## metal-organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

### Tetrakis( $\mu_3$ -2-{[1,1-bis(hydroxymethyl)-2-oxidoethyl]iminomethyl}-6-nitrophenolato)tetracopper(II)

### Eduard N. Chygorin,<sup>a</sup> Yuri O. Smal,<sup>a</sup> Vladimir N. Kokozay<sup>a</sup>\* and Irina V. Omelchenko<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, 64\13 Volodymyrska St, Kyiv 01601, Ukraine, and <sup>b</sup>STC 'Institute for Single Crystals', National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 60 Lenina Avenue, Kharkiv 61001, Ukraine

Correspondence e-mail: kokozay@univ.kiev.ua

Received 11 December 2013; accepted 13 January 2014

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 173 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.012 Å; R factor = 0.075; wR factor = 0.184; data-to-parameter ratio = 18.4.

The title cluster,  $[Cu_4(C_{11}H_{12}N_2O_6)_4]$ , was obtained from the Cu<sup>0</sup>-FeCl<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O-H<sub>4</sub>L-Et<sub>3</sub>N-DMF reaction system (in air), where  $H_4L$  is 2-hydroxymethyl-2{[(2-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl)methylidene]amino}propane-1,3-diol and DMF is dimethylformamide. The asymmetric unit consists of one Cu<sup>2+</sup> ion and one dianionic ligand; a  $\overline{4}$  symmetry element generates the cluster, which contains a  $\{Cu_4O_4\}$  cubane-like core. The metal ion has an elongated square-based pyramidal CuNO<sub>4</sub> coordination geometry with the N atom in a basal site. An intramolecular O-H···O hydrogen bond is observed. The solvent molecules were found to be highly disordered and their contribution to the scattering was removed with the SQUEEZE procedure in PLATON [Spek (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155], which indicated a solvent cavity of volume 3131  $Å^3$  containing approximately 749 electrons. These solvent molecules are not considered in the given chemical formula.

### **Related literature**

For general background to direct synthesis (DS), see: Kokozay & Shevchenko (2005). For related structures, see: Dey et al. (2002); Dong et al. (2007); Guo et al. (2008). For successful realisation of DS, see: Chygorin et al. (2012); Nesterov et al. (2012).



Z = 4

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

 $0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.30 \text{ mm}$ 

3349 measured reflections 3349 independent reflections

1395 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

 $\mu = 1.17 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 173 K

**CrossMark** 

### **Experimental**

Crvstal data  $[Cu_4(C_{11}H_{12}N_2O_6)_4]$  $M_r = 1327.06$ Tetragonal,  $I4_1/a$ a = 20.5587 (14) Åc = 18.010 (2) Å V = 7612.0 (11) Å<sup>3</sup>

#### Data collection

Agilent Xcalibur Sapphire3 diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrysAlis PRO; Agilent, 2012)  $T_{\min} = 0.653, T_{\max} = 0.721$ 

### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.075$	182 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.184$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 0.80	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.94 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
3349 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.57 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

### Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

Cu1-O1	1.892 (5)	Cu1-O6	1.954 (4)
Cu1-O6 <sup>i</sup>	1.940 (5)	Cu1-O6 <sup>ii</sup>	2.524 (5)
Cu1-N1	1.952 (6)		

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-y + \frac{5}{4}$ ,  $x + \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $-z + \frac{1}{4}$ ; (ii) -x + 1,  $-y + \frac{3}{2}$ , z.

### Table 2

nyulogen-bond geometry (A,	Å, °).	geometry	drogen-bond	Η
----------------------------	--------	----------	-------------	---

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O4-H4A\cdots O4^{ii}$	0.78	1.96	2.729 (9)	171
Symmetry code: (ii) -	$x + 1, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z$			

Data collection: CrysAlis CCD (Agilent, 2012); cell refinement: CrysAlis RED (Agilent, 2012); data reduction: CrysAlis RED; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: OLEX2 (Dolomanov et al., 2009); molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: publCIF (Westrip, 2010).

This work was partly supported by the State Fund for Fundamental Research of Ukraine (project 54.3/005).

Supporting information for this paper is available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: HB7174).

### References

- Agilent (2012). CrysAlis PRO, CrysAlis CCD and CrysAlis RED. Agilent Technologies Ltd, Yarnton, England.
- Chygorin, E. N., Nesterova, O. V., Rusanova, J. A., Kokozay, V. N., Bon, V. V., Boca, R. & Ozarowski, A. (2012). *Inorg. Chem.* **51**, 386–396.
- Dey, M., Rao, C. P., Saarenketo, P. K. & Rissanen, K. (2002). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 5, 380–383.

Dolomanov, O. V., Bourhis, L. J., Gildea, R. J., Howard, J. A. K. & Puschmann, H. (2009). J. Appl. Cryst. 42, 339–341.

Dong, J.-F., Li, L.-Z., Xu, H.-Y. & Wang, D.-Q. (2007). *Acta Cryst.* E63, m2300. Guo, Y., Li, L., Liu, Y., Dong, J. & Wang, D. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* E64, m675–676.

Kokozay, V. N. & Shevchenko, D. V. (2005). *Mater. Sci. Pol.* **23**, 287–312.

Nesterov, D. S., Chygorin, E. N., Kokozay, V. N., Bon, V. V., Boca, R., Kozlov, Y. N., Shul'pina, L. S., Jezierska, J., Ozarowski, A., Pombeiro, A. J. L. & Shul'pin, G. B. (2012). *Inorg. Chem.* **51**, 9110–9122.

- Shull pin, G. B. (2012). *Inorg. Chem.* **51**, 9110–912 Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* A**64**, 112–122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148–155.
- Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920–925.

# supporting information

### Acta Cryst. (2014). E70, m54–m55 [doi:10.1107/S1600536814000798]

## Tetrakis(µ<sub>3</sub>-2-{[1,1-bis(hydroxymethyl)-2-oxidoethyl]iminomethyl}-6-nitrophenolato)tetracopper(II)

### Eduard N. Chygorin, Yuri O. Smal, Vladimir N. Kokozay and Irina V. Omelchenko

### S1. Comment

In last few decades polynuclear complexes have been in focus of intense interest due to their relevance to the active sites of metaloenzimes, and their potential applications as magnetic materials. Thus development of synthetic approaches that could lead to new polynuclear compounds or improve their yields is quite important. Our research group is interested in employment of so-called "direct synthesis" (DS), a serendipitous self-assembling approach based on utilization of metal powders as starting materials to construct coordination compounds both homo- and heterometallic ones. Recently we have shown its ability to produce Co/Fe complexes with Schiff base ligand (Chygorin *et al.*, 2012; Nesterov *et al.*, 2012). It should be noted that outcome of DS is not highly predictable and sometimes we can isolated homometallic or mononuclear complexes only. Such a case was observed in the investigated system: Cu<sup>0</sup>–FeCl<sub>2</sub>4H<sub>2</sub>O–H<sub>4</sub>L–Et<sub>3</sub>N–dmf, where H<sub>4</sub>L is 2-hydroxymethyl-2{[(2-hydroxy-3-nitrophenyl)methylene]amino}propane-1,3-diol (Fig. 1). The Schiff base ligand, that is obtained by condencation of the salicylaldehyde derivative and tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane is typical hydroxy-rich ligand, which can coordinate to several metal centers and accepts various coordination modes, and thus it is an attractive ligand system for serendipitous self-assembling. Despite of this fact this Schiff base ligand has recived little attention to date [only 35 hits were found by searching *via* CSD (http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/cgi-bin/catreq.cgi?)]. Herein we report the synthesis of a new tetranuclear cubane complex starting from potentially polydentate hydroxyl-rich ligand.

The reaction of copper powder with iron(II) chloride in dmf solution of the tetrapodal Schiff base ligand, formed *in situ*, in basic medium with free access of air leads to the isolation of the homometallic cuban complex  $[Cu_4(C_{11}H_{12}O_6N_2)_4]$ . The Schiff base ligand H<sub>4</sub>L was obtained by condensation of 3-nitro-salicylaldehyde and tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Fig. 1). The molar ratio of starting materials (Cu<sup>0</sup>: FeCl<sub>2</sub>: Schiff base ligand) was taken 1:1:2. The reaction was carried out in air with heating and stirring till total dissolution of metal powder was observed.

Tetranuclear molecular complex (Fig. 2) consists of the discrete  $[Cu_4(H_2L)_4]$  moiety with a  $\{Cu_4O_4\}$  cubane-like core. Eight alternately arranged netal centers and oxygen atoms from methoxy groups form a distorted  $\{Cu_4O_4\}$  cube with local  $S_4$ -symmetry. Each of four ligands coordinates in a tridentate mode as an  $(H_2L)^{2-}$  dianion, with the phenoxyl and one of the alkoxyl groups deprotonated. The NO<sub>2</sub> donor set from one ligand molecule together with O-atom from methoxy arm of another ligand forms distorted square coordination polyhedra around each metal center (with RMS deviation of atoms from square plane of 0.135 Å). Coordination lengths vary in the range of 1.892 - 1.955 Å, and *X*—Cu—Y angles vary in the range of 84.8 - 94.9° that is comparable with the known literature data. The oxygen atom of the methoxy group of the third ligand molecule coordinates on this metal atom with Cu—O length of 2.524 Å, so that can be threated as additional coordination. In crystal, weak C4—H4B···O2' hydrogen bonds (1.25 - *y*,*x* - 0.25,*z* - 0.25; H···O' 2.51 Å, C—H···O' 153°) form three-dimensional-connected network with channels along (111) crystallographic direction. Minimal channel dimension is about 6.74 Å (O5…O5' distance). The crystal packing diagram is shown in Fig. 3.

### **S2.** Experimental

Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (0.303 g, 2.5 mmol), 3-Nitrosalicylaldehyde (0.418 g, 2.5 mmol), and triethylamine (0.35 ml, 2.5 mmol) were dissolved in dmf (25 ml) in this order, forming an orange solution and magnetically stirred at  $60-70^{\circ}$ C (15 min). Then, copper powder (0.079 g, 1.25 mmol) and FeCl<sub>2</sub>4H<sub>2</sub>O (0.248 g, 1.25 mmol) were successfully added to the hot orange solution with stirring about 3 h. Brown blocks were isolated by adding diethylether to the dark orange-brown solution after 2 days. Yield: 0.4 g, 48%. The compound is sparingly soluble in dmso and dmf, and it is stable in air.

### **S3. Refinement**

All H atoms were placed in idealized positions (C–H = 0.95 - 0.99 Å, O–H = 0.84 Å) and constrained to ride on their parent atoms, with  $U_{iso} = 1.2$ Ueq (except  $U_{iso} = 1.5$ Ueq for hydroxyl groups). Hydrogen atom of the hydroxyl group O4– H4 was disordered over two sites with equal occupancy factors of 0.50 in order to fit the intramolecular hydrogen bond O4–H4A···O4'. Several isolated electron density peaks were located during the refinement, whose were believe to be a solvent molecules. Large displacement parameters were observed modeling the disordered oxygen, carbon, and sulfur atoms. SQUEEZE procedure of *PLATON* indicated a solvent cavity of volume 3131 Å<sup>3</sup> centered at (0,0,0), containing approximately 749 electrons. In the final refinement, this contribution was removed from the intensity data that produced better refinement results. The hydroxyl group O5—H5A located near the void was believed to be H-bonded with one of the removed solvent molecules. Several reflections with great differences between calculated and observed F<sup>2</sup> were omitted during the refinement. These reflections were believed to arise because of little impurities of the crystal under study.





Schiff base ligand: the product of condensation of 3-nitro-salicylaldehyde and tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane.



Figure 2

View of cubane tetranuclear complex  $[Cu_4(C_{11}H_{12}O_6N_2)_4]$  (H atoms are omitted for clarity, the non-hydrogen atoms are shown as 30% thermal ellipsoids). Symmetry transformation used to generate equivalent atoms: <sup>*a*</sup> 1 - *x*, 1.5 - *y*, *z*; <sup>*b*</sup> *x* + 0.25, 1.25 - *y*, 0.25 - *z*; <sup>*c*</sup> 1.25 - *x*, *y* - 0.25, 0.25 - *z*.



**Figure 3** The crystal-packing diagram along the (001) direction.

 $Tetrakis(\mu_{3}-2-\{[1,1-bis(hydroxymethyl)-2-oxidoethyl]iminomethyl\}-6-nitrophenolato)tetracopper(II)$ 

### Crystal data

$[Cu_4(C_{11}H_{12}N_2O_6)_4]$ $M_r = 1327.06$ Tetragonal, $I4_1/a$ Hall symbol: -I 4ad a = 20.5587 (14) Å c = 18.010 (2) Å $V = 7612.0 (11) Å^3$ Z = 4 F(000) = 2704	$D_x = 1.158 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo <i>Ka</i> radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 462 reflections $\theta = 3.0-25.0^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.17 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 173  K Block, brown $0.40 \times 0.40 \times 0.30 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Agilent Xcalibur Sapphire3 diffractometer Radiation source: Enhance (Mo) X-ray Source Graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 16.1827 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup> $\omega$ scans Absorption correction: multi-scan ( <i>CrysAlis PRO</i> ; Agilent, 2012) $T_{min} = 0.653, T_{max} = 0.721$	3349 measured reflections 3349 independent reflections 1395 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.000$ $\theta_{max} = 25.0^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 3.2^{\circ}$ $h = -16 \rightarrow 17$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 24$ $l = 0 \rightarrow 21$

Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$ Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.075$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.184$	neighbouring sites
S = 0.80	H-atom parameters constrained
3349 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.060P)^2]$
182 parameters	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	$\Delta  ho_{ m max} = 0.94 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta  ho_{ m min} = -0.57 \ { m e} \ { m \AA}^{-3}$

### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$	Occ. (<1)
Cul	0.48941 (4)	0.66864 (4)	0.06731 (4)	0.0396 (3)	
01	0.5536 (2)	0.6041 (2)	0.0524 (3)	0.0451 (13)	
N1	0.4460 (3)	0.6539 (3)	-0.0276 (3)	0.0406 (16)	
C1	0.5204 (4)	0.5693 (4)	-0.0716 (4)	0.047 (2)	
N2	0.6541 (4)	0.5153 (3)	0.0538 (4)	0.0542 (19)	
O2	0.6303 (3)	0.5101 (3)	0.1159 (3)	0.0611 (17)	
C2	0.5608 (4)	0.5690 (4)	-0.0063 (5)	0.047 (2)	
O3	0.7144 (3)	0.5100 (3)	0.0436 (3)	0.0751 (19)	
C3	0.6141 (4)	0.5230 (4)	-0.0096 (5)	0.050 (2)	
O4	0.4358 (3)	0.7332 (3)	-0.1606 (3)	0.0761 (19)	
H4A	0.4728	0.7401	-0.1646	0.114*	0.50
H4C	0.4145	0.7014	-0.1769	0.114*	0.50
C4	0.6276 (4)	0.4875 (4)	-0.0723 (5)	0.061 (2)	
H4B	0.6644	0.4596	-0.0728	0.073*	
O5	0.2776 (3)	0.7029 (3)	-0.0736 (4)	0.0732 (18)	
H5A	0.2430	0.6816	-0.0784	0.110*	
C5	0.5890 (4)	0.4913 (4)	-0.1342 (5)	0.061 (3)	
H5B	0.5991	0.4672	-0.1777	0.073*	
O6	0.4282 (2)	0.7408 (2)	0.0779 (2)	0.0369 (12)	
C6	0.5353 (4)	0.5310 (4)	-0.1317 (4)	0.052 (2)	
H6A	0.5071	0.5320	-0.1735	0.063*	
C7	0.4659 (4)	0.6122 (4)	-0.0773 (4)	0.048 (2)	
H7A	0.4416	0.6101	-0.1220	0.057*	
C8	0.3906 (4)	0.6972 (4)	-0.0412 (4)	0.047 (2)	
С9	0.4121 (4)	0.7530 (4)	-0.0911 (4)	0.057 (2)	

# supporting information

H9A	0.3747	0.7826	-0.0989	0.069*
H9B	0.4465	0.7780	-0.0653	0.069*
C10	0.3319 (4)	0.6594 (4)	-0.0737 (5)	0.058 (2)
H10A	0.3415	0.6448	-0.1249	0.069*
H10B	0.3223	0.6206	-0.0430	0.069*
C11	0.3712 (4)	0.7262 (4)	0.0359 (4)	0.0436 (19)
H11A	0.3454	0.7663	0.0285	0.052*
H11B	0.3440	0.6945	0.0633	0.052*

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	U <sup>23</sup>
Cu1	0.0337 (6)	0.0344 (6)	0.0509 (5)	0.0009 (5)	-0.0021 (5)	-0.0018 (4)
01	0.044 (3)	0.029 (3)	0.062 (3)	-0.005 (3)	-0.002 (3)	-0.015 (3)
N1	0.039 (4)	0.037 (4)	0.046 (3)	-0.005 (3)	-0.001 (3)	-0.006 (3)
C1	0.032 (5)	0.046 (5)	0.064 (5)	0.001 (4)	0.007 (4)	-0.004 (5)
N2	0.053 (5)	0.038 (4)	0.071 (5)	0.012 (4)	-0.004 (4)	-0.017 (4)
O2	0.059 (4)	0.040 (4)	0.084 (4)	0.008 (3)	-0.019 (4)	-0.004 (3)
C2	0.034 (5)	0.022 (4)	0.084 (6)	-0.001 (4)	0.003 (5)	-0.009 (4)
03	0.032 (4)	0.077 (5)	0.116 (5)	0.013 (3)	-0.001 (3)	-0.026 (4)
C3	0.039 (5)	0.041 (5)	0.071 (5)	-0.023 (4)	-0.002 (5)	-0.018 (5)
O4	0.082 (5)	0.088 (5)	0.059 (3)	-0.014 (4)	0.006 (3)	0.006 (3)
C4	0.039 (5)	0.045 (6)	0.098 (6)	-0.004 (5)	0.005 (5)	-0.024 (5)
05	0.038 (4)	0.065 (4)	0.116 (5)	0.001 (3)	-0.020 (4)	0.000 (4)
C5	0.037 (5)	0.051 (6)	0.094 (6)	-0.004 (5)	0.020 (5)	-0.033 (5)
O6	0.019 (3)	0.029 (3)	0.063 (3)	0.002 (2)	-0.007 (2)	0.004 (2)
C6	0.040 (5)	0.054 (6)	0.062 (5)	-0.012 (5)	0.005 (4)	-0.016 (5)
C7	0.044 (5)	0.048 (5)	0.051 (5)	-0.013 (4)	-0.001 (4)	0.010 (4)
C8	0.032 (5)	0.043 (5)	0.067 (5)	0.005 (4)	-0.013 (4)	0.004 (4)
C9	0.048 (6)	0.063 (6)	0.062 (5)	-0.010 (5)	-0.017 (4)	0.010 (5)
C10	0.041 (5)	0.061 (6)	0.071 (5)	0.005 (5)	0.000 (5)	-0.007 (5)
C11	0.030 (5)	0.041 (5)	0.059 (4)	0.000 (4)	0.003 (4)	-0.002 (4)

### Geometric parameters (Å, °)

Cu1—O1	1.892 (5)	C4—C5	1.371 (11)	
Cu1—O6 <sup>i</sup>	1.940 (5)	C4—H4B	0.9500	
Cu1—N1	1.952 (6)	O5—C10	1.430 (9)	
Cu1—06	1.954 (4)	O5—H5A	0.8400	
Cu1—O6 <sup>ii</sup>	2.524 (5)	C5—C6	1.374 (11)	
O1—C2	1.288 (8)	C5—H5B	0.9500	
N1—C7	1.304 (9)	O6—C11	1.428 (8)	
N1-C8	1.467 (9)	O6—Cu1 <sup>iii</sup>	1.940 (5)	
C1—C6	1.373 (10)	С6—Н6А	0.9500	
C1—C7	1.428 (10)	С7—Н7А	0.9500	
C1—C2	1.440 (10)	C8—C9	1.524 (10)	
N2—O2	1.224 (8)	C8—C10	1.551 (10)	
N2—O3	1.259 (8)	C8—C11	1.562 (10)	

# supporting information

N2—C3	1.417 (10)	С9—Н9А	0.9900
C2—C3	1.449 (10)	С9—Н9В	0.9900
C3—C4	1.373 (10)	C10—H10A	0.9900
O4—C9	1.403 (9)	C10—H10B	0.9900
O4—H4A	0.7773	C11—H11A	0.9900
O4—H4C	0.8400	C11—H11B	0.9900
O1—Cu1—O6 <sup>i</sup>	93.6 (2)	C6—C5—H5B	120.9
01—Cu1—N1	94.9 (2)	C11—O6—Cu1 <sup>iii</sup>	118.5 (4)
$O6^{i}$ —Cu1—N1	164.4 (2)	C11—O6—Cu1	108.5 (4)
01—Cu1—O6	174.8 (2)	$Cu1^{iii}$ — $O6$ — $Cu1$	108.6 (2)
$O6^{i}$ —Cu1—O6	87.9(2)	C1-C6-C5	123.1(8)
$01-Cu1-O6^{ii}$	93 45 (18)	C1—C6—H6A	118.5
$O6^{i}$ —Cu1—O6 <sup>ii</sup>	73 19 (17)	C5—C6—H6A	118.5
$N1$ — $Cu1$ — $O6^{ii}$	(11) $(11)$ $(11)$	N1 - C7 - C1	127.0(7)
$06-Cu1-O6^{ii}$	82 22 (17)	N1—C7—H7A	116.5
N1-Cu1-06	84.8 (2)	C1 - C7 - H7A	116.5
$C_2 = O_1 = C_{11}$	1260(5)	N1 - C8 - C9	109.3 (6)
C7 - N1 - C8	121.9 (6)	N1 - C8 - C10	111 3 (6)
C7—N1—Cu1	121.9(0) 124.0(5)	C9 - C8 - C10	112 3 (6)
$C_{\text{N}} = C_{\text{N}}$	124.0(5) 114.0(5)	$N_{1} - C_{8} - C_{11}$	112.3(0) 106.4(6)
C6-C1-C7	114.0(5) 118.2(8)	$C_{9} = C_{8} = C_{11}$	100.4(0) 108.1(7)
$C_{0} - C_{1} - C_{2}$	120.9(8)	$C_{10}$ $C_{8}$ $C_{11}$	100.1(7) 100.2(6)
$C_{7} C_{1} C_{2}$	120.9(3)	$O_1 = C_2 = C_1$	109.2(0) 114.1(7)
$C_{1} = C_{1} = C_{2}$	120.0(7) 121.3(7)	$O_4 = C_2 = C_3$	108 7
02 - N2 - 03	121.3(7) 120.0(7)	$C_{4}$	108.7
$O_2 - N_2 - C_3$ $O_3 - N_2 - C_3$	120.9(7)	$C_{0}$ $C_{0}$ $H_{0}$ $H_{0}$	108.7
03 - 102 - 03	117.0(7) 127.1(7)		108.7
01 - 02 - 01	127.1(7)		107.6
$C_1 = C_2 = C_3$	119.0(7)	$H_{A}$ $C_{A}$ $H_{B}$ $H_{B$	107.0 (6)
$C_1 = C_2 = C_3$	113.9(7)	05 - 010 - 000	107.0 (0)
C4 = C3 = N2	119.1(0) 122.2(0)	$C_{10}$ $C$	110.5
C4 - C3 - C2	122.3(8)	$C_{0}$ $C_{10}$ $H_{10}$ $H_{10}$ $C_{10}$ $H_{10}$ $H_{10}$ $C_{10}$ $H_{10}$ $H_$	110.5
$N_2 = C_3 = C_2$	110.0 (7)	$C_{10}$ $C$	110.5
$C_{9}$ $C_{4}$ $H_{4}$	111./		100.5
$C_{9}$ $C_{4}$ $H_{4}$ $H_{4}$ $C_{4}$ $H_{4}$ $H_{4$	110.9	HI0A - CI0 - HI0B	108.0
H4A - O4 - H4C	128.4	06-C11-C8	110.0 (6)
$C_{5} - C_{4} - C_{3}$	121.4 (8)		109.7
$C_{2}$ $C_{4}$ $H_{4}$ $H_{4}$ $H_{4}$	119.3	Co-CII-HIIA	109.7
$C_3 - C_4 - H_4 B$	119.3		109.7
CI0—O5—H5A	109.5	C8—C11—H11B	109.7
C4—C5—C6	118.2 (8)	HIIA—CII—HIIB	108.2
C4—C5—H5B	120.9		
			100 6 (1)
06'-Cul-01-C2	170.0 (6)	06'-Cul-06-Cll	-139.6 (4)
NI - CuI - OI - C2	3.0 (6)	NI - CuI - O6 - CII	26.7 (4)
O6—Cul—O1—C2	-84 (2)	O1— $Cu1$ — $O6$ — $Cu1$ <sup>m</sup>	-116 (2)
O6 <sup>u</sup> —Cu1—O1—C2	-116.7 (6)	$O6^{1}$ — $Cu1$ — $O6$ — $Cu1^{11}$	-9.5 (2)
01—Cu1—N1—C7	-2.5 (6)	N1—Cu1—O6—Cu1 <sup>iii</sup>	156.7 (3)

$O6^{i}$ —Cu1—N1—C7	-125.4 (8)	C7—C1—C6—C5	175.5 (7)
O6—Cu1—N1—C7	172.3 (6)	C2-C1-C6-C5	-0.9 (12)
O6 <sup>ii</sup> —Cu1—N1—C7	94.2 (6)	C4—C5—C6—C1	3.4 (13)
O1—Cu1—N1—C8	-178.6 (5)	C8—N1—C7—C1	177.6 (7)
O6 <sup>i</sup> —Cu1—N1—C8	58.5 (11)	Cu1—N1—C7—C1	1.8 (11)
O6—Cu1—N1—C8	-3.8 (5)	C6-C1-C7-N1	-177.0 (7)
O6 <sup>ii</sup> —Cu1—N1—C8	-81.9 (5)	C2-C1-C7-N1	-0.6 (12)
Cu1—O1—C2—C1	-2.8 (11)	C7—N1—C8—C9	-77.3 (8)
Cu1—O1—C2—C3	178.0 (5)	Cu1—N1—C8—C9	98.9 (6)
C6-C1-C2-O1	177.4 (7)	C7—N1—C8—C10	47.3 (9)
C7—C1—C2—O1	1.0 (12)	Cu1—N1—C8—C10	-136.5 (5)
C6—C1—C2—C3	-3.4 (11)	C7—N1—C8—C11	166.2 (6)
C7—C1—C2—C3	-179.7 (7)	Cu1—N1—C8—C11	-17.6 (7)
O2—N2—C3—C4	-135.5 (8)	N1—C8—C9—O4	59.8 (8)
O3—N2—C3—C4	40.2 (11)	C10—C8—C9—O4	-64.2 (9)
O2—N2—C3—C2	45.5 (10)	C11—C8—C9—O4	175.3 (6)
O3—N2—C3—C2	-138.8 (8)	N1-C8-C10-O5	170.8 (6)
O1—C2—C3—C4	-175.1 (7)	C9—C8—C10—O5	-66.3 (8)
C1—C2—C3—C4	5.5 (11)	C11—C8—C10—O5	53.6 (8)
O1—C2—C3—N2	3.8 (11)	Cu1 <sup>iii</sup> —O6—C11—C8	-167.6 (4)
C1-C2-C3-N2	-175.5 (7)	Cu1—O6—C11—C8	-43.2 (6)
N2—C3—C4—C5	177.6 (8)	N1-C8-C11-O6	39.5 (8)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-3.5 (13)	C9—C8—C11—O6	-77.8 (7)
C3—C4—C5—C6	-1.2 (13)	C10-C8-C11-O6	159.8 (6)
O1-Cu1-O6-C11	114 (2)		

Symmetry codes: (i) -y+5/4, x+1/4, -z+1/4; (ii) -x+1, -y+3/2, z; (iii) y-1/4, -x+5/4, -z+1/4.

### Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
O4—H4A····O4 <sup>ii</sup>	0.78	1.96	2.729 (9)	171

Symmetry code: (ii) -x+1, -y+3/2, *z*.