

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

2-Amino-5-nitropyridinium hydrogen oxalate

M. Ambrose Rajkumar,^a S. Stanly John Xavier,^b S. Anbarasu,^a Prem Anand Devarajan^{a*} and M. NizamMohideen^c*

^aPhysics Research Centre, Department of Physics, St Xavier's College (Autonomous), Palayamkottai 627 002, Tamil Nadu, India, ^bDepartment of Chemistry, St Xavier's College (Autonomous), Palayamkottai 627 002, Tamil Nadu, India, and ^cDepartment of Physics, The New College (Autonomous), Chennai 600 014, Tamil Nadu, India Correspondence e-mail: devarajanpremanand@gmail.com, mnizam_new@yahoo.in

Received 6 February 2014; accepted 7 March 2014

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 293 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.039; wR factor = 0.110; data-to-parameter ratio = 10.6.

In the cation of the title molecular salt, $C_5H_6N_3O_2^+ \cdot C_2HO_4^-$, the dihedral angle between the aromatic ring and the nitro group is 3.5 $(3)^{\circ}$; in the anion, the dihedral angle between the CO2 and CO₂H planes is 10.5 (2)°. In the crystal, the anions are linked into [100] chains by O-H···O hydrogen bonds. The cations cross-link the chains by way of N-H···O hydrogen bonds and the structure is consolidated by C-H···O interactions.

Related literature

For the crystal structures of related pyridine derivatives, see: Babu et al. (2014); Anderson et al. (2005); Karle et al. (2003). For simple organic-inorganic salts containing strong intermolecular hydrogen bonds, see: Fu et al. (2011); Sethuram et al. (2013a,b); Shihabuddeen Syed et al. (2013); Showrilu et al. (2013); Huq et al. (2013). For the structure of oxalic acid, see: Derissen & Smith (1974). For graph-set analysis, see: Bernstein et al.(1995).



organic compounds

Experimental

Crystal data

$C_5H_6N_3O_2^+ \cdot C_2HO_4^-$	$\gamma = 100.038 \ (2)^{\circ}$
$M_r = 229.16$	V = 459.74 (3) Å ³
Triclinic, P1	Z = 2
a = 5.5609 (2) Å	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
b = 9.2012 (4) Å	$\mu = 0.15 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 9.2305 (4) Å	T = 293 K
$\alpha = 90.245 \ (2)^{\circ}$	$0.35 \times 0.30 \times 0.30$ mm
$\beta = 98.500 \ (2)^{\circ}$	

Data collection

Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2004) $T_{\rm min}=0.950,\;T_{\rm max}=0.957$

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$ $wR(F^2) = 0.110$ S = 1.071615 reflections 153 parameters

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.28 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.32 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

10142 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.020$

1615 independent reflections

1417 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$C2-H2\cdots O5^{i}$	0.93	2.48	3.323 (2)	152
$C3-H3\cdots O2^{ii}$	0.93	2.37	3.296 (2)	178
$C5-H5\cdots O1^{iii}$	0.93	2.42	3.186 (2)	140
$C5-H5\cdots O4^{iv}$	0.93	2.44	2.970 (2)	116
$N1-H1\cdots O6^{iv}$	0.86	1.94	2.7697 (18)	160
$O4-H4\cdots O5^{v}$	0.82	1.64	2.4486 (16)	170
$N2-H2A\cdots O3^{v}$	0.89(3)	2.16 (3)	2.959 (2)	149 (2)
$N2-H2A\cdots O5^{v}$	0.89(3)	2.36 (3)	3.007 (2)	130 (2)
$N2-H2B\cdots O3^{vi}$	0.89 (3)	1.99 (3)	2.870 (2)	173 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x + 2, -y + 1, -z + 2; (ii) -x + 2, -v, -z + 2;(iii) -x + 1, -y, -z + 1;(iv) -x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1;(v) x - 1, y, z;-x+1, -y+1, -z+2.

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2004); cell refinement: APEX2 and SAINT (Bruker, 2004); data reduction: SAINT and XPREP (Bruker, 2004); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 2012) and Mercury (Macrae et al., 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 2012) and PLATON (Spek, 2009).

MAR, DPA and SJX would like to thank the Board of Research in Nuclear Sciences, Department of Atomic Energy (BRNS-DAE) (file No. 2012/34/63/BRNS/2865; dated 01/03/ 2013), for funding this major research project.

Supporting information for this paper is available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: JJ2183).

References

Anderson, F. P., Gallagher, J. F., Kenny, P. T. M. & Lough, A. J. (2005). Acta Cryst. E61, o1350-o1353.

- Babu, K. S. S., Peramaiyan, G., NizamMohideen, M. & Mohan, R. (2014). Acta Cryst. E70, 0391–0392.
- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Bruker (2004). APEX2, SAINT and XPREP. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Derissen, J. L. & Smith, P. H. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 2240-2242.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Fu, D.-W., Zhang, W., Cai, H.-L., Ge, J.-Z., Zhang, Y. & Xiong, R.-G. (2011). Adv. Mater. 23, 5658–5662.
- Huq, C. A. M. A., Fouzia, S. & NizamMohideen, M. (2013). Acta Cryst. E69, 01766–01767.
- Karle, I., Gilardi, R. D., Chandrashekhar Rao, Ch., Muraleedharan, K. M. & Ranganathan, S. (2003). J. Chem. Crystallogr. 33, 727–749.

- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). J. Appl. Cryst. 41, 466–470.
- Sethuram, M., Bhargavi, G., Dhandapani, M., Amirthaganesan, G. & NizamMohideen, M. (2013a). Acta Cryst. E69, o1301–o1302.
- Sethuram, M., Rajasekharan, M. V., Dhandapani, M., Amirthaganesan, G. & NizamMohideen, M. (2013b). Acta Cryst. E69, 0957–0958.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2004). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Shihabuddeen Syed, A., Rajarajan, K. & NizamMohideen, M. (2013). Acta Cryst. E69, i33.
- Showrilu, K., Rajarajan, K. & NizamMohideen, M. (2013). Acta Cryst. E69, m469–m470.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2014). E70, o473-o474 [doi:10.1107/S160053681400525X]

2-Amino-5-nitropyridinium hydrogen oxalate

M. Ambrose Rajkumar, S. Stanly John Xavier, S. Anbarasu, Prem Anand Devarajan and M. NizamMohideen

S1. Comment

Simple organic–inorganic salts containing strong intermolecular hydrogen bonds have attracted an attention as materials which display ferroelectric-paraelectric phase transitions (Fu *et al.*, 2011; Sethuram, *et al.*, 2013*a,b*; Huq, *et al.*, 2013; Shihabuddeen Syed, *et al.*, 2013; Showrilu, *et al.*, 2013). As part of our ongoing investigations of pyridine derivatives (Babu *et al.*, 2014), the title compound was synthesized and we report herein on its crystal structure.

In the title salt, $(C_5H_6N_3O_2)^+$, $(C_2HO_4)^-$, the asymmetric unit consists of an independent 2-amino-5-nitropyridinium cation, and oxalic actetate anion, which lie on an inversion symmetry. A proton transfer from the carboxyl group of oxalic acid to atom N1 of 2-amino-5-nitro pyridinine resulted in the formation of a salt. This protonation lead to the widening of the C5-N1-C1 angle of the pyridine ring to 122.79 (14)°, compared to 115.25 (13)° in the unprotonated aminopyridine (Anderson *et al.*, 2005). This type of protonation is observed in various aminopyridine acid complexes (Babu *et al.*, 2014; Karle *et al.*, 2003).

The bond lengths and bond angles of the aminopyridine are comparable to the values reported earlier for aminopyridine (Babu *et al.*, 2014; Anderson *et al.*, 2005). The bond lengths and bond angles of the oxalate are comparable to the values reported for oxalic acid (Derissen & Smith, 1974). The non hydrogen pyridine ring, C1/C2/C3/C4/C5/N1, is planar with a maximum deviation of 0.006 (1)Å from the least squares plane for the C3 atom, with the endocyclic angles covering range of 117.88 (16) - 122.79 (14)°. The hydrogen oxalate anion O3/O4/O5/O6/C6/C7, is less planar with a maximum deviation of -0.131 (1)Å for the O3 and O6 atoms.

The crystal packing is consolidated by intermolecular N—H···O and O—H···O hydrogen bonds and weak C—H···O intermolecular interactions (Table 1 and Fig. 2). In the crystal structure, the 2-Amino-5-nitropyridinium unit is bound to acetate anions by five distinct N—H···O hydrogen bonds. The ion pairs are joined by two N—H···O hydrogen bonds in which the N atom of the 2-amino-5-nitroPyridinium unit acts as a bifurcated donor, thus generating $R_1^2(5)$ ring motifs (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995). The hydroxyl group hydrogen atom is also hydrogen-bonded to the carboxylate oxygen atom through strong intermolecular O—H···O hydrogen bonds, with the O···O distance of 2.4486 (16)Å, which is from a chain, C(5), running along the *b* axis (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995). The structure is further stabilized by weak C—H···O intermolecular inteactions, forming a three-dimensional network.

S2. Experimental

Crystals of the title compound were obtained by slow evaporation of a 1:1 mol. mixture of 2-amino-5-nitropyridine and oxalic acid in methanol at room temperature.

S3. Refinement

The amino group NH_2 H atoms of the pyridine derivatives were located in difference Fourier maps and refined in the riding mode approximation. The OH, NH(Protonated) and C-bound H-atoms were placed in calculated positions and treated as riding atoms: O-H = 0.82Å, N-H = 0.86Å, C-H = 0.93Å with Uiso(H) = 1.5Ueq(O) and = 1.2Ueq(N,C) for other H atoms.



Figure 1

View of the title compound showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% probability level. H atoms are presented as a small spheres of arbitrary radius.



Figure 2

The crystal packing of the title compound viewed along *a* axis. N—H…O, O—H…O hydrogen bonds and weak C—H…O intermolecular integractions are shown as dashed lines, forming a three-dimensional network. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been omitted for clarity.

2-Amino-5-nitropyridinium hydrogen oxalate

Crystal data	
$C_5H_6N_3O_2^+ \cdot C_2HO_4^-$	Z = 2
$M_r = 229.16$	F(000) = 236
Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.655 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm ~m}^{-3}$
Hall symbol: -P 1	Mo <i>K</i> α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
a = 5.5609 (2) Å	Cell parameters from 2794 reflections
b = 9.2012 (4) Å	$\theta = 2.4 - 31.1^{\circ}$
c = 9.2305 (4) Å	$\mu = 0.15 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\alpha = 90.245 (2)^{\circ}$	T = 293 K
$\beta = 98.500 \ (2)^{\circ}$	Block, colourless
$\gamma = 100.038 \ (2)^{\circ}$	$0.35 \times 0.30 \times 0.30$ mm
V = 459.74 (3) Å ³	
Data collection	
Bruker Kappa APEXII CCD	10142 measured reflections
diffractometer	1615 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1417 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Graphite monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.020$
Detector resolution: 0.1000 pixels mm ⁻¹	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25.0^\circ, \ \theta_{\rm min} = 2.2^\circ$
ω and φ scans	$h = -6 \rightarrow 6$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$k = -10 \rightarrow 10$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 2004)	$l = -10 \rightarrow 10$
$T_{\min} = 0.950, \ T_{\max} = 0.957$	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.039$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.110$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.07	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
1615 reflections	and constrained refinement
153 parameters	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0559P)^2 + 0.2329P]$
0 restraints	where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
direct methods	$\Delta ho_{ m max} = 0.28 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$
	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.32 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Special details

Geometry. All esds (except the esd in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell esds are taken into account individually in the estimation of esds in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between esds in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell esds is used for estimating esds involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R-factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F², conventional R-factors R are based on F, with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > 2sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R-factors(gt) etc. and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R-factors based on F² are statistically about twice as large as those based on F, and R- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
C1	0.3486 (3)	0.30577 (19)	0.87248 (18)	0.0302 (4)	
C2	0.5136 (3)	0.2468 (2)	0.97783 (19)	0.0361 (4)	
H2	0.5206	0.2695	1.0768	0.043*	
C3	0.6606 (3)	0.1580 (2)	0.9356 (2)	0.0380 (4)	
Н3	0.7711	0.1201	1.0046	0.046*	
C4	0.6446 (3)	0.12349 (19)	0.78639 (19)	0.0338 (4)	
C5	0.4879 (3)	0.18053 (19)	0.68547 (19)	0.0332 (4)	
Н5	0.4796	0.1582	0.5863	0.040*	
C6	0.8206 (3)	0.61691 (18)	0.66445 (17)	0.0270 (4)	
C7	1.0607 (3)	0.64305 (19)	0.59536 (17)	0.0269 (4)	
N1	0.3441 (3)	0.26987 (16)	0.72996 (15)	0.0323 (4)	
H1	0.2451	0.3057	0.6649	0.039*	
N2	0.2009 (3)	0.39211 (19)	0.90933 (19)	0.0408 (4)	
N3	0.7996 (3)	0.02858 (19)	0.73614 (19)	0.0450 (4)	
01	0.7803 (3)	-0.0001 (2)	0.60645 (19)	0.0674 (5)	
O2	0.9490 (4)	-0.0148 (3)	0.8270 (2)	0.0882 (7)	
O3	0.8197 (2)	0.56345 (16)	0.78510(13)	0.0410 (4)	
O4	0.6388 (2)	0.65291 (16)	0.58166 (14)	0.0409 (4)	
H4	0.5159	0.6372	0.6226	0.061*	
05	1.2521 (2)	0.62615 (16)	0.68401 (13)	0.0395 (4)	
O6	1.0537 (2)	0.67353 (14)	0.46624 (12)	0.0342 (3)	
H2A	0.114 (5)	0.439 (3)	0.842 (3)	0.060 (7)*	
H2B	0.208 (4)	0.407 (2)	1.005 (3)	0.051 (6)*	

supporting information

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
C1	0.0316 (9)	0.0336 (9)	0.0242 (8)	0.0042 (7)	0.0024 (7)	0.0058 (7)
C2	0.0424 (10)	0.0428 (10)	0.0217 (8)	0.0085 (8)	-0.0013 (7)	0.0051 (7)
C3	0.0379 (10)	0.0426 (10)	0.0313 (9)	0.0111 (8)	-0.0070 (7)	0.0093 (8)
C4	0.0324 (9)	0.0333 (9)	0.0351 (10)	0.0082 (7)	0.0002 (7)	0.0039 (7)
C5	0.0387 (10)	0.0347 (9)	0.0253 (9)	0.0074 (7)	0.0010 (7)	0.0015 (7)
C6	0.0212 (8)	0.0392 (9)	0.0216 (8)	0.0085 (7)	0.0027 (6)	0.0034 (6)
C7	0.0205 (8)	0.0390 (9)	0.0221 (8)	0.0082 (6)	0.0022 (6)	0.0029 (6)
N1	0.0344 (8)	0.0391 (8)	0.0231 (7)	0.0118 (6)	-0.0027 (6)	0.0064 (6)
N2	0.0464 (10)	0.0537 (10)	0.0275 (9)	0.0224 (8)	0.0064 (7)	0.0078 (7)
N3	0.0458 (10)	0.0423 (9)	0.0481 (11)	0.0169 (8)	-0.0003 (8)	0.0007 (7)
01	0.0832 (12)	0.0683 (11)	0.0567 (10)	0.0355 (9)	0.0044 (9)	-0.0148 (8)
02	0.0942 (14)	0.1202 (17)	0.0668 (12)	0.0807 (14)	-0.0069 (10)	0.0069 (11)
03	0.0313 (7)	0.0720 (9)	0.0249 (7)	0.0190 (6)	0.0090 (5)	0.0143 (6)
04	0.0193 (6)	0.0712 (9)	0.0359 (7)	0.0150 (6)	0.0074 (5)	0.0221 (6)
05	0.0192 (6)	0.0751 (10)	0.0257 (6)	0.0139 (6)	0.0014 (5)	0.0102 (6)
06	0.0257 (6)	0.0560 (8)	0.0234 (6)	0.0122 (5)	0.0054 (5)	0.0099 (5)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—N2	1.315 (2)	C6—O3	1.220 (2)
C1—N1	1.351 (2)	C6—O4	1.268 (2)
C1—C2	1.413 (2)	C6—C7	1.545 (2)
С2—С3	1.347 (3)	С7—Об	1.222 (2)
С2—Н2	0.9300	C7—O5	1.2759 (19)
C3—C4	1.398 (3)	N1—H1	0.8600
С3—Н3	0.9300	N2—H2A	0.89 (3)
C4—C5	1.352 (2)	N2—H2B	0.89 (3)
C4—N3	1.448 (2)	N3—O1	1.210 (2)
C5—N1	1.344 (2)	N3—O2	1.211 (2)
С5—Н5	0.9300	O4—H4	0.8200
N2—C1—N1	119.96 (16)	O3—C6—C7	120.04 (14)
N2-C1-C2	122.16 (16)	O4—C6—C7	112.84 (13)
N1—C1—C2	117.88 (16)	O6—C7—O5	126.27 (14)
C3—C2—C1	120.31 (16)	O6—C7—C6	120.06 (14)
С3—С2—Н2	119.8	O5—C7—C6	113.64 (13)
C1—C2—H2	119.8	C5—N1—C1	122.79 (14)
C2—C3—C4	118.97 (16)	C5—N1—H1	118.6
С2—С3—Н3	120.5	C1—N1—H1	118.6
С4—С3—Н3	120.5	C1—N2—H2A	121.5 (16)
C5—C4—C3	120.75 (17)	C1—N2—H2B	115.1 (15)
C5—C4—N3	118.45 (16)	H2A—N2—H2B	123 (2)
C3—C4—N3	120.79 (16)	O1—N3—O2	123.01 (19)
N1C5C4	119.29 (16)	O1—N3—C4	119.28 (16)
N1—C5—H5	120.4	O2—N3—C4	117.68 (17)

supporting information

C4—C5—H5 O3—C6—O4	120.4 127.10 (15)	С6—О4—Н4	109.5
N2-C1-C2-C3 N1-C1-C2-C3 C1-C2-C3-C4 C2-C3-C4-C5 C2-C3-C4-N3 C3-C4-C5-N1 N3-C4-C5-N1 O3-C6-C7-O6	179.55 (17) 0.1 (3) -0.9 (3) 1.3 (3) 179.89 (17) -0.8 (3) -179.43 (16) 168.06 (17) -10.3 (2)	O3-C6-C7-O5 O4-C6-C7-O5 C4-C5-N1-C1 N2-C1-N1-C5 C2-C1-N1-C5 C5-C4-N3-O1 C3-C4-N3-O1 C5-C4-N3-O2	-10.4 (2) 171.21 (16) -0.1 (3) -179.04 (16) 0.4 (3) -1.9 (3) 179.50 (18) 175.9 (2) -2.7 (3)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

	D—H	H···A	D····A	D—H···A
C2—H2···O5 ⁱ	0.93	2.48	3.323 (2)	152
C3—H3…O2 ⁱⁱ	0.93	2.37	3.296 (2)	178
C5—H5···O1 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.93	2.42	3.186 (2)	140
C5—H5…O4 ^{iv}	0.93	2.44	2.970 (2)	116
N1— $H1$ ···O4 ^{iv}	0.86	2.47	2.9770 (18)	119
N1—H1···O6 ^{iv}	0.86	1.94	2.7697 (18)	160
O4—H4···O5 ^v	0.82	1.64	2.4486 (16)	170
N2—H2A···O3 ^v	0.89 (3)	2.16 (3)	2.959 (2)	149 (2)
N2—H2 A ···O5 ^v	0.89 (3)	2.36 (3)	3.007 (2)	130 (2)
N2—H2 <i>B</i> ····O3 ^{vi}	0.89 (3)	1.99 (3)	2.870 (2)	173 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+2, -y+1, -z+2; (ii) -x+2, -y, -z+2; (iii) -x+1, -y, -z+1; (iv) -x+1, -y+1, -z+1; (v) x-1, y, z; (vi) -x+1, -y+1, -z+2.