

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online ISSN 1600-5368

Quinolin-3-amine

Arun M. Islor,^a B. Chandrakantha,^b Prakash Shetty,^b Thomas Gerber,^c Eric Hosten^c and Richard Betz^c*

^aNational Institute of Technology-Karnataka, Department of Chemistry, Organic Chemistry Laboratory, Surathkal, Mangalore 575 025, India, ^bManipal Institute of Technology, Department of Chemistry, Manipal 576 104, India, and ^cNelson Mandela Metropolitan University, Summerstrand Campus, Department of Chemistry, University Way, Summerstrand, PO Box 77000, Port Elizabeth, 6031, South Africa Correspondence e-mail: richard.betz@webmail.co.za

Received 6 October 2012; accepted 11 October 2012

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 200 K; mean σ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.032; wR factor = 0.091; data-to-parameter ratio = 10.0.

In the crystal structur of the achiral title compound, $C_9H_8N_2$, $N-H\cdots N$ hydrogen bonds connect the molecules into zigzag chains in [100]. Weak intermolecular $N-H\cdots \pi$ interactions further consolidate the crystal packing.

Related literature

For novel applications of quinolin-3-amine and its derivatives, see: Rohmer *et al.* (2010); Kaneshiro *et al.* (2011). For the crystal structure of a rhodium coordination compound featuring the title compound as a ligand, see: Garralda *et al.* (1999). For graph-set analysis of hydrogen bonds, see: Etter *et al.* (1990); Bernstein *et al.* (1995).



Experimental

Crystal data

 $\begin{array}{l} C_9H_8N_2\\ M_r = 144.17\\ Orthorhombic, P2_12_12_1\\ a = 7.6223 \ (3) \ {\rm \AA}\\ b = 7.6289 \ (3) \ {\rm \AA}\\ c = 12.6967 \ (4) \ {\rm \AA} \end{array}$

Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2008) T_{min} = 0.950, T_{max} = 0.988 $V = 738.31 (5) Å^{3}$ Z = 4 Mo K\alpha radiation $\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 200 K 0.55 \times 0.52 \times 0.15 mm

6898 measured reflections 1077 independent reflections 1015 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.013$

Refinement

```
R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032

wR(F^2) = 0.091

S = 1.03

1077 reflections

108 parameters
```

H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.23 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.20 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, $^\circ).$

Cg is the centroid of the C1/C5-C9 ring.

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D-{\rm H}$	$H \cdots A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$\frac{N2 - H2B \cdots N1^{i}}{N2 - H2A \cdots Cg^{ii}}$	0.90 (2) 0.85 (2)	2.22 (2) 2.60 (2)	3.0761 (17) 3.3101 (15)	158.2 (18) 142.3 (19)
	1 1		1 1	

Symmetry codes: (i) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$; (ii) $-x, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection: *APEX2* (Bruker, 2010); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 2010); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: *ORTEPIII* (Farrugia, 1997) and *Mercury* (Macrae *et al.*, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97* and *PLATON* (Spek, 2009).

AMI is thankful to the Director of the National Institute of Technology for providing research facilities and also thanks the Board for Research in Nuclear Sciences, the Department of Atomic Energy and the Government of India for a Young Scientist award.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: CV5347).

References

- Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. & Chang, N.-L. (1995). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 34, 1555–1573.
- Bruker (2008). SADABS. Bruker Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2010). APEX2 and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, USA.
- Etter, M. C., MacDonald, J. C. & Bernstein, J. (1990). Acta Cryst. B46, 256–262. Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Garralda, M. A., Hernandez, R., Pinilla, E. & Rosario Torres, M. (1999). J. Organomet. Chem. 586, 150–158.
- Kaneshiro, K., Fukuyama, Y., Iwamoto, S., Sekiya, S. & Tanaka, K. (2011). Anal. Chem. 83, 3663–3667.
- Macrae, C. F., Bruno, I. J., Chisholm, J. A., Edgington, P. R., McCabe, P., Pidcock, E., Rodriguez-Monge, L., Taylor, R., van de Streek, J. & Wood, P. A. (2008). J. Appl. Cryst. 41, 466–470.
- Rohmer, M., Meyer, B., Mank, M., Stahl, B., Bahr, U. & Karas, M. (2010). Anal. Chem. 82, 3719–3726.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.
- Spek, A. L. (2009). Acta Cryst. D65, 148-155.

supporting information

Acta Cryst. (2012). E68, o3155 [doi:10.1107/S1600536812042626]

Quinolin-3-amine

Arun M. Islor, B. Chandrakantha, Prakash Shetty, Thomas Gerber, Eric Hosten and Richard Betz

S1. Comment

3-Aminoquinoline and its derivatives have found applications in matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization (MALDI) mass-spectrometry of oligosaccharides (Rohmer *et al.*, 2010) and glycans (Kaneshiro *et al.*, 2011). Herewith we present the crystal structure of 3-aminoquinoline, (I).

In (I) (Fig. 1), the molecule bears an amino group in *meta* position to the intracyclic nitrogen atom. Intracyclic angles in the six-membered ring containing the nitrogen atom cover a range of 117.42 (12)–125.27 (11) ° with the smallest angle found on the carbon atom bearing the amino group and the biggest angle present on the hydrogen-bearing carbon atom in *ortho* position to the intracyclic nitrogen atom. The molecule is essentially planar (r.m.s. deviation of of all fitted non-hydrogen atoms = 0.0091 Å). The least-squares planes defined by the non-hydrogen atoms of the heterocycle on the one hand and the atoms of the amino group on the other hand intersect at an angle of 11.97(2.58) °.

In the crystal, N–H…N hydrogen bonds (Table 1) are observed between the amino group and the intracyclic nitrogen atom that connect the molecules to zigzag chains along the crystallographic *a* axis (Fig. 2). In terms of graph-set analysis (Etter *et al.*, 1990; Bernstein *et al.*, 1995), the descriptor for these contacts is $C^{1}_{1}(5)$ on the unary level. In addition, a N–H… π interaction (Table 1) involving the non-heterocyclic moiety of the quinoline core as acceptor contribute to the crystal packing stability.

S2. Experimental

To a solution of 3-nitroquinoline (1 g, 0.0057 mol) in methanol (20 ml) 10% palladium on carbon (0.10 g) was added. The batch was hydrogenated at a pressure of 10 bar for 12 h. Subsequently, the reaction mixture was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the title compound as a pale yellow solid. The solid was dissolved in absolute ethanol and allowed to stand and evaporate at room temperature overnight. The crystalline solid that developed was filtered and dried under high vacuum (yield: 0.8 g, 97.5%).

S3. Refinement

C-bound H atoms were placed in calculated positions (C—H 0.95 Å) and were included in the refinement in the riding model approximation, with $U_{iso}(H)$ set to $1.2U_{eq}(C)$. Both amino H atoms were located on a difference Fourier map and refined freely. In the absence of strong anomalous scatterers, 737 Friedel pairs were merged before the final refinement.



Figure 1

The molecular structure of the title compound, with atom labels and anisotropic displacement ellipsoids (drawn at the 50% probability level).



Figure 2

A portion of the crystal packing viewed along [010]. Dashed lines indicate N–H…N hydrogen bonds. Symmetry codes: (i) x - 1/2, -y + 1/2, -z + 1; (ii) x + 1/2, -y + 1/2, -z + 1.

Quinolin-3-amine

Crystal data

$$C_9H_8N_2$$

 $M_r = 144.17$
Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$
Hall symbol: P 2ac 2ab
 $a = 7.6223$ (3) Å
 $b = 7.6289$ (3) Å
 $c = 12.6967$ (4) Å
 $V = 738.31$ (5) Å³
 $Z = 4$
 $F(000) = 304$

 $D_x = 1.297 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Melting point = 366–368 K Mo $K\alpha$ radiation, $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 5242 reflections $\theta = 2.7-28.3^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.08 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 200 KBlock, colourless $0.55 \times 0.52 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$ Data collection

Bruker APEXII CCD diffractometer Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube Graphite monochromator φ and ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (<i>SADABS</i> ; Bruker, 2008) $T_{min} = 0.950, T_{max} = 0.988$ <i>Refinement</i>	6898 measured reflections 1077 independent reflections 1015 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.013$ $\theta_{max} = 28.3^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 3.1^{\circ}$ $h = -6 \rightarrow 10$ $k = -9 \rightarrow 10$ $l = -16 \rightarrow 16$
Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$ $wR(F^2) = 0.091$ S = 1.03 1077 reflections 108 parameters 0 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0591P)^2 + 0.1011P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.23$ e Å ⁻³ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.20$ e Å ⁻³

Special details

Refinement. Due to the absence of a strong anomalous scatterer, the Flack parameter is meaningless. Thus, Friedel opposites (737 pairs) have been merged and the item was removed from the CIF.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{ m iso}$ */ $U_{ m eq}$
N1	0.25487 (15)	0.26319 (16)	0.38612 (8)	0.0280 (3)
N2	-0.18546 (17)	0.08305 (19)	0.39280 (11)	0.0359 (3)
H2A	-0.258 (3)	0.023 (3)	0.3568 (15)	0.056 (6)*
H2B	-0.198 (2)	0.098 (3)	0.4628 (17)	0.043 (5)*
C1	0.30327 (17)	0.23241 (17)	0.28353 (9)	0.0249 (3)
C2	0.09884 (17)	0.21121 (18)	0.41581 (9)	0.0277 (3)
H2	0.0663	0.2323	0.4869	0.033*
C3	-0.02593 (17)	0.12565 (16)	0.35016 (9)	0.0253 (3)
C4	0.02155 (18)	0.09412 (17)	0.24707 (10)	0.0265 (3)
H4	-0.0576	0.0376	0.2003	0.032*
C5	0.18933 (16)	0.14693 (17)	0.21189 (9)	0.0244 (3)
C6	0.24840 (19)	0.11883 (19)	0.10713 (10)	0.0299 (3)
H6	0.1743	0.0609	0.0580	0.036*
C7	0.4113 (2)	0.17449 (19)	0.07635 (10)	0.0344 (3)
H7	0.4488	0.1553	0.0059	0.041*
C8	0.52397 (19)	0.2599 (2)	0.14793 (11)	0.0353 (3)
H8	0.6366	0.2982	0.1256	0.042*
C9	0.47097 (17)	0.28794 (19)	0.25005 (11)	0.0313 (3)
H9	0.5474	0.3448	0.2982	0.038*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\mathring{A}^2)

supporting information

		. ,				
	U^{11}	U^{22}	U^{33}	U^{12}	U^{13}	U^{23}
N1	0.0323 (5)	0.0323 (6)	0.0193 (5)	-0.0026 (5)	-0.0030 (4)	-0.0003 (4)
N2	0.0318 (6)	0.0443 (7)	0.0316 (6)	-0.0081 (5)	0.0052 (5)	-0.0086 (6)
C1	0.0277 (6)	0.0263 (6)	0.0207 (5)	0.0017 (5)	-0.0018 (5)	0.0009 (5)
C2	0.0340 (6)	0.0299 (6)	0.0191 (5)	-0.0004 (5)	-0.0012 (5)	-0.0007 (5)
C3	0.0279 (6)	0.0238 (5)	0.0243 (6)	0.0009 (5)	-0.0004 (5)	-0.0006 (5)
C4	0.0306 (6)	0.0264 (6)	0.0226 (5)	-0.0013 (5)	-0.0021 (5)	-0.0045 (5)
C5	0.0303 (6)	0.0228 (6)	0.0202 (5)	0.0027 (5)	-0.0006 (5)	-0.0003 (5)
C6	0.0382 (7)	0.0298 (6)	0.0218 (6)	0.0032 (5)	0.0015 (5)	-0.0037 (5)
C7	0.0430 (7)	0.0348 (7)	0.0254 (5)	0.0059 (6)	0.0084 (6)	-0.0008 (5)
C8	0.0320 (6)	0.0389 (7)	0.0350 (7)	0.0010 (6)	0.0070 (6)	0.0052 (6)
C9	0.0294 (7)	0.0347 (7)	0.0298 (6)	-0.0008 (5)	-0.0009 (5)	0.0008 (6)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

N1—C2	1.3091 (17)	C4—C5	1.4133 (18)
N1—C1	1.3740 (16)	C4—H4	0.9500
N2—C3	1.3701 (17)	C5—C6	1.4205 (17)
N2—H2A	0.85 (2)	C6—C7	1.369 (2)
N2—H2B	0.90 (2)	С6—Н6	0.9500
C1—C9	1.4122 (18)	C7—C8	1.410 (2)
C1—C5	1.4167 (17)	С7—Н7	0.9500
C2—C3	1.4231 (17)	C8—C9	1.375 (2)
С2—Н2	0.9500	C8—H8	0.9500
C3—C4	1.3792 (17)	С9—Н9	0.9500
C2—N1—C1	117.72 (11)	C4—C5—C1	118.88 (11)
C3—N2—H2A	119.4 (14)	C4—C5—C6	122.66 (12)
C3—N2—H2B	116.8 (12)	C1—C5—C6	118.45 (12)
H2A—N2—H2B	122.1 (18)	C7—C6—C5	120.52 (13)
N1—C1—C9	118.50 (12)	С7—С6—Н6	119.7
N1—C1—C5	121.52 (12)	С5—С6—Н6	119.7
C9—C1—C5	119.98 (11)	C6—C7—C8	120.78 (12)
N1—C2—C3	125.27 (11)	С6—С7—Н7	119.6
N1—C2—H2	117.4	С8—С7—Н7	119.6
С3—С2—Н2	117.4	C9—C8—C7	120.04 (13)
N2—C3—C4	124.50 (12)	С9—С8—Н8	120.0
N2—C3—C2	118.07 (11)	C7—C8—H8	120.0
C4—C3—C2	117.42 (12)	C8—C9—C1	120.22 (13)
C3—C4—C5	119.19 (12)	С8—С9—Н9	119.9
C3—C4—H4	120.4	С1—С9—Н9	119.9
С5—С4—Н4	120.4		
C2—N1—C1—C9	179.86 (12)	C9—C1—C5—C4	-179.41 (11)
C2—N1—C1—C5	-0.20 (19)	N1—C1—C5—C6	-179.74 (12)
C1—N1—C2—C3	-0.3 (2)	C9—C1—C5—C6	0.20 (18)

N1—C2—C3—N2	-178.55 (13)	C4—C5—C6—C7	179.12 (12)
N1—C2—C3—C4 N2—C3—C4—C5	0.3 (2) 178.95 (12)	C1—C5—C6—C7 C5—C6—C7—C8	-0.5(2) 0.3(2)
C2—C3—C4—C5	0.15 (18)	C6—C7—C8—C9	0.1 (2)
C3—C4—C5—C1 C3—C4—C5—C6	-0.61 (18) 179.80 (12)	C7—C8—C9—C1 N1—C1—C9—C8	-0.4(2) -179.82(13)
N1-C1-C5-C4	0.66 (18)	C5-C1-C9-C8	0.2 (2)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

Cg is the centroid of the C1/C5–C9 ring.

D—H···A	D—H	H···A	D····A	<i>D</i> —H··· <i>A</i>
$N2-H2B\cdots N1^{i}$	0.90 (2)	2.22 (2)	3.0761 (17)	158.2 (18)
N2—H2A····Cg ⁱⁱ	0.85 (2)	2.60 (2)	3.3101 (15)	142.3 (19)

Symmetry codes: (i) x-1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1; (ii) -x, y-1/2, -z+1/2.