Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# Butane-1,4-diyl bis(benzenecarbodithioate)

#### Daisuke Abe and Yuji Sasanuma\*

Department of Applied Chemistry and Biotechnology, Chiba University, 1-33, Yayoicho, Inage-ku, Chiba 263-8522, Japan Correspondence e-mail: sasanuma@faculty.chiba-u.jp

Received 7 October 2013; accepted 8 October 2013

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 173 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.003 Å; R factor = 0.037; wR factor = 0.100; data-to-parameter ratio = 14.8.

The title compound,  $C_{18}H_{18}S_4$ , which lies on an inversion center, adopts a *trans-gauche<sup>+</sup>-trans-gauche<sup>-</sup>-trans* ( $tg^+tg^-t$ ) conformation of the S-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-CH<sub>2</sub>-S bond sequence. In the crystal, a  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction with a centroid-centroid distance of 3.8797 (16) Å is observed.

#### **Related literature**

For crystal structures and conformations of  $C_6H_5C(=S)S-(CH_2)_2SC(=S)C_6H_5$  and  $C_6H_5C(=O)S(CH_2)_4SC(=O)C_6H_5$ , see: Abe *et al.* (2011, 2013). For related compounds, see: Sawanobori *et al.* (2001); Sasanuma *et al.* (2002). For the synthesis of piperidinium dithiobenzoate, see: Kato *et al.* (1973).



**Experimental** 

Crystal data C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>S<sub>4</sub>

 $M_r=362.56$ 

Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	
a = 11.0205 (6) Å	
b = 7.2535 (5) Å	
c = 11.3090 (7) Å	
$\beta = 110.805 \ (2)^{\circ}$	
$V = 845.06 (9) \text{ Å}^3$	

#### Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector	4872 measured reflections
diffractometer	1480 independent reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	1468 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
(SADABS; Bruker, 2001)	$R_{\rm int} = 0.028$
$T_{\min} = 0.235, \ T_{\max} = 0.951$	

#### Refinement

 $\begin{array}{ll} R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037 & 100 \text{ parameters} \\ wR(F^2) = 0.100 & H-\text{atom parameters constrained} \\ S = 1.13 & \Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.35 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \\ 1480 \text{ reflections} & \Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.31 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3} \end{array}$ 

Z = 2

Cu  $K\alpha$  radiation

 $0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.01 \text{ mm}$ 

 $\mu = 5.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 173 K

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2007); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2007); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008) and *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2006); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*.

We thank Dr Masu and Dr Yagishita of the Center for Analytical Instrumentation, Chiba University, for helpful advice about the X-ray diffraction measurements. This study was partly supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (22550190) from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: IS5312).

#### References

- Abe, D., Sasanuma, Y. & Sato, H. (2011). Acta Cryst. E67, 0961.
- Abe, D. & Sasanuma, Y. (2013). Acta Cryst. E69, 01612.
- Bruker (2001). SADABS. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2007). APEX2. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Kato, S., Mitani, T. & Mizuta, M. (1973). Int. J. Sulfur Chem. 8, 359-366.
- Macrae, C. F., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Shields, G. P., Taylor, R., Towler, M. & van de Streek, J. (2006). J. Appl. Cryst. 39, 453–457.
- Sasanuma, Y., Ohta, H., Touma, I., Matoba, H., Hayashi, Y. & Kaito, A. (2001). *Macromolecules*, **35**, 3748–3761.
- Sawanobori, M., Sasanuma, Y. & Kaito, A. (2001). *Macromolecules*, **34**, 8321–8329.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2013). E69, o1636 [doi:10.1107/S1600536813027529]

# Butane-1,4-diyl bis(benzenecarbodithioate)

# Daisuke Abe and Yuji Sasanuma

#### S1. Comment

The aromatic polyesters,  $[-O(CH_2)_nO(C=O)C_6H_4(C=O)-]_x$  (n = 2-4), have been mass-produced and used as fibers, films, bottles, and engineering plastics. In a series of our studies, we have investigated conformational characteristics and configurational properties of their analogs,  $[-X(CH_2)_nX(C=Y)C_6H_4(C=Y)-]_x$ , that is, polythioesters (X = S, Y = O, abbreviated herein as  $PnTS_2$ ) and polydithioesters ( $X = Y = S, PnTS_4$ ). As model compounds of  $PnTS_2$  and  $PnTS_4$ , we have adopted oligomethylenedithiobenzoate ( $nDBS_2$ ) and oligomethylenetetrathiobenzoate ( $nDBS_4$ ), respectively. This paper describes synthesis and X-ray diffraction analysis of one of them,  $4DBS_4$ .

Figure 1 shows the molecular structure of 4DBS<sub>4</sub>. The S—CH<sub>2</sub>—CH<sub>2</sub>—CH<sub>2</sub>—CH<sub>2</sub>—CH<sub>2</sub>—S bonds lie in the *trans–gauche<sup>+</sup>–trans–gauche<sup>-</sup>–trans* ( $tg^+tg^-t$ ) conformation. On the other hand, 4DBS<sub>2</sub>, a model of P4TS<sub>2</sub>, crystallizes to form the  $g^+ttg^-$  conformation (Abe & Sasanuma, 2013). In general, the S—CH<sub>2</sub> single bond prefers the *gauche* state (Sawanobori *et al.*, 2001; Sasanuma *et al.*, 2002). For instance, the crystalline 2DBS<sub>4</sub> molecule adopts the  $g^+tg^-$  conformation in the S—CH<sub>2</sub>—CH<sub>2</sub>—S linkage (Abe *et al.*, 2011). By contraries, the two S—CH<sub>2</sub> bonds of 4DBS<sub>4</sub> were found here to be in the *trans* conformation. Our molecular orbital calculations at the MP2/6–311+G(2 d,p)//B3LYP/6–311+G(2 d,p) level for gaseous 4DBS<sub>4</sub> yielded free energies (relative to the all-*trans* state) of the two conformers: 0.49 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> ( $tg^+tg^-t$ ) and -0.86 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup> ( $g^+tttg^-$ ). Therefore, 4DBS<sub>4</sub> is not allowed to crystallize in the most stable conformation.

In differential scanning calorimetric measurements, a  $4DBS_4$  sample, which was recrystallized from methanol, exhibited only one endothermic peak at 68 °C on heating, whereas its melt-crystallized sample showed two endothermic peaks at 48 and 68 °C. The former and latter samples yielded powder X-ray diffraction patterns different from each other.

Interestingly,  $nDBS_4$ 's (n = 2, 3, 4, and 5) show odd-even effects in melting;  $2DBS_4$  and  $4DBS_4$ , respectively, melt at 109 and 68 °C, whereas  $3DBS_4$  and  $5DBS_4$  are liquid at room temperature but exhibit grass transitions at -51 °C (n = 3) and -54 °C (n = 5).

## **S2.** Experimental

Piperidinium dithiobenzoate (1.26 g, 5.3 mmol) was prepared according to the literature (Kato *et al.*, 1973). Dibromobutane (0.54 g, 2.5 mmol) was added dropwise into piperidinium dithiobenzoate dissolved in dimethylformamide (DMF, 15 ml) and then stirred for 8 h under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction mixture was diluted with a mixture of ethyl acetate and n-hexane (1:4 in volume) and washed thrice with water, and the organic layer was dried overnight over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. The solution was condensed, dissolved in a toluene/n-hexane mixture (1:2 in volume), and fractionated by silica-gel chromatograph ( $R_f = 0.3-0.5$ ). The collected fractions were condensed and recrystallized from a methanol/n-hexane mixture (1:1 in volume) to yield 4DBS<sub>4</sub> (0.37 g, 41%).

The product was dissolved in chloroform in an open vessel. The vessel was placed in a larger one containing n-hexane, a poor solvent for 4DBS<sub>4</sub>, to facilitate precipitation of crystals by vapor diffusion of n-hexane into the chloroform solution.

## **S3. Refinement**

All C—H hydrogen atoms were geometrically positioned with C—H = 0.95 and 0.99 Å for the aromatic and methylene groups, respectively, and refined as riding by  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2 U_{eq}(C)$ .



## Figure 1

Molecular structure of S,S'-butane-1,4-diyl bis(benzenecarbodithioate) (4DBS<sub>4</sub>). Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



## Figure 2

Packing diagrams of  $4DBS_4$ , viewed down the *a* (a), *b* (b), and *c* (c) axes.

#### Butane-1,4-diyl bis(benzenecarbodithioate)

Crystal data  $C_{18}H_{18}S_4$   $M_r = 362.56$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/n$ Hall symbol: -P 2yn a = 11.0205 (6) Å b = 7.2535 (5) Å c = 11.3090 (7) Å  $\beta = 110.805$  (2)° V = 845.06 (9) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 2

F(000) = 380  $D_x = 1.425 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Melting point: 341 K Cu K\alpha radiation, \lambda = 1.54178 Å Cell parameters from 5003 reflections  $\theta = 4.8-67.8^{\circ}$   $\mu = 5.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 173 KPlate, pink  $0.40 \times 0.20 \times 0.01 \text{ mm}$  Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD area-detector	$T_{\min} = 0.235, \ T_{\max} = 0.951$
diffractometer	4872 measured reflections
Radiation source: Bruker TXS fine-focus	1480 independent reflections
rotating anode	1468 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Bruker Helios multilayer confocal mirror	$R_{\rm int} = 0.028$
monochromator	$\theta_{\rm max} = 68.1^{\circ},  \theta_{\rm min} = 4.8^{\circ}$
Detector resolution: 8.333 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>	$h = -13 \rightarrow 13$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$k = -8 \rightarrow 7$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$l = -13 \rightarrow 13$
(SADABS; Bruker, 2001)	
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.037$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.100$	neighbouring sites
<i>S</i> = 1.13	H-atom parameters constrained
1480 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0393P)^2 + 1.0478P]$
100 parameters	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta  ho_{ m max} = 0.35$ e Å <sup>-3</sup>
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.31 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*-factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
C1	-0.0082 (2)	0.2157 (3)	0.3798 (2)	0.0226 (5)	
C2	0.0225 (2)	0.2350 (4)	0.5097 (2)	0.0271 (5)	
H2	0.1068	0.2029	0.5658	0.033*	
C3	-0.0686 (2)	0.3004 (4)	0.5579 (2)	0.0309 (5)	
H3	-0.0463	0.3138	0.6467	0.037*	
C4	-0.1921 (2)	0.3461 (4)	0.4770 (3)	0.0314 (6)	
H4	-0.2547	0.3904	0.5100	0.038*	
C5	-0.2237 (2)	0.3270 (4)	0.3480 (3)	0.0347 (6)	
H5	-0.3085	0.3581	0.2923	0.042*	
C6	-0.1329 (2)	0.2628 (4)	0.2992 (2)	0.0300 (5)	
H6	-0.1556	0.2508	0.2103	0.036*	
C7	0.0885 (2)	0.1450 (3)	0.3270 (2)	0.0222 (5)	
C8	0.3424 (2)	0.0498 (4)	0.3534 (2)	0.0288 (5)	
H8A	0.3123	-0.0796	0.3375	0.035*	
H8B	0.3313	0.1080	0.2710	0.035*	

C9	0.4854 (2)	0.0543 (4)	0.4385 (2)	0.0270 (5)
H9A	0.5121	0.1841	0.4594	0.032*
H9B	0.5381	0.0031	0.3913	0.032*
S1	0.04887 (5)	0.05242 (9)	0.18505 (5)	0.0286 (2)
S2	0.24732 (5)	0.17228 (9)	0.42927 (5)	0.0278 (2)

Atomic displacement parameters  $(\mathring{A}^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	U <sup>22</sup>	U <sup>33</sup>	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
C1	0.0177 (10)	0.0217 (12)	0.0276 (11)	-0.0011 (9)	0.0072 (9)	0.0005 (9)
C2	0.0197 (11)	0.0330 (13)	0.0271 (11)	0.0003 (10)	0.0064 (9)	0.0023 (10)
C3	0.0304 (12)	0.0364 (14)	0.0286 (12)	-0.0004 (11)	0.0136 (10)	-0.0013 (11)
C4	0.0255 (12)	0.0310 (14)	0.0429 (14)	0.0014 (10)	0.0186 (11)	-0.0034 (11)
C5	0.0189 (11)	0.0430 (16)	0.0389 (14)	0.0067 (11)	0.0063 (10)	-0.0015 (12)
C6	0.0210 (11)	0.0391 (15)	0.0269 (11)	0.0026 (10)	0.0047 (9)	-0.0026 (10)
C7	0.0169 (10)	0.0228 (12)	0.0255 (11)	0.0010 (8)	0.0058 (8)	0.0044 (9)
C8	0.0178 (11)	0.0406 (15)	0.0283 (11)	0.0071 (10)	0.0087 (9)	-0.0003 (10)
C9	0.0172 (11)	0.0335 (14)	0.0312 (12)	0.0040 (9)	0.0097 (9)	0.0022 (10)
<b>S</b> 1	0.0211 (3)	0.0387 (4)	0.0246 (3)	-0.0006 (2)	0.0062 (2)	-0.0052 (2)
S2	0.0143 (3)	0.0394 (4)	0.0271 (3)	0.0035 (2)	0.0040 (2)	-0.0065 (2)

# Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—C2	1.392 (3)	С6—Н6	0.9500
C1—C6	1.395 (3)	C7—S1	1.649 (2)
C1—C7	1.487 (3)	C7—S2	1.732 (2)
C2—C3	1.386 (4)	C8—C9	1.527 (3)
C2—H2	0.9500	C8—S2	1.807 (2)
C3—C4	1.383 (4)	C8—H8A	0.9900
С3—Н3	0.9500	C8—H8B	0.9900
C4—C5	1.381 (4)	C9—C9 <sup>i</sup>	1.530 (5)
C4—H4	0.9500	С9—Н9А	0.9900
C5—C6	1.384 (3)	С9—Н9В	0.9900
С5—Н5	0.9500		
C2—C1—C6	118.6 (2)	С1—С6—Н6	119.8
C2—C1—C7	121.2 (2)	C1—C7—S1	123.48 (16)
C6—C1—C7	120.2 (2)	C1—C7—S2	113.01 (16)
C3—C2—C1	120.7 (2)	S1—C7—S2	123.51 (13)
C3—C2—H2	119.6	C9—C8—S2	109.43 (16)
C1—C2—H2	119.6	С9—С8—Н8А	109.8
C4—C3—C2	120.1 (2)	S2—C8—H8A	109.8
С4—С3—Н3	120.0	С9—С8—Н8В	109.8
С2—С3—Н3	120.0	S2—C8—H8B	109.8
C5—C4—C3	119.6 (2)	H8A—C8—H8B	108.2
С5—С4—Н4	120.2	C8—C9—C9 <sup>i</sup>	113.5 (2)
C3—C4—H4	120.2	С8—С9—Н9А	108.9
C4—C5—C6	120.5 (2)	С9 <sup>і</sup> —С9—Н9А	108.9

С4—С5—Н5	119.7	С8—С9—Н9В	108.9
С6—С5—Н5	119.7	C9 <sup>i</sup> —C9—H9B	108.9
C5—C6—C1	120.4 (2)	H9A—C9—H9B	107.7
С5—С6—Н6	119.8	C7—S2—C8	104.20 (11)
C6—C1—C2—C3	-0.3 (4)	C2-C1-C7-S1	158.30 (19)
C7—C1—C2—C3	-179.8 (2)	C6—C1—C7—S1	-21.2 (3)
C1—C2—C3—C4	0.5 (4)	C2—C1—C7—S2	-22.3 (3)
C2-C3-C4-C5	-0.3 (4)	C6—C1—C7—S2	158.2 (2)
C3—C4—C5—C6	-0.1 (4)	S2-C8-C9-C9 <sup>i</sup>	66.7 (3)
C4—C5—C6—C1	0.3 (4)	C1—C7—S2—C8	172.54 (17)
C2-C1-C6-C5	-0.1 (4)	S1—C7—S2—C8	-8.11 (19)
C7—C1—C6—C5	179.4 (2)	C9—C8—S2—C7	-176.88 (17)

Symmetry code: (i) -x+1, -y, -z+1.