

С4—С3—НЗА	107.4	H14B—C14—H14C	109.5
N2—C3—H3B	107.4	F3—P1—F5	179.0 (5)
C4—C3—H3B	107.4	F3—P1—F4	91.0 (4)
НЗА—СЗ—НЗВ	107.0	F5—P1—F4	89.8 (3)
C5—C4—C3	116.1 (9)	F3—P1—F6	90.5 (5)
C5—C4—H4A	108.3	F5—P1—F6	88.9 (5)
C3—C4—H4A	108.3	F4—P1—F6	88.7 (4)
C5—C4—H4B	108.3	F3—P1—F1	90.0 (4)
C3—C4—H4B	108.3	F5—P1—F1	90.6 (4)
H4A—C4—H4B	107.4	F4—P1—F1	92.0 (3)
C4—C5—N3	117.6 (9)	F6—P1—F1	179.2 (4)
C4—C5—H5A	107.9	F3—P1—F2	89.8 (5)
N3—C5—H5A	107.9	F5—P1—F2	89.4 (4)
C4—C5—H5B	107.9	F4—P1—F2	179.2 (4)
N3—C5—H5B	107.9	F6—P1—F2	91.5 (4)
H5A—C5—H5B	107.2	F1—P1—F2	87.8 (3)
C11—N1—C1—C2	69.7 (11)	C8—N4—C7—C6	-156.2 (9)
C10—N1—C1—C2	-171.5 (9)	Fe1—N4—C7—C6	-31.9 (11)
Fe1—N1—C1—C2	-51.9 (10)	N3—C6—C7—N4	57.8 (11)
N1—C1—C2—N2	58.7 (11)	C14—N4—C8—C9	-176.9 (9)
C13—N2—C2—C1	85.8 (10)	C7—N4—C8—C9	65.5 (11)
C3—N2—C2—C1	-156.8 (8)	Fe1—N4—C8—C9	-56.9 (10)
Fe1—N2—C2—C1	-32.7 (9)	N4—C8—C9—C10	60.6 (14)
C13—N2—C3—C4	-177.2 (10)	C11—N1—C10—C9	-63.7 (11)
C2—N2—C3—C4	63.0 (12)	C1—N1—C10—C9	175.6 (9)
Fe1—N2—C3—C4	-58.0 (12)	Fe1—N1—C10—C9	63.5 (11)
N2—C3—C4—C5	61.0 (15)	C8—C9—C10—N1	-64.2 (14)
C3—C4—C5—N3	-62.3 (14)	C10-N1-C11-C12	145.2 (10)
C12—N3—C5—C4	-62.7 (11)	C1—N1—C11—C12	-97.1 (11)
C6—N3—C5—C4	175.1 (9)	Fe1—N1—C11—C12	17.9 (12)
Fe1—N3—C5—C4	62.3 (11)	C5—N3—C12—C11	142.4 (10)
C12—N3—C6—C7	69.2 (10)	C6-N3-C12-C11	-98.1 (11)
C5—N3—C6—C7	-170.6 (8)	Fe1—N3—C12—C11	16.2 (12)
Fe1—N3—C6—C7	-50.5 (9)	N1-C11-C12-N3	-23.2 (15)
C14—N4—C7—C6	92.6 (11)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
C1—H1A…F4	0.99	2.59	3.244 (13)	124
C2— $H2A$ ···F1 ⁱ	0.99	2.36	3.249 (12)	148
C3—H3 <i>B</i> ···Cl2	0.99	2.73	3.304 (11)	117
C5—H5 <i>B</i> ···Cl2	0.99	2.67	3.277 (11)	120
C6—H6 <i>B</i> ···Cl2	0.99	2.91	3.453 (10)	115
C8—H8A…Cl1	0.99	2.78	3.337 (10)	116
C8—H8 <i>B</i> ···Cl2 ⁱⁱ	0.99	2.78	3.726 (11)	159
C9—H9A····Cl2 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.99	2.76	3.571 (12)	140

C10—H10A…Cl1	0.99	2.69	3.311 (11)	121
C11—H11A····F2 ^{iv}	0.99	2.51	3.097 (13)	118
C11—H11 <i>B</i> ···F5 ⁱ	0.99	2.41	3.360 (15)	161
C13—H13A···Cl1	0.98	2.63	3.198 (10)	117
C13—H13 <i>B</i> …F1 ^v	0.98	2.59	3.323 (11)	131
C14—H14 <i>A</i> ···Cl1	0.98	2.97	3.554 (10)	119
C14—H14 B ····Cl1 ^{vi}	0.98	2.89	3.772 (11)	150
C14—H14 <i>B</i> ····Cl2	0.98	2.76	3.265 (11)	113

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, *z*-1/2; (ii) -*x*+3/2, *y*+1/2, *z*-1/2; (iii) *x*, *y*+1, *z*; (iv) *x*, *y*, *z*-1; (v) *x*, *y*-1, *z*; (vi) -*x*+3/2, *y*-1/2, *z*-1/2.