data reports





open 👌 access

Crystal structure of $(\mu$ -*N*,*N*'-dibenzyldithiooxamidato- κ *N*,*S*:*N*',*S*')bis[$(\eta^3$ crotyl)palladium(II)]

Giuseppe Bruno,^a* Santo Lanza,^a Antonino Giannetto,^a Alessandro Sacca^a and Hadi Amiri Rudbari^b

^aDepartment of Chemical Sciences, University of Messina, Via F. Stagno d'Alcontres 31, 98166 Messina, Italy, and ^bFaculty of Chemistry, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran. *Correspondence e-mail: gbruno@unime.it

Received 8 December 2014; accepted 21 January 2015

Edited by G. Smith, Queensland University of Technology, Australia

In the centrosymmetric dinuclear title compound, $[Pd_2(C_4H_7)_2(C_{16}H_{14}N_2S_2)]$, the metal atom is η^3 -coordinated by three C atoms of a crotyl ligand [Pd-C = 2.147 (4),2.079 (5) and 2.098 (5) Å], the longest distance influenced by the steric interaction with the benzyl substituents of the dibenzyldithiooximidate (DTO) ligand. The Pd-N and Pd-S bonds to this ligand are 2.080 (3) and 2.3148 (9) Å, respectively, completing a square-planar coordination environment for Pd^{II}. The benzyl groups are oriented so as to maximize the interaction between a benzylic H atom and an S atom, resulting in a dihedral angle of 77.1 (2)° between the benzene rings and the metal complex plane. In the crystal, no intercomplex hydrogen-bonding interactions are present.

Keywords: crystal structure; dinuclear palladium(II) complex; dibenzyldithiooxamidate; crotyl.

CCDC reference: 1044665

1. Related literature

For background to structures similar to that of the title compound in which Pd^{II} atoms are linked to allyl groups, see: Lanza *et al.* (2003, 2011). For the stereochemical descriptor of a η^3 -crotyl plane, see: Schlögl (1967). For stereochemical descriptors of a palladium square plane, see: Lanza *et al.* (2000). For the chemistry of (η^3 -allyl)palladium, see: Jalòn *et al.* (2005).



- 2. Experimental
- 2.1. Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} \left[\mathrm{Pd}_{2}(\mathrm{C}_{4}\mathrm{H}_{7})_{2}(\mathrm{C}_{16}\mathrm{H}_{14}\mathrm{N}_{2}\mathrm{S}_{2}) \right] \\ M_{r} = 621.40 \\ \mathrm{Monoclinic, } C2/c \\ a = 18.3240 \ (2) \ \mathrm{\mathring{A}} \\ b = 7.1660 \ (1) \ \mathrm{\mathring{A}} \\ c = 19.5080 \ (2) \ \mathrm{\mathring{A}} \\ \beta = 109.341 \ (4)^{\circ} \end{array}$

```
2.2. Data collection
```

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2012) T_{min} = 0.611, T_{max} = 0.746

2.3. Refinement $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$ $wR(F^2) = 0.085$ S = 1.032638 reflections $V = 2417.03 (7) Å^{3}$ Z = 4 Mo K\alpha radiation \mu = 1.67 mm^{-1} T = 298 K 0.35 \times 0.10 \times 0.08 mm

34315 measured reflections 2638 independent reflections 2474 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.019$

136 parameters H-atom parameters constrained
$$\begin{split} &\Delta\rho_{max} = 1.36 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \\ &\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.62 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \end{split}$$

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2012); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2012); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2015); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*, *PLATON* (Spek, 2009) and *enCIFer* (Allen *et al.*, 2004).

Supporting information for this paper is available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: ZS2324).

References

- Allen, F. H., Johnson, O., Shields, G. P., Smith, B. R. & Towler, M. (2004). J. Appl. Cryst. 37, 335–338.
- Bruker (2012). APEX2, SAINT and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Jalòn, F. A., Manzano, B. R. & Moreno-Lara, B. (2005). *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* pp. 100–109.
- Lanza, S., Bruno, G., Nicolò, F., Callipari, G. & Tresoldi, G. (2003). *Inorg. Chem.* 42, 4545–4552.
- Lanza, S., Bruno, G., Nicolò, F., Rotondo, A., Scopelliti, R. & Rotondo, E. (2000). Organometallics, **19**, 2462–2469.
- Lanza, S., Nicolò, F., Amiri Rudbari, H., Plutino, M. R. & Bruno, G. (2011). *Inorg. Chem.* 50, 11653–11666.
- Schlögl, K. (1967). Top. Stereochem. 1, 39-91.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2015). Acta Cryst. C71, 3–8.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2015). E71, m40-m41 [doi:10.1107/S2056989015001292]

Crystal structure of $(\mu$ -*N*,*N*'-dibenzyldithiooxamidato- κ *N*,*S*:*N*',*S*')bis[(η ³-crotyl)palladium(II)]

Giuseppe Bruno, Santo Lanza, Antonino Giannetto, Alessandro Sacca and Hadi Amiri Rudbari

S1. Comment

 η^3 -Allyl palladium complexes are very widely studied because they can act as precursors or intermediates in different catalytic processes (Jalòn *et al.*, 2005). In the dimeric title η^3 -allyl–palladium(II) complex [Pd₂(C₄H₆)₂(S₂N₂C₁₆H₁₄)] with the dibenzyldithiooximidate (DTO) ligand, the asymmetric unit consists of a half molecule lying across an inversion center (Fig. 1). The DTO ligands adopt a binucleating role through the S and N atoms bridging two equivalent palladium centres with Pd—N6 and Pd—S bond distances of 2.080 (7) Å and 2.3148 (9) Å, respectively. The C4—C4ⁱ bond length within the DTO ligand [1.517 (5) Å] is typical of values found in planar *trans*-dithiooxamides. The benzyl groups are oriented so as to maximize the intramolecular interaction between a benzylic H-atom and a sulfur atom [C5—H5^{...}Sⁱ, 3.005 (4) Å: C—H^{...}S, 116°], resulting in a dihedral angle of 77.1 (2)° between the benzene rings and the metal complex plane. With the allyl ligand, the η^3 asymmetric coordination as well as its orientation with respect to the palladium centers are mainly determined by steric hindrance between crotyl and benzyl fragments placing the latter on the opposite side of the methyl group. The Pd—C distances for the three C-atoms of the crotyl ligand (C1, C2, C3) are 2.147 (4), 2.079 (5) and 2.098 (5) Å, respectively. Although the secondary dithiooxamide is chelating the metal *via* the N^{...}S sites in this complex, structural parameters around the Pd^{II} are in good agreement with those in similar compounds in which the planar DTO bridge is chelating the "hard" palladium(II) *via N,N'* atoms (Lanza *et al.*, 2000; Lanza *et al.*, 2003). The overall molecular packing, as shown in Fig. 2, no inter-complex hydrogen-bonding interactions are present.

The title compound consists of two halves each of which is made by two chiral planes: the one containing palladium and the other perpendicular to it, *i.e.* the η^3 -linked crotyl plane. The compound is a mesoform: in fact either chiral crotyl plane and palladium square plane of one half-molecule have opposite configurations with respect to the corresponding planes of the other half (Fig. 3). The compound might exist in different diastereomeric forms: one, represented here, is a mesoform having crotyl CH₃ *cis* to sulfur; the other is a racemate having crotyl CH₃ *cis* to sulfur and crotyl cusps oriented toward the same side of palladium molecular square planes. A more detailed description of the stereochemistry of the title compound has been done by us previously (Lanza *et al.*, 2000; Lanza *et al.*, 2011). Both the other mesoform and the racemate having the crotyl CH₃ *cis* to the nitrogen probably cannot be formed because of close contact between the crotyl CH₃ and the DTO benzyl substituents.

S2. Experimental

A solution of 1 mmol (365 mg) of $[(\eta^3 \text{crotyl})\text{PdCl}]_2$ 100 ml of chloroform was reacted with a 2 mmol equivalent of H₂benzil₂DTO. The solution turned orange and was left to stand for 30 min at room temperature. After the addition with stirring of 2 g of sodium bicarbonate, the mixture turned bright yellow. After filtration of the excess bicarbonate, the filtrate, which contained $[(\eta^3 \text{crotyl})\text{Pd}(\text{H}-R_2-\text{DTO }\kappa\text{-S},S\text{ Pd})]$, was reacted with 1 mmol (365 mg) of $[(\eta^3 \text{crotyl})\text{Pd}\text{Cl}]_2$. The mixture was refluxed for 24 h at 50 °C after which the solvent was removed, and the crude product was redissolved

in a minimum amount of chloroform and loaded onto an alumina column equilibrated with petroleum ether. Elution with a petroleum ether/chloroform mixture (90:10) gave a yellow fraction from which the homobimetallic title complex $[(\eta^3 \text{crotyl})\text{Pd}]_2(\mu$ -benzyl₂-DTO κ -N,*S* Pd, κ -N',*S*' Pd'] was crystallized. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃), δ (p.p.m.): 7.34 (m, 10H, N—CH₂—C₆H₅), 4.86 (s, 4H, N—CH₂—C₆H₅), 3.96 (m, 2H, CH₂CHCHCH₃), 3.94 (d, 2H, CHsyn-antiCHCHCH₃), 2.80 and 2.76 (2 d, 4H, CH_{sym}H_{anti}CHCHCH₃), 1.76 (d, 6H, CH₂CHCHCH₃. ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃), δ (p.p.m.):, 128.6–126.4 (Ph—CH), 114.2 (CH₃—CH—CH₂), 80.4 (CH₃—CH—CH—CH₂), 57.4, 57.5 (CH₃—CHCH-CH₂), 52.3 (N —CH₂—C₆H₅), 18.2 (CH₃—CH—CH—CH₂).

S3. Refinement

Although the hydrogen atoms could be clearly identified in a difference Fourier synthesis, all were idealized and refined at calculated positions riding on the carbon atoms with C–H distances of 0.96 Å (methyl), 0.97 Å (methylene), 0.93 Å (aromatic) and 0.97 Å for C1 and 0.98 Å for C2 and C3. Carbon atoms of the η^3 -linked crotyl fragment show large anisotropic displacement parameters giving rise to unusual C–C bond lengths and short H–H separations.



Figure 1

A perspective view of the centrosymetric title complex, with atom numbering and non H-atoms represented as 40% probability displacement ellipsoids. Symmetry code (i): -x, -y, -z + 1.



Figure 2

A packing diagram of the title complex viewed along the *b* axis with C—H…S interactions shown by dotted lines.



Figure 3

Enantiomeric symmetry-related C2-pairs in the title compound.

$(\mu - N, N'$ -Dibenzyldithiooxamidato- $\kappa N, S:N', S'$)bis[$(\eta^3$ -crotyl)palladium(II)]

Crystal data

 $[Pd_{2}(C_{4}H_{7})_{2}(C_{16}H_{14}N_{2}S_{2})]$ $M_{r} = 621.40$ Monoclinic, C2/cHall symbol: -C 2yc a = 18.3240 (2) Å b = 7.1660 (1) Å c = 19.5080 (2) Å $\beta = 109.341$ (4)° V = 2417.03 (7) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD	34315 measured reflections
diffractometer	2638 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	2474 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Graphite monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.019$
φ and ω scans	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.7^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$h = -23 \rightarrow 23$
(SADABS; Bruker, 2012)	$k = -9 \rightarrow 9$
$T_{\min} = 0.611, \ T_{\max} = 0.746$	$l = -24 \rightarrow 24$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
Least-squares matrix: full	neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.085$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0388P)^2 + 8.6756P]$
<i>S</i> = 1.03	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
2638 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
136 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.36 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
0 restraints	$\Delta ho_{ m min} = -0.62$ e Å ⁻³

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

F(000) = 1240

 $\theta = 4.3 - 24.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.67 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

Prismatic, vellow

 $0.35 \times 0.10 \times 0.08 \text{ mm}$

T = 298 K

 $D_{\rm x} = 1.708 {\rm Mg} {\rm m}^{-3}$

Mo *K* α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å

Cell parameters from 236 reflections

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
Pd1	0.01936 (2)	0.33811 (4)	0.42230 (2)	0.04724 (11)	
S	0.10566 (5)	0.15413 (15)	0.50967 (6)	0.0622 (3)	
N6	-0.06138 (15)	0.1444 (4)	0.43152 (13)	0.0420 (6)	
C5	-0.14245 (18)	0.1654 (5)	0.38465 (17)	0.0483 (8)	
H2A	-0.16	0.2904	0.3902	0.058*	
H2B	-0.1743	0.0779	0.4001	0.058*	

C4	0.04236 (16)	-0.0084 (4)	0.52284 (14)	0.0381 (6)
C6	-0.15288 (17)	0.1315 (4)	0.30539 (16)	0.0401 (6)
C8	-0.2302 (3)	0.1740 (6)	0.1805 (2)	0.0663 (11)
Н5	-0.2741	0.2225	0.1459	0.08*
C7	-0.2179 (2)	0.2040 (5)	0.25323 (19)	0.0528 (8)
H6	-0.2534	0.2732	0.2674	0.063*
C10	-0.1143 (2)	-0.0012 (7)	0.2104 (2)	0.0705 (11)
H7	-0.0792	-0.0712	0.1959	0.085*
C11	-0.10169 (19)	0.0268 (6)	0.28338 (19)	0.0540 (8)
H8	-0.0585	-0.0253	0.3178	0.065*
C9	-0.1782 (3)	0.0736 (7)	0.1589 (2)	0.0710 (12)
Н9	-0.1861	0.056	0.1098	0.085*
C12	0.1701 (3)	0.5766 (8)	0.4400 (3)	0.0902 (15)
H12A	0.1966	0.4599	0.4537	0.135*
H12B	0.1764	0.6502	0.4827	0.135*
H12C	0.1914	0.6426	0.4082	0.135*
C1	-0.0440 (3)	0.5326 (7)	0.3405 (3)	0.0850 (15)
H1A	-0.061	0.4886	0.2906	0.102*
H1B	-0.0818	0.6099	0.352	0.102*
C2	0.0273 (3)	0.5825 (8)	0.3678 (4)	0.103 (2)
H2	0.0183	0.6645	0.4044	0.124*
C3	0.0927 (4)	0.5440 (11)	0.4048 (5)	0.157 (4)
Н3	0.1024	0.4731	0.3657	0.188*

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U ²³
Pd1	0.04780 (16)	0.05170 (18)	0.04023 (15)	-0.00269 (11)	0.01192 (11)	0.00890 (10)
S	0.0387 (4)	0.0756 (7)	0.0600 (5)	-0.0124 (4)	-0.0002 (4)	0.0248 (5)
N6	0.0365 (12)	0.0535 (15)	0.0314 (12)	0.0015 (11)	0.0049 (10)	0.0057 (11)
C5	0.0354 (15)	0.068 (2)	0.0374 (15)	0.0097 (14)	0.0068 (12)	0.0095 (14)
C4	0.0359 (14)	0.0492 (16)	0.0258 (12)	-0.0023 (12)	0.0059 (11)	0.0012 (11)
C6	0.0338 (13)	0.0438 (16)	0.0371 (14)	0.0010 (12)	0.0044 (11)	0.0067 (12)
C8	0.069 (2)	0.067 (3)	0.0437 (19)	0.0010 (19)	-0.0071 (18)	0.0086 (17)
C7	0.0477 (17)	0.055 (2)	0.0462 (17)	0.0130 (15)	0.0021 (14)	0.0062 (15)
C10	0.061 (2)	0.090 (3)	0.064 (2)	-0.003 (2)	0.0254 (19)	-0.021 (2)
C11	0.0390 (15)	0.066 (2)	0.0502 (18)	0.0054 (15)	0.0054 (13)	-0.0051 (16)
С9	0.084 (3)	0.087 (3)	0.0376 (17)	-0.022 (2)	0.0136 (18)	-0.0077 (19)
C12	0.073 (3)	0.088 (4)	0.109 (4)	-0.022 (3)	0.028 (3)	0.004 (3)
C1	0.080 (3)	0.075 (3)	0.087 (3)	0.002 (2)	0.012 (2)	0.044 (3)
C2	0.078 (3)	0.084 (4)	0.134 (5)	-0.006 (3)	0.017 (3)	0.064 (4)
C3	0.078 (4)	0.145 (6)	0.206 (8)	-0.040 (4)	-0.009 (4)	0.128 (6)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Pd1—C2	2.079 (5)	C8—H5	0.93
Pd1—N6	2.080 (3)	С7—Н6	0.93
Pd1—C3	2.098 (5)	C10—C9	1.374 (6)

Pd1—C1	2.147 (4)	C10—C11	1.380 (5)
Pd1—S	2.3148 (9)	С10—Н7	0.93
S—C4	1.722 (3)	С11—Н8	0.93
N6—C4 ⁱ	1.288 (4)	С9—Н9	0.93
N6—C5	1.472 (4)	C12—C3	1.377 (7)
C5—C6	1.513 (4)	C12—H12A	0.96
C5—H2A	0.97	C12—H12B	0.96
С5—Н2В	0.97	C12—H12C	0.96
C4—N6 ⁱ	1.288 (4)	C1—C2	1.289 (7)
$C4$ — $C4^{i}$	1.517 (5)	C1—H1A	0.97
C6—C11	1.376 (5)	C1—H1B	0.97
С6—С7	1.386 (4)	C2—C3	1.208 (7)
C8—C9	1.367 (7)	С2—Н2	0.98
C8—C7	1.378 (5)	С3—Н3	0.98
C2—Pd1—N6	141.18 (17)	С9—С10—Н7	119.7
C2—Pd1—C3	33.6 (2)	С11—С10—Н7	119.7
N6—Pd1—C3	174.70 (17)	C6-C11-C10	120.2 (3)
C2—Pd1—C1	35.46 (19)	С6—С11—Н8	119.9
N6—Pd1—C1	105.80 (15)	С10—С11—Н8	119.9
C3—Pd1—C1	68.9 (2)	C8—C9—C10	119.5 (4)
C2—Pd1—S	135.22 (16)	С8—С9—Н9	120.3
N6—Pd1—S	83.58 (7)	С10—С9—Н9	120.3
C3—Pd1—S	101.66 (16)	C3—C12—H12A	109.5
C1—Pd1—S	170.53 (14)	C3—C12—H12B	109.5
C4—S—Pd1	99.53 (10)	H12A—C12—H12B	109.5
C4 ⁱ —N6—C5	119.6 (3)	C3—C12—H12C	109.5
C4 ⁱ —N6—Pd1	121.8 (2)	H12A—C12—H12C	109.5
C5—N6—Pd1	118.6 (2)	H12B—C12—H12C	109.5
N6—C5—C6	112.2 (3)	C2-C1-Pd1	69.4 (3)
N6—C5—H2A	109.2	C2—C1—H1A	116.7
С6—С5—Н2А	109.2	Pd1—C1—H1A	116.7
N6—C5—H2B	109.2	C2—C1—H1B	116.7
C6—C5—H2B	109.2	Pd1—C1—H1B	116.7
H2A—C5—H2B	107.9	H1A—C1—H1B	113.7
$N6^{i}$ —C4—C4 ⁱ	117.2 (3)	C3—C2—C1	148.5 (5)
N6 ⁱ —C4—S	125.0 (2)	C3-C2-Pd1	74.1 (3)
$C4^{i}$	117.8 (3)	C1 - C2 - Pd1	75.2 (3)
$C_{11} - C_{6} - C_{7}$	119.0(3)	C3-C2-H2	94 3
$C_{11} - C_{6} - C_{5}$	122 5 (3)	C1 - C2 - H2	94.3
C7 - C6 - C5	1122.5(3) 118.5(3)	Pd1 = C2 = H2	94.3
$C_{9} - C_{8} - C_{7}$	1205(4)	$C_2 = C_3 = C_{12}$	156.0 (6)
C9-C8-H5	110.8	$C_2 = C_3 = Pd1$	723(3)
C7_C8_H5	110.8	$C_1^2 = C_3^2 = Pd_1^2$	(2.3(3))
$C_{1}^{2} = C_{2}^{2} = C_{1}^{2}$	120.3 (1)	$C_2 C_3 H_3$	03.1
$C_{0} = C_{1} = C_{0}$	120.3 (+)	$C_2 = C_3 = H_3$	03.1
C_{6} C_{7} H_{6}	119.7	$\begin{array}{c} 12 \\ \hline 03 \\ \hline 113 \\ \hline 041 \\ \hline 03 \\ \hline 113 \\ \hline 11$	93.1 03.1
$C_0 = C_1 $	117.7	1 u1—CJ—11J	75.1
Cy-C10-C11	120.3 (4)		

C4 ⁱ —N6—C5—C6	-112.8 (3)	C7—C6—C11—C10	1.6 (6)
Pd1—N6—C5—C6	67.6 (3)	C5—C6—C11—C10	179.4 (4)
Pd1—S—C4—N6 ⁱ	-178.6 (3)	C9—C10—C11—C6	-0.6 (7)
Pd1—S—C4—C4 ⁱ	1.6 (3)	C7—C8—C9—C10	1.4 (7)
N6—C5—C6—C11	23.2 (5)	C11—C10—C9—C8	-1.0 (7)
N6—C5—C6—C7	-159.0 (3)	Pd1—C1—C2—C3	12.2 (17)
C9—C8—C7—C6	-0.4 (6)	C1—C2—C3—C12	-175.4 (17)
C11—C6—C7—C8	-1.1 (6)	Pd1—C2—C3—C12	-163 (3)
C11—C6—C7—C8	-1.1 (6)	Pd1—C2—C3—C12	-163 (3)
C5—C6—C7—C8	-179.1 (4)	C1—C2—C3—Pd1	-12.3 (17)

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z+1.