# organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## 2-p-Tolyl-2,3-dihydroguinolin-4(1H)-one

### Meryem Chelghoum,<sup>a</sup> Abdelmalek Bouraiou,<sup>a</sup> Sofiane Bouacida,<sup>b,c</sup>\* Mebarek Bahnous<sup>a</sup> and Ali Belfaitah<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Laboratoire des Produits Naturels d'Origine Végétale, et de Synthèse Organique, PHYSYNOR Université Constantine 1, 25000 Constantine, Algeria, <sup>b</sup>Unité de Recherche de Cimie de l'Environnement et Moléculaire Structurale, CHEMS, Université Constantine 1, 25000, Algeria, and <sup>c</sup>Département Sciences de la Matière, Faculté des Sciences Exactes et Sciences de la Nature et de la Vie, Université Oum El Bouaghi, 04000 Oum El Bouaghi, Algeria Correspondence e-mail: bouacida\_sofiane@yahoo.fr

Received 16 January 2014; accepted 23 January 2014

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 150 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.053; wR factor = 0.153; data-to-parameter ratio = 17.2.

In the title molecule,  $C_{16}H_{15}NO$ , the tetrahydropyridine ring is in a sofa conformation with the methine C atom forming the flap. The dihedral angle between the benzene rings is 80.85 (8)°. In the crystal, molecules are arranged in alternating double layers parallel to (100) and are connected along [001] by N-H···O hydrogen bonds. In addition, weak C-H··· $\pi$ interactions are observed.

#### **Related literature**

For applications of quinolines, see: Hepworth (1984). For the synthesis and applications of similar compounds see: Donnelly & Farrell (1990a,b); Chandrasekhar et al. (2007); Kumar et al. (2004); Gordon (2001); Olivier-Bourbigou & Magna (2002); Tokes & Szilagyi (1987); Tokes & Litkei (1993); Benzerka et al. (2012, 2013); Hayour et al. (2011) Chelghoum et al. (2012). For related structures, see: Tokes et al. (1992); Benzerka et al. (2011); Bouraiou et al. (2011).



#### **Experimental**

#### Crystal data

C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>15</sub> NO	V = 2526.3 (3) Å <sup>3</sup>
$M_r = 237.29$	Z = 8
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 17.6363 (14)  Å	$\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 10.7968 (9)  Å	$T = 150 { m K}$
c = 13.6308 (9)  Å	$0.52 \times 0.33 \times 0.27 \text{ mm}$
$\beta = 103.260 \ (3)^{\circ}$	

#### Data collection

Bruker APEXII diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2002)  $T_{\min} = 0.873, T_{\max} = 0.979$ 

#### Refinement

ŀ

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.053$	H atoms treated by a mixture of
$wR(F^2) = 0.153$	independent and constrained
S = 1.05	refinement
2875 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.69 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
167 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.28 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

6746 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.026$ 

2875 independent reflections

2279 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

#### Table 1

#### Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

c.		<b>- 1</b>		- £ 41	$C_{12}$ $C_{10}$	$C_2 C_0$		the state of the s
$\sigma$	ana a a	are the	centrolos	of the	1 1 3 L 1 8 2 n a	1 1 9 1	nnge ree	necriveiv
<u> 5</u> .	and cs2	are the	controlus	or the	CID CID and		11123, 103	pectively.

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$\overline{\begin{array}{c} N1 - H1N \cdots O12^{i} \\ C4 - H4 \cdots Cg1^{ii} \\ C14 - H14 \cdots Cg2^{iii} \end{array}}$	0.88 (2)	2.09 (2)	2.9484 (17)	166.9 (18)
	0.95	2.70	3.546 (2)	149
	0.95	2.80	3.617 (2)	144

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x, -y, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii) -x + 1, -y, -z; (iii)  $x, -y, z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

Data collection: APEX2 (Bruker, 2006); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2006); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR2002 (Burla et al., 2005); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 2012) and DIAMOND (Brandenburg & Berndt, 2001); software used to prepare material for publication: WinGX (Farrugia, 2012) and CRYSCAL (T. Roisnel, local program).

Thanks are due to MESRS (Ministére de l'Enseignement Supérieur et de la Recherche Scientifique - Algeria) for financial support. We are grateful to Dr Thierry Roisnel of the Centre de difractométrie de Rennes, Université de Rennes 1, France, for technical assistance with the data collection.

Supporting information for this paper is available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LH5684).

#### References

Benzerka, S., Bouraiou, A., Bouacida, S., Roisnel, T., Bentchouala, C., Smati, F. & Belfaitah, A. (2012). Lett. Org. Chem. 9, 309-313.

Benzerka, S., Bouraiou, A., Bouacida, S., Roisnel, T., Bentchouala, C., Smati, F., Carboni, B. & Belfaitah, A. (2013). Lett. Org. Chem. 10, 94-99.

Bouraiou, A., Berrée, F., Bouacida, S., Carboni, C., Debache, A., Roisnel, T. & Belfaitah, A. (2011). Lett. Org. Chem. 8, 474-477.

Brandenburg, K. & Berndt, M. (2001). DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.



Benzerka, S., Bouraiou, A., Bouacida, S., Roisnel, T. & Belfaitah, A. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67. 02084-02085.

- Bruker, (2006). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Burla, M. C., Caliandro, R., Camalli, M., Carrozzini, B., Cascarano, G. L., De Caro, L., Giacovazzo, C., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (2005). J. Appl. Cryst. 38, 381–388.
- Chandrasekhar, S., Vijeender, K. & Sridhar, C. (2007). Tetrahedron Lett. 48, 4935–4937.
- Chelghoum, M., Bahnous, M., Bouraiou, A., Bouacida, S. & Belfaitah, A. (2012). *Tetrahedron Lett.* 53, 4059–4061.
- Donnelly, J. A. & Farrell, D. F. (1990a). Tetrahedron, 46, 885-894.
- Donnelly, J. A. & Farrell, D. F. (1990b). J. Org. Chem. 55, 1757-1761.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Gordon, C. M. (2001). Appl. Catal. A, 222, 101-117.

- Hayour, H., Bouraiou, A., Bouacida, S., Berrée, F., Carboni, B., Roisnel, T. & Belfaitah, A. (2011). *Tetrahedron Lett.* **52**, 4868–4871.
- Hepworth, J. D. (1984). Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry, edited by A. R. Katritzky & C. W. Rees, Vol. 2, pp. 165–524. Oxford: Pergamon Press.
- Kumar, K. H., Muralidharan, D. & Perumal, P. T. (2004). Synthesis, pp. 63–68.
  Olivier-Bourbigou, H. & Magna, L. (2002). J. Mol. Catal. A Chem. 182, 419–437
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2002). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Tokes, A. L. & Litkei, G. (1993). Synth. Commun. 23, 895-902.
- Tokes, A. L., Litkei, G. & Szilagyi, L. (1992). Synth. Commun. 22, 2433-2445.
- Tokes, A. L. & Szilagyi, L. (1987). Synth. Commun. 17, 1235–1245.

Acta Cryst. (2014). E70, o214–o215 [doi:10.1107/S1600536814001676]

## 2-p-Tolyl-2,3-dihydroquinolin-4(1H)-one

## Meryem Chelghoum, Abdelmalek Bouraiou, Sofiane Bouacida, Mebarek Bahnous and Ali Belfaitah

## S1. Comment

The role of heterocyclic compounds has become increasingly important in designing new classes of structural entities of medicinal importance. Quinolines are interesting synthetic targets because they act as building blocks for a large number of natural products (Hepworth, 1984). The formation of 2.3-dihydroquinolin-4(1H)-ones is generally accomplished by acid- or base-catalyzed isomerization of substituted 2'-aminochalcones (Donnelly & Farrell, 1990a,b; Tokes & Litkei, 1993). Most of these procedures involve the use of corrosive reagents such as orthophosphoric acid, acetic acid, or strong alkali. Many attempts have therefore been made to explore efficient catalysts to accelerate this reaction. Some of them are of limited synthetic scope due to low yields, long reaction times, and the need for a large amount of catalyst, specialized solvents (Tokes & Szilagvi, 1987; Tokes et al., 1992), or microwave activation (Kumar et al., 2004; Gordon, 2001; Olivier-Bourbigou & Magna, 2002). As part of our continuingeffort toward the development of new methods for the synthesis of biologically relevant heterocyclic compounds (Benzerka et al., 2012, 2013; Hayour et al., 2011), we have, recently, developed a procedure using butylmethylimidazolium(bmim).BF4 as a green solvent to provide an efficient and convenient protocol for the synthesis of 2,3-dihydroquinolin-4(1H)-ones from 2'-aminochalcones without the requirement for an additional catalyst (Chelghoum et al., 2012). We wish to describe herein the synthesis and singlecrystal X-ray structure of 2-p-tolyl-2,3-dihydroquinolin-4(1H)-one (I). The molecular structure and the atom-numbering scheme of (I) are shown in Fig. 1. The molecule of consists of a dihydroquinolin-4(1H)-one moiety attached to tolyl group. The (1H)-dihydropyridine ring (C2/C10/C11/C13/C18/N1) is in a sofa conformation with atom C2 forming the flap. The dihedral angle between the two benzene rings (C3-C9/C13-C18) is 80.85 (8)°. The crystal packing can be described as alternating double layers parallel to (100) (Fig. 2). Intermolecular N-H···O hydrogen bonds (Fig.3; Table. 1) link the molecules along [100]. In addition, weak C—H $\cdots\pi$  interactions are observed.

## **S2. Experimental**

The substituted 2'-aminochalcone (0.5 mmol) and [bmim]BF4 (1 g) were heated at 423K for 2.5 h. Under these conditions, the title compound was successfully synthesized in good yield (92%). Single crystals suitable for the X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained by dissolving the pure compound in an  $Et_2O/CHCl_3$  mixture and allowing the solution to slowly evaporate at room temperature.

## **S3. Refinement**

All non-H atoms were refined with anisotropic atomic displacement parameters. Approximate positions for all the H atoms were first obtained from the difference electron density map. However, the H atoms were situated in idealized positions and the H-atoms were refined in a riding-motion approximation. The applied constraints were as follow:  $C_{aryl}$ — $H_{aryl} = 0.95 \text{ Å}$ ;  $C_{methylene}$ — $H_{methylene} = 0.99 \text{ Å}$ ;  $C_{methyl}$ — $H_{methyl} = 0.98 \text{ Å}$ ; and  $C_{methine}$ — $H_{methine} = 1.0 \text{ Å}$ ; The idealized methyl

group was allowed to rotate about the C—C bond during the refinement by application of the command AFIX 137 in *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008).  $U_{iso}(H_{methyl}) = 1.5U_{eq}(C_{methyl})$  or  $U_{iso}(H_{aryl}, _{methylene}, _{methylene}) = 1.2 U_{eq}(C_{aryl}, _{methylene}, _{methylene})$ . Atom H1N was found in a difference electron density map and refined isotropically with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(N)$ 



### Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I) with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level. H atoms are represented as small spheres of arbitrary radius.



## Figure 2

The crystal packing showing alternating double layers parallel to (100) viewed along the c axis.



### Figure 3

Part of the crystal structure viewed along the b axis showing hydrogen bond as dashed lines.

2-p-Tolyl-2,3-dihydroquinolin-4(1H)-one

Crystal data

C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NO  $M_r = 237.29$ Monoclinic, C2/c Hall symbol: -C 2yc a = 17.6363 (14) Å b = 10.7968 (9) Å c = 13.6308 (9) Å  $\beta = 103.260 (3)^{\circ}$   $V = 2526.3 (3) \text{ Å}^{3}$ Z = 8

#### Data collection

ependent reflections
ections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
26
$5^\circ, \theta_{\min} = 2.6^\circ$
<b>→</b> 22
<b>→</b> 10
10

F(000) = 1008  $D_x = 1.248 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K $\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 2437 reflections  $\theta = 2.4-27.5^{\circ}$   $\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 150 KPrism, colourless  $0.52 \times 0.33 \times 0.27 \text{ mm}$  Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.053$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.153$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.05	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent
2875 reflections	and constrained refinement
167 parameters	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0758P)^2 + 2.2919P]$
0 restraints	where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
direct methods	$\Delta  ho_{ m max} = 0.69 \  m e \  m \AA^{-3}$
	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.28 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

## Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes. **Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$	
C2	0.58114 (9)	0.12806 (16)	0.08077 (12)	0.0263 (4)	
H2	0.5264	0.0972	0.0596	0.032*	
C3	0.58821 (10)	0.24612 (15)	0.02362 (12)	0.0260 (4)	
C4	0.52341 (10)	0.29679 (18)	-0.03934 (14)	0.0346 (4)	
H4	0.4744	0.2568	-0.0469	0.042*	
C5	0.52839 (11)	0.40454 (19)	-0.09163 (15)	0.0378 (4)	
H5	0.4824	0.438	-0.1337	0.045*	
C6	0.59864 (10)	0.46561 (16)	-0.08463 (12)	0.0273 (4)	
C7	0.60403 (12)	0.58261 (18)	-0.14265 (15)	0.0402 (5)	
H7A	0.6587	0.608	-0.1317	0.06*	
H7B	0.574	0.6483	-0.1194	0.06*	
H7C	0.5829	0.5677	-0.2147	0.06*	
C8	0.66448 (9)	0.41410 (17)	-0.02209 (12)	0.0281 (4)	
H8	0.7136	0.4532	-0.0161	0.034*	
C9	0.65959 (10)	0.30599 (17)	0.03197 (12)	0.0295 (4)	
H9	0.7052	0.2727	0.0749	0.035*	
C10	0.60048 (10)	0.14901 (16)	0.19407 (11)	0.0284 (4)	
H10A	0.6503	0.1948	0.2132	0.034*	
H10B	0.5595	0.2018	0.2113	0.034*	
C11	0.60719 (10)	0.03170 (16)	0.25526 (12)	0.0269 (4)	
C13	0.63292 (9)	-0.07957 (16)	0.21014 (11)	0.0233 (3)	
C14	0.64681 (10)	-0.19096 (17)	0.26412 (12)	0.0296 (4)	
H14	0.6365	-0.1956	0.3294	0.035*	
C15	0.67503 (10)	-0.29353 (17)	0.22452 (13)	0.0312 (4)	

H15	0.6843	-0.3684	0.262	0.037*	
C16	0.68997 (9)	-0.28610 (16)	0.12829 (13)	0.0287 (4)	
H16	0.7099	-0.3564	0.1007	0.034*	
C17	0.67624 (9)	-0.17860 (16)	0.07284 (12)	0.0253 (4)	
H17	0.6868	-0.1755	0.0076	0.03*	
C18	0.64660 (8)	-0.07303 (15)	0.11196 (11)	0.0206 (3)	
N1	0.63337 (8)	0.03393 (13)	0.05626 (10)	0.0229 (3)	
H1N	0.6284 (11)	0.0219 (18)	-0.0085 (16)	0.028*	
012	0.59607 (9)	0.03372 (13)	0.34096 (9)	0.0416 (4)	

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	<i>U</i> <sup>23</sup>
C2	0.0283 (8)	0.0280 (9)	0.0236 (8)	-0.0016 (7)	0.0076 (6)	-0.0007 (6)
C3	0.0363 (9)	0.0234 (8)	0.0213 (7)	0.0002 (7)	0.0130 (6)	-0.0022 (6)
C4	0.0292 (8)	0.0348 (10)	0.0393 (10)	-0.0087 (7)	0.0068 (7)	0.0020 (8)
C5	0.0291 (9)	0.0382 (11)	0.0413 (10)	-0.0014 (8)	-0.0018 (7)	0.0088 (8)
C6	0.0341 (9)	0.0227 (8)	0.0257 (8)	-0.0020 (7)	0.0080 (6)	-0.0003 (6)
C7	0.0520 (11)	0.0280 (10)	0.0395 (10)	-0.0034 (8)	0.0084 (8)	0.0063 (8)
C8	0.0237 (7)	0.0313 (9)	0.0301 (8)	-0.0046 (7)	0.0075 (6)	-0.0040 (7)
C9	0.0291 (8)	0.0331 (10)	0.0252 (8)	0.0069 (7)	0.0042 (6)	0.0009 (7)
C10	0.0406 (9)	0.0267 (9)	0.0198 (7)	0.0029 (7)	0.0110 (7)	-0.0022 (6)
C11	0.0333 (8)	0.0314 (9)	0.0166 (7)	0.0006 (7)	0.0072 (6)	-0.0006 (6)
C13	0.0255 (7)	0.0265 (8)	0.0179 (7)	-0.0004 (6)	0.0054 (6)	-0.0005 (6)
C14	0.0353 (9)	0.0329 (10)	0.0210 (7)	0.0009 (7)	0.0074 (6)	0.0050 (7)
C15	0.0338 (9)	0.0278 (9)	0.0315 (9)	0.0023 (7)	0.0063 (7)	0.0063 (7)
C16	0.0277 (8)	0.0260 (9)	0.0339 (9)	0.0014 (7)	0.0098 (7)	-0.0027 (7)
C17	0.0265 (8)	0.0277 (9)	0.0238 (7)	-0.0021 (6)	0.0100 (6)	-0.0035 (6)
C18	0.0197 (7)	0.0236 (8)	0.0187 (7)	-0.0041 (6)	0.0047 (5)	-0.0022 (6)
N1	0.0313 (7)	0.0236 (7)	0.0159 (6)	-0.0003 (5)	0.0097 (5)	-0.0013 (5)
O12	0.0695 (10)	0.0406 (8)	0.0189 (6)	0.0102 (7)	0.0186 (6)	0.0020 (5)

## Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C2—N1	1.461 (2)	C10—C11	1.506 (2)
С2—С3	1.514 (2)	C10—H10A	0.99
C2-C10	1.520 (2)	C10—H10B	0.99
С2—Н2	1	C11—O12	1.228 (2)
C3—C4	1.376 (2)	C11—C13	1.468 (2)
С3—С9	1.396 (2)	C13—C14	1.402 (2)
C4—C5	1.378 (3)	C13—C18	1.415 (2)
C4—H4	0.95	C14—C15	1.374 (3)
С5—С6	1.387 (2)	C14—H14	0.95
С5—Н5	0.95	C15—C16	1.398 (2)
C6—C8	1.389 (2)	C15—H15	0.95
С6—С7	1.505 (2)	C16—C17	1.376 (2)
С7—Н7А	0.98	C16—H16	0.95
С7—Н7В	0.98	C17—C18	1.409 (2)

С7—Н7С	0.98	С17—Н17	0.95
C8—C9	1.394 (2)	C18—N1	1.372 (2)
C8—H8	0.95	N1—H1N	0.88 (2)
С9—Н9	0.95		( )
N1—C2—C3	109.72 (13)	C11—C10—C2	114.09 (14)
N1—C2—C10	109.24 (13)	C11—C10—H10A	108.7
C3—C2—C10	111.82 (14)	C2-C10-H10A	108.7
N1—C2—H2	108.7	C11—C10—H10B	108.7
C3—C2—H2	108 7	C2-C10-H10B	108 7
C10-C2-H2	108 7	H10A—C10—H10B	107.6
C4-C3-C9	118 11 (15)	012-011-013	123 04 (15)
C4-C3-C2	120.08 (15)	012 - 011 - 013	120.01(15)
$C_{1} = C_{2}$	120.00 (15)	$C_{13}$ $C_{11}$ $C_{10}$	120.15(13)
$C_{3} C_{4} C_{5}$	121.01(15) 121.08(16)	$C_{13}$ $C_{13}$ $C_{18}$	110.00(15) 110.47(15)
$C_3 = C_4 = C_3$	121.08 (10)	$C_{14} = C_{13} = C_{18}$	119.47(13)
$C_5 = C_4 = 114$	119.5	C14 - C13 - C11	121.03(14)
$C_3 = C_4 = H_4$	119.5		119.43(14)
C4 - C5 - C6	121.84 (10)	C15 - C14 - C13	121.38 (15)
C4—C5—H5	119.1	C15—C14—H14	119.3
C6—C5—H5	119.1	C13—C14—H14	119.3
C5-C6-C8	117.36 (16)	C14—C15—C16	119.03 (16)
C5—C6—C7	121.72 (16)	С14—С15—Н15	120.5
C8—C6—C7	120.92 (16)	C16—C15—H15	120.5
С6—С7—Н7А	109.5	C17—C16—C15	121.09 (16)
С6—С7—Н7В	109.5	C17—C16—H16	119.5
H7A—C7—H7B	109.5	C15—C16—H16	119.5
С6—С7—Н7С	109.5	C16—C17—C18	120.56 (14)
H7A—C7—H7C	109.5	С16—С17—Н17	119.7
H7B—C7—H7C	109.5	C18—C17—H17	119.7
C6—C8—C9	121.02 (15)	N1—C18—C17	120.15 (13)
С6—С8—Н8	119.5	N1-C18-C13	121.39 (14)
С9—С8—Н8	119.5	C17—C18—C13	118.44 (14)
C8—C9—C3	120.57 (15)	C18—N1—C2	119.67 (12)
С8—С9—Н9	119.7	C18—N1—H1N	113.4 (13)
С3—С9—Н9	119.7	C2—N1—H1N	114.2 (13)
			( )
N1—C2—C3—C4	122.88 (17)	C10—C11—C13—C14	175.01 (15)
C10-C2-C3-C4	-115.76 (17)	Q12-C11-C13-C18	-178.14 (16)
N1-C2-C3-C9	-56.54(19)	C10-C11-C13-C18	-2.7(2)
$C_{10} - C_{2} - C_{3} - C_{9}$	64 8 (2)	C18—C13—C14—C15	14(2)
$C_{9}-C_{3}-C_{4}-C_{5}$	-0.9(3)	$C_{11}$ $C_{13}$ $C_{14}$ $C_{15}$	-176.33(15)
$C_{2} = C_{3} = C_{4} = C_{5}$	179 62 (17)	$C_{13}$ $C_{14}$ $C_{15}$ $C_{16}$	-0.1(3)
$C_{3}$ $C_{4}$ $C_{5}$ $C_{6}$	10(3)	$C_{14}$ $C_{15}$ $C_{16}$ $C_{17}$	-0.6(3)
$C_{4} = C_{5} = C_{6} = C_{8}$	-0.3(3)	$C_{15}$ $C_{15}$ $C_{16}$ $C_{17}$ $C_{18}$	0.0(3)
$C_{1} = C_{2} = C_{0} = C_{0}$	170 37 (18)	$C_{10} - C_{10} - C_{17} - C_{10}$	17075(14)
$C_{-} = C_{-} = C_{-} = C_{-}$	-0.6(3)	$C_{10} - C_{17} - C_{10} - I_{N1}$	1 2 (2)
$C_{2} = C_{1} = C_{2} = C_{2}$	0.0(3)	$C_{10} - C_{17} - C_{10} - C_{13}$	1.3(2)
$C_{1} = C_{0} = C_{0} = C_{1}^{2}$	1/9.79(10)	$C_{14} = C_{13} = C_{16} = N_1$	1/9.03 (14)
0-08-09-03	0.7 (3)	C11-C13-C18-NI	-2.6 (2)

C4—C3—C9—C8	0.1 (2)	C14—C13—C18—C17	-1.9 (2)
C2—C3—C9—C8	179.54 (15)	C11—C13—C18—C17	175.80 (14)
N1-C2-C10-C11	-48.91 (19)	C17—C18—N1—C2	160.66 (14)
C3—C2—C10—C11	-170.55 (14)	C13-C18-N1-C2	-20.9 (2)
C2-C10-C11-O12	-155.13 (16)	C3—C2—N1—C18	168.89 (13)
C2-C10-C11-C13	29.3 (2)	C10-C2-N1-C18	45.99 (19)
O12-C11-C13-C14	-0.4 (3)		

## Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Cg1 and Cg2 are the centroids of the C13-C18 and C3-C9 rings, respectively.

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	D—H···A	
N1—H1 <i>N</i> ···O12 <sup>i</sup>	0.88 (2)	2.09 (2)	2.9484 (17)	166.9 (18)	
C4—H4··· <i>Cg</i> 1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.95	2.70	3.546 (2)	149	
C14—H14…Cg2 <sup>iii</sup>	0.95	2.80	3.617 (2)	144	

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*, *-y*, *z*-1/2; (ii) *-x*+1, *-y*, *-z*; (iii) *x*, *-y*, *z*+1/2.