Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## (E)-2-[2-(3-Nitrophenyl)ethenyl]quinolin-8-0l

#### Mathias Schulze, Wilhelm Seichter and Edwin Weber\*

Institut für Organische Chemie, TU Bergakademie Freiberg, Leipziger Strasse 29, D-09596 Freiberg/Sachsen, Germany Correspondence e-mail: edwin.weber@chemie.tu-freiberg.de

Received 30 August 2013; accepted 10 October 2013

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 298 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.004 Å; R factor = 0.065; wR factor = 0.226; data-to-parameter ratio = 13.3.

In the title compound,  $C_{17}H_{12}N_2O_3$ , the mean planes of the benzene ring and the quinoline moiety are inclined to one another by  $11.0 (1)^{\circ}$ . The nitro substituent is twisted at an angle of 7.9 (2) $^{\circ}$  with respect to the attached benzene ring. Intramolecular  $O-H \cdots N$  and  $C-H \cdots N$  hydrogen bonds occur. The crystal is constructed of molecular stacks without involvement of  $\pi$ -stacking interactions, but showing interstack association via  $O-H \cdots O$  and  $C-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonding. Thus, the supramolecular architecture of the crystal results from stacked molecules stabilized by hydrogen bonding between the stacks.

#### **Related literature**

For uses of quinolin-8-ol and derivatives as complexants and pharmaceuticals, see: Albrecht et al. (2008); Cacciatore et al. (2013); Desvignes & Leguen (1963); McMaster & Bruner (1935); Vögtle & Weber (1979); Weber & Vögtle (1975). For applications of stilbene and derivatives, see: Butkovic et al. (2011); Ho et al. (2000); Navadiya et al. (2008); Ravikrishnan et al. (2012); Waibel et al. (2009); Zhu et al. (2013). For the preparative method used for the synthesis of the title compound, see: Yuan et al. (2012). For non-classical hydrogen bonds, see: Desiraju & Steiner (1999). For related structures, including intramolecular hydrogen bonding of quinolin-8-ol, see: Fazaeli et al. (2008); Malecki et al. (2010); Yoneda et al. (2002); Zeng et al. (2007).



22418 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.028$ 

2655 independent reflections

1768 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

ers constrained

 $Å^{-3}$ 

## **Experimental**

#### Crystal data

$C_{17}H_{12}N_2O_3$	V = 1409.58 (8) Å <sup>3</sup>
$M_r = 292.29$	Z = 4
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 20.3346 (7) Å	$\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 4.7167 (1)  Å	$T = 298  { m K}$
c = 15.5674 (6) Å	$0.54 \times 0.24 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}$
$\beta = 109.255 \ (2)^{\circ}$	

#### Data collection

Bruker X8 APEXII CCD detector diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2007)  $T_{\min} = 0.950, \ T_{\max} = 0.994$ 

#### Refinement

200 parameters
H-atom parame
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.42 \ {\rm e}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.24 \ { m e}$

Table 1 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$\begin{array}{l} 01 - H1 \cdots N1 \\ 01 - H1 \cdots 02^{i} \\ C10 - H10 \cdots 01^{ii} \\ C11 - H11 \cdots N1 \end{array}$	0.82 0.82 0.93 0.93	2.19 2.53 2.51 2.53	2.657 (3) 3.180 (5) 3.400 (3) 2.857 (4)	117 137 160 101

Symmetry codes: (i)  $x, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}$ .

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: SAINT-NT (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: SAINT-NT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEP-3 for Windows (Farrugia, 2012); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: RN2119).

#### References

Albrecht, M., Fiege, M. & Osetska, O. (2008). Coord. Chem. Rev. 252, 812-824. Bruker (2007). SAINT-NT, SMART and SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Butkovic, K., Marinic, Z., Molcanov, K., Kojic-Prodic, B. & Sindler-Kulyk, M. (2011). Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 7, 1663-1670.
- Cacciatore, I., Fornasari, E., Baldassarre, L., Cornacchia, C., Fulle, S., Di Filippo, E. S., Pietrangelo, T. & Pinnen, F. (2013). Pharmaceuticals, 6, 54-69.
- Desiraju, G. R. & Steiner, T. (1999). The Weak Hydrogen Bond in Structural Chemistry and Biology, ch. 2. Oxford University Press.
- Desvignes, A. & Leguen, P. (1963). Ann. Pharm. Fr. 21, 803-808.
- Farrugia, L. J. (2012). J. Appl. Cryst. 45, 849-854.
- Fazaeli, Y., Amini, M. M., Gao, S. & Ng, S. W. (2008). Acta Cryst. E64, 097.
- Ho, T.-J., Ho, J.-H. & Wu, J. Y. (2000). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 122, 8575-8576.
- Malecki, G., Nycz, J. E., Ryrych, E., Pomikiewski, L., Nowak, M., Kusz, J. & Pikies, J. (2010). J. Mol. Struct. 969, 130-138.

McMaster, L. & Bruner, W. M. (1935). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 57, 1997-1998.

- Navadiya, H. D., Undavia, N. K. & Patwa, B. S. (2008). Int. J. Chem. Sci. 6, 2224-2232
- Ravikrishnan, A., Sudhakara, P. & Kannan, P. (2012). J. Mater. Sci. 45, 435-442.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

- Vögtle, F. & Weber, E. (1979). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 18, 753-776.
- Waibel, M., De Angelis, M., Stossi, F., Kieser, K. J., Carlson, K. E., Katzenellenbogen, B. S. & Katzenellenbogen, J. A. (2009). Eur. J. Med. Chem. 44, 3412-3424.
- Weber, E. & Vögtle, F. (1975). Tetrahedron Lett. pp. 2415-2418.
- Yoneda, S., Ohfuchi, S., Hashimoto, A. & Kitamura, C. (2002). Kenkyu Hokuku Himeji Kogyo Daigaku, 54, 60-67.
- Yuan, G.-Z., Rong, L.-L., Huo, Y.-P., Nie, X.-L. & Fang, X.-M. (2012). Inorg. Chem. Commun. 23, 90-94.
- Zeng, H.-P., Wang, T.-T., Xu, D.-F., Cai, Y.-P. & Chen, D.-F. (2007). J. Appl. *Cryst.* **40**, 471–475. Zhu, Y.-C., Lu, H.-X., He, D. H. & Yang, Z.-R. (2013). *J. Photochem.*
- Photobiol. B, 125, 8–12.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2013). E69, 01651–01652 [doi:10.1107/S1600536813027815]

# (E)-2-[2-(3-Nitrophenyl)ethenyl]quinolin-8-ol

## Mathias Schulze, Wilhelm Seichter and Edwin Weber

## S1. Comment

Quinolin-8-ol (8-hydroxyquinoline) is a well known complexant for a variety of transition metal ions forming quantitatively precipitable internal complexes thus playing an important role in analytical chemistry (Albrecht et al., 2008). Derivatives of quinolin-8-ol have also been used as an active component in the design of alkali and alkaline earth metal ion complexing ligands (Vögtle & Weber, 1979; Weber & Vögtle, 1975). Moreover, quinolin-8-ol shows strong fungicidal and antiseptic effects (Desvignes & Leguen, 1963) and derivatives of which are drugs for the successful treatment of different diseases (Cacciatore et al., 2013; McMaster & Bruner, 1935). On the other hand, stilbene and its derivatives are starting materials for the preparation of various dyes, optical brighteners, liquid crystalline compounds and synthetic estrogens (Navadiya et al., 2008; Ravikrishnan et al., 2012; Waibel et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2013). They are also of interest due to their particular stereochemistry including photochemical rearrangement and reactions (Butkovic et al., 2011; Ho et al., 2000). The structure of the title compound comprises both these specific construction elements, quinolin-8-ol and stilbene. In the structure of the compound (Fig. 1), the dihedral angle formed by the least-squares planes of the phenyl ring and the quinoline moiety is  $11.0 (1)^\circ$ , while the nitro substituent is twisted at an angle of 7.9 (2)° with reference to the phenyl ring. The bond lengths within the quinoline fragment are in the range of expected values and agree well with those found in the crystal structures of related compounds (Yoneda et al., 2002; Zeng et al., 2007). The torsion angle along the atomic sequence N(1)—C(8)—C(10)—C(11) is 6.3 (4)°. Within the quinolin-8-ol part, the hydroxyl hydrogen is connected to the nitrogen by a strained hydrogen bond  $[O(1)-H(1)\cdots N(1) 2.19\text{\AA}, 117^{\circ}]$  which is typical for this kind of compounds (Fazaeli et al., 2008; Malecki et al., 2010; Zeng et al., 2007). Moreover, there is a hydrogen bond type contact between H(11) and N(1)  $[d(H \cdot \cdot \cdot N) 2.53 \text{ Å}]$ . The crystal (Fig. 2) is constructed of molecular stacks extending along the *b*-axis. The closest centroid-centroid distance between the phenol and pyridine ring of consecutive molecules is 4.02Å thus indicating, however, no  $\pi$ -stacking interaction between those groups. Moreover, the ethenylene fragment of a given molecule is sandwiched in a distance of ca. 3.45Å between the electron deficient aromatic rings of adjacent molecules. Interstack association is accomplished by a close network of O—H···O  $[d(O \cdots O) 3.180 (5)Å]$ and C—H···O hydrogen bonds [d(C···O) 3.400 (3)Å] (Desiraju & Steiner, 1999).

## S2. Experimental

The title compound was synthesized *via* Knoevenagel type condensation (Yuan *et al.*, 2012) using 8-hydroxyquinaldine (320 mg, 2.0 mmol) and 4-nitrobenzaldehyde (1.21 g, 8.0 mmol) in acetic anhydride (100 ml). The mixture was stirred for 30 h under reflux. After removal of the solvent, the residue was dissolved in 100 ml of pyridine/water (v/v = 4:1) and heated at 100° for 1 h. Evaporation of the solvent under vacuum and purification of the crude product by recrystallization from ethanol yielded 230 mg (40%) of yellow crystals determined as the *E* configurated compound by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis (ethenylene protons); m. p. = 449 K. IR (KBr) 3410, 3081, 1526, 1348, 1238, 1196, 829, 735, 593. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 7.20 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.33 (d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.51 - 7.39 (m, 2 H), 7.59 (t, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>HH</sub> = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.65 (d,

 ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8.5 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.77 (d, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 16.1 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 7.92 (d, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.7 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 8.17 ({}^{3}J_{HH} = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 8.19 ({}^{3}J_{HH} = 4.7 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 8.49 (s, 1 \text{ H}).$  ${}^{13}C \text{ NMR} (126 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) 110.4, 117.7, 120.61, 121.6, 123.04, 127.8, 127.9, 129.8, 131.0, 131.5, 132.9, 136.8, 138.1, 138.3, 148.8, 152.2, 152.5. \text{ MS} (ESI)$ *m/z*: found 293.0 [*M*+H]+; calc. for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> 292.08. The melting point (uncorrected) was measured on a hot stage microscope (Büchi 510). The IR spectrum was recorded on a Perkin Elmer FT–IR 1600 spectrometer, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were measured on a Bruker Avance AV-500 spectrometer using (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>Si as internal standard. The ESI mass spectrum was obtained using a ThermoFisher Scientific Orbitrap XL spectrometer. Crystals of the title compound suitable for X-ray structural analysis were taken from the crystallized product.

## S3. Refinement

H atoms were positioned geometrically and allowed to ride on their respective parent atoms, with C—H = 0.93 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 U_{eq}(C)$  and O—H = 0.82 Å and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5 U_{eq}(O)$ .



## Figure 1

Perspective view of the title compound including atom labeling and ring specification. Thermal ellipsoids for the nonhydrogen atoms are drawn at the 50% probability level. Broken lines represent hydrogen bonds.



## Figure 2

Packing structure of the title compound showing hydrogen bond interactions as broken lines.

#### (E)-2-[2-(3-Nitrophenyl)ethenyl]quinolin-8-ol

Crystal data

C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>  $M_r = 292.29$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/c$  a = 20.3346 (7) Å b = 4.7167 (1) Å c = 15.5674 (6) Å  $\beta = 109.255$  (2)° V = 1409.58 (8) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4

#### Data collection

Bruker X8 APEXII CCD detector diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator phi and  $\omega$  scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*SADABS*; Bruker, 2007)  $T_{\min} = 0.950, T_{\max} = 0.994$  F(000) = 608  $D_x = 1.377 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 6524 reflections  $\theta = 2.6-24.8^{\circ}$   $\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 298 KPlate, colourless  $0.54 \times 0.24 \times 0.06 \text{ mm}$ 

22418 measured reflections 2655 independent reflections 1768 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$  $R_{int} = 0.028$  $\theta_{max} = 25.6^{\circ}, \theta_{min} = 2.8^{\circ}$  $h = -24 \rightarrow 24$  $k = -4 \rightarrow 5$  $l = -18 \rightarrow 18$  Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.065$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.226$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.03	H-atom parameters constrained
2655 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.1192P)^2 + 0.8405P]$
200 parameters	where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta  ho_{ m max} = 0.42$ e Å <sup>-3</sup>
direct methods	$\Delta  ho_{\min} = -0.24 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

## Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
01	0.27846 (16)	0.0783 (7)	0.15082 (15)	0.1029 (10)
H1	0.2582	0.1861	0.1750	0.154*
O2	0.14581 (19)	1.0322 (8)	0.62596 (19)	0.1228 (12)
O3	0.0587 (2)	1.2936 (9)	0.5668 (2)	0.1474 (16)
N2	0.10391 (17)	1.1402 (7)	0.5616 (2)	0.0830 (9)
C1	0.33187 (19)	-0.0492 (8)	0.2157 (2)	0.0714 (9)
C2	0.3740 (2)	-0.2379 (8)	0.1950 (3)	0.0846 (11)
H2	0.3665	-0.2812	0.1342	0.101*
C3	0.4278 (2)	-0.3683 (8)	0.2610 (3)	0.0865 (11)
H3	0.4555	-0.4993	0.2444	0.104*
C4	0.44097 (17)	-0.3063 (7)	0.3524 (3)	0.0744 (9)
H4	0.4776	-0.3938	0.3969	0.089*
C5	0.39863 (14)	-0.1111 (6)	0.3769 (2)	0.0566 (7)
C6	0.40520 (16)	-0.0303 (6)	0.4665 (2)	0.0616 (8)
H6	0.4400	-0.1100	0.5153	0.074*
C7	0.36152 (15)	0.1611 (6)	0.48187 (18)	0.0565 (7)
H7	0.3666	0.2139	0.5413	0.068*
C8	0.30746 (14)	0.2841 (6)	0.40839 (16)	0.0489 (7)
N1	0.29937 (12)	0.2128 (5)	0.32357 (14)	0.0517 (6)
C9	0.34298 (14)	0.0229 (6)	0.30808 (17)	0.0517 (7)
C10	0.26019 (14)	0.4869 (6)	0.42662 (17)	0.0501 (7)
H10	0.2641	0.5191	0.4870	0.060*
C11	0.21158 (14)	0.6305 (6)	0.36290 (17)	0.0519 (7)
H11	0.2087	0.5966	0.3029	0.062*
C12	0.16274 (13)	0.8338 (6)	0.37686 (17)	0.0488 (6)

C13	0.15702 (14)	0.8923 (6)	0.46277 (17)	0.0547 (7)	
H13	0.1858	0.8007	0.5144	0.066*	
C14	0.10879 (15)	1.0851 (7)	0.4697 (2)	0.0602 (7)	
C15	0.06455 (16)	1.2257 (7)	0.3969 (2)	0.0671 (8)	
H15	0.0317	1.3532	0.4036	0.081*	
C16	0.07075 (16)	1.1703 (7)	0.3126 (2)	0.0664 (8)	
H16	0.0421	1.2653	0.2617	0.080*	
C17	0.11795 (15)	0.9795 (6)	0.30281 (18)	0.0577 (7)	
H17	0.1204	0.9455	0.2451	0.069*	

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
01	0.130 (2)	0.125 (2)	0.0489 (13)	0.0300 (19)	0.0224 (14)	-0.0120 (14)
O2	0.145 (3)	0.165 (3)	0.0645 (16)	0.054 (2)	0.0430 (18)	0.0067 (18)
03	0.154 (3)	0.200 (4)	0.101 (2)	0.091 (3)	0.058 (2)	-0.015 (2)
N2	0.088 (2)	0.100 (2)	0.0637 (18)	0.0185 (19)	0.0287 (16)	-0.0100 (17)
C1	0.086 (2)	0.076 (2)	0.0586 (18)	-0.0004 (18)	0.0330 (17)	-0.0096 (16)
C2	0.109 (3)	0.080 (2)	0.080 (2)	0.000 (2)	0.051 (2)	-0.0205 (19)
C3	0.094 (3)	0.061 (2)	0.128 (3)	-0.0008 (19)	0.068 (3)	-0.019 (2)
C4	0.0660 (19)	0.0581 (18)	0.105 (3)	0.0024 (15)	0.0357 (18)	0.0008 (18)
C5	0.0559 (15)	0.0470 (15)	0.0735 (19)	-0.0086 (13)	0.0305 (14)	-0.0034 (13)
C6	0.0623 (17)	0.0632 (17)	0.0550 (16)	-0.0050 (15)	0.0138 (14)	0.0103 (14)
C7	0.0643 (17)	0.0605 (16)	0.0432 (14)	-0.0020 (14)	0.0157 (12)	0.0030 (13)
C8	0.0577 (15)	0.0509 (14)	0.0379 (13)	-0.0130 (12)	0.0155 (11)	0.0006 (11)
N1	0.0581 (13)	0.0520 (12)	0.0461 (12)	-0.0026 (11)	0.0187 (10)	-0.0005 (10)
C9	0.0590 (16)	0.0519 (15)	0.0479 (14)	-0.0108 (13)	0.0226 (13)	-0.0018 (12)
C10	0.0565 (15)	0.0559 (15)	0.0389 (13)	-0.0047 (12)	0.0170 (12)	-0.0016 (11)
C11	0.0623 (15)	0.0545 (15)	0.0370 (12)	-0.0124 (13)	0.0139 (12)	-0.0015 (12)
C12	0.0520 (14)	0.0475 (14)	0.0474 (14)	-0.0085 (12)	0.0174 (11)	-0.0020 (11)
C13	0.0598 (16)	0.0580 (16)	0.0425 (14)	-0.0071 (13)	0.0117 (12)	0.0031 (12)
C14	0.0607 (16)	0.0646 (17)	0.0587 (17)	0.0003 (14)	0.0242 (14)	-0.0087 (14)
C15	0.0585 (17)	0.0667 (19)	0.071 (2)	0.0029 (15)	0.0138 (15)	-0.0071 (16)
C16	0.0622 (17)	0.0694 (19)	0.0592 (18)	0.0031 (16)	0.0085 (14)	-0.0013 (15)
C17	0.0622 (16)	0.0618 (17)	0.0430 (14)	-0.0091 (14)	0.0094 (13)	0.0013 (13)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

01—C1	1.356 (4)	С7—Н7	0.9300
01—H1	0.8200	C8—N1	1.319 (3)
O2—N2	1.195 (4)	C8—C10	1.449 (4)
O3—N2	1.194 (4)	N1—C9	1.337 (3)
N2-C14	1.488 (4)	C10—C11	1.332 (4)
C1—C2	1.346 (5)	C10—H10	0.9300
C1—C9	1.421 (4)	C11—C12	1.447 (4)
C2—C3	1.375 (6)	C11—H11	0.9300
С2—Н2	0.9300	C12—C17	1.392 (4)
C3—C4	1.390 (5)	C12—C13	1.408 (4)

С3—Н3	0.9300	C13—C14	1.368 (4)
C4—C5	1.396 (4)	С13—Н13	0.9300
C4—H4	0.9300	C14—C15	1.365 (4)
C5—C6	1.410 (4)	C15—C16	1.384 (4)
C5—C9	1.424 (4)	С15—Н15	0.9300
C6—C7	1.342 (4)	C16—C17	1.361 (4)
С6—Н6	0.9300	С16—Н16	0.9300
C7—C8	1.423 (4)	С17—Н17	0.9300
C1—O1—H1	109.5	C8—N1—C9	118.7 (2)
O3—N2—O2	123.6 (3)	N1—C9—C1	116.7 (3)
O3—N2—C14	117.9 (3)	N1—C9—C5	124.8 (2)
O2—N2—C14	118.5 (3)	C1—C9—C5	118.5 (3)
C2—C1—O1	122.1 (3)	C11—C10—C8	124.6 (2)
C2-C1-C9	120.0 (3)	С11—С10—Н10	117.7
01	118.0 (3)	C8—C10—H10	117.7
C1-C2-C3	121.9 (3)	C10-C11-C12	127.1 (2)
C1—C2—H2	119.1	C10-C11-H11	116.5
C3—C2—H2	119.1	C12—C11—H11	116.5
$C_2 - C_3 - C_4$	120.6 (3)	C17 - C12 - C13	117.0(3)
C2—C3—H3	119.7	C17 - C12 - C11	119.8 (2)
C4—C3—H3	119.7	C13—C12—C11	123.3(2)
$C_3 - C_4 - C_5$	119.3 (3)	C14-C13-C12	119.5 (3)
C3—C4—H4	120.3	C14—C13—H13	120.3
C5—C4—H4	120.3	C12—C13—H13	120.3
C4—C5—C6	125.6 (3)	C15-C14-C13	123.4(3)
C4-C5-C9	1197(3)	C15 - C14 - N2	1186(3)
C6-C5-C9	114.6 (3)	C13 - C14 - N2	118.0(3)
C7—C6—C5	120.4 (3)	C14—C15—C16	117.0(3)
C7—C6—H6	119.8	C14—C15—H15	121.5
С5—С6—Н6	119.8	С16—С15—Н15	121.5
C6—C7—C8	120.8 (3)	C17—C16—C15	121.3 (3)
С6—С7—Н7	119.6	С17—С16—Н16	119.3
C8—C7—H7	119.6	С15—С16—Н16	119.3
N1-C8-C7	120.6 (3)	C16—C17—C12	121.8 (3)
N1-C8-C10	119.5 (2)	С16—С17—Н17	119.1
C7—C8—C10	119.9 (2)	С12—С17—Н17	119.1
	(-)		
01 - C1 - C2 - C3	-179.5(4)	C4—C5—C9—C1	0.5 (4)
C9—C1—C2—C3	0.8 (6)	C6-C5-C9-C1	-179.1(3)
C1-C2-C3-C4	-0.7(6)	N1—C8—C10—C11	-6.3(4)
$C_{2}-C_{3}-C_{4}-C_{5}$	0.5 (5)	C7—C8—C10—C11	174.4 (3)
C3-C4-C5-C6	179.2 (3)	C8-C10-C11-C12	179.6 (2)
C3—C4—C5—C9	-0.4 (4)	C10-C11-C12-C17	175.9 (3)
C4—C5—C6—C7	179.7 (3)	C10-C11-C12-C13	-4.9 (4)
C9—C5—C6—C7	-0.7 (4)	C17-C12-C13-C14	0.1 (4)
C5—C6—C7—C8	0.6 (4)	$C_{11} - C_{12} - C_{13} - C_{14}$	-179.2 (2)
C6-C7-C8-N1	-0.2(4)	C12 - C13 - C14 - C15	0 5 (4)
	3.2 (T)		0.0 (1)

C6—C7—C8—C10	179.0 (2)	C12—C13—C14—N2	179.6 (3)
C7—C8—N1—C9	0.0 (4)	O3—N2—C14—C15	4.2 (5)
C10—C8—N1—C9	-179.2 (2)	O2—N2—C14—C15	-174.8 (4)
C8—N1—C9—C1	179.4 (2)	O3—N2—C14—C13	-175.0 (4)
C8—N1—C9—C5	-0.1 (4)	O2-N2-C14-C13	6.0 (5)
C2-C1-C9-N1	179.6 (3)	C13—C14—C15—C16	-1.2 (5)
O1-C1-C9-N1	0.0 (4)	N2-C14-C15-C16	179.7 (3)
C2—C1—C9—C5	-0.7 (5)	C14—C15—C16—C17	1.3 (5)
O1—C1—C9—C5	179.6 (3)	C15—C16—C17—C12	-0.8 (5)
C4—C5—C9—N1	-179.9 (3)	C13—C12—C17—C16	0.1 (4)
C6—C5—C9—N1	0.5 (4)	C11—C12—C17—C16	179.3 (3)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D···A	D—H···A
01—H1…N1	0.82	2.19	2.657 (3)	117
O1—H1···O2 <sup>i</sup>	0.82	2.53	3.180 (5)	137
C10—H10…O1 <sup>ii</sup>	0.93	2.51	3.400 (3)	160
C11—H11…N1	0.93	2.53	2.857 (4)	101

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*, -*y*+3/2, *z*-1/2; (ii) *x*, -*y*+1/2, *z*+1/2.