

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# 3-(6-Aminopyridinium-3-yl)benzoate monohydrate

#### Zong-Yong Yuan, Jun Zhao\* and Zhao Peng

College of Mechanical and Material Engineering, China Three Gorges University, Yichang 443002, People's Republic of China Correspondence e-mail: junzhao08@126.com

Received 9 September 2012; accepted 7 October 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 296 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.005 Å; R factor = 0.084; wR factor = 0.215; data-to-parameter ratio = 12.6.

The title compound, C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>10</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, crystallizes as a zwitterion in which the pyridine N atom is protonated and the carboxyl OH group is deprotonated. The benzene and pyridinium rings are inclined at a dihedral angle of  $54.93 (1)^{\circ}$ . In the crystal,  $O-H \cdots O$  and  $N-H \cdots O$  hydrogen bonds link the molecules into a three-dimensional supramolecular network.

#### **Related literature**

For the use of pyridinecarboxylic acid in coordination chemistry and for related structures, see: Tang et al. (2011); Zhong et al. (2008).



**Experimental** 

Crystal data  $C_{12}H_{10}N_2O_2 \cdot H_2O$ 

 $M_r = 232.24$ 

Z = 4

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

 $0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.17~\mathrm{mm}$ 

 $\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

T = 296 K

onoclinic, $P2_1/c$	
= 7.1956 (18) Å	
= 13.091 (9) Å	
= 11.987 (10) Å	
= 101.44 (3)°	
$= 1106.8 (12) Å^{3}$	

#### Data collection

Μ

*a* :

b

c = β

V

Bruker SMART CCD	9294 measured reflections
diffractometer	1942 independent reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	1344 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$R_{\rm int} = 0.095$
$T_{\min} = 0.980, \ T_{\max} = 0.983$	

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.084$	154 parameters
$wR(F^2) = 0.215$	H-atom parameters constrained
S = 1.09	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.30 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
1942 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.21 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

#### Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

н на		B 11 1
	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots \mathbf{A}$
1.87	2.715 (4)	167
1.95	2.803 (4)	172
2.19	2.915 (5)	142
2.00	2.761 (5)	147
2.16	2.928 (5)	146
$-y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2};$	(ii) $-x + 1, y - $	$\frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2};$ (iii)
	$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{H} & \mathbf{H} & \mathbf{H} \\ 1.87 \\ 1.95 \\ 2.19 \\ 2.00 \\ 2.16 \\ \hline -y + \frac{1}{2}, z + \frac{1}{2}; \end{array}$	H         H···A         D···A           1.87         2.715 (4)           1.95         2.803 (4)           2.19         2.915 (5)           2.00         2.761 (5)           2.16         2.928 (5)

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 1999); data reduction: SAINT); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: JJ2152).

#### References

Bruker (1999). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Wisconsin, USA. Sheldrick, G. M. (1996). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany. Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

Tang, L., Fu, F., Wu, Y. P., Hou, X. Y. & Gao, L. J. (2011). J. Coord. Chem. 64, 3146-3157.

Zhong, R. Q., Zou, R. Q., Du, M., Jiang, L., Yamada, T., Maruta, G., Takeda, S. & Xu, Q. (2008). CrystEngComm, 10, 605-613.

# supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, o3119 [doi:10.1107/S1600536812041943]

# 3-(6-Aminopyridinium-3-yl)benzoate monohydrate

## Zong-Yong Yuan, Jun Zhao and Zhao Peng

### S1. Comment

Multidentate bridging ligands containing functional groups such as the familiar pyridyl and/or carboxylate groups have proven to be among the most important types of organic ligands for the design and construction of coordination polymers exhibiting remarkable polymeric structural motifs due to their rich coordination modes (Tang *et al.*, 2011; Zhong *et al.*, 2008). We attempted to synthesize a  $Zn^{II}$  complex with the ligand in hydrothermal synthesis conditions. However the title compound was obtained, its structure is reported here.

The asymmetric unit of the title compound,  $C_{12}H_{10}N_2O_2$ .  $H_2O$  is composed of one 3-(6-Amino-pyridinium-3-yl)benzoate acid molecule and one lattice water molecule. The dihedral angle between the mean planes of the benzene and pyridinium rings is 54.93 (1)°. The deprotonated carboxylate COO(O1—C1—O2) group is slightly twisted from the benzene ring by an angle of 11.61 (7)° between their mean planes (Fig. 1). Intermolecular O—H…O and N—H…O hydrogen-bonding interactions (Table 1) link adjacent molecules into a three-dimensional supramolecular network (Fig. 2).

### **S2. Experimental**

A mixture of 3-(6-Amino-pyridin-3-yl)-benzoic acid (0.0214 g, 0.1 mmol),  $Zn(CH_3COO)_2.2H_2O$  (0.0219 g, 0.1 mmol) and water (8 ml) was stired vigorously for 30 min and then sealed in a Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave. The autoclave was heated and maintained at 393 K for 2 days, and then cooled to room temperature at 5 K h<sup>-1</sup> to obtain colorless prism crystals suitable for X-ray analysis.

### S3. Refinement

The H atoms bonded to C and N atoms were positioned geometrically (C—H = 0.93 Å, N—H = 0.86 Å) and allowed to ride on their parent atoms, with  $U_{iso}(H)$  value equal to  $1.2U_{eq}(C \text{ or } N)$ . The H atoms bonded to water O atoms were included in calculated positions and refined with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(O)$ .



### Figure 1

The structure of the title compound with the atom-numbering scheme showing displacement ellipsoids at the 30% probability level for non-H atoms.



## Figure 2

The three-dimensional supramolecular network formed by N—H…O and O—H…O hydrogen-bonding interactions. H atoms not involved in hydrogen bonding have been removed for clarity.

### 3-(6-Aminopyridinium-3-yl)benzoate monohydrate

Crystal data	
$C_{12}H_{10}N_2O_2 \cdot H_2O$	F(000) = 488
$M_r = 232.24$	$D_{\rm x} = 1.394 {\rm ~Mg} {\rm ~m}^{-3}$
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å
Hall symbol: -P 2ybc	Cell parameters from 1519 reflections
a = 7.1956 (18)  Å	$\theta = 3.1 - 25.0^{\circ}$
b = 13.091 (9)  Å	$\mu = 0.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
c = 11.987 (10)  Å	T = 296  K
$\beta = 101.44 \ (3)^{\circ}$	Prism, colourless
$V = 1106.8 (12) Å^3$	$0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.17 \text{ mm}$
Z = 4	

Data collection

Bruker SMART CCD	9294 measured reflections
diffractometer	1942 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	1344 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Graphite monochromator	$R_{\rm int} = 0.095$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 3.1^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: multi-scan	$h = -8 \rightarrow 8$
(SADABS; Sheldrick, 1996)	$k = -15 \rightarrow 15$
$T_{\min} = 0.980, \ T_{\max} = 0.983$	$l = -14 \rightarrow 14$
Refinement	
Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier
Least-squares matrix: full	map
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.084$	Hydrogen site location: inferred from
$wR(F^2) = 0.215$	neighbouring sites
S = 1.09	H-atom parameters constrained
1942 reflections	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.0884P)^2 + 0.8024P]$
154 parameters	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
0 restraints	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.30 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
direct methods	$\Delta \rho_{\min} = -0.21 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

#### Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes. **Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ ,

conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$ are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$	
C1	0.6726 (5)	0.2413 (3)	-0.2146 (3)	0.0454 (10)	
C2	0.4946 (5)	0.1869 (3)	-0.1993 (3)	0.0408 (9)	
C3	0.4506 (5)	0.1783 (3)	-0.0921 (3)	0.0418 (9)	
H3A	0.5360	0.2026	-0.0291	0.050*	
C4	0.2823 (5)	0.1342 (3)	-0.0766 (3)	0.0422 (9)	
C5	0.1555 (6)	0.0988 (3)	-0.1711 (4)	0.0518 (11)	
H5A	0.0407	0.0704	-0.1626	0.062*	
C6	0.1995 (6)	0.1055 (3)	-0.2783 (4)	0.0546 (11)	
H6A	0.1146	0.0805	-0.3410	0.066*	
C7	0.3682 (5)	0.1489 (3)	-0.2930 (3)	0.0475 (10)	
H7A	0.3969	0.1526	-0.3652	0.057*	
C8	0.2383 (5)	0.1250 (3)	0.0394 (3)	0.0414 (9)	
C9	0.0750 (5)	0.1644 (3)	0.0640 (3)	0.0461 (10)	
H9A	-0.0115	0.1964	0.0067	0.055*	
C10	0.1542 (5)	0.1128 (3)	0.2573 (3)	0.0442 (10)	
C11	0.3236 (5)	0.0713 (3)	0.2355 (3)	0.0482 (10)	

0.4080	0.0389	0.2936	0.058*
0.3642 (5)	0.0783 (3)	0.1300 (3)	0.0478 (10)
0.4779	0.0517	0.1172	0.057*
0.0361 (4)	0.1579 (2)	0.1700 (3)	0.0454 (8)
-0.0681	0.1837	0.1819	0.054*
0.1056 (5)	0.1100 (3)	0.3583 (3)	0.0558 (10)
0.0003	0.1366	0.3671	0.067*
0.1795	0.0814	0.4149	0.067*
0.7197 (4)	0.2360 (2)	-0.3109 (2)	0.0626 (9)
0.2996 (5)	-0.0676 (3)	0.4736 (3)	0.0984 (13)
0.3198	-0.0995	0.5372	0.148*
0.2433	-0.1120	0.4237	0.148*
0.7649 (4)	0.2900 (2)	-0.1316 (2)	0.0611 (9)
	$\begin{array}{c} 0.4080\\ 0.3642\ (5)\\ 0.4779\\ 0.0361\ (4)\\ -0.0681\\ 0.1056\ (5)\\ 0.0003\\ 0.1795\\ 0.7197\ (4)\\ 0.2996\ (5)\\ 0.3198\\ 0.2433\\ 0.7649\ (4) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0.4080 & 0.0389 \\ 0.3642  (5) & 0.0783  (3) \\ 0.4779 & 0.0517 \\ 0.0361  (4) & 0.1579  (2) \\ -0.0681 & 0.1837 \\ 0.1056  (5) & 0.1100  (3) \\ 0.0003 & 0.1366 \\ 0.1795 & 0.0814 \\ 0.7197  (4) & 0.2360  (2) \\ 0.2996  (5) & -0.0676  (3) \\ 0.3198 & -0.0995 \\ 0.2433 & -0.1120 \\ 0.7649  (4) & 0.2900  (2) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	U <sup>22</sup>	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
C1	0.040 (2)	0.050 (2)	0.048 (2)	0.0020 (18)	0.0135 (18)	0.007 (2)
C2	0.0366 (19)	0.038 (2)	0.052 (2)	0.0037 (16)	0.0172 (17)	0.0019 (17)
C3	0.039 (2)	0.043 (2)	0.046 (2)	0.0025 (17)	0.0136 (17)	-0.0019 (17)
C4	0.043 (2)	0.034 (2)	0.055 (2)	-0.0038 (16)	0.0223 (18)	-0.0001 (17)
C5	0.045 (2)	0.046 (2)	0.068 (3)	-0.0120 (19)	0.019 (2)	-0.007 (2)
C6	0.051 (3)	0.055 (3)	0.055 (3)	-0.008 (2)	0.004 (2)	-0.007 (2)
C7	0.047 (2)	0.045 (2)	0.053 (2)	0.0009 (19)	0.0162 (19)	-0.0021 (19)
C8	0.043 (2)	0.0304 (19)	0.055 (2)	0.0031 (16)	0.0192 (18)	0.0039 (17)
C9	0.046 (2)	0.043 (2)	0.053 (2)	-0.0009 (18)	0.0183 (19)	0.0058 (18)
C10	0.047 (2)	0.035 (2)	0.056 (2)	-0.0028 (17)	0.0215 (19)	0.0021 (18)
C11	0.046 (2)	0.043 (2)	0.060 (3)	0.0058 (18)	0.0190 (19)	0.0039 (19)
C12	0.044 (2)	0.039 (2)	0.065 (3)	0.0067 (18)	0.023 (2)	-0.0010 (19)
N1	0.0381 (17)	0.0437 (18)	0.060 (2)	0.0043 (15)	0.0242 (16)	0.0041 (16)
N2	0.053 (2)	0.062 (2)	0.058 (2)	0.0078 (17)	0.0229 (17)	0.0039 (17)
01	0.0569 (18)	0.089 (2)	0.0477 (17)	-0.0125 (16)	0.0258 (14)	-0.0030 (15)
O1W	0.120 (3)	0.107 (3)	0.070 (2)	0.030 (3)	0.024 (2)	0.032 (2)
O2	0.0484 (16)	0.083 (2)	0.0543 (18)	-0.0193 (16)	0.0175 (14)	-0.0085 (16)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

C1—O2	1.256 (4)	C8—C12	1.408 (5)	
C101	1.267 (5)	C9—N1	1.357 (5)	
C1—C2	1.508 (5)	С9—Н9А	0.9300	
C2—C3	1.387 (5)	C10—N2	1.326 (5)	
С2—С7	1.390 (5)	C10—N1	1.346 (5)	
C3—C4	1.387 (5)	C10-C11	1.406 (5)	
С3—НЗА	0.9300	C11—C12	1.357 (5)	
C4—C5	1.386 (5)	C11—H11A	0.9300	
C4—C8	1.491 (5)	C12—H12A	0.9300	
C5—C6	1.385 (5)	N1—H1A	0.8600	
С5—Н5А	0.9300	N2—H2A	0.8600	

C6—C7	1.383 (5)	N2—H2B	0.8600
С6—Н6А	0.9300	O1W—H1WA	0.8554
C7—H7A	0.9300	O1W—H1WB	0.8736
C8—C9	1.368 (5)		
O2—C1—O1	123.7 (4)	C9—C8—C4	121.4 (4)
O2—C1—C2	118.1 (3)	C12—C8—C4	122.1 (3)
O1—C1—C2	118.2 (4)	N1	121.6 (4)
C3—C2—C7	119.1 (3)	N1—C9—H9A	119.2
C3—C2—C1	120.5 (3)	С8—С9—Н9А	119.2
C7—C2—C1	120.3 (3)	N2—C10—N1	118.8 (3)
C4—C3—C2	121.5 (4)	N2—C10—C11	123.6 (4)
С4—С3—НЗА	119.2	N1—C10—C11	117.6 (3)
С2—С3—НЗА	119.2	C12—C11—C10	120.1 (4)
C5—C4—C3	118.7 (4)	C12—C11—H11A	120.0
C5—C4—C8	120.6 (3)	C10—C11—H11A	120.0
C3—C4—C8	120.7 (4)	C11—C12—C8	121.6 (4)
C6—C5—C4	120.2 (4)	C11—C12—H12A	119.2
С6—С5—Н5А	119.9	C8—C12—H12A	119.2
C4—C5—H5A	119.9	C10—N1—C9	122.7 (3)
C7—C6—C5	120.8 (4)	C10—N1—H1A	118.7
С7—С6—Н6А	119.6	C9—N1—H1A	118.7
С5—С6—Н6А	119.6	C10—N2—H2A	120.0
C6—C7—C2	119.6 (4)	C10—N2—H2B	120.0
С6—С7—Н7А	120.2	H2A—N2—H2B	120.0
С2—С7—Н7А	120.2	H1WA—O1W—H1WB	105.1
C9—C8—C12	116.5 (3)		
O2—C1—C2—C3	-9.5 (5)	C5—C4—C8—C9	-55.5 (5)
O1—C1—C2—C3	171.0 (4)	C3—C4—C8—C9	124.6 (4)
O2—C1—C2—C7	167.5 (4)	C5—C4—C8—C12	126.5 (4)
O1—C1—C2—C7	-11.9 (5)	C3—C4—C8—C12	-53.5 (5)
C7—C2—C3—C4	-1.1 (5)	C12—C8—C9—N1	-0.6 (6)
C1—C2—C3—C4	176.0 (3)	C4-C8-C9-N1	-178.7 (3)
C2—C3—C4—C5	-0.4 (5)	N2-C10-C11-C12	-179.2 (4)
C2—C3—C4—C8	179.6 (3)	N1-C10-C11-C12	0.7 (6)
C3—C4—C5—C6	1.5 (6)	C10-C11-C12-C8	-1.3 (6)
C8—C4—C5—C6	-178.5 (4)	C9—C8—C12—C11	1.2 (6)
C4—C5—C6—C7	-1.1 (6)	C4—C8—C12—C11	179.3 (4)
C5—C6—C7—C2	-0.5 (6)	N2-C10-N1-C9	179.9 (4)
C3—C2—C7—C6	1.5 (5)	C11—C10—N1—C9	-0.1 (5)
C1—C2—C7—C6	-175.6 (3)	C8—C9—N1—C10	0.0 (6)

# Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	Н…А	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
N1—H1A···O1 <sup>i</sup>	0.86	1.87	2.715 (4)	167
N2— $H2A$ ···O2 <sup>i</sup>	0.86	1.95	2.803 (4)	172

# supporting information

N2—H2 <i>B</i> ···O1 <i>W</i>	0.86	2.19	2.915 (5)	142
O1 <i>W</i> —H1 <i>WA</i> ···O2 <sup>ii</sup>	0.86	2.00	2.761 (5)	147
O1W— $H1WB$ ···O1 <sup>iii</sup>	0.87	2.16	2.928 (5)	146

Symmetry codes: (i) *x*-1, -*y*+1/2, *z*+1/2; (ii) -*x*+1, *y*-1/2, -*z*+1/2; (iii) -*x*+1, -*y*, -*z*.