

Acta Crystallographica Section E

## Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# catena-Poly[[[tetraaquamagnesium]-*trans*- $\mu$ -[(piperazine-1,4-diium-1,4-diyl)-bis(methylene)]diphosphonato] hemihydrate]

Lars-Hendrik Schilling and Norbert Stock\*

Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Christian-Albrechts-Universität Kiel, Max-Eyth-Strasse 2, 24118 Kiel, Germany

Correspondence e-mail: stock@ac.uni-kiel.de


Received 12 April 2013; accepted 5 July 2013

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study;  $T = 293$  K; mean  $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.002$  Å; disorder in solvent or counterion;  $R$  factor = 0.030;  $wR$  factor = 0.080; data-to-parameter ratio = 19.0.

The structure of the title polymer,  $[\text{Mg}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{14}\text{N}_2\text{O}_6\text{P}_2)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4] \cdot 0.5\text{H}_2\text{O}]_n$ , is based on centrosymmetric  $\text{MgO}_6$  octahedra, which are linked by [(piperazine-1,4-diium-1,4-diyl)-bis(methylene)]diphosphonate ligands, forming chains parallel to  $[1\bar{1}\bar{1}]$ . These chains are connected *via* hydrogen bonds primarily formed between the phosphonate groups and water molecules. The latter constitute four of the corners of the  $\text{MgO}_6$  polyhedra and bind to the O atoms of the phosphonate groups of neighbouring chains. The lattice water molecule is disordered around an inversion centre, exhibiting an occupancy of 0.25.

## Related literature

For related magnesium structures, see: Wharmby *et al.* (2012). For related  $N,N'$ -piperaziniumbis(methylenephosphonates), see: Choi *et al.* (1994); Groves *et al.* (2005a,b); Groves, Stephens *et al.* (2006); Groves, Miller *et al.* (2006); LaDuca *et al.* (1996); Serre *et al.* (2006); Soghomonian *et al.* (1995); Wang *et al.* (2004); Wharmby *et al.* (2012). As a result of their flexible coordination behaviour, organic linker molecules containing phosphonate groups allow the synthesis of a multitude of inorganic-organic hybrid materials, see: Gagnon *et al.* (2012).



## Experimental

## Crystal data

$[\text{Mg}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{14}\text{N}_2\text{O}_6\text{P}_2)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4] \cdot 0.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$   
 $M_r = 377.51$   
 Triclinic,  $P\bar{1}$   
 $a = 6.6296$  (5) Å  
 $b = 6.8074$  (6) Å  
 $c = 8.7962$  (7) Å  
 $\alpha = 94.579$  (6)°  
 $\beta = 103.326$  (6)°  
 $\gamma = 106.552$  (6)°  
 $V = 365.75$  (5) Å<sup>3</sup>  
 $Z = 1$   
 Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  
 $\mu = 0.40$  mm<sup>-1</sup>  
 $T = 293$  K  
 $0.21 \times 0.12 \times 0.04$  mm

## Data collection

Stoe IPSPD-2 diffractometer  
 Absorption correction: numerical  
 (*X-SHAPE* and *X-RED32*;  
 Stoe & Cie, 2008)  
 $T_{\text{min}} = 0.886$ ,  $T_{\text{max}} = 0.974$   
 6973 measured reflections  
 1957 independent reflections  
 1727 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.036$

## Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.080$   
 $S = 1.01$   
 1957 reflections  
 103 parameters  
 H-atom parameters constrained  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.43$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>  
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.43$  e Å<sup>-3</sup>

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D-H \cdots A$	$D-H$	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D-H \cdots A$
$\text{N1}-\text{H1N1} \cdots \text{O3}^{\text{i}}$	0.86	1.84	2.6187 (16)	150
$\text{O4}-\text{H1O4} \cdots \text{O3}^{\text{ii}}$	0.82	1.99	2.7956 (15)	166
$\text{O4}-\text{H2O4} \cdots \text{O2}$	0.82	1.88	2.6733 (17)	164
$\text{O5}-\text{H1O5} \cdots \text{O2}^{\text{iii}}$	0.82	1.89	2.7032 (17)	172
$\text{O5}-\text{H2O5} \cdots \text{O2}^{\text{iv}}$	0.82	1.99	2.7667 (18)	158

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x+1, -y+2, -z+2$ ; (ii)  $-x+1, -y+2, -z+1$ ; (iii)  $x, y-1, z$ ; (iv)  $-x, -y+1, -z+1$ .

Data collection: *X-AREA* (Stoe & Cie, 2008); cell refinement: *X-AREA*; data reduction: *X-AREA*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *XP* in *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 2008) and *DIAMOND* Brandenburg (2011); software used to prepare material for publication: *XCIF* in *SHELXTL*.

We gratefully acknowledge financial support by the DFG (project No. STO-643/2-3) and the State of Schleswig-Holstein.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: CQ2003).

## References

- Brandenburg, K. (2011). *DIAMOND*. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.  
 Choi, N., Khan, I., Matthews, R. W., McPartlin, M. & Murphy, B. P. (1994). *Polyhedron*, **13**, 847–850.  
 Gagnon, K. J., Perry, H. P. & Clearfield, A. (2012). *Chem. Rev.* **112**, 1034–1054.  
 Groves, J. A., Miller, S. R., Warrender, S. J., Mellot-Draznieks, C., Lightfoot, P. & Wright, P. A. (2006). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 3305–3307.  
 Groves, J. A., Stephens, N. F., Wright, P. A. & Lightfoot, P. (2006). *Solid State Sci.* **8**, 397–403.  
 Groves, J. A., Wright, P. A. & Lightfoot, P. (2005a). *Dalton Trans.* pp. 2007–2010.  
 Groves, J. A., Wright, P. A. & Lightfoot, P. (2005b). *Inorg. Chem.* **44**, 1736–1739.

- LaDuca, R., Rose, D., DeBord, J. R. D., Haushalter, R. C., O'Connor, C. J. & Zubieta, J. (1996). *J. Solid State Chem.* **123**, 408–412.
- Serre, C., Groves, J. A., Lightfoot, P., Slawin, A. M. Z., Wright, P. A., Stock, N., Bein, T., Haouas, M., Taulelle, F. & Férey, G. R. (2006). *Chem. Mater.* **18**, 1451–1457.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
- Soghomonian, V., Diaz, R., Haushalter, R. C., O'Connor, C. J. & Zubieta, J. (1995). *Inorg. Chem.* **34**, 4460–4466.
- Stoe & Cie (2008). *X-AREA*, *X-RED32* and *X-SHAPE*. Stoe & Cie, Darmstadt, Germany.
- Wang, Y., Bao, S.-S., Xu, W., Chen, J., Gao, S. & Zheng, L.-M. (2004). *J. Solid State Chem.* **177**, 1297–1301.
- Wharmby, M. T., Pearce, G. M., Mowat, J. P. S., Griffin, J. M., Ashbrook, S. E., Wright, P. A., Schilling, L.-H., Lieb, A., Stock, N., Chavan, S., Bordiga, S., Garcia, E., Pirngruber, G. D., Vreeke, M. & Gora, L. (2012). *Microporous Mesoporous Mater.* **157**, 3–17.

## supporting information

*Acta Cryst.* (2013). E69, m452–m453 [doi:10.1107/S1600536813018722]

**catena-Poly[[[tetraaquamagnesium]-trans- $\mu$ -[(piperazine-1,4-dium-1,4-diyl)bis(methylene)]diphosphonato] hemihydrate]****Lars-Hendrik Schilling and Norbert Stock****S1. Comment**

Due to their flexible coordination behaviour, organic linker molecules containing phosphonate groups allow the synthesis of a multitude of inorganic-organic hybrid materials (Gagnon *et al.*, 2012). In this context, the ligand *N,N'*-piperazinebis(methylenephosphonic acid) ( $\text{H}_2\text{O}_3\text{P}-\text{CH}_2-\text{NC}_4\text{H}_8\text{N}-\text{CH}_2-\text{PO}_3\text{H}_2 = \text{H}_4\text{L}$ ) has been the subject of intense interest, since its use has led to a number of dense metal phosphonates (Groves, Stephens *et al.*, 2006, Groves *et al.*, 2005a, 2005b, Choi *et al.*, 1994, LaDuca *et al.*, 1996, Soghomonian *et al.*, 1995, Wang *et al.*, 2004) as well as porous ones (Groves, Miller *et al.*, 2006, Serre *et al.*, 2006). The compounds  $[\text{Co}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{L}] \cdot 5.1 \text{ H}_2\text{O}$  (denoted Co-STA-12) and  $[\text{Mg}_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{L}] \cdot 3.97 \text{ H}_2\text{O}$  (denoted CAU-2) are highly porous with micropore volumes of  $0.14 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$  and  $0.20 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ g}^{-1}$ , respectively (Wharmby *et al.*, 2012). Investigation of the system  $\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6 \text{ H}_2\text{O} / \text{H}_4\text{L} / \text{base} / \text{H}_2\text{O}$  led to the formation of CAU-2. We have now been able to isolate a new compound,  $[\text{Mg}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4(\text{H}_2\text{L})] \cdot 0.5 \text{ H}_2\text{O}$ , in this system, which is obtained from slightly acidic reaction mixtures ( $4 \leq \text{pH} \leq 6.5$ ). Optimization of the reaction conditions (concentration and reaction time) led to the formation of single crystals. The asymmetric unit of the crystal structure is depicted in Fig. 1.

$[\text{Mg}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4(\text{H}_2\text{L})] \cdot 0.5 \text{ H}_2\text{O}$  adopts a one-dimensional structure containing alternating inorganic and organic building units of  $\text{MgO}_6$  polyhedra and *N,N'*-piperaziniumbis(methylenephosphonate) ions (Fig. 2). The  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$  ions are octahedrally coordinated by six oxygen atoms (O1, O4, O5 and their symmetry equivalents), of which four (O4 and O5) belong to water molecules while two (O1) belong to phosphonate groups of the ligand molecules. The charge of the structure is balanced by protons connected to the N atoms of the ligand making it a quaternary amine. In addition, a further water molecule is found on a partially occupied position.

**S2. Experimental**

A reaction mixture of  $\text{MgCl}_2 \cdot 6 \text{ H}_2\text{O}$  (13.55 mg, 50  $\mu\text{mol}$ ), *N,N'*-piperazinebis(methylenephosphonic acid) (36.55 mg, 100 nmol), potassium hydroxide (16.83 mg, 300 nmol) and 1.5 ml water was placed in a 2 ml Teflon-lined autoclave. Subsequently the reactor was heated from room temperature to 130 °C (heating rate 1 °C  $\text{min}^{-1}$ ), the temperature was held for 52 h and then slowly lowered to room temperature over a period of 12 h. The resulting colourless crystals were collected by filtration and analysed *via* single-crystal XRD. Yield: 32%.

**S3. Refinement**

All H atoms of C—H groups were located in difference maps but were positioned with idealized geometry and were refined isotropically with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2 U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$  using a riding model with C—H = 0.97 Å for aliphatic H atoms. The water H atoms were located in difference maps, their bond lengths were set to ideal values of 0.82 Å and they were refined using a riding model with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.5 U_{\text{eq}}(\text{O})$ . The N—H H atom was located in a difference map but was positioned with idealized geometry and was refined isotropic with  $U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2 U_{\text{eq}}(\text{C})$  using a riding model with N—H = 0.86 Å.




Figure 1

Asymmetric unit of the title compound with labeling and displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level.




Figure 2

Chain-formed building unit of  $[\text{Mg}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4(\text{H}_2\text{L})] \cdot 0.5 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ .

**catena-Poly[[[tetraaquamagnesium]-*trans*- $\mu$ -[(piperazine-1,4-diium-1,4-diyl)bis(methylene)]diphosphonato] hemihydrate]**

*Crystal data*

$[\text{Mg}(\text{C}_6\text{H}_{14}\text{N}_2\text{O}_6\text{P}_2)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4] \cdot 0.5\text{H}_2\text{O}$

$M_r = 377.51$

Triclinic,  $P\bar{1}$

Hall symbol: -P 1

$a = 6.6296 (5) \text{ \AA}$

$b = 6.8074 (6) \text{ \AA}$

$c = 8.7962 (7) \text{ \AA}$

$\alpha = 94.579 (6)^\circ$

$\beta = 103.326 (6)^\circ$

$\gamma = 106.552 (6)^\circ$

$V = 365.75 (5) \text{ \AA}^3$

$Z = 1$

$F(000) = 199$

$D_x = 1.714 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$

Cell parameters from 1270 reflections

$\theta = 1.2\text{--}29.8^\circ$

$\mu = 0.40 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

$T = 293 \text{ K}$

Needle, colorless

$0.21 \times 0.12 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}$

*Data collection*

Stoe IPDS-2

diffractometer

Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube

Graphite monochromator

$\omega$  scan

Absorption correction: numerical

(*X-SHAPE* and *X-RED32*; Stoe & Cie, 2008)

$T_{\min} = 0.886$ ,  $T_{\max} = 0.974$

6973 measured reflections  
 1957 independent reflections  
 1727 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$   
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.036$

$\theta_{\text{max}} = 29.2^\circ$ ,  $\theta_{\text{min}} = 3.2^\circ$   
 $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$   
 $k = -9 \rightarrow 9$   
 $l = -12 \rightarrow 12$

*Refinement*

Refinement on  $F^2$   
 Least-squares matrix: full  
 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$   
 $wR(F^2) = 0.080$   
 $S = 1.01$   
 1957 reflections  
 103 parameters  
 0 restraints  
 Primary atom site location: structure-invariant  
 direct methods

Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier  
 map  
 Hydrogen site location: inferred from  
 neighbouring sites  
 H-atom parameters constrained  
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0352P)^2 + 0.2734P]$   
 where  $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$   
 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$   
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.43 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$   
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.43 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

*Special details*

**Geometry.** All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement.** Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted  $R$ -factor  $wR$  and goodness of fit  $S$  are based on  $F^2$ , conventional  $R$ -factors  $R$  are based on  $F$ , with  $F$  set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating  $R$ -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement.  $R$ -factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on  $F$ , and  $R$ -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

*Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ( $\text{\AA}^2$ )*

	$x$	$y$	$z$	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$	Occ. (<1)
Mg1	0.5000	0.5000	0.5000	0.01868 (16)	
P1	0.36611 (6)	0.83891 (6)	0.71790 (4)	0.01741 (10)	
O1	0.47100 (19)	0.67534 (18)	0.69031 (14)	0.0256 (2)	
O2	0.22643 (18)	0.87610 (17)	0.56605 (13)	0.0228 (2)	
O3	0.52336 (14)	1.04094 (13)	0.81824 (11)	0.0225 (2)	
C1	0.17809 (14)	0.73201 (13)	0.83666 (11)	0.0218 (3)	
H1A	0.2264	0.6279	0.8904	0.026*	
H1B	0.0379	0.6240	0.8034	0.026*	
N1	0.1348 (2)	0.89184 (19)	0.94153 (15)	0.0181 (2)	
H1N1	0.2589	0.9558	1.0077	0.022*	
C2	0.0614 (3)	1.0529 (2)	0.85892 (19)	0.0235 (3)	
H2A	-0.0754	0.9870	0.7791	0.028*	
H2B	0.1690	1.1225	0.8065	0.028*	
C3	-0.0313 (3)	0.7900 (2)	1.02369 (19)	0.0218 (3)	
H3A	0.0147	0.6857	1.0786	0.026*	
H3B	-0.1695	0.7211	0.9461	0.026*	
O4	0.30950 (18)	0.64149 (17)	0.34463 (13)	0.0236 (2)	
H1O4	0.3778	0.7291	0.3008	0.028*	
H2O4	0.2647	0.7153	0.3980	0.028*	
O5	0.22487 (19)	0.26098 (18)	0.51161 (16)	0.0309 (3)	
H1O5	0.2383	0.1488	0.5310	0.037*	

H2O5	0.0957	0.2540	0.4922	0.037*	
O6	0.5116 (13)	0.5606 (12)	1.0711 (9)	0.0620 (19)	0.25
H1O6	0.5284	0.5120	1.1538	0.093*	0.25
H2O6	0.5205	0.6822	1.0956	0.093*	0.25

*Atomic displacement parameters (Å<sup>2</sup>)*

	$U^{11}$	$U^{22}$	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
Mg1	0.0172 (3)	0.0181 (3)	0.0219 (3)	0.0073 (3)	0.0059 (3)	0.0011 (3)
P1	0.01580 (17)	0.01772 (18)	0.01999 (19)	0.00653 (13)	0.00637 (13)	0.00069 (13)
O1	0.0300 (6)	0.0285 (6)	0.0246 (6)	0.0176 (5)	0.0094 (5)	0.0020 (4)
O2	0.0203 (5)	0.0246 (5)	0.0244 (5)	0.0097 (4)	0.0047 (4)	0.0025 (4)
O3	0.0200 (5)	0.0213 (5)	0.0237 (5)	0.0037 (4)	0.0053 (4)	0.0010 (4)
C1	0.0228 (7)	0.0170 (6)	0.0276 (8)	0.0055 (5)	0.0121 (6)	0.0011 (6)
N1	0.0151 (5)	0.0194 (6)	0.0206 (6)	0.0052 (4)	0.0070 (5)	0.0019 (5)
C2	0.0269 (7)	0.0258 (7)	0.0246 (7)	0.0132 (6)	0.0127 (6)	0.0077 (6)
C3	0.0216 (7)	0.0205 (7)	0.0271 (7)	0.0066 (6)	0.0129 (6)	0.0060 (6)
O4	0.0245 (5)	0.0233 (5)	0.0252 (6)	0.0101 (4)	0.0075 (4)	0.0032 (4)
O5	0.0186 (5)	0.0240 (6)	0.0501 (8)	0.0059 (4)	0.0086 (5)	0.0106 (5)
O6	0.065 (5)	0.059 (4)	0.068 (5)	0.025 (4)	0.019 (4)	0.020 (4)

*Geometric parameters (Å, °)*

Mg1—O1 <sup>i</sup>	2.0552 (11)	N1—H1N1	0.8600
Mg1—O1	2.0553 (11)	C2—C3 <sup>ii</sup>	1.513 (2)
Mg1—O5	2.0991 (12)	C2—H2A	0.9700
Mg1—O5 <sup>i</sup>	2.0992 (12)	C2—H2B	0.9700
Mg1—O4 <sup>i</sup>	2.1209 (11)	C3—C2 <sup>ii</sup>	1.513 (2)
Mg1—O4	2.1209 (11)	C3—H3A	0.9700
P1—O1	1.5027 (11)	C3—H3B	0.9700
P1—O2	1.5242 (12)	O4—H1O4	0.8199
P1—O3	1.5240 (10)	O4—H2O4	0.8201
P1—C1	1.8383	O5—H1O5	0.8200
C1—N1	1.5022 (15)	O5—H2O5	0.8200
C1—H1A	0.9700	O6—O6 <sup>iii</sup>	1.395 (16)
C1—H1B	0.9700	O6—H1O6	0.8201
N1—C3	1.4925 (18)	O6—H2O6	0.8200
N1—C2	1.495 (2)		
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Mg1—O1	180.00 (6)	C3—N1—C2	108.49 (11)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Mg1—O5	90.35 (5)	C3—N1—C1	110.46 (10)
O1—Mg1—O5	89.65 (5)	C2—N1—C1	114.75 (11)
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Mg1—O5 <sup>i</sup>	89.65 (5)	C3—N1—H1N1	111.5
O1—Mg1—O5 <sup>i</sup>	90.35 (5)	C2—N1—H1N1	106.5
O5—Mg1—O5 <sup>i</sup>	180.00 (7)	C1—N1—H1N1	105.1
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Mg1—O4 <sup>i</sup>	89.80 (4)	N1—C2—C3 <sup>ii</sup>	110.27 (12)
O1—Mg1—O4 <sup>i</sup>	90.20 (4)	N1—C2—H2A	109.6
O5—Mg1—O4 <sup>i</sup>	87.19 (5)	C3 <sup>ii</sup> —C2—H2A	109.6

O5 <sup>i</sup> —Mg1—O4 <sup>i</sup>	92.81 (5)	N1—C2—H2B	109.6
O1 <sup>i</sup> —Mg1—O4	90.20 (4)	C3 <sup>ii</sup> —C2—H2B	109.6
O1—Mg1—O4	89.80 (4)	H2A—C2—H2B	108.1
O5—Mg1—O4	92.81 (5)	N1—C3—C2 <sup>ii</sup>	111.06 (12)
O5 <sup>i</sup> —Mg1—O4	87.19 (5)	N1—C3—H3A	109.4
O4 <sup>i</sup> —Mg1—O4	180.0	C2 <sup>ii</sup> —C3—H3A	109.4
O1—P1—O2	113.06 (7)	N1—C3—H3B	109.4
O1—P1—O3	114.25 (6)	C2 <sup>ii</sup> —C3—H3B	109.4
O2—P1—O3	112.00 (6)	H3A—C3—H3B	108.0
O1—P1—C1	105.10 (6)	Mg1—O4—H1O4	115.4
O2—P1—C1	106.31 (6)	Mg1—O4—H2O4	108.1
O3—P1—C1	105.20 (5)	H1O4—O4—H2O4	99.6
P1—O1—Mg1	137.40 (7)	Mg1—O5—H1O5	119.2
N1—C1—P1	114.69 (7)	Mg1—O5—H2O5	130.9
N1—C1—H1A	114.7	H1O5—O5—H2O5	109.7
P1—C1—H1A	108.7	O6 <sup>iii</sup> —O6—H1O6	119.9
N1—C1—H1B	102.6	O6 <sup>iii</sup> —O6—H2O6	133.7
P1—C1—H1B	128.8	H1O6—O6—H2O6	106.3
H1A—C1—H1B	83.9		

Symmetry codes: (i)  $-x+1, -y+1, -z+1$ ; (ii)  $-x, -y+2, -z+2$ ; (iii)  $-x+1, -y+1, -z+2$ .

*Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)*

<i>D</i> —H... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H	H... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> ... <i>A</i>	<i>D</i> —H... <i>A</i>
N1—H1N1...O3 <sup>iv</sup>	0.86	1.84	2.6187 (16)	150
O4—H1O4...O3 <sup>v</sup>	0.82	1.99	2.7956 (15)	166
O4—H2O4...O2	0.82	1.88	2.6733 (17)	164
O5—H1O5...O2 <sup>vi</sup>	0.82	1.89	2.7032 (17)	172
O5—H2O5...O2 <sup>vii</sup>	0.82	1.99	2.7667 (18)	158
C1—H1B...O4 <sup>vii</sup>	0.97	2.48	3.4279 (15)	166
C2—H2B...O3	0.97	2.55	3.187 (2)	124

Symmetry codes: (iv)  $-x+1, -y+2, -z+2$ ; (v)  $-x+1, -y+2, -z+1$ ; (vi)  $x, y-1, z$ ; (vii)  $-x, -y+1, -z+1$ .