

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

(Dimethylphosphoryl)methanaminium nitrate

Claudia M. Bianga, Julia Eggeling and Guido J. Reiss*

Institut für Anorganische Chemie und Strukturchemie, Lehrstuhl II: Material- und Strukturforschung, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Universitätsstrasse 1, D-40225 Düsseldorf, Germany Correspondence e-mail: reissg@hhu.de

Received 22 September 2013; accepted 9 October 2013

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 290 K; mean σ (N–C) = 0.001 Å; R factor = 0.030; wR factor = 0.065; data-to-parameter ratio = 35.6.

In the crystal of the title salt, $C_3H_{11}NOP^+ \cdot NO_3^-$, dicationic inversion dimers are head-to-tail connected by a pair of strong $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds. The resulting graph-set descriptor of this ring system is $R_2^2(10)$. The nitrate counter-anions connect the dicationic dimers *via* $N-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds, forming two-dimensional networks in the *bc* plane.

Related literature

For transition metal complexes of the (dimethylphosphoryl)methanamine (*dpma*) ligand, see: Dodoff *et al.* (1990); Borisov *et al.* (1994); Trendafilova *et al.* (1997); Kochel (2009). For transition metal complexes of the protonated *dpma*H⁺ ligand, see: Reiss (2013*a,b*). For simple *dpma*H⁺ salts, see: Reiss & Jörgens (2012); Lambertz *et al.* (2013); Buhl *et al.* (2013); Reiss (2013*c,d*). For a definition of the term tecton, see: Brunet *et al.* (1997). For a definition of the term antitype, see: Lima-de-Faria *et al.* (1990). For graph-set theory, see: Etter *et al.*(1990); Grell *et al.* (2002). For structures showing an analogous topology, see: Holl & Thewalt (1986); Reiss (2002); Reiss & Helmbrecht (2012).



Experimental

Crystal data	
$C_3H_{11}NOP^+ \cdot NO_3^-$	c = 11.2921 (6) Å
$M_r = 170.11$	$\beta = 96.581 \ (4)^{\circ}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	V = 786.14 (6) Å ³
a = 8.7718 (3) Å	Z = 4
b = 7.9892 (3) Å	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation

```
organic compounds
```

H atoms treated by a mixture of

refinement

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.40 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.34 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

independent and constrained

 $0.63 \times 0.38 \times 0.19 \text{ mm}$

 $\mu = 0.32 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 290 K

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur Eos	82785 measured reflections
diffractometer	3770 independent reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	3313 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
(CrysAlis PRO; Oxford	$R_{\rm int} = 0.032$
Diffraction, 2009)	
$T_{\min} = 0.809, \ T_{\max} = 1.000$	

Refinement

 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$ $wR(F^2) = 0.065$ S = 1.013770 reflections 106 parameters

 Table 1

 Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$N1 - H11 \cdots O2$	0.894 (14)	1.966 (15)	2.8555 (11)	173.1 (12)
$N1 - H12 \cdots O3$ $N1 - H13 \cdots O1^{ii}$	0.902(14) 0.874(14)	1.888 (14)	2.7493 (12)	174.3(13) 168.2(13)

Symmetry codes: (i) $x, -y + \frac{1}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) -x, -y + 1, -z.

Data collection: *CrysAlis PRO* (Oxford Diffraction, 2009); cell refinement: *CrysAlis PRO*; data reduction: *CrysAlis PRO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXL2013* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL2013*; molecular graphics: *DIAMOND* (Brandenburg, 2012); software used to prepare material for publication: *publCIF* (Westrip, 2010).

We thank E. Hammes for technical support. Furthermore, the funding by the 'Lehrförderfond' of the Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf is gratefully acknowledged.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: LH5656).

References

- Borisov, G., Varbanov, S. G., Venanzi, L. M., Albinati, A. & Demartin, F. (1994). *Inorg. Chem.* 33, 5430–5437.
- Brandenburg, K. (2012). DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany. Brunet, P., Simard, M. & Wuest, J. D. (1997). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 119, 2737– 2738.
- Buhl, D., Gün, H., Jablonka, A. & Reiss, G. J. (2013). Crystals, 3, 350-362.
- Dodoff, N., Macicek, J., Angelova, O., Varbanov, S. G. & Spassovska, N. (1990). J. Coord. Chem. 22, 219–228.
- Etter, M. C., MacDonald, J. C. & Bernstein, J. (1990). Acta Cryst. B46, 256-262.
- Grell, J., Bernstein, J. & Tinhofer, G. (2002). Crystallogr. Rev. 8, 1-56.
- Holl, K. & Thewalt, U. (1986). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, 41, 581–586.
- Kochel, A. (2009). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 362, 1379–1382.
- Lambertz, C., Luppa, A. & Reiss, G. J. (2013). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. 228, 227–228.
- Lima-de-Faria, J., Hellner, E., Liebau, F., Makovicky, E. & Parthé, E. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 1–11.
- Oxford Diffraction (2009). CrysAlis PRO. Oxford Diffraction Ltd, Yarnton, England.
- Reiss, G. J. (2002). Acta Cryst. E58, m47-m50.
- Reiss, G. J. (2013a). Acta Cryst. E69, m248-m249.
- Reiss, G. J. (2013b). Acta Cryst. E69, m250–m251.
- Reiss, G. J. (2013c). Acta Cryst. E69, 01253-01254.

Reiss, G. J. (2013*d*). Z. Kristallogr. New Cryst. Struct. **228**, 431–433. Reiss, G. J. & Helmbrecht, C. (2012). Acta Cryst. E**68**, m1402–m1403. Reiss, G. J. & Jörgens, S. (2012). Acta Cryst. E**68**, o2899–o2900. Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A**64**, 112–122. Trendafilova, N., Georgieva, I., Bauer, G., Varbanov, S. G. & Dodoff, N. (1997).
 Spectrochim. Acta Part A, 53, 819–828.
 Westrip, S. P. (2010). J. Appl. Cryst. 43, 920–925.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2013). E69, 01639-01640 [doi:10.1107/S1600536813027694]

(Dimethylphosphoryl)methanaminium nitrate

Claudia M. Bianga, Julia Eggeling and Guido J. Reiss

S1. Comment

There are several reports which prove the ability of the bidentate dpma ligand (dpma = (dimethylphosphoryl)methanamine) to coordinate a variety of transition metals (Dodoff *et al.*, 1990; Borisov *et al.*, 1994; Trendafilova *et al.*, 1997; Kochel, 2009). Additionally, two metal complexes containing the dpmaH⁺ cation have been structurally characterized so far (Reiss, 2013*a,b*). For simple dpmaH⁺ salt structures it has been shown that this tecton (Brunet *et al.*, 1997) can be used to construct hydrogen bonded one-dimensional polymers (Reiss & Jörgens, 2012; Lambertz *et al.*, 2013; Buhl *et al.*, 2013; Reiss, 2013*c*). Only for the double salt (H₃O)dpmaHBr the ions are connected by hydrogen bonds, forming a two-dimensional network (Reiss, 2013*d*)

The title compound *dpma*HNO₃ crystallizes in the monoclinic space group, $P2_1/c$, with one *dpma*H⁺ cation and one nitrate anion in the asymmetric unit. The angles and bond lengths of both ions are all in the typical ranges. The *dpma*H⁺ cation features the hydrogen bond donor group NH₃⁺ at the one end and the hydrogen bond accepting group -P=O at the other end. Therefore, this tecton in principle is capable to form connections among other *dpma*H⁺ cations and to counter anions. In the title structure two *dpma*H⁺ cations are connected by two strong, charge supported $-NH^+\cdots O=P-$ hydrogen bonds (N···O = 2.7493 (10) Å) head to tail forming cyclic dimers (Fig. 1; first level graph-set descriptor: $R_2^2(10)$; Etter *et al.*,1990; Grell *et al.*, 2002). Moreover, each dimer is associated by charge supported, strong $-NH^+\cdots O= N-$ hydrogen bonds (N···O = 2.8555 (11) Å, 2.8784 (12) Å) to four adjacent nitrate counter anions (Fig. 2). Consequently, each nitrate anion forms two hydrogen bonds to two adjacent dicationic dimers constructing a two-dimensional network in the *bc* plane. The dimers act as a tetradentate hydrogen bond donor. The nitrate anions act as bidentate hydrogen bond acceptors by two of their oxygen atoms.

In three related structures built up by the complex anions $[SnCl_6]^{2-}$ and $[IrCl_6]^{2-}$ these anions are tetradentate hydrogen bond acceptors whereas the simple diisopropylammonium (Reiss, 2002; Reiss & Helmbrecht, 2012) and the aminothiodithiazyl ((S₃N₂)NH₂)⁺ (Holl & Thewalt, 1986) counter cations are bidentate hydrogen bond donors. The title structure can be understood as the antitype (Lima-de-Faria *et al.* 1990) of these hexahalogenometallate salts.

S2. Experimental

The title compound was synthesized by dissolving 1.07 g (10.0 mmol) (dimethylphosphoryl)methanamine in dilute nitric acid (2 ml, 12%) while the mixture was heated. After a few days colourless platelets were obtained by slow evaporation of the solvent at room temperature.

S3. Refinement

All H-atoms were identified in difference syntheses. Hydrogen atoms at both methyl groups and at the CH₂ group were idealized and refined using a riding model (AFIX 137 (methyl) and AFIX 23(CH₂) option of the *SHELXL-2013* program [Sheldrick, 2008; $U_{iso} = 1.5U_{eq}(C_{methyl})$ and $1.2U_{eq}(C_{methylene})$]. The coordinates of the hydrogen atoms at the aminium group

were refined freely with individual $U_{\rm iso}$ values.



Figure 1

A view of the asymmetric unit of the title structure together with a symmetry related cation and their hydrogen bonds (dashed lines) to adjacent ions. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 45% probability level (' = -x, 1 – y, –z). The green numbers mark the ring size of the first level $R_2^2(10)$ graph-set descriptor for the cyclic dimer consisting of two $dpmaH^+$ cations.)



Figure 2

View along [100] on the two-dimensional network of the title structure. Hydrogen bonds are shown as dashed lines.

(Dimethylphosphoryl)methanaminium nitrate

Crystal data

C₃H₁₁NOP^{+·}NO₃⁻ $M_r = 170.11$ Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$ a = 8.7718 (3) Å b = 7.9892 (3) Å c = 11.2921 (6) Å $\beta = 96.581$ (4)° V = 786.14 (6) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur Eos diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator Detector resolution: 16.2711 pixels mm⁻¹ ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*CrysAlis PRO*; Oxford Diffraction, 2009) $T_{\min} = 0.809, T_{\max} = 1.000$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$ $wR(F^2) = 0.065$ F(000) = 360 $D_x = 1.437 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K α radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 40230 reflections $\theta = 3.8-36.3^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.32 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 290 KBlock, colourless $0.63 \times 0.38 \times 0.19 \text{ mm}$

82785 measured reflections 3770 independent reflections 3313 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.032$ $\theta_{max} = 36.4^\circ, \ \theta_{min} = 3.8^\circ$ $h = -14 \rightarrow 14$ $k = -13 \rightarrow 13$ $l = -18 \rightarrow 18$

S = 1.013770 reflections 106 parameters 0 restraints

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.011P)^2 + 0.3P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
map	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.40 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
Hydrogen site location: mixed	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.34 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement	Extinction correction: <i>SHELXL2013</i> (Sheldrick, 2008), $Fc^*=kFc[1+0.001xFc^2\lambda^3/sin(2\theta)]^{-1/4}$ Extinction coefficient: 0.0296 (13)

Special details

Experimental. The Raman spectrum was measured using a Bruker MULTIRAM spectrometer (Nd:YAG-Laser at 1064 nm; RT-InGaAs-detector; backscattering geometry); 4000–70 cm⁻¹: 3112 (w), 2994 (s), 2952 (m), 2916 (s), 2854 (w), 2834 (w), 2834 (w), 2654 (w), 2625 (w), 2588 (w), 1645 (w), 1615 (w), 1549 (w), 1430 (w), 1407 (w), 1373 (w), 1309 (w), 1155 (m), 1126 (w), 1092 (w), 1046 (s), 1029 (w), 947 (w), 918 (w), 895 (w), 858 (w), 787 (w), 759 (w), 726 (m), 662 (s), 456 (w), 369 (w), 314 (m), 295 (w), 268 (w), 238 (w), 137 (w), 121 (m), 95 (s), 73 (s). – IR spectroscopic data were recorded on a Digilab FT3400 spectrometer using a MIRacle ATR unit (Pike Technologies); 4000–560 cm⁻¹: 3439 (w), 2993 (s), 2946 (s), 2915 (s), 2885 (s), 2830 (s), 2749 (m), 2722 (m), 2651 (m), 2625 (m), 2403 (w), 2066 (w), 1757 (w), 1638 (m), 1551 (m), 1432 (m), 1420 (m), 1405 (m), 1346 (s), 1330 (s), 1306 (s), 1297 (s), 1157 (s), 1119 (m), 1088 (s), 1045 (w), 1029 (w), 944 (s), 914 (m), 889 (s), 855 (m), 826 (m), 784 (m), 756 (m), 723 (w), 714 (w), 657 (w). **Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
P1	0.16112 (2)	0.75856(3)	0.06006 (2)	0.02633 (6)
01	0.04435 (8)	0.70859 (9)	-0.04036 (6)	0.03792 (15)
N1	0.24474 (9)	0.42711 (10)	0.08323 (7)	0.03066 (14)
H11	0.2939 (15)	0.3482 (18)	0.1290 (12)	0.050 (4)*
H12	0.2989 (15)	0.4449 (17)	0.0211 (13)	0.051 (4)*
H13	0.1553 (16)	0.3832 (18)	0.0591 (12)	0.052 (4)*
C1	0.09272 (13)	0.90021 (14)	0.16403 (10)	0.0431 (2)
H1A	0.0774	1.0085	0.1278	0.065*
H1B	0.1667	0.9085	0.2333	0.065*
H1C	-0.0027	0.8598	0.1869	0.065*
C2	0.32841 (11)	0.84891 (13)	0.00985 (10)	0.0400 (2)
H2A	0.3758	0.7689	-0.0377	0.060*
H2B	0.3994	0.8799	0.0774	0.060*
H2C	0.3000	0.9465	-0.0371	0.060*
C3	0.22309 (10)	0.57989 (11)	0.15315 (7)	0.02919 (15)
H3A	0.3190	0.6073	0.2007	0.035*
H3B	0.1473	0.5578	0.2073	0.035*
O2	0.41973 (10)	0.17393 (12)	0.21553 (7)	0.0511 (2)
03	0.41189 (9)	0.03797 (10)	0.37965 (7)	0.04730 (19)
04	0.26575 (11)	0.25210 (12)	0.33988 (9)	0.0596 (2)
N2	0.36518 (9)	0.15533 (10)	0.31187 (7)	0.03293 (15)

	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
P1	0.02420 (9)	0.02590 (9)	0.02829 (10)	-0.00258 (7)	0.00046 (7)	0.00021 (7)
01	0.0351 (3)	0.0393 (3)	0.0364 (3)	-0.0046 (3)	-0.0083 (3)	-0.0003 (3)
N1	0.0296 (3)	0.0285 (3)	0.0324 (3)	0.0006 (3)	-0.0028 (3)	0.0034 (3)
C1	0.0432 (5)	0.0381 (5)	0.0488 (5)	0.0000 (4)	0.0091 (4)	-0.0112 (4)
C2	0.0363 (4)	0.0384 (5)	0.0463 (5)	-0.0080 (4)	0.0093 (4)	0.0071 (4)
C3	0.0303 (4)	0.0314 (4)	0.0255 (3)	-0.0033 (3)	0.0015 (3)	0.0026 (3)
02	0.0497 (4)	0.0641 (5)	0.0410 (4)	0.0132 (4)	0.0115 (3)	0.0187 (4)
O3	0.0484 (4)	0.0474 (4)	0.0467 (4)	0.0145 (3)	0.0078 (3)	0.0202 (3)
04	0.0608 (5)	0.0584 (5)	0.0621 (5)	0.0296 (4)	0.0177 (4)	0.0120 (4)
N2	0.0290 (3)	0.0338 (4)	0.0346 (3)	0.0006 (3)	-0.0021(3)	0.0046 (3)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

P101	1.4927 (7)	C1—H1C	0.9600	
P1—C1	1.7834 (10)	C2—H2A	0.9600	
P1—C2	1.7851 (9)	C2—H2B	0.9600	
P1—C3	1.8186 (9)	C2—H2C	0.9600	
N1—C3	1.4777 (12)	С3—НЗА	0.9700	
N1—H11	0.894 (14)	С3—Н3В	0.9700	
N1—H12	0.902 (14)	O2—N2	1.2465 (11)	
N1—H13	0.874 (14)	O3—N2	1.2494 (10)	
C1—H1A	0.9600	O4—N2	1.2336 (11)	
C1—H1B	0.9600			
O1—P1—C1	114.62 (5)	H1B—C1—H1C	109.5	
O1—P1—C2	112.60 (5)	P1—C2—H2A	109.5	
C1—P1—C2	107.66 (5)	P1—C2—H2B	109.5	
O1—P1—C3	111.27 (4)	H2A—C2—H2B	109.5	
C1—P1—C3	102.61 (5)	P1—C2—H2C	109.5	
C2—P1—C3	107.38 (5)	H2A—C2—H2C	109.5	
C3—N1—H11	110.9 (9)	H2B—C2—H2C	109.5	
C3—N1—H12	113.4 (9)	N1—C3—P1	112.81 (6)	
H11—N1—H12	107.4 (12)	N1—C3—H3A	109.0	
C3—N1—H13	109.4 (9)	Р1—С3—НЗА	109.0	
H11—N1—H13	104.7 (12)	N1—C3—H3B	109.0	
H12—N1—H13	110.7 (12)	P1—C3—H3B	109.0	
P1—C1—H1A	109.5	H3A—C3—H3B	107.8	
P1—C1—H1B	109.5	O4—N2—O2	120.16 (8)	
H1A—C1—H1B	109.5	O4—N2—O3	120.34 (9)	
P1—C1—H1C	109.5	O2—N2—O3	119.50 (8)	
H1A—C1—H1C	109.5			
O1—P1—C3—N1	40.98 (7)	C2—P1—C3—N1	-82.67 (7)	
C1—P1—C3—N1	164.01 (6)			

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H···A	D····A	D—H···A
N1—H11…O2	0.894 (14)	1.966 (15)	2.8555 (11)	173.1 (12)
N1—H12···O3 ⁱ	0.902 (14)	1.979 (14)	2.8784 (12)	174.5 (13)
N1—H13…O1 ⁱⁱ	0.874 (14)	1.888 (14)	2.7493 (10)	168.2 (13)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Symmetry codes: (i) x, -y+1/2, z-1/2; (ii) -x, -y+1, -z.