organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

N,N'-(Ethane-1,2-diyl)dibenzenecarbo-thioamide

Masayuki Nagasawa,^a Yuji Sasanuma^a* and Hyuma Masu^b

^aDepartment of Applied Chemistry and Biotechnology, Chiba University, 1-33 Yayoicho, Inage-ku, Chiba 263-8522, Japan, and ^bCenter for Analytical Instrumentation, Chiba University, 1-33 Yayoi-cho, Inage-ku, Chiba 263-8522, Japan Correspondence e-mail: sasanuma@faculty.chiba-u.jp

Received 5 April 2014; accepted 14 April 2014

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 223 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.029; wR factor = 0.083; data-to-parameter ratio = 15.3.

The title compound, $C_{16}H_{16}N_2S_2$, adopts a *gauche⁺-gauche⁺-gauche⁺* ($g^+g^+g^+g^+$) conformation in the NH-CH₂-CH₂-NH bond sequence. In the crystal, molecules are connected by pairs of N-H···S=C hydrogen bonds and C-H··· π interactions, forming a tape structure along the *c*-axis direction.

Related literature

For crystal structures and conformations of related compounds with $-(C=X)-C_6H_4-(C=X)-Y-(CH_2)_m-Y-(X = O \text{ or S and } Y = O, S, \text{ or NH})$ bond sequences, see for example,: Palmer & Brisse (1980); Brisson & Brisse (1986); Abe *et al.* (2011); Abe & Sasanuma (2012, 2013). For the synthesis, see: Jacobson *et al.* (1987).



Experimental

Crystal data

$C_{16}H_{16}N_2S_2$	b = 9.4596 (1) Å
$M_r = 300.43$	c = 10.3457 (1) Å
Triclinic, P1	$\alpha = 105.5452 \ (7)^{\circ}$
a = 8.6652 (1) Å	$\beta = 98.9293 \ (7)^{\circ}$



Data collection

Bruker APEXII Ultra CCD area-
detector diffractometer
Absorption correction: multi-scan
(SADABS; Bruker, 2001)
$T_{\rm min} = 0.58, \ T_{\rm max} = 0.86$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.029$ 181 parameters $wR(F^2) = 0.083$ H-atom parameters constrainedS = 1.04 $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.28 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ 2763 reflections $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.18 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^\circ).$

Cg1 and Cg2 are the centroids of the C2–C7 and C11–C16 phenyl rings, respectively.

$D - H \cdots A$	$D-{\rm H}$	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N1-H1\cdots S2^{i}$	0.87	2.56	3.4186 (13)	168
$N2-H2 \cdot \cdot \cdot S1^{ii}$	0.87	2.58	3.4097 (13)	159
$C8-H8A\cdots Cg2^{i}$	0.99	2.78	3.5376 (17)	134
$C9-H9A\cdots Cg1^{i}$	0.99	2.87	3.6685 (17)	140

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 2; (ii) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS2013* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2013* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL2013*.

Supporting information for this paper is available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: IS5357).

References

- Abe, D. & Sasanuma, Y. (2012). Polym. Chem. 3, 1576-1587.
- Abe, D. & Sasanuma, Y. (2013). Acta Cryst. E69, o1612.
- Abe, D., Sasanuma, Y. & Sato, H. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, 0961.
- Brisson, J. & Brisse, F. (1986). Macromolecules, 19, 2632-2639.
- Bruker (2001). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2007). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Jacobson, A. R., Makris, A. N. & Sayre, L. M. (1987). J. Org. Chem. 52, 2592– 2594.
- Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). J. Appl. Cryst. 39, 453–457.
- Palmer, A. & Brisse, F. (1980). Acta Cryst. B36, 1447-1452.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.



 $\mu = 3.01 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

 $0.20 \times 0.05 \times 0.05 \ \mathrm{mm}$

10280 measured reflections

2763 independent reflections

2568 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

T = 223 K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.014$

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2014). E70, o586 [doi:10.1107/S1600536814008368]

N,N'-(Ethane-1,2-diyl)dibenzenecarbothioamide

Masayuki Nagasawa, Yuji Sasanuma and Hyuma Masu

S1. Comment

In our previous studies, conformational characteristics and configurational properties of aromatic polythioesters (X = O and Y = S) and polydithioesters (X = Y = S) (Abe & Sasanuma, 2012) expressed as $[-(C=X)-C_6H_4-(C=X)-Y-(CH_2)_m-Y-]_n$ were investigated through molecular orbital (MO) calculations and NMR and single-crystal X-ray diffraction experiments on their model compounds (Abe *et al.*, 2011; Abe & Sasanuma, 2013). The theoretical and experimental data thus obtained were applied to the *ab initio* statistical mechanics to derive bond conformations, configurational properties, and thermodynamic quantities on the target polymers. In the present study, we have treated aromatic polyamides (X = O and Y = NH), polythioamides (X = S and Y = NH), and their model compounds, $C_6H_5-(C=X)-NH-(CH_2)_m-NH-(C=X)-C_6H_5$. Crystal structures of the model compounds (X = O and *m* = 2 and 3) of poly(ethylene terephthalamide) and poly(trimethylene terephthalamide) were already determined (Palmer & Brisse, 1980; Brisson & Brisse, 1986). This paper reports the crystal structure of *N*,*N'*-(ethane-1,2-diyl)benzenecarbothioamide (X = S and *m* = 2, referred to hereafter as EDBTA) corresponding to the model compound of poly(ethylene terephthalthioamide).

Figure 1 shows the molecular structure of EDBTA, whose NH–CH₂–CH₂–NH bonds adopt the $g^+g^+g^+$ conformation. The MO calculations at the B3LYP/6–311+G(2d,p)//B3LYP/6–311+G(2d,p) level including the solvent effect of dimethyl sulfoxide have predicted conformational preferences of EDBTA; the first and second most stable conformers are tg^+g^- (– 0.99) and $g^+g^+g^+$ (–0.76), respectively, where the values in the parentheses are Gibbs free energies in kcal mol⁻¹ relative to that of the all-*trans* state.

According to the MO calculations, the tg^+g^- conformer of EDBTA seems to form intramolecular C=S···H–N and C=S···C–H attractions. As shown in Figure 2, the crystallized EDBTA molecule, lying in the $g^+g^+g^+$ conformation, forms intermolecular C=S···H–N and C–H. π interactions. Probably, the crystalline EDBTA chooses the intermolecular C=S···H–N interaction rather than the intramolecular one to acquire a larger energy stability. The MO calculations predicted that stable conformers of *N*,*N*'-(ethane-1,2-diyl)dibenzamide (X = O and *m* =2, abbreviated as EDBA), the model compound of poly(ethylene terephthalamide), are, in the ascending order of free energy, tg^+g^- , g^+tg^+ , $g^+g^+g^+$, g^+tg^- ,...; the energy difference between g^+tg^- and tg^+g^- was estimated as 0.89 kcal mol⁻¹. Nevertheless, the EDBA molecule crystallizes to adopt the fourth stable conformation, g^+tg^- (Palmer & Brisse, 1980). In contrast with models of the polythioester (X = O, Y = S, and *m* = 2) and polydithioester (X = Y = S and *m* = 2) (Abe *et al.*, 2011; Abe & Sasanuma, 2012), EDBA and EDBTA do not crystallize in the most stable conformation suggested by the MO calculations probably because of the significant stabilization of the intermolecular C=O···H—N and C=S···H—N

S2. Experimental

Benzoyl chloride (4.6 ml, 40 mmol), dissolved in 1,2-dichloroethane (100 ml), was added dropwise to ethylenediamine (14 ml, 210 mmol) and 1,2-dichloroethane (300 ml) stirred by a magnetic stirrer in a three-necked flask equipped with a

dropping funnel and a calcium-chloride drying tube, with the flask being bathed in ice water. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 8 h to yield white precipitate. The precipitate was collected by suction filtration, washed with water, and dried. The crude product was recrystallized from methanol and dried at 40 °C under reduced pressure to yield EDBA (yield 55%). In principle, this synthesis is based on the procedure of Jacobson *et al.* (1987).

Lawesson's reagent (1.8 g, 4.6 mmol) and EDBA (1.0 g, 3.7 mmol) were dissolved in toluene (20 ml) stirred in a threenecked flask equipped with a reflux condenser connected to a calcium-chloride drying tube. The solution was refluxed under dry nitrogen at *ca* 110 °C for 8 h to yield yellow precipitate. The precipitate was collected, washed with toluene, recrystallized from ethanol, and dried at 40 °C under reduced pressure to yield EDBTA (yield 79%).

A small quantity of EDBTA was dissolved in chloroform in a glass tube, whose top was sealed with a thin Teflon film. The tube was placed in a vial container including a small amount of *n*-hexane, and the container was capped and left to stand still in a dark place. After a day, its crystals were found to be formed in the inner tube.

S3. Refinement

All H atoms were geometrically positioned with C—H = 0.95 and 0.99 Å for the aromatic and methylene groups, respectively, and N—H = 0.87 Å, and refined as riding by $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C, N)$.



Figure 1

Molecular structure of the title compound. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Isotropic Hatom thermal parameters are represented by spheres of arbitrary size. The labels of hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity.







Figure 2

Packing diagrams of the title compound, viewed down the (*a*) *a* and (*b*) *b* axes. The dotted lines represent C=S···H—N and C—H··· π interactions.

N,*N*'-(Ethane-1,2-diyl)dibenzenecarbothioamide

Crystal data	
$C_{16}H_{16}N_2S_2$	V = 780.67 (2) Å ³
$M_r = 300.43$	Z = 2
Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$	F(000) = 316
a = 8.6652 (1) Å	$D_{\rm x} = 1.278 { m ~Mg} { m m}^{-3}$
b = 9.4596 (1) Å	Cu <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 1.54178$ Å
c = 10.3457 (1) Å	$\mu = 3.01 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\alpha = 105.5452 \ (7)^{\circ}$	T = 223 K
$\beta = 98.9293 \ (7)^{\circ}$	Needle, yellow
$\gamma = 101.5370 \ (6)^{\circ}$	$0.20 \times 0.05 \times 0.05$ mm

Data collection

Bruker APEXII Ultra CCD area-detector	$T_{\min} = 0.58, T_{\max} = 0.86$
diffractometer	10280 measured reflections
Radiation source: Bruker TXS fine-focus	2763 independent reflections
rotating anode	2568 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Bruker Helios multilayer mirror	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.014$
monochromator	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 68.2^{\circ}, \theta_{\text{min}} = 4.6^{\circ}$
φ and ω scans	$h = -10 \rightarrow 10$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$k = -11 \rightarrow 11$
(<i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker, 2001)	$l = -10 \rightarrow 12$
Refinement	
Refinement on F^2	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
Least-squares matrix: full	neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.029$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.083$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0481P)^2 + 0.2337P]$
S = 1.04	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
2763 reflections	$(\Lambda/\sigma) = 0.001$
2705 reneetions	$(\Delta / 0)_{\text{max}} = 0.001$

Special details

181 parameters 0 restraints

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.28 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.18 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 was performed with all reflections. The weighted *R*-factor (*wR*) and goodness of fit (*S*) are based on F^2 , while the *R*-factor on *F*. The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2.0 \sigma(F^2)$ was used only for calculating *R*-factor.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
C1	0.55284 (15)	0.70259 (14)	0.66245 (14)	0.0269 (3)	
C2	0.39798 (16)	0.74362 (15)	0.62755 (14)	0.0267 (3)	
C3	0.25373 (17)	0.65794 (16)	0.63997 (14)	0.0306 (3)	
H3	0.2543	0.5762	0.6752	0.037*	
C4	0.10937 (17)	0.69267 (17)	0.60064 (16)	0.0356 (3)	
H4	0.0121	0.6341	0.6086	0.043*	
C5	0.10820 (18)	0.81363 (18)	0.54961 (16)	0.0373 (3)	
H5	0.0101	0.8369	0.5226	0.045*	
C6	0.25101 (18)	0.90021 (18)	0.53830 (17)	0.0379 (3)	
H6	0.2501	0.9829	0.5044	0.046*	
C7	0.39529 (17)	0.86538 (16)	0.57682 (15)	0.0325 (3)	
H7	0.4922	0.9244	0.5687	0.039*	
C8	0.71343 (18)	0.60757 (18)	0.82305 (15)	0.0353 (3)	
H8A	0.7237	0.6194	0.9213	0.042*	
H8B	0.8119	0.6707	0.8121	0.042*	
C9	0.69808 (19)	0.44220 (18)	0.74548 (16)	0.0374 (3)	
H9A	0.7012	0.4325	0.6493	0.045*	
H9B	0.7903	0.4104	0.7855	0.045*	
C10	0.52445 (19)	0.29310 (17)	0.85582 (15)	0.0360 (3)	

C11	0.35708 (19)	0.20806 (17)	0.84557 (15)	0.0363 (3)	
C12	0.2252 (2)	0.25356 (18)	0.79138 (16)	0.0400 (4)	
H12	0.2417	0.3354	0.7556	0.048*	
C13	0.0696 (2)	0.1792 (2)	0.78978 (18)	0.0504 (4)	
H13	-0.0187	0.2121	0.7548	0.06*	
C14	0.0438 (2)	0.0564 (2)	0.8396 (2)	0.0569 (5)	
H14	-0.0618	0.0058	0.8383	0.068*	
C15	0.1734 (3)	0.0089 (2)	0.89112 (19)	0.0553 (5)	
H15	0.1559	-0.0756	0.9235	0.066*	
C16	0.3285 (2)	0.08408 (19)	0.89556 (17)	0.0453 (4)	
H16	0.4162	0.0517	0.9326	0.054*	
N1	0.57488 (14)	0.66031 (14)	0.77434 (12)	0.0312 (3)	
H1	0.5012	0.6642	0.8226	0.037*	
N2	0.54942 (16)	0.34276 (14)	0.75044 (12)	0.0361 (3)	
H2	0.4702	0.3133	0.6785	0.043*	
S1	0.68758 (4)	0.70858 (4)	0.56244 (4)	0.03447 (12)	
S2	0.67017 (5)	0.32702 (6)	0.99595 (4)	0.04788 (14)	

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.0259 (6)	0.0285 (6)	0.0259 (7)	0.0067 (5)	0.0055 (5)	0.0083 (5)
C2	0.0270 (6)	0.0306 (6)	0.0236 (7)	0.0092 (5)	0.0072 (5)	0.0080 (5)
C3	0.0319 (7)	0.0325 (7)	0.0311 (8)	0.0095 (6)	0.0100 (6)	0.0130 (6)
C4	0.0262 (7)	0.0414 (8)	0.0396 (9)	0.0069 (6)	0.0104 (6)	0.0125 (6)
C5	0.0299 (7)	0.0492 (9)	0.0379 (9)	0.0186 (6)	0.0074 (6)	0.0154 (7)
C6	0.0407 (8)	0.0415 (8)	0.0422 (9)	0.0190 (7)	0.0127 (7)	0.0220 (7)
C7	0.0303 (7)	0.0356 (7)	0.0366 (8)	0.0092 (6)	0.0116 (6)	0.0164 (6)
C8	0.0350 (7)	0.0483 (8)	0.0265 (8)	0.0169 (6)	0.0040 (6)	0.0146 (6)
C9	0.0429 (8)	0.0509 (9)	0.0288 (8)	0.0240 (7)	0.0129 (6)	0.0176 (6)
C10	0.0488 (9)	0.0408 (8)	0.0268 (8)	0.0243 (7)	0.0112 (6)	0.0129 (6)
C11	0.0507 (9)	0.0385 (8)	0.0238 (8)	0.0186 (7)	0.0097 (6)	0.0102 (6)
C12	0.0493 (9)	0.0439 (8)	0.0310 (8)	0.0175 (7)	0.0090 (7)	0.0142 (6)
C13	0.0482 (10)	0.0628 (11)	0.0392 (10)	0.0172 (8)	0.0077 (7)	0.0128 (8)
C14	0.0600 (11)	0.0595 (11)	0.0434 (11)	0.0015 (9)	0.0154 (8)	0.0110 (8)
C15	0.0809 (14)	0.0453 (9)	0.0417 (10)	0.0100 (9)	0.0196 (9)	0.0175 (8)
C16	0.0657 (11)	0.0440 (9)	0.0324 (9)	0.0218 (8)	0.0114 (7)	0.0158 (7)
N1	0.0325 (6)	0.0418 (6)	0.0256 (6)	0.0160 (5)	0.0096 (5)	0.0140 (5)
N2	0.0444 (7)	0.0439 (7)	0.0251 (6)	0.0188 (6)	0.0073 (5)	0.0138 (5)
S1	0.02655 (19)	0.0504 (2)	0.0359 (2)	0.01414 (15)	0.01281 (14)	0.02216 (16)
S2	0.0469 (2)	0.0748 (3)	0.0317 (2)	0.0247 (2)	0.00711 (17)	0.0264 (2)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—N1	1.3216 (17)	С9—Н9А	0.98	
C1—C2	1.4882 (18)	С9—Н9В	0.98	
C1—S1	1.6783 (13)	C10—N2	1.3278 (19)	
C2—C7	1.3906 (19)	C10—C11	1.484 (2)	

C2—C3	1.3915 (19)	C10—S2	1.6791 (15)
C3—C4	1.384 (2)	C11—C12	1.390 (2)
С3—Н3	0.94	C11—C16	1.397 (2)
C4-C5	1384(2)	C_{12} C_{13}	1.386(2)
	1.30+(2)	C12C13	1.500 (2)
C4—H4	0.94	CI2—HI2	0.94
C5—C6	1.381 (2)	C13—C14	1.385 (3)
С5—Н5	0.94	С13—Н13	0.94
C6—C7	1.382 (2)	C14—C15	1.376 (3)
С6—Н6	0.94	C14—H14	0.94
С7—Н7	0.94	C15—C16	1.377 (3)
C8—N1	1.4598 (17)	С15—Н15	0.94
C8-C9	1 523 (2)	C16—H16	0.94
C_{0}^{8} H_{0}^{8}	0.08	N1 H1	0.97
	0.98		0.87
Co-H8B	0.98	N2—H2	0.87
C9—N2	1.452 (2)		
N1-C1-C2	115 89 (11)	N2H9B	109.2
N1 = C1 = C2	113.09(11) 122.18(10)	$C_{2}^{2} = C_{2}^{2} = H_{2}^{2} B_{2}^{2}$	109.2
N1 = C1 = S1	123.10(10)		107.0
	120.93 (10)	H9A—C9—H9B	107.9
C/-C2-C3	119.15 (12)	N2	116.16 (13)
C7—C2—C1	119.98 (12)	N2—C10—S2	122.96 (13)
C3—C2—C1	120.82 (12)	C11—C10—S2	120.86 (11)
C4—C3—C2	120.26 (13)	C12—C11—C16	118.40 (16)
С4—С3—Н3	119.9	C12—C11—C10	120.86 (13)
С2—С3—Н3	119.9	C16—C11—C10	120.69 (14)
C5—C4—C3	120.02 (13)	C13—C12—C11	120.52 (15)
C5—C4—H4	120.0	C13—C12—H12	1197
$C_3 C_4 H_4$	120.0	$\begin{array}{cccc} C11 & C12 & H12 \\ \end{array}$	110.7
C_{5}	120.0	$C_{11} = C_{12} = 1112$	119.7 120.10(17)
C6_C5_C4	120.08 (13)	C14 - C13 - C12	120.19(17)
Co-Co-H5	120.0		119.9
С4—С5—Н5	120.0	С12—С13—Н13	119.9
C5—C6—C7	120.05 (13)	C15—C14—C13	119.69 (18)
С5—С6—Н6	120.0	C15—C14—H14	120.2
С7—С6—Н6	120.0	C13—C14—H14	120.2
C6—C7—C2	120.42 (13)	C14—C15—C16	120.44 (17)
С6—С7—Н7	119.8	C14—C15—H15	119.8
С2—С7—Н7	119.8	C16—C15—H15	119.8
N1—C8—C9	112.27 (12)	C15—C16—C11	120.74 (16)
N1—C8—H8A	109.1	С15—С16—Н16	119.6
C9—C8—H8A	109.1	C11—C16—H16	119.6
N1-C8-H8B	109.1	C1-N1-C8	124 96 (12)
C9-C8-H8B	109.1	C1 - N1 - H1	117.5
H8A - C8 - H8B	107.9	C8N1H1	117.5
N2 C0 C9	107.9 112 14 (12)	C_10 N2 C0	117.3 125.26(12)
	112.14 (12)	$C_{10} = N_2 = U_2$	123.30 (13)
N2-C9-H9A	109.2	U_1U N_2 H_2	117.3
С8—С9—Н9А	109.2	С9—N2—H2	117.3
N1 C1 C2 C7	140.00 (14)	S2 C10 C11 C16	_20 65 (10)
$1 \times 1 - C = C / C / C / C / C / C / C / C / C /$	140.90 (14)	52-010-011-010	-20.02 (19)

S1—C1—C2—C7	-39.89 (17)	C16—C11—C12—C13	1.3 (2)
N1—C1—C2—C3	-41.60 (18)	C10-C11-C12-C13	-176.08 (15)
S1—C1—C2—C3	137.61 (12)	C11—C12—C13—C14	-1.4 (3)
C7—C2—C3—C4	0.8 (2)	C12—C13—C14—C15	0.2 (3)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-176.74 (13)	C13—C14—C15—C16	1.1 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.4 (2)	C14—C15—C16—C11	-1.2 (3)
C3—C4—C5—C6	-0.2 (2)	C12-C11-C16-C15	0.0 (2)
C4—C5—C6—C7	0.6 (2)	C10-C11-C16-C15	177.37 (15)
C5—C6—C7—C2	-0.2 (2)	C2-C1-N1-C8	176.47 (13)
C3—C2—C7—C6	-0.5 (2)	S1—C1—N1—C8	-2.7 (2)
C1—C2—C7—C6	177.09 (13)	C9—C8—N1—C1	-79.82 (18)
N1	-55.40 (17)	C11—C10—N2—C9	172.70 (13)
N2-C10-C11-C12	-39.7 (2)	S2-C10-N2-C9	-5.6 (2)
S2-C10-C11-C12	138.62 (13)	C8—C9—N2—C10	-79.40 (17)
N2-C10-C11-C16	143.00 (15)		

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Cg1 and Cg2 are the centroids of the C2–C7 and C11–C16 phenyl rings, respectively.

D—H···A	D—H	Н…А	D··· A	D—H··· A
N1—H1···S2 ⁱ	0.87	2.56	3.4186 (13)	168
N2—H2···S1 ⁱⁱ	0.87	2.58	3.4097 (13)	159
C8—H8A···Cg2 ⁱ	0.99	2.78	3.5376 (17)	134
C9—H9 A ···C $g1^i$	0.99	2.87	3.6685 (17)	140

Symmetry codes: (i) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*+2; (ii) -*x*+1, -*y*+1, -*z*+1.