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# Unexpected formation and crystal structure of tetrakis(1H-pyrazole- $\kappa N^{2}$ )palladium(II) dichloride 
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The title salt, $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, was obtained unexpectedly by the reaction of palladium(II) dichloride with equimolar amounts of 1-chloro-1-nitro-2,2,2tris(pyrazolyl)ethane in methanol solution. The $\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}$ cation is located on an inversion centre and has a square-planar coordination sphere defined by four N atoms of four neutral pyrazole ligands. The average $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ distance is 2.000 (2) $\AA$. The two chloride anions are not coordinating to $\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}$. They are connected to the complex cations through $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ hydrogen bonds. In addition, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ hydrogen bonds are observed, leading to a threedimensional linkage of cations and anions.

## 1. Chemical context

Transition metal complexes containing pyrazole or substituted pyrazoles as ligands are of current interest due to their supramolecular arrangements (Lumme et al., 1988; Takahashi et al., 2006; Casarin et al., 2007; Alsalme et al., 2013). In the course of an investigation on the coordination chemistry of various azolyl-nitrochloroalkanes (Zapol'skii \& Kaufmann, 2008), we have previously studied the reaction of copper(II) perchlorate hexahydrate with equimolar amounts of 1-chloro-1-nitro-2,2,2-tris(pyrazolyl)ethane, $\mathrm{Cl}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right) \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{3}$ (Fig. 1) in methanol solution (Edelmann et al., 2008). Quite unexpectedly, a complete degradation of the starting material took place during the course of this reaction. As a result, the dark-blue compound trans-bis(perchlorato)-tetrakis(pyrazole)copper(II), $\left[\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]$, was isolated. The formation of free pyrazole could only be explained by a solvolytic degradation of the starting material. This degradation must have taken place to a large extent as the isolated yield was $64 \%$ (Edelmann et al., 2008).


Figure 1
Structure diagram of the starting material 1-chloro-1-nitro-2,2,2-tris(pyrazolyl)ethane.

We have now carried out a closely related reaction of 1-chloro-1-nitro-2,2,2-tris(pyrazolyl)ethane with palladium(II) dichloride in methanol solution. Structure determination of the yellow reaction product using X-ray analysis surprisingly again revealed the presence of a homoleptic pyrazole complex. The structure of the resultant title compound, $\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2}\right)_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is presented here. An elemental analysis of the title compound was also in very good agreement with the composition $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{PdN}$. In this case, too, the yield was fairly high ( $56 \%$ ), indicating a far-reaching decomposition of the starting material. Apparently, the ligand degradation of azolyl-nitrochloroalkanes in the presence of transition metal salts is a more common phenomenon than originally anticipated.


## 2. Structural commentary

In the crystal structure of the title compound, the $\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}$ ion is located on an inversion centre and is bonded to four neutral pyrazole ligands within a square-planar coordination environment (Fig. 2). The average $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N}$ distance in the $[\mathrm{Pd}$ (pyrazole $\left.)_{4}\right]^{2+}$ cation is $2.000(2) \AA$. This is exactly the same value as found for the $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}$ distance in trans-bis(perchlorato)tetrakis(pyrazole)copper(II) [2.000 (1) Aं; Edelmann et al., 2008]. The two chloride anions are not coordinating to the $\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}$ cation. This is in marked contrast to the analogous copper(II) complex $\left[\mathrm{Cu}(\text { pyrazole })_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$ (Xing et al., 2006), in which the $\mathrm{Cu}^{2+}$ ion is six-coordinated by four N atoms from four pyrazole ligands and two $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ions. The same octahedral coordination has also been reported for the manganese(II) analog $\left[\mathrm{Mn}(\right.$ pyrazole $\left.){ }_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]$ (Lumme, 1985).

## 3. Supramolecular features

In the title compound, the crystal packing is stabilized by two $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ hydrogen bonds (Table 1) between the complex

Table 1
Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $\AA \mathrm{A}^{\circ}$ ).

| $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 N \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ | $0.87(2)$ | $2.50(3)$ | $3.254(3)$ | $145(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 N \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ | $0.88(2)$ | $2.33(2)$ | $3.147(3)$ | $156(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 0.95 | 2.75 | $3.625(4)$ | 153 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \cdots \mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.95 | 2.73 | $3.656(4)$ | 164 |

Symmetry codes: (i) $x,-y, z+\frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $-x+\frac{1}{2},-y-\frac{1}{2},-z$.


Figure 2
The coordination sphere of $\mathrm{Pd}^{2+}$ and the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$counter-ions in the title compound. Displacement ellipsoids represent the $50 \%$ probability level. [Symmetry code (A): $-x+\frac{1}{2},-y+\frac{1}{2},-z$.]
cations and the $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$counter-anions (Fig. 3). Weaker $\mathrm{C}-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ hydrogen bonds are also observed, stabilizing a threedimensional network. The crystal structures of the formally analogous complexes $\left[M(\text { pyrazole })_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ] show related features. In the structures with $M=\mathrm{Mn}$ and Cu and an octahedral coordination of the metal cation, the crystal structures likewise exhibit $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ hydrogen bonds which, in combination, yield three-dimensional networks.


Figure 3
A packing diagram of the title compound. Dashed lines indicate $\mathrm{N}-$ $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ hydrogen-bonding interactions.

Table 2
Experimental details.
Crystal data
Chemical formula
$M_{\mathrm{r}}$
Crystal system, space group
Temperature (K)
$a, b, c(\AA)$
$\beta$ ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )
$V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$
Z
Radiation type
$\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$
Crystal size (mm)
Data collection
Diffractometer
Absorption correction
$T_{\text {min }}, T_{\text {max }}$
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I>2 \sigma(I)]$ reflections $R_{\text {int }}$
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{\max }\left(\AA^{-1}\right)$
Refinement
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right], w R\left(F^{2}\right), S$
No. of reflections
No. of parameters
No. of restraints
H -atom treatment
$\Delta \rho_{\max }, \Delta \rho_{\text {min }}\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{Pd}_{( }\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{8}\right)\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}} \\
& 449.63 \\
& \text { Monoclinic, } C 2 / c \\
& 150 \\
& 13.797 \text { (3), } 9.6560(19), 14.174(3) \\
& 117.80(3) \\
& 1670.4 \text { (6) } \\
& 4 \\
& \text { Mo Ka } \\
& 1.44 \\
& 0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.20 \\
& \\
& \text { Stoe IPDS 2T } \\
& \text { Multi-scan (Blessing, 1995) } \\
& 0.562,0.750 \\
& 7700,2253,2030 \\
& 0.054 \\
& 0.686
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.039,0.094,1.12 \\
& 2253 \\
& 112 \\
& 2 \\
& \mathrm{H} \text { atoms treated by a mixture of } \\
& \text { independent and constrained } \\
& \text { refinement } \\
& 1.79,-1.73
\end{aligned}
$$

Computer programs: X-AREA and X-RED32 (Stoe, 2008), SHELXS97, SHELXL97 and $X P$ (Sheldrick, 2008).

## 4. Relation with other compounds

Various closely related homoleptic metal-pyrazole complexes are known from the literature (Misra et al., 1998; Reedijk, 1969; Sastry et al., 1986). Analogous complexes of composition [ $M$ (pyrazole) $)_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ] have previously been reported for $M=\mathrm{Mn}$, $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Ni}$, and Cu (Daugherty \& Swisher, 1968; Bagley et al., 1970; Nicholls \& Warburton, 1970, 1971; Lumme, 1985; Sun et al., 2001; Xing et al., 2006). Generally, these compounds are prepared in a more straightforward manner by treatment of the transition metal dichlorides with four equivalents of pyrazole in suitable solvents such as methanol. While the analogous nickel(II) complex has been studied frequently (Daugherty \& Swisher, 1968; Nicholls \& Warburton, 1970), to the best of our knowledge neither the title compound nor the platinum homologue $\left[\operatorname{Pt}(\text { pyrazole })_{4}\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ have ever been reported.

## 5. Synthesis and crystallization

Solid palladium(II) dichloride ( $0.28 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of 1-chloro-1-nitro-2,2,2-tris(pyrazolyl)ethane $(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol ( 100 ml ). After stirring for 48 h at room temperature, a small amount of unreacted $\mathrm{PdCl}_{2}$ was removed by filtration. Crystallization from the clear filtrate at

276-279 K for 14 d afforded bright-yellow crystals of the title compound. Yield: 0.4 g ( $56 \%$ ). Analysis calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{PdN}_{8}$ : C 32.06\%; H 3.59\%; N 24.92\%; Cl 15.77\%; found: C $31.55 \%$; H 3.38\%; N $25.13 \%$; Cl $15.25 \%$. IR (KBr): 3090vs, 2977vs, 2371m, 1798w, 1772w, 1632w, 1518m, 1487m, $1472 s, 1401 m, 1367 s, 1312 m, 1264 m, 1251 m, 1209 w, 1181 v s$, $1169 m, 1139 s, 1123 s, 1078 v s, 1052 v s, 983 m, 956 m, 913 m, 908 m$, $899 m, 886 m, 878 m, 779 v s, 739 s, 615 s, 606 s \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 6. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 2. The hydrogen atoms attached to carbon were included using a riding model, with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=$ $0.95 \AA$, and with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C})$. The hydrogen atoms attached to nitrogen were refined with a restrained distance $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=0.88(2) \AA$ and with $U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{N})$.
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## Unexpected formation and crystal structure of tetrakis( 1 H -pyrazole$\kappa N^{2}$ )palladium(II) dichloride
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## Computing details

Data collection: $X$-AREA (Stoe, 2008); cell refinement: X-AREA (Stoe, 2008); data reduction: X-RED32 (Stoe, 2008); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: $X P$ (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008).

## Tetrakis(1 H-pyrazole- $\kappa N^{2}$ ) palladium(II) dichloride

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{8}\right)\right] \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
$M_{r}=449.63$
Monoclinic, $C 2 / c$
Hall symbol: -C 2yc
$a=13.797$ (3) $\AA$
$b=9.6560(19) \AA$
$c=14.174$ (3) $\AA$
$\beta=117.80(3)^{\circ}$
$V=1670.4(6) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

## Data collection

Stoe IPDS-2T
diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Graphite monochromator
Detector resolution: 6.67 pixels $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$
$\omega$-scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(Blessing, 1995)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.562, T_{\text {max }}=0.750$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
Least-squares matrix: full
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.039$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.094$
$S=1.12$
2253 reflections
$F(000)=896$
449.6
$D_{\mathrm{x}}=1.788 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$
Cell parameters from 15423 reflections
$\theta=2.7-29.6^{\circ}$
$\mu=1.44 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=150 \mathrm{~K}$
Prism, yellow
$0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.20 \mathrm{~mm}$

7700 measured reflections
2253 independent reflections
2030 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$
$R_{\text {int }}=0.054$
$\theta_{\text {max }}=29.2^{\circ}, \theta_{\text {min }}=2.7^{\circ}$
$h=-18 \rightarrow 18$
$k=-13 \rightarrow 13$
$l=-17 \rightarrow 19$

[^0]Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement

```
\(w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)+(0.049 P)^{2}+4.2172 P\right]\)
    where \(P=\left(F_{0}^{2}+2 F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right) / 3\)
\((\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}<0.001\)
\(\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=1.79 \mathrm{e}^{\AA^{-3}}\)
\(\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}=-1.73 \mathrm{e}^{-3}\)
```


## Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.
Refinement. Refinement of $F^{2}$ against ALL reflections. The weighted $R$-factor $w R$ and goodness of fit $S$ are based on $F^{2}$, conventional $R$-factors $R$ are based on $F$, with $F$ set to zero for negative $F^{2}$. The threshold expression of $F^{2}>\sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$ is used only for calculating $R$-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. $R$-factors based on $F^{2}$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on $F$, and $R$ - factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\hat{A}^{2}$ )

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $U_{\mathrm{iso}} * / U_{\mathrm{eq}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pd | 0.2500 | 0.2500 | 0.0000 | $0.01539(11)$ |
| C1 | $0.09118(6)$ | $-0.02409(9)$ | $-0.06859(6)$ | $0.02881(17)$ |
| N 1 | $0.2363(2)$ | $0.2373(2)$ | $0.1343(2)$ | $0.0190(5)$ |
| N 2 | $0.1606(2)$ | $0.1607(3)$ | $0.1453(2)$ | $0.0225(5)$ |
| H2N | $0.116(3)$ | $0.109(4)$ | $0.093(2)$ | $0.027^{*}$ |
| N 3 | $0.36913(18)$ | $0.1080(3)$ | $0.05645(18)$ | $0.0189(4)$ |
| N 4 | $0.3490(2)$ | $-0.0279(3)$ | $0.0486(2)$ | $0.0234(5)$ |
| H4N | $0.2803(18)$ | $-0.054(5)$ | $0.015(2)$ | $0.028^{*}$ |
| C1 | $0.1706(3)$ | $0.1749(3)$ | $0.2435(2)$ | $0.0269(6)$ |
| H1 | 0.1268 | 0.1311 | 0.2702 | $0.032^{*}$ |
| C2 | $0.2560(3)$ | $0.2644(3)$ | $0.2984(3)$ | $0.0284(7)$ |
| H2 | 0.2832 | 0.2944 | 0.3702 | $0.034^{*}$ |
| C3 | $0.2943(2)$ | $0.3019(3)$ | $0.2264(2)$ | $0.0234(5)$ |
| H3 | 0.3529 | 0.3642 | 0.2412 | $0.028^{*}$ |
| C4 | $0.4419(3)$ | $-0.0999(4)$ | $0.0945(3)$ | $0.0302(6)$ |
| H4 | 0.4484 | -0.1979 | 0.0986 | $0.036^{*}$ |
| C5 | $0.5262(3)$ | $-0.0071(4)$ | $0.1346(3)$ | $0.0316(7)$ |
| H5 | 0.6023 | -0.0271 | 0.1721 | $0.038^{*}$ |
| C6 | $0.4771(2)$ | $0.1239(3)$ | $0.1089(2)$ | $0.0267(6)$ |
| H6 | 0.5148 | 0.2099 | 0.1263 | $0.032^{*}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Atomic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Pd | $0.01373(15)$ | $0.01505(16)$ | $0.01795(15)$ | $0.00207(9)$ | $0.00784(11)$ | $0.00096(9)$ |
| Cl | $0.0255(3)$ | $0.0302(4)$ | $0.0336(3)$ | $-0.0083(3)$ | $0.0162(3)$ | $-0.0104(3)$ |
| N1 | $0.0183(10)$ | $0.0186(12)$ | $0.0216(10)$ | $0.0018(8)$ | $0.0105(9)$ | $0.0021(8)$ |
| N2 | $0.0227(11)$ | $0.0210(12)$ | $0.0275(11)$ | $-0.0027(9)$ | $0.0149(9)$ | $-0.0005(9)$ |
| N3 | $0.0160(10)$ | $0.0175(11)$ | $0.0222(10)$ | $0.0035(8)$ | $0.0080(8)$ | $0.0017(8)$ |
| N4 | $0.0199(10)$ | $0.0173(11)$ | $0.0329(12)$ | $0.0025(9)$ | $0.0122(9)$ | $0.0016(10)$ |
| C1 | $0.0286(14)$ | $0.0259(16)$ | $0.0322(14)$ | $0.0049(12)$ | $0.0192(12)$ | $0.0057(12)$ |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C2 | $0.0299(15)$ | $0.0337(18)$ | $0.0213(13)$ | $0.0118(12)$ | $0.0117(12)$ | $0.0023(11)$ |
| C3 | $0.0202(12)$ | $0.0248(14)$ | $0.0218(12)$ | $0.0037(11)$ | $0.0069(10)$ | $-0.0016(11)$ |
| C4 | $0.0303(14)$ | $0.0252(16)$ | $0.0391(16)$ | $0.0093(12)$ | $0.0195(13)$ | $0.0067(13)$ |
| C5 | $0.0216(13)$ | $0.0325(18)$ | $0.0392(16)$ | $0.0134(12)$ | $0.0128(12)$ | $0.0094(13)$ |
| C6 | $0.0158(11)$ | $0.0238(15)$ | $0.0342(15)$ | $0.0005(10)$ | $0.0063(11)$ | $-0.0009(12)$ |

Geometric parameters ( $\AA,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | 1.999 (2) | N4-H4N | 0.875 (19) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 3{ }^{\text {i }}$ | 1.999 (2) | C1-C2 | 1.372 (5) |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ | 2.002 (3) | C1-H1 | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 2.002 (3) | C2-C3 | 1.399 (4) |
| N1-C3 | 1.327 (4) | C2-H2 | 0.9500 |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 1.347 (3) | C3-H3 | 0.9500 |
| N2-C1 | 1.340 (4) | C4-C5 | 1.365 (5) |
| N2-H2N | 0.869 (18) | C4-H4 | 0.9500 |
| N3-C6 | 1.327 (4) | C5-C6 | 1.400 (4) |
| N3-N4 | 1.336 (3) | C5-H5 | 0.9500 |
| N4-C4 | 1.332 (4) | C6-H6 | 0.9500 |
| N3-Pd-N3 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 180.00 (11) | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 107.4 (3) |
| N3-Pd-N1 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 89.89 (10) | N2- $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ | 126.3 |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 90.11 (10) | C2- $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H} 1$ | 126.3 |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 90.11 (10) | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 105.4 (3) |
| N3 ${ }^{\text {i }} \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 89.89 (10) | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H} 2$ | 127.3 |
| $\mathrm{N} 1{ }^{\text {i }}$ - $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 180.0 (2) | C3-C2-H2 | 127.3 |
| C3-N1-N2 | 106.7 (2) | N1-C3-C2 | 109.7 (3) |
| C3-N1-Pd | 128.7 (2) | N1-C3-H3 | 125.2 |
| N2-N1-Pd | 124.51 (19) | C2-C3-H3 | 125.2 |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 110.8 (3) | N4-C4-C5 | 107.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~N}$ | 130 (3) | N4-C4-H4 | 126.3 |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~N}$ | 119 (3) | C5-C4-H4 | 126.3 |
| C6-N3-N4 | 107.2 (2) | C4-C5-C6 | 105.7 (3) |
| C6-N3-Pd | 130.1 (2) | C4-C5-H5 | 127.2 |
| N4-N3-Pd | 122.71 (18) | C6-C5-H5 | 127.2 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{N} 3$ | 110.9 (3) | N3-C6-C5 | 108.8 (3) |
| C4-N4-H4N | 132 (3) | N3-C6-H6 | 125.6 |
| N3-N4-H4N | 117 (3) | C5-C6-H6 | 125.6 |
| N3-Pd-N1-C3 | 84.2 (3) | $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{N} 4$ | 83.8 (2) |
| N3 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ - $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 3$ | -95.8 (3) | C6-N3-N4-C4 | -0.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1{ }^{\text {i }}$ - $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 3$ | -138(100) | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{C} 4$ | -178.5 (2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | -97.9 (2) | N1-N2-C1-C2 | 0.1 (3) |
| N3 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ - $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 82.1 (2) | $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ | 0.4 (3) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1{ }^{\text {i }}$ - $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 40 (100) | N2-N1-C3-C2 | 0.9 (3) |
| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ | -0.6 (3) | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2$ | 179.0 (2) |
| $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Cl}$ | -178.9 (2) | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{N} 1$ | -0.8 (3) |
| N3 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ - $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 6$ | 127 (100) | N3-N4-C4-C5 | 0.4 (4) |


| $\mathrm{N} 1 \mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $86.1(3)$ | $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $-0.3(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 6$ | $-93.9(3)$ | $\mathrm{N} 4-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $0.2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $-55(100)$ | $\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $178.1(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1{ }^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{N} 3-\mathrm{N} 4$ | $-96.2(2)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{N} 3$ | $0.1(4)$ |

Symmetry code: (i) $-x+1 / 2,-y+1 / 2,-z$.

Hydrogen-bond geometry ( $A,{ }^{\circ}$ )

| $D — \mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D — \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ | $D \cdots A$ | $D-\mathrm{H} \cdots A$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~N} 2 — \mathrm{H} 2 N \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ | $0.87(2)$ | $2.50(3)$ | $3.254(3)$ | $145(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 4 — \mathrm{H} 4 N \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ | $0.88(2)$ | $2.33(2)$ | $3.147(3)$ | $156(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C} 1 — \mathrm{H} 1 \cdots \mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{ii}}$ | 0.95 | 2.75 | $3.625(4)$ | 153 |
| $\mathrm{C} 4 — \mathrm{H} 4 \cdots \mathrm{Cl}^{\text {iii }}$ | 0.95 | 2.73 | $3.656(4)$ | 164 |

Symmetry codes: (ii) $x,-y, z+1 / 2$; (iii) $-x+1 / 2,-y-1 / 2,-z$.


[^0]:    112 parameters
    2 restraints
    Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
    Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

