data reports



OPEN d ACCESS

Crystal structure of poly[[*trans*-diaquabis[μ_2 -*trans*-4,4'-(diazenediyl)dipyridine]nickel(II)] diiodide ethanol disolvate]

Josefina Perles,* Miguel Cortijo and Santiago Herrero

Departamento de Química Inorgánica I, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain. *Correspondence e-mail: jperles@quim.ucm.es

Received 2 July 2014; accepted 11 July 2014

Edited by I. Brito, University of Antofagasta, Chile

In the title compound, $\{[Ni(C_{10}H_8N_4)_2(H_2O)_2]I_2 \cdot 2C_2H_5OH\}_n$, the complex shows an octahedral environment of the Ni²⁺ cation in which it is located on a centre of symmetry, linked to two water molecules and the pyridine-N atoms of four 4,4'-(diazenediyl)dipyridine ligands bridging Ni²⁺ cations along the *b*- and *c*-axis directions, giving rise to a two-dimensional arrangement. The Ni–N bond lengths are in the range 2.109 (4)–2.186 (3) Å and the Ni–O bond length is 2.080 (3) Å. The 4,4'-(diazenediyl)dipyridine ligand lies on an inversion centre. An O–H···O hydrogen-bond interaction is observed between water and ethanol molecules. The I⁻ ions can be regarded as free anions in the crystal lattice.

Keywords: crystal structure; nickel coordination compound; bidimensional MOF; cationic network.

CCDC reference: 1013422

1. Related literature

For related two-dimensional structures, see: Carlucci et al. (2003); Noro et al. (2005, 2006); Li et al. (2007); Pan et al. (2010); Aijaz et al. (2011).



2. Experimental

2.1. Crystal data

 $[\text{Ni}(\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_8\text{N}_4)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]\text{I}_2 \cdot 2\text{C}_2\text{H}_6\text{O}$ $M_r = 809.09$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ a = 8.6367 (11) Å b = 13.2598 (16) Å c = 13.4188 (14) Å $\beta = 101.737$ (3)°

2.2. Data collection

Bruker Kappa APEXII diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2009) $T_{min} = 0.77, T_{max} = 0.85$

2.3. Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.041$ $wR(F^2) = 0.097$ S = 1.002741 reflections 185 parameters 3 restraints 19224 measured reflections 2741 independent reflections 1948 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.067$

V = 1504.6 (3) Å³

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

 $0.12 \times 0.08 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}$

 $\mu = 2.74 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 100 K

Z = 2

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
$$\begin{split} &\Delta\rho_{max}=0.95~e~{\rm \AA}^{-3}\\ &\Delta\rho_{min}=-0.86~e~{\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{split}$$

 Table 1

 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$O1-H1A\cdots O2^i$	0.83 (4)	1.91 (4)	2.703 (6)	161 (5)
a	1 . 2 . 1			

Symmetry code: (i) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2009); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2009); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics:



SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

Acknowledgements

Diffraction data were collected at the SCXRD laboratory from the Servicio Interdepartamental de Investigación (SIdI, UAM). Financial support received from the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (CTQ2011-23066) and the Comunidad de Madrid (S2009/MAT-1467) is gratefully acknowledged.

Supporting information for this paper is available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BX2463).

References

- Aijaz, A., Sañudo, E. C. & Bharadwaj, P. K. (2011). Cryst. Growth Des. 11, 1122–1134.
- Bruker (2009). APEX2, SADABS and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Carlucci, L., Ciani, G., Proserpio, D. M. & Rizzato, S. (2003). CrystEngComm, 5, 190–199.
- Li, S.-L., Lan, Y.-Q., Ma, J.-F., Yang, J., Wang, X.-H. & Su, Z.-M. (2007). *Inorg. Chem.* **46**, 8283–8290.
- Noro, S.-I., Kitagawa, S., Nakamura, T. & Wada, T. (2005). *Inorg. Chem.* 44, 3960–3971.
- Noro, S.-I., Kitaura, R., Kitagawa, S., Akutagawa, T. & Nakamura, T. (2006). *Inorg. Chem.* 45, 8990–8997.
- Pan, F., Wu, J., Hou, H. & Fan, Y. (2010). Cryst. Growth Des. 10, 3835–3837. Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112–122.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2014). E70, m314-m315 [doi:10.1107/S1600536814016158]

Crystal structure of poly[[*trans*-diaquabis[µ₂-*trans*-4,4'-(diazenediyl)dipyridine]nickel(II)] diiodide ethanol disolvate]

Josefina Perles, Miguel Cortijo and Santiago Herrero

S1. Related Literature

A similar laminar structure was found for the compound [Ni(NCS)₂(t-apy)₂]·3toluene (Noro *et al.*, 2006) although in this latter case there is no one-dimensional H-bond chain. For related 2D structures see: Carlucci *et al.* (2003); Noro *et al.* (2005 and 2006); Li *et al.* (2007); Pan *et al.* (2010); and Aijaz *et al.* (2011).

S2. Preparation

Nickel(II) iodide (0.30 g, 1.0 mmol), *trans*-4,4'-(diazenediyl)dipyridine (0.18 g, 1.0 mmol), ethanol (9 mL), and water (3 mL) were placed into an 85 mL Teflon vessel with a magnetic stirrer. The vessel was sealed with a lid equipped with a temperature sensor and placed in a ETHOS ONE microwave oven. The reaction mixture was heated for 3 hours at 120°C and left to cool afterwards. Slow interdiffusion of diethyl ether in the obtained solution gave rise to red crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction after a few days.

S3. Refinement

Crystal data, data collection and structure refinement details are summarized in Table 1.



Figure 1

Part of the polymeric structure for the title compound. Symmetry code for compound (i):-x, -y+2, -z+2; (2i): -x-y+1,-z+1; (3i):-x,-y+1,-z+2.



Figure 2

Simplified drawing of a layer parallel to (011). Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity.

Poly[[trans-diaquabis[µ2-trans-4,4'-(diazenediyl)dipyridine]nickel(II)] diiodide ethanol disolvate]

Crystal data

[Ni(C₁₀H₈N₄)₂(H₂O)₂]I₂·2C₂H₆O $M_r = 809.09$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$ a = 8.6367 (11) Å b = 13.2598 (16) Å c = 13.4188 (14) Å $\beta = 101.737$ (3)° V = 1504.6 (3) Å³ Z = 2

Data collection

Bruker Kappa APEXII diffractometer Graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 8.3333 pixels mm⁻¹ single crystal scans F(000) = 796 $D_x = 1.786 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 3456 reflections $\theta = 2.9-21.6^{\circ}$ $\mu = 2.74 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 100 KPrismatic, clear orange-red $0.12 \times 0.08 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}$

Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2009) $T_{min} = 0.77, T_{max} = 0.85$ 19224 measured reflections 2741 independent reflections 1948 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

$R_{\rm int} = 0.067$	$k = -15 \rightarrow 15$
$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.4^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 2.2^{\circ}$	$l = -15 \rightarrow 16$
$h = -10 \rightarrow 10$	

Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.041$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.097$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.00	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
2741 reflections	and constrained refinement
185 parameters	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0416P)^2 + 2.7231P]$
3 restraints	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.95 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.86 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
I1	0.01318 (5)	0.80017 (3)	0.33435 (3)	0.05632 (18)	
Ni1	0	0.5	1.0	0.0183 (2)	
C1	0.1600 (6)	0.4962 (4)	0.8111 (3)	0.0278 (11)	
H1	0.2538	0.4945	0.8623	0.033*	
C2	0.1749 (6)	0.4983 (4)	0.7105 (3)	0.0304 (12)	
H2	0.2763	0.5	0.6934	0.036*	
C3	0.0387 (6)	0.4978 (4)	0.6352 (3)	0.0290 (12)	
C4	-0.1044 (6)	0.4962 (4)	0.6634 (4)	0.0365 (13)	
H4	-0.1996	0.4952	0.6134	0.044*	
C5	-0.1092 (6)	0.4962 (4)	0.7655 (3)	0.0363 (13)	
H5	-0.2096	0.496	0.784	0.044*	
C6	0.1181 (6)	0.7073 (4)	0.9562 (4)	0.0320 (12)	
H6	0.1913	0.668	0.9286	0.038*	
C7	0.1276 (7)	0.8108 (4)	0.9503 (4)	0.0416 (14)	
H7	0.2052	0.842	0.9196	0.05*	
C8	0.0228 (7)	0.8671 (4)	0.9897 (4)	0.0402 (15)	
C9	-0.0855 (7)	0.8207 (4)	1.0356 (4)	0.0444 (15)	
H9	-0.1575	0.8591	1.0651	0.053*	
C10	-0.0877 (7)	0.7150 (4)	1.0381 (4)	0.0388 (14)	
H10	-0.1634	0.6824	1.0694	0.047*	
C11	0.8888 (11)	0.7821 (7)	0.7076 (6)	0.095 (3)	

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

H11A	0.9057	0.8424	0.7508	0.142*	
H11B	0.9055	0.7217	0.7504	0.142*	
H11C	0.7804	0.7823	0.6675	0.142*	
C12	1.0001 (11)	0.7823 (7)	0.6391 (7)	0.093 (3)	
H12A	1.1095	0.7802	0.6796	0.112*	
H12B	0.9832	0.7214	0.5957	0.112*	
N1	0.0212 (5)	0.4964 (3)	0.8404 (3)	0.0226 (9)	
N2	0.0617 (5)	0.4987 (3)	0.5323 (3)	0.0345 (10)	
N3	0.0113 (5)	0.6588 (3)	0.9985 (3)	0.0240 (9)	
N4	0.0347 (6)	0.9783 (4)	0.9742 (4)	0.0490 (13)	
01	0.2450 (4)	0.4913 (3)	1.0428 (2)	0.0263 (8)	
H1A	0.305 (5)	0.539 (3)	1.062 (4)	0.039*	
H1B	0.293 (6)	0.442 (3)	1.074 (3)	0.039*	
O2	0.9803 (5)	0.8708 (3)	0.5759 (3)	0.0568 (12)	
H2A	0.9192	0.8576	0.5203	0.085*	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
I1	0.0540 (3)	0.0502 (3)	0.0633 (3)	-0.0059 (2)	0.0085 (2)	-0.0198 (2)
Ni1	0.0260 (5)	0.0134 (4)	0.0159 (4)	0.0006 (4)	0.0054 (3)	0.0000 (3)
C1	0.025 (3)	0.038 (3)	0.019 (2)	0.001 (2)	0.001 (2)	0.000 (2)
C2	0.028 (3)	0.041 (3)	0.024 (2)	-0.001 (2)	0.011 (2)	-0.001 (2)
C3	0.040 (3)	0.030 (3)	0.017 (2)	0.002 (2)	0.005 (2)	-0.002 (2)
C4	0.024 (3)	0.061 (4)	0.025 (3)	0.002 (3)	0.005 (2)	0.003 (3)
C5	0.030 (3)	0.057 (4)	0.024 (3)	0.000 (3)	0.010(2)	-0.001 (2)
C6	0.033 (3)	0.024 (3)	0.038 (3)	0.001 (2)	0.007 (2)	0.003 (2)
C7	0.041 (3)	0.024 (3)	0.058 (4)	-0.001 (3)	0.006 (3)	0.009 (3)
C8	0.041 (4)	0.016 (3)	0.055 (3)	-0.009 (3)	-0.010 (3)	0.001 (2)
C9	0.054 (4)	0.027 (3)	0.052 (4)	0.013 (3)	0.008 (3)	-0.011 (3)
C10	0.055 (4)	0.025 (3)	0.038 (3)	0.000 (3)	0.016 (3)	-0.001 (2)
C11	0.103 (7)	0.109 (7)	0.068 (5)	-0.001 (6)	0.008 (5)	0.033 (5)
C12	0.085 (6)	0.091 (7)	0.106 (7)	0.004 (5)	0.025 (5)	0.033 (5)
N1	0.028 (2)	0.019 (2)	0.0208 (19)	-0.0026 (18)	0.0066 (17)	0.0008 (17)
N2	0.038 (3)	0.050 (3)	0.018 (2)	0.000 (2)	0.0098 (16)	0.000 (2)
N3	0.032 (2)	0.019 (2)	0.0202 (19)	-0.0020 (19)	0.0026 (17)	-0.0018 (16)
N4	0.044 (3)	0.050 (3)	0.056 (3)	0.002 (3)	0.017 (2)	-0.008 (2)
01	0.027 (2)	0.024 (2)	0.0267 (17)	0.0012 (15)	0.0034 (15)	0.0030 (14)
O2	0.056 (3)	0.054 (3)	0.060 (3)	0.006 (2)	0.011 (2)	0.008 (2)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Ni1-01 ⁱ	2.080 (3)	С7—С8	1.360 (8)	
Ni1-01	2.080 (3)	C7—H7	0.95	
Ni1—N3	2.109 (4)	C8—C9	1.366 (8)	
Ni1—N3 ⁱ	2.109 (4)	C8—N4	1.496 (7)	
Ni1—N1	2.186 (3)	C9—C10	1.403 (8)	
Nil—N1 ⁱ	2.186 (3)	С9—Н9	0.95	

C1—N1	1.336 (6)	C10—N3	1.324 (7)
C1—C2	1.381 (6)	C10—H10	0.95
C1—H1	0.95	C11—C12	1.458 (12)
C2—C3	1.386 (7)	C11—H11A	0.98
С2—Н2	0.95	C11—H11B	0.98
C3—C4	1.365 (7)	C11—H11C	0.98
C3—N2	1.435 (6)	C12—O2	1.437 (9)
C4—C5	1.378 (7)	C12—H12A	0.99
C4—H4	0.95	C12—H12B	0.99
C5—N1	1 348 (6)	N2-N2 ⁱⁱ	1 229 (8)
C5H5	0.95	$NA NA^{iii}$	1.229(0) 1 156(9)
C6 N3	1342(7)	$\begin{array}{c} 01 \\ H1 \end{array}$	1.130(0)
C6_C7	1.342(7)		0.82(2)
	1.578 (7)		0.835 (19)
Со—по	0.93	Ο2—ΠΖΑ	0.84
O1 ⁱ —Ni1—O1	180.00 (19)	С6—С7—Н7	120.9
O1 ⁱ —Ni1—N3	89.33 (15)	C7—C8—C9	119.9 (5)
01—Ni1—N3	90.68 (15)	C7—C8—N4	114.7 (5)
$O1^{i}$ Ni1 N 3^{i}	90.67 (15)	C9—C8—N4	125.3 (6)
$01-Ni1-N3^{i}$	89 33 (15)	C8-C9-C10	118 3 (6)
$N_3 N_1 N_1 N_3^i$	1800(2)	С8—С9—Н9	120.9
$O1^{i}$ Ni1 N1	90 79 (13)	C10-C9-H9	120.9
01 Ni1 N1	89 21 (13)	N3-C10-C9	120.9 122.7(5)
N3 Ni1 N1	89.97 (15)	N3 C10 H10	112.7 (5)
N3 ⁱ Ni1 N1	99.97(15) 90.03(15)	C_{9} C_{10} H_{10}	118.7
113 - 111 - 111	90.03 (13) 80.21 (13)	C_{12} C_{11} H_{11A}	100.5
OI NII NII	09.21(13)	C_{12} C_{11} U_{11} D_{12}	109.5
VI-INII-INI N2 N:1 N1i	90.79 (13)		109.5
$N_{2} = N_{1} = N_{1}$	90.03 (15)	HIIA—CII—HIIB	109.5
	89.97 (15)	CI2—CII—HIIC	109.5
$NI - NII - NI^{\dagger}$	180.0 (2)	HIIA—CII—HIIC	109.5
NI-CI-C2	123.7 (4)	HIIB—CII—HIIC	109.5
NI-CI-HI	118.1	02—C12—C11	111.0 (7)
C2-C1-H1	118.1	O2—C12—H12A	109.4
C1—C2—C3	118.6 (5)	C11—C12—H12A	109.4
C1—C2—H2	120.7	O2—C12—H12B	109.4
C3—C2—H2	120.7	C11—C12—H12B	109.4
C4—C3—C2	118.7 (4)	H12A—C12—H12B	108.0
C4—C3—N2	125.3 (4)	C1—N1—C5	116.3 (4)
C2—C3—N2	116.0 (5)	C1—N1—Ni1	123.2 (3)
C3—C4—C5	119.2 (5)	C5—N1—Ni1	120.5 (3)
C3—C4—H4	120.4	N2 ⁱⁱ —N2—C3	114.1 (5)
С5—С4—Н4	120.4	C10—N3—C6	117.1 (4)
N1—C5—C4	123.5 (5)	C10—N3—Ni1	121.6 (4)
N1—C5—H5	118.2	C6—N3—Ni1	121.3 (3)
С4—С5—Н5	118.2	N4 ⁱⁱⁱ —N4—C8	110.5 (7)
N3—C6—C7	123.7 (5)	Ni1—O1—H1A	126 (4)
N3—C6—H6	118.1	Nil—Ol—H1B	124 (4)
С7—С6—Н6	118.1	H1A—O1—H1B	103 (5)

supporting information

C8—C7—C6 C8—C7—H7	118.2 (5) 120.9	C12—O2—H2A	109.5
Symmetry codes: (i) $-x$, $-y+1$, $-z+2$; (ii) $-x$,	-y+1, -z+1; (iii) -x, -y+2, -z+2	2.	

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D···A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
01—H1 <i>A</i> ···O2 ^{iv}	0.83 (4)	1.91 (4)	2.703 (6)	161 (5)

Symmetry code: (iv) x-1/2, -y+3/2, z+1/2.