# organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# O-Ethyl S-{(S)-1-oxo-1-[(R)-2-oxo-4phenyloxazolidin-3-yl]propan-2-yl} carbonodithioate

# J. Pablo García-Merinos,<sup>a,b</sup>\* Heraclio López-Ruiz,<sup>a</sup> Yliana López<sup>b</sup> and Susana Rojas-Lima<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Área Académica de Química, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Hidalgo, Carretera Pachuca-Tulancingo Km. 4.5, Mineral de La Reforma, Hidalgo, CP 42076, Mexico, and <sup>b</sup>Instituto de Investigaciones Químico-Biológicas, Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, Morelia, Michoacán, CP 58000, Mexico Correspondence e-mail: jpgarciam@gmail.com

Received 18 March 2014; accepted 4 April 2014

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.009 Å; R factor = 0.067; wR factor = 0.191; data-to-parameter ratio = 16.0.

In the title compound,  $C_{15}H_{17}NO_4S_2$ , synthesized by addition of *O*-ethylxanthic acid potassium salt to a diastereomeric mixture of (4*R*)-3-(2-chloropropanoyl)-4-phenyloxazolidin-2one, the oxazolidinone ring has a twist conformation on the C-C bond. The phenyl ring is inclined to the mean plane of the oxazolidinone ring by 76.4 (3)°. In the chain the methine H atom is involved in a C-H···S and a C-H···O intramolecular interaction. In the crystal, molecules are linked by C-H··· $\pi$  interactions, forming chains along [001]. The *S* configuration at the C atom to which the xanthate group is attached was determined by comparison to the known *R* configuration of the C atom to which the phenyl group is attached.

### **Related literature**

For the use of chiral oxazolidinones auxiliaries in asymmetric synthesis, see: Evans (1982); Ager *et al.* (1997). For the oral activity of oxazolidinonas against multidrug-resistant Grampositive bacteria, see: Müller & Schimz (1999). For our work on the synthesis of novel heterocyclic compounds, see for example: López-Ruiz *et al.* (2011). For the crystal structures of similar compounds, see: Bartczak *et al.* (2001); Kruszynski *et al.* (2001); Wouters *et al.* (1997). For the crystal structures of 3,4-disubstituted oxazolidinone derivatives, see: Marsh *et al.* (1992); Evain *et al.* (2002); Hwang *et al.* (2006). For standard bond lengths, see: Allen *et al.* (1987). For ring puckering analysis, see: Cremer & Pople (1975).



V = 823.4 (2) Å<sup>3</sup>

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 0.34 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

3223 independent reflections

1681 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

Absolute structure: Flack (1983),

Absolute structure parameter:

T = 293 K $0.2 \times 0.17 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}$ 

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.152$ 

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.38 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.35 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 

6968 Friedel pairs

0.08(18)

Z = 2

**CrossMark** 

# Experimental

Crystal data
$C_{15}H_{17}NO_4S_2$
$M_r = 339.42$
Monoclinic, P21
a = 10.8558 (15)  Å
b = 6.1867 (9)  Å
c = 12.3057 (17)  Å
$\beta = 94.911 \ (4)^{\circ}$

## Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer 10191 measured reflections

### Refinement

$$\begin{split} R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] &= 0.067\\ wR(F^2) &= 0.191\\ S &= 0.87\\ 3223 \text{ reflections}\\ 201 \text{ parameters}\\ 1 \text{ restraint}\\ \text{H-atom parameters constrained} \end{split}$$

#### Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

Cg is the centroid of the C10-C15 phenyl ring.

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
C4-H4···S1	0.98	2.65	3.180 (9)	114
$C4 - H4 \cdot \cdot \cdot O3$	0.98	2.34	2.895 (10)	115
$C2-H2B\cdots Cg^{i}$	0.97	2.90	3.807 (8)	156

Symmetry code: (i) -x + 1,  $y + \frac{1}{2}$ , -z + 2.

Data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *PLATON* (Spek, 2009) and *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 2012) and *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

Financial support from CONACYT (project No. 183980) and CIC–UMSNH is gratefully acknowledged. JM is grateful to CONACYT for a scholarship (grant: 186053) to support his studies. YLC is grateful to CONACYT (project No. 183980) for providing a license to use the Cambridge Structural Database. We are indebted to Dr Rosa Santillan and Marco A. Leyva-Ramírez (CINVESTAV–IPN) for helpful discussions.

Supporting information for this paper is available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SU2715).

#### References

- Ager, D. J., Prakash, I. & Schaad, D. R. (1997). Aldrichim. Acta, 30, 3-12.
- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–19.
- Bartczak, T. J., Kruszynski, R., Chilmonczyk, Z. & Cybulski, J. (2001). Acta Cryst. E57, 0341–0343.
- Bruker (1999). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354-1358.
- Evain, M., Pauvert, M., Collet, S. & Guingant, A. (2002). Acta Cryst. E58, o1121-01122.

- Evans, D. A. (1982). Aldrichim. Acta, 15, 23-32.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Hwang, I.-C., Jang, J. H., Kim, T. H. & Ha, K. (2006). Acta Cryst. C62, o196-0198.
- Kruszynski, R., Bartczak, T. J., Chilmonczyk, Z. & Cybulski, J. (2001). Acta Cryst. E57, 0469–0471.
- López-Ruiz, H., Cortés-Hernández, M., Rojas-Lima, S. & Höpfl, H. (2011). J. Mex. Chem. Soc. 55, 168–175.
- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). J. Appl. Cryst. 41, 466–470.
- Marsh, R. E., Schaefer, W. P., Kukkola, P. J. & Myers, A. G. (1992). Acta Cryst. C48, 1622–1624.
- Müller, M. & Schimz, K.-L. (1999). Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 56, 280-285.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920-925.
- Wouters, J., Ooms, F. & Durant, F. (1997). Acta Cryst. C53, 895-897.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2014). E70, o584-o585 [doi:10.1107/S1600536814007636]

# O-Ethyl S-{(S)-1-oxo-1-[(R)-2-oxo-4-phenyloxazolidin-3-yl]propan-2-yl} carbonodithioate

# J. Pablo García-Merinos, Heraclio López-Ruiz, Yliana López and Susana Rojas-Lima

# S1. Comment

Oxazolidinones and their derivatives show interesting chemical and biological activities. The use of chiral oxazolidinones auxiliaries in asymmetric synthesis has found wide application in a variety of stereoselective reactions over the last two decades (Evans, 1982; Ager *et al.*, 1997). In addition oxazolidinonas represent a novel class of synthetic antimicrobial agents, the most promising feature of these compounds is their oral activity against multidrug-resistant Gram-positive bacteria which have created tremendous therapeutic problems in recent years (Müller *et al.*, 1999). Based on this, and as part of our ongoing research program directed toward the synthesis of novel heterocyclic compounds (see for example: López-Ruiz *et al.*, 2011) we report herein on the use of (*R*)-4-phenyloxazolidin-2-one for the synthesis of the title xanthate-oxazilidinone derivative, which has potential applications as a chiral auxiliary in asymmetric reactions.

The title compound was obtained by addition of O-Ethylxanthic acid potassium salt to a diastereomeric mixture of (4R)-3-(2-Chloropropanoyl)-4-phenyloxazolidin-2-one in acetone.

The absolute configuration of the newly created stereogenic carbon, C4, could be deduced from the relative configuration of carbon atom C9, see Fig. 1. The oxazolidinone ring has a twisted conformation on bond C9—C8 [puckering parameters (Cremer & Pople, 1975),  $\varphi = 313$  (4)°] similar to the twisted conformation on bond C6—C8 for the axazolidinone ring in the 3-amino-2-oxazolidinone derivatives [ $\varphi = 53.6174^\circ$  and  $\varphi = 54.0837^\circ$ ] (Bartczak *et al.*, 2001; Kruszynski *et al.*, 2001) and the same conformation was observed for unsubstituted 2-oxazolidinone (Wouters *et al.*, 1997).

The bond angles around atom N1 in the oxazolidinone ring are in agreement with the observed tendencies for the bond angles in 3,4-disustituted oxazolidinone derivatives (Marsh *et al.*, 1992; Evain *et al.*, 2002; Hwang *et al.*, 2006). The C9 —N1, C7—N1 and C6—N1 bond distances, 1.483 (7), 1.382 (8) and 1.411 (8) Å, respectively, are slightly longer than the average values reported for  $Csp^3$ —N(3) and  $Csp^2$ —N(3) in  $\gamma$ -lactams [C\*—N(—C\*)—C=O (*endo*) = 1.462 and C\*—N(—C\*)—C=O = 1.347 Å respectively] (Allen *et al.*, 1987).

The C7=O3 bond length 1.198 (8) Å is slightly shorter than a  $Csp^2=O(1)$  in  $\gamma$ -lactams [C\*--N(--C\*)--C=O = 1.225 Å] and close to normal  $Csp^2=O(1)$  in  $\gamma$ -lactones [C\*---C(=O)---O--C\* = 1.201 Å]. The C8---O4 bond, 1.449 (8) is slightly shorter than the  $Csp^3$ ---O(2) [C\*---O---C(=O) = 1.464 Å] in  $\gamma$ -lactones while C7---O4 bond 1.343 (7) Å is close to normal  $Csp^2=O(2)$  in  $\gamma$ -lactones [C\*---C(=O)----C\* = 1.350 Å]. Moreover the C4---S2 and C3=S1 bond distances are 1.811 (6) and 1.642 (7) Å respectively being slightly shorter than  $Csp^3$ ---S(2) and  $Csp^2$ =S(1) [C---CH---S---C = 1.819 and (X)<sub>2</sub> ---C=S (X = C, N, O, S) = 1.671 Å, respectively].

In the crystal, molecules are linked via C-H $\cdots\pi$  interactions forming zigzag chains along [001]; (Table 1 and Fig. 2).

# **S2. Experimental**

For the preparation of the title compound, a solution of (4R)-4-phenyloxazolidin-2-one (10.70 mmol) in distilled THF (25 ml) was cooled to 195 K under a nitrogen atmosphere, and a solution of n-butyllithium in hexane (12.95 mmol) was added dropwise. After 2-chloropropanoyl chloride (10.79 mmol) was introduced dropwise and stirring was continued at 195 K for 6 h. Then the reaction mixture was diluted with saturated solution of NH<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 10 ml). The combined organic layers were washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under vacuum. Purification by chromatography column on silica gel (eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate 9:1) gave the diastereomeric mixture (31.19 mmol) in acetone at 273 K was added the *O*-Ethylxanthic acid potassium salt (46.78 mmol) and the reaction was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. Then the reaction mixture was diluted with a saturated solution of NH<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 10 ml). The organic layers were for 12 h. Then the reaction mixture was diluted with a saturated solution of NH<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 10 ml). The organic layer was dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under vacuum. Purification by chromatography column on silica gel (eluent: hexane/ethyl acetate 9:1) gave the diastereomeric mixture of (4*R*)-3-((2*R*)-(2*-O*-Ethyl carbonodithioate) propanoyl)-4-phenyloxazolidin-2-one in a 77% of yield. Block-like colourless crystals of the title compound were obtained by slow evaporation of an hexane/ethyl acetate (9:1) solution. Spectroscopic data for the title compound are available in the archived CIF.

# **S3. Refinement**

The H atoms were inlcuded in calculated positions and treated as riding atoms: C-H = 0.93 - 0.98 Å with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C-methyl)$  and  $= 1.2U_{eq}(C)$  for other H atoms.



# Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title molecule, with atom labelling. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



# Figure 2

The crystal packing of the title compound, viewed along the *a* axis. The dashed lines indicate the C—H··· $\pi$  interactions (see Table 1 for details; H atoms not involved in these interactions have been omitted for clarity).

# O-Ethyl S-{(S)-1-oxo-1-[(R)-2-oxo-4-phenyloxazolidin-3-yl]propan-2-yl} carbonodithioate

Crystal data

C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>S<sub>2</sub>  $M_r = 339.42$ Monoclinic, P2<sub>1</sub> Hall symbol: P 2yb a = 10.8558 (15) Å b = 6.1867 (9) Å c = 12.3057 (17) Å  $\beta = 94.911 (4)^{\circ}$   $V = 823.4 (2) \text{ Å}^3$ Z = 2

# Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD diffractometer
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube
Graphite monochromator
φ and ω scans
10191 measured reflections
3223 independent reflections

# Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full  $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.067$  $wR(F^2) = 0.191$ S = 0.873223 reflections F(000) = 356  $D_x = 1.369 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 1321 reflections  $\theta = 1.7-26.0^{\circ}$   $\mu = 0.34 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 293 KBlock, colourless  $0.2 \times 0.17 \times 0.16 \text{ mm}$ 

1681 reflections with  $l > 2\sigma(l)$   $R_{int} = 0.152$   $\theta_{max} = 26.0^\circ, \ \theta_{min} = 1.7^\circ$   $h = -13 \rightarrow 13$   $k = -7 \rightarrow 7$  $l = -15 \rightarrow 15$ 

201 parameters
1 restraint
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H-atom parameters constrained  $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0945P)^2]$ where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ 

# Special details

$$\begin{split} &\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.38 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ &\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.35 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ &{\rm Absolute \ structure: \ Flack \ (1983), \ 6968 \ Friedel \ pairs } \\ &{\rm Absolute \ structure \ parameter: \ 0.08 \ (18)} \end{split}$$

**Experimental.** Spectroscopic data for the title compound: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) $\delta$ : 7.2 (m, 5H, CH, arom), 5.6 (q, 1H, CH (H-4), *J*= 7.3 Hz), 5.4 (dd, CH (H-9), *J*<sup>1</sup>= 3.2 Hz, *J*<sup>2</sup>=8.6 Hz), 4.7 (t, 1H (H-8a), CH<sub>2</sub>, *J*= 8.8 Hz), 4.6 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>(H-2)), 4.3 (dd, 1H (H-8 b), *J*<sup>1</sup>= 3.2 Hz, *J*<sup>2</sup>= 8.6 Hz), 1.4 (d, 3*H*, CH<sub>3</sub> (H-5), *J*= 7.3 Hz), 1.4 (t, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> (H-1), *J*= 7.3 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ : 213 (CS), 171.05 (CO), 153.53 (CO), 138.74 (C), 129.33 (CH), 128.93 (2CH), 125.90 (CH), 70.35 (2CH<sub>2</sub>), 58.03 (CH), 57.94 (CH), 47.20 (CH), 15.80 (CH<sub>3</sub>), 13.60 (CH<sub>3</sub>).

**Geometry**. All s.u.'s (except the s.u. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell s.u.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of s.u.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between s.u.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell s.u.'s is used for estimating s.u.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
C1	0.8431 (7)	0.9153 (19)	1.0271 (7)	0.101 (3)	
H1A	0.8415	0.9226	0.9491	0.151*	
H1B	0.9209	0.9693	1.0591	0.151*	
H1C	0.7772	1.0015	1.0513	0.151*	
C2	0.8275 (6)	0.6889 (16)	1.0609 (6)	0.082 (2)	
H2A	0.8932	0.6011	1.0354	0.098*	
H2B	0.8328	0.6804	1.1399	0.098*	
C3	0.6967 (6)	0.5034 (10)	0.9216 (5)	0.0560 (17)	
C4	0.5331 (5)	0.2814 (10)	0.7734 (5)	0.0479 (15)	
H4	0.6011	0.3210	0.7298	0.057*	
C5	0.5440 (7)	0.0432 (10)	0.8030 (6)	0.069 (2)	
H5A	0.4763	0.0023	0.8439	0.103*	
H5B	0.6206	0.0185	0.8462	0.103*	
H5C	0.5421	-0.0415	0.7375	0.103*	
C6	0.4117 (6)	0.3295 (9)	0.7086 (5)	0.0459 (14)	
C7	0.4827 (6)	0.1846 (9)	0.5343 (5)	0.0481 (15)	
C8	0.2958 (6)	0.1740 (13)	0.4298 (5)	0.0613 (17)	
H8A	0.2566	0.0348	0.4153	0.074*	
H8B	0.2653	0.2748	0.3734	0.074*	
C9	0.2687 (5)	0.2584 (10)	0.5439 (4)	0.0458 (14)	
H9	0.2434	0.4104	0.5388	0.055*	
C10	0.1727 (5)	0.1287 (9)	0.5970 (4)	0.0420 (14)	
C11	0.0555 (5)	0.2134 (10)	0.6019 (5)	0.0516 (16)	
H11	0.0357	0.3479	0.5715	0.062*	
C12	-0.0328 (6)	0.0959 (11)	0.6529 (6)	0.0632 (19)	

H12	-0.1116	0.1528	0.6567	0.076*	
C13	-0.0047 (6)	-0.1024 (13)	0.6975 (5)	0.066 (2)	
H13	-0.0641	-0.1776	0.7324	0.080*	
C14	0.1105 (7)	-0.1919 (11)	0.6912 (6)	0.0673 (19)	
H14	0.1292	-0.3279	0.7204	0.081*	
C15	0.1990 (5)	-0.0740 (13)	0.6399 (5)	0.0583 (15)	
H15	0.2770	-0.1332	0.6346	0.070*	
N1	0.3934 (4)	0.2412 (7)	0.6027 (4)	0.0423 (11)	
01	0.7069 (4)	0.6056 (9)	1.0155 (4)	0.0770 (15)	
O2	0.3286 (4)	0.4268 (9)	0.7448 (3)	0.0631 (11)	
03	0.5918 (4)	0.1651 (7)	0.5559 (4)	0.0566 (12)	
O4	0.4291 (4)	0.1544 (7)	0.4330 (3)	0.0551 (11)	
S1	0.80766 (14)	0.4339 (4)	0.84599 (14)	0.0760 (6)	
S2	0.53803 (14)	0.4534 (3)	0.89279 (13)	0.0631 (5)	

Atomic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	U <sup>23</sup>
C1	0.068 (5)	0.143 (8)	0.091 (6)	-0.024 (6)	-0.002 (4)	0.019 (7)
C2	0.054 (4)	0.126 (8)	0.063 (4)	0.001 (4)	-0.010 (4)	-0.019 (5)
C3	0.049 (3)	0.072 (5)	0.046 (4)	0.001 (3)	0.002 (3)	0.003 (3)
C4	0.040 (3)	0.054 (4)	0.051 (3)	0.004 (3)	0.011 (3)	-0.003 (3)
C5	0.081 (5)	0.067 (5)	0.057 (4)	0.006 (4)	0.000 (4)	0.006 (3)
C6	0.048 (3)	0.043 (3)	0.048 (4)	-0.006 (3)	0.011 (3)	-0.002 (3)
C7	0.057 (4)	0.038 (3)	0.051 (4)	-0.003 (3)	0.016 (3)	0.002 (3)
C8	0.053 (4)	0.083 (5)	0.048 (4)	-0.002 (3)	0.005 (3)	-0.002 (4)
С9	0.041 (3)	0.050 (3)	0.046 (3)	-0.002 (3)	0.005 (3)	0.002 (3)
C10	0.045 (3)	0.039 (3)	0.042 (3)	-0.004 (3)	0.003 (3)	-0.003 (3)
C11	0.049 (4)	0.056 (4)	0.049 (4)	0.007 (3)	0.003 (3)	-0.002 (3)
C12	0.040 (3)	0.076 (5)	0.075 (5)	-0.007 (4)	0.010 (3)	-0.013 (4)
C13	0.056 (4)	0.085 (6)	0.060 (4)	-0.034 (4)	0.016 (3)	-0.003 (4)
C14	0.060 (4)	0.070 (5)	0.071 (4)	-0.009 (4)	0.000 (4)	0.007 (4)
C15	0.042 (3)	0.060 (4)	0.073 (4)	-0.001 (4)	0.010 (3)	-0.003 (4)
N1	0.034 (2)	0.050 (3)	0.044 (3)	0.000 (2)	0.009 (2)	0.000(2)
01	0.049 (3)	0.116 (4)	0.065 (3)	-0.009 (3)	0.003 (2)	-0.028 (3)
02	0.047 (2)	0.088 (3)	0.055 (2)	0.014 (3)	0.0065 (19)	-0.019 (3)
03	0.044 (3)	0.065 (3)	0.063 (3)	0.006 (2)	0.015 (2)	-0.006 (2)
04	0.059 (3)	0.061 (3)	0.047 (3)	-0.001 (2)	0.017 (2)	-0.004 (2)
S1	0.0462 (9)	0.1207 (15)	0.0621 (10)	-0.0011 (13)	0.0095 (7)	-0.0115 (13)
S2	0.0438 (8)	0.0872 (12)	0.0585 (9)	-0.0036 (10)	0.0067 (7)	-0.0216 (10)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—C2	1.475 (13)	С7—О4	1.344 (7)	
C1—H1A	0.9600	C7—N1	1.382 (7)	
C1—H1B	0.9600	C8—O4	1.449 (8)	
C1—H1C	0.9600	C8—C9	1.550 (8)	
C2—O1	1.472 (8)	C8—H8A	0.9700	

C2—H2A	0.9700	C8—H8B	0.9700
C2—H2B	0.9700	C9—N1	1.483 (7)
C3—O1	1.313 (7)	C9—C10	1.507 (8)
C3—S1	1.642 (6)	С9—Н9	0.9800
C3—S2	1.756 (6)	C10—C15	1.381 (9)
C4—C6	1.511 (8)	C10—C11	1.383 (8)
C4—C5	1.520 (8)	C11—C12	1.395 (9)
C4-S2	1 811 (6)	C11—H11	0.9300
C4—H4	0.9800	C12-C13	1.367(10)
C5—H5A	0.9600	C12—H12	0.9300
C5—H5B	0.9600	C13 - C14	1.376(10)
C5—H5C	0.9600	C13—H13	0.9300
C6_02	1 201 (7)	C14 C15	1 300 (0)
C6 N1	1.201(7)	C14 H14	0.0300
$C_{0}$	1.411(7)	C15 H15	0.9300
0.703	1.198 (8)	с15—п15	0.9300
C2—C1—H1A	109.5	С9—С8—Н8А	110.6
C2-C1-H1B	109.5	O4—C8—H8B	110.6
H1A—C1—H1B	109.5	C9—C8—H8B	110.6
C2-C1-H1C	109.5	H8A—C8—H8B	108 7
HIA-CI-HIC	109.5	N1 - C9 - C10	112.7(5)
HIB-C1-HIC	109.5	N1 - C9 - C8	100.5(4)
01-C2-C1	110.2 (6)	C10-C9-C8	113.9(5)
O1 - C2 - H2A	109.6	N1H9	109.8
C1 - C2 - H2A	109.6	11 - 0 - 119	109.8
C1 = C2 = H2R	109.6		109.8
$C_1 = C_2 = H_2 B$	109.0	$C_{15} = C_{10} = C_{11}$	109.8
$H_{2A} = H_{2B}$	109.0	$C_{15} = C_{10} = C_{11}$	119.2(5)
$H_2A = C_2 = H_2B$	100.1	$C_{13} = C_{10} = C_{9}$	121.4(3)
01 - 03 - 51	127.9(3) 105.7(4)	$C_{10} = C_{10} = C_{20}$	119.5 (5)
01 - 03 - 52	103.7(4)	C10 - C11 - C12	119.3 (0)
51 - 0.5 - 52	120.4(4)		120.2
$C_0 - C_4 - C_3$	111.4(3)		120.2
$C_{0} - C_{4} - S_{2}$	106.1 (4)	C13 - C12 - C11	120.6 (6)
$C_{3}$	112.3 (5)	C13—C12—H12	119.7
C6-C4-H4	109.0	CII—CI2—HI2	119.7
C3—C4—H4	109.0	C12 - C13 - C14	120.8 (6)
S2—C4—H4	109.0	С12—С13—Н13	119.6
C4—C5—H5A	109.5	С14—С13—Н13	119.6
C4—C5—H5B	109.5	C13—C14—C15	118.5 (7)
H5A—C5—H5B	109.5	C13—C14—H14	120.7
C4—C5—H5C	109.5	C15—C14—H14	120.7
H5A—C5—H5C	109.5	C10—C15—C14	121.3 (6)
H5B—C5—H5C	109.5	C10—C15—H15	119.4
O2—C6—N1	119.1 (5)	C14—C15—H15	119.4
O2—C6—C4	123.5 (5)	C7—N1—C6	127.6 (5)
N1—C6—C4	117.3 (5)	C7—N1—C9	112.3 (5)
O3—C7—O4	122.3 (5)	C6—N1—C9	118.2 (4)
O3—C7—N1	128.5 (6)	C3—O1—C2	120.5 (5)

O4—C7—N1	109.3 (5)	C7—O4—C8	111.5 (5)
O4—C8—C9	105.7 (5)	C3—S2—C4	103.2 (3)
O4—C8—H8A	110.6		
C5—C4—C6—O2	108.2 (7)	O3—C7—N1—C9	177.2 (6)
S2—C4—C6—O2	-14.3 (7)	O4—C7—N1—C9	-1.9 (6)
C5-C4-C6-N1	-67.3 (7)	O2—C6—N1—C7	158.5 (6)
S2—C4—C6—N1	170.3 (4)	C4—C6—N1—C7	-25.8 (8)
O4—C8—C9—N1	-8.7 (6)	O2—C6—N1—C9	-4.6 (8)
O4—C8—C9—C10	-129.5 (5)	C4—C6—N1—C9	171.1 (5)
N1-C9-C10-C15	-40.0 (8)	C10—C9—N1—C7	128.3 (5)
C8—C9—C10—C15	73.6 (7)	C8—C9—N1—C7	6.7 (6)
N1-C9-C10-C11	140.7 (5)	C10-C9-N1-C6	-66.1 (6)
C8—C9—C10—C11	-105.7 (6)	C8—C9—N1—C6	172.3 (5)
C15—C10—C11—C12	1.9 (9)	S1—C3—O1—C2	5.3 (10)
C9—C10—C11—C12	-178.7 (5)	S2—C3—O1—C2	-175.1 (6)
C10-C11-C12-C13	-0.3 (10)	C1—C2—O1—C3	92.6 (8)
C11—C12—C13—C14	-1.2 (10)	O3—C7—O4—C8	176.3 (6)
C12—C13—C14—C15	1.1 (10)	N1-C7-O4-C8	-4.5 (6)
C11—C10—C15—C14	-2.0 (9)	C9—C8—O4—C7	8.6 (7)
C9-C10-C15-C14	178.6 (6)	O1—C3—S2—C4	-172.4 (5)
C13—C14—C15—C10	0.5 (10)	S1—C3—S2—C4	7.2 (5)
O3—C7—N1—C6	13.3 (10)	C6—C4—S2—C3	-149.4 (4)
O4—C7—N1—C6	-165.8 (5)	C5—C4—S2—C3	88.8 (5)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Cg is the centroid of the C10–C15 phenyl ring.

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D··· $A$	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>	
C4—H4…S1	0.98	2.65	3.180 (9)	114	
С4—Н4…О3	0.98	2.34	2.895 (10)	115	
C2—H2 <i>B</i> ···· <i>Cg</i> <sup>i</sup>	0.97	2.90	3.807 (8)	156	

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+2.